

# Problems In Mathematics for Computer Science

Son To

<[son.trung.to@gmail.com](mailto:son.trung.to@gmail.com)>

*Leadoo Marketing Technologies*

22<sup>nd</sup> May, 2021



# Preface

This is a research project in which I try to read the notes and solve all the problems from [1]

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>I Notes</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 What is a Proof?</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Propositions . . . . .	3
1.2 Predicates . . . . .	3
1.3 The Axiomatic Method . . . . .	4
1.4 Our axioms . . . . .	4
1.4.1 Logical deductions . . . . .	4
1.4.2 Patterns of Proof . . . . .	4
1.5 Proving an Implication . . . . .	4
1.5.1 Method #1: $P \Rightarrow Q$ . . . . .	4
1.5.2 Method #2: Contrapositive: $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ . . . . .	4
1.6 Proving an “if and only if” . . . . .	4
1.6.1 Method #1: Prove each statement implies the other . . . . .	4
1.6.2 Method #2: Construct a chain of iffs . . . . .	4
1.7 Proof by Cases . . . . .	4
1.8 Proof by Contradiction . . . . .	5
<b>II Problems and Exercises</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 What is a Proof?</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>11</b>

# Part I

## Notes



# Chapter 1

## What is a Proof?

### 1.1 Propositions

**Definition 1.1.** A *proposition* is a statement (communication) that is either true or false.

**Claim 1.1.1.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, p ::= n^2 + n + 41$  is prime

**Question:** Is this claim true or false?

**Claim 1.1.2.** No polynomial with integer coefficients can map all nonnegative numbers into primes, unless it's a constant.

**Question:** Is this true or false?

**Claim 1.1.3** (Euler's Conjecture).  $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+. a^4 + b^4 + c^4 \neq d^4$

**Claim 1.1.4.**  $313(x^3 + y^3) = z^3$  has no solution when  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

**Claim 1.1.5** (Four Color Theorem). Every map can be colored with 4 colors so that adjacent regions have different colors.

**Claim 1.1.6** (Fermat's Last Theorem).  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall n > 2, n \in \mathbb{Z}. a^n + b^n \neq c^n$

**Claim 1.1.7** (Goldbach). Every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two primes.

### 1.2 Predicates

**Definition 1.2.** A *predicate* is a proposition whose truth depends on the value of one or more variables.

If  $P$  is a predicate, then  $P(n)$  is either *true* or *false*, depending on the value of  $n$ .

## 1.3 The Axiomatic Method

**Definition 1.3.** A *proof* is a sequence of logical deductions from a set of axioms and previous proved propositions that concludes with the proposition in question.

- *Theorems*
- *Lemma*
- *Corollary*

$\Rightarrow$  Axiomatic Method

## 1.4 Our axioms

### 1.4.1 Logical deductions

Keywords: *Logical deductions*(inference rules), *antecedents*, *conclusion*, *modus ponens*

### 1.4.2 Patterns of Proof

Many proofs follow specific templates. . . Many special techniques later on.

## 1.5 Proving an Implication

**Definition 1.4.** *Implications* means  $P \Rightarrow Q$

1.5.1 Method #1:  $P \Rightarrow Q$

1.5.2 Method #2: Contrapositive:  $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$

## 1.6 Proving an “if and only if”

1.6.1 Method #1: Prove each statement implies the other

1.6.2 Method #2: Construct a chain of iffs

## 1.7 Proof by Cases

Amusing theorem

**Theorem 1.7.1.** *Every collection of 6 people includes a club of 3 people or a group of 3 strangers.*



*Proof.* The proof is by case analysis. Let  $x$  be one of those 6 people. Among 5 other people, there are two scenarios:

1. At least 3 people have met  $x$
2. At least 3 people have not met  $x$

We argue that these two cases are exhaustive since we are dividing the 5 people into two groups: those who have met  $x$  and those who have not.

**Case 1:** Suppose that at least 3 people have met  $x$

This is divided further more into two subcases:

**Case 1.1:** No pairs among those people have met each other. In this case, they form a group of at least 3 strangers. Thus, the theorem holds in this subcase.

**Case 1.2:** At least one pair in those people have met. Adding  $x$  to such pair forms a club of at least 3 people. The theorem is proved in this subcase.

This implies that the theorem holds for Case 1.

**Case 2:** Suppose that at least 3 people have not met  $x$

This again splits the case into two subcases:

**Case 2.1:** All pairs among those people have met each other. In this case, they form a club of at least 3 people. Thus the theorem holds in this subcase.

**Case 2.2:** At least one pair in those people have not met. Adding  $x$  to such pair forms a group of at least 3 strangers. The theorem holds in this subcase.

This implies that the theorem holds for Case 2.

We have proved the theorem. □

## 1.8 Proof by Contradiction

**Theorem 1.8.1.**  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational

*Proof.* We use proof by contradiction. Suppose  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational, then  $\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$ ,

where  $p$  and  $q$  are integers that have no common factors. Then  $2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$ , which means  $p^2 = 2q^2$ . Since  $p^2$  is even,  $p$  must be even (easily proved by contradiction again). W.l.o.g, assume  $p = 2k$  for some integer  $k$ . Then  $4k^2 = 2q^2 \Rightarrow q^2 = 2k^2$ , which implies that  $q$  is also even. However, this contradicts the fact that  $p$  and  $q$  have no common factors. Therefore  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. □



**Part II**

**Problems and Exercises**




# Chapter 1

## What is a Proof?

### Problem 1.1.

- (a) Colors of the triangles are arbitrary since I do not remember the exact ones in the text.



The middle square is a square of  $(b - a) \times (b - a)$

- (b) *Possible Errata:*



# Bibliography

- [1] Eric Lehman, Tom Leighton, and Albert Meyer. *Mathematics for Computer Science*. MIT OCW, 2018.