### REAL ANALYSIS

#### LECTURE NOTES

ABSTRACT. The Notes indicate what we do in the lectures. The text is from two books for Real Analysis:

- [1] Xingwei Zhou & Wenchang Sun: Real Variable Analysis, the third edition, Science Press.
- [2] Elias M. Stein & Rami Shakarchi: Real Analysis, Princeton University Press.

# Lecture #1

## Part 1. Preliminaries

Some basic notions in Set Theory/Euclidean Topology are introduced.

#### 1. Sets and their operations

The union, intersection, difference, and complement of sets are well-known operations in the set theory. The following proposition is straightforward.

**Proposition 1.1** (De Morgan Law). Let  $A_{\lambda}$  be a family of subsets of X,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then

$$\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right)^{c} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^{c},$$
$$\left(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}\right)^{c} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}^{c}.$$

The notation  $A\Delta B$  stands for the symmetric difference between sets A and B, defined by

$$A\Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A),$$

which consists of elements that belong to only one of the two sets A or B.

**Proposition 1.2.**  $A\Delta B = A \cup B - A \cap B$ ;  $A\Delta B = B\Delta A$ .

Let  $\{A_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  be a countable collection of subsets of X. We say  $A_1,A_2,\ldots$  increases to A (written as  $A_n \nearrow A$ ), if  $A_k \subset A_{k+1}$  for all k, and  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . Similarly, we say  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  decreases to A (written as  $A_n \searrow A$ ), if  $A_{k+1} \subset A_k$  for all k, and  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . Given any countable collection of sets  $\{A_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ , we define

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k > n} A_k$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k > n} A_k.$$

We say  $\{A_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  has a limit if  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n = \liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n$ , and denote

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n = \liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n.$$

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a countable collection of sets.

- (i)  $x \in \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n$  if and only if, for any n, there is a  $N = N(n) \ge n$  such that  $x \in A_N$ . Namely there are infinitely many  $A_n$  containing x.
- (ii)  $x \in \liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n$  if and only if there is a  $n_x$  such that, for any  $N \ge n_x$ ,  $x \in A_N$ . Namely there are at most finitely many  $A_n$  such that  $x \notin A_n$ .
- (iii)  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n \subseteq \limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n$ .
- (iv) If  $\{A_n\}$  is increasing or decreasing, then  $\{A_n\}$  has a limit and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n & \text{if } \{A_n\} \text{ is increasing,} \\ \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n & \text{if } \{A_n\} \text{ is decreasing.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of the definitions of  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n$  and  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n$ . Let us show (iv).

Suppose  $\{A_n\}$  is increasing. Then  $\bigcup_{k\geq n}A_k=\bigcup_{k\geq m}A_k$  for any m and n. Hence  $\limsup_{n\to\infty}A_n=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}A_n$ . Clearly  $\limsup_{n\to\infty}A_n=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}\bigcap_{k\geq n}A_k=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}A_n$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty}=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}A_n$ .

Suppose  $\{A_n\}$  is decreasing. We have  $\bigcap_{k\geq n} A_k = \bigcap_{k\geq m} A_k$  for any m and n, and so  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} A_n$ . On the other hand,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} \bigcup_{k\geq n} A_k = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} A_n$ . Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n\geq 1} A_n$ .

**Example 1.1.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $A_n = \{m/n : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Find  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n$ .

Solution. We have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ m/k : m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge n \} = \mathbb{Q}.$$

For the second inequality, let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , thus x = p/q for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Note that x = np/(nq). Since  $nq \ge n$ , we see that

$$x \in \{m/k : m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ge n\} \ \forall n \ge 1.$$

Hence  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \limsup_{n \to \infty} A_n$ . The opposite inclusion is obvious.

Next, let x = p/q, where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , be such that  $x \in \mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{Z}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose p and q are relatively prime. Clearly  $x \notin A_n$  when  $n \neq kq$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence

$$x \notin \bigcap_{k \ge n} A_k$$
, for any fixed  $n$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n$  are disjoint. On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n \subseteq \mathbb{Q}.$$

It then follows that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} A_n = \mathbb{Z}.$$

### 2. Cardinality of Sets

We say two sets A and B are equivalent (in the sense of cardinality), written as  $A \sim B$ , if there is a one-to-one <sup>1</sup> map between A and B.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\{B_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be two families of disjoint sets. If  $A_{\lambda} \sim B_{\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda$ , then

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} \sim \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_{\lambda}.$$

We say A is a finite set if A is equivalent to  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  for some n; otherwise A is an infinite set. We say A is a countable set if A is equivalent to  $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** The following statements hold

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This means the map is both injective and surjective.

- (i) any infinite set contains a countable set;
- (ii) any infinite subset of a countable set is countable;
- (iii) the union of at most countably many countable sets is countable.

**Example 2.1.** The set of rational numbers is a countable set.

**Example 2.2.** Consider the set  $\mathcal{I} = \{I_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$ , where  $I_{\lambda}$  are disjoint open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is finite or countable.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let A be an infinite set, and B is a countable set. Then  $A \sim A \cup B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_1$  be a countable subset of A. By (iii) in Theorem 2.2, we have

$$A_1 \cup B \sim A_1$$
.

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$A = (A - A_1) \cup A_1 \sim (A - A_1) \cup (A_1 \cup B) = A \cup B.$$

**Example 2.3.** The closed interval [0,1] is not countable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $[0,1] = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$ . Then we have a sequence of closed intervals, say  $I_k$ , such that

$$I_k \subseteq I_{k-1}$$
 and  $a_k \notin I_k$ , for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

where in particular we take  $I_0 = [0,1]$ . Clearly  $\cap_{k \geq 1} I_k \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $\xi \in \cap_{k \geq 1} I_k$ . But  $\xi \neq a_n$  for any n. This shows that  $\cap_{k \geq 1} I_k \not\subset [0,1]$ , a contradiction.

**Definition 2.1.** We say A has the cardinality of the continuum if  $A \sim [0,1]$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** The set of all real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  has the cardinality of the continuum.

*Proof.* It is direct to see  $\mathbb{R} \sim (0,1) \sim [0,1]$ . The last step is due to Theorem 2.3.

We next consider a class of sets whose elements are arrays of infinite length. Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the set consisting of elements  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ , where  $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . The set  $A_n$  has the cardinality of the continuum.

*Proof.* We shall show that  $A_n \sim (0,1]$ . For any  $x \in (0,1]$ , there is a unique  $k_1 \in [1,n]$  such that

$$\frac{k_1 - 1}{n} < x \le \frac{k_1}{n}.$$

Let  $x_1 = x - \frac{k_1 - 1}{n}$ . Then  $x_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$ . There is a unique  $k_2 \in [1, n]$  such that

$$\frac{k_2 - 1}{n^2} < x_1 \le \frac{k_2}{n^2}.$$

Next let  $x_2 = x_1 - \frac{k_2-1}{n^2}$ . We see that  $x_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{n^2})$  and so there is a unique  $k_3 \in [1, n]$  such that

$$\frac{k_3 - 1}{n^3} < x_2 \le \frac{k_3}{n^3}.$$

Repeat the above procedure. We obtain a sequence of  $k_i \in [1, n]$  (here i = 1, 2, ...) such that if

$$x_i = x_{i-1} - \frac{k_i - 1}{n^i},$$

where in particular  $x_0 = x$ , then

$$\frac{k_{i+1} - 1}{n^{i+1}} < x_i \le \frac{k_{i+1}}{n^{i+1}}.$$

It thus follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{k_i - 1}{n^i} < x \le \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{k_i - 1}{n^i} + \frac{k_m}{n^m}, \text{ for any } m \ge 1.$$

Let  $a_i = k_i - 1$ . Sending  $m \to \infty$ , we infer that

$$(2.1) x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{n^i}.$$

This yields a one-to-one mapping f between (0,1] and  $\mathcal{A}_n$ , namely

$$f(x) = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_i, \cdots\},\$$

where  $a_i$ 's are such that (2.1) holds.

Given a set X, we denote by  $2^X$  the set of all subsets of X.

**Proposition 2.3.** Set  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  has the cardinality of the continuum.

*Proof.* Let  $A \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Given any  $n \geq 1$ , we take

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n \in A, \\ 0, & n \in \mathbb{N} - A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f(A) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$  is an one-to-one mapping between  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , thus completing the proof by Proposition 2.1.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  be a collection of sets with  $X_i \sim [0,1]$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $X = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  has continuum.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2,

$$X \sim \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_1.$$

Given  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_1$ , we write  $x_i = (x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^n, \dots)$ . Let us define  $y \in \mathcal{A}_1$  by setting

$$y = (x_1^1, x_2^1, x_1^2, x_1^2, x_2^1, x_2^2, x_1^3, \cdots, x_n^1, x_{n-1}^2, \cdots, x_1^n, \cdots).$$

This yields a mapping  $f: \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_1 \to A_1$ , by y = f(x). It is not hard to see that f is one-to-one. Hence

$$X \sim \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_1 \sim \mathcal{A}_1 \sim [0,1].$$

The last relation is due to Proposition 2.2.

As a corollary,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the cardinality of the continuum.

The cardinalities of sets can be compared. Theorem below is a tool for this.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2$  be sets such that

$$A_2 \subset A_1 \subset A_0$$
.

If  $A_0 \sim A_2$ , then  $A_0 \sim A_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h: A_0 \to A_2$  be a one-to-one mapping. Define, for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ ,

$$A_{n+2} = h(A_n) = \begin{cases} h^k(A_1), & \text{if } n = 2k - 1, \\ h^k(A_2), & \text{if } n = 2k. \end{cases}$$

We thus obtain a sequence of sets  $A_3, A_4, A_5, \dots$ , which are subsets of  $A_2$ , and

$$A_n \sim A_{n+2}, \ n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Since  $A_1 \subset A_0$ , we have  $A_3 = h(A_1) \subset h(A_0) = A_2$ . In general, one can check that  $A_{i+1} \subset A_i$ , for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Namely  $\{A_n\}$  is decreasing. We then take

$$A_{-1} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n,$$

thus

$$(2.2) A_0 = A_2 \bigcup (A_0 - A_2) = A_4 \bigcup (A_2 - A_4) \bigcup (A_0 - A_2) = \dots = A_{-1} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{2n} - A_{2n+2}),$$

and similarly

(2.3) 
$$A_1 = A_{-1} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{2n+1} - A_{2n+3}).$$

Since  $\{A_n\}$  is decreasing, we obtain  $A_{2n+2} - A_{2n+3} = h(A_{2n} - A_{2n+1})$ , namely

$$A_{2n+2} - A_{2n+3} \sim A_{2n} - A_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It then follows by Theorem 2.1 that

$$A_{2n+1} - A_{2n+3} = (A_{2n+1} - A_{2n+2}) \cup (A_{2n+2} - A_{2n+3})$$

$$\sim (A_{2n+1} - A_{2n+2}) \cup (A_{2n} - A_{2n+1})$$

$$= A_{2n} - A_{2n+2}.$$

Then, using Theorem 2.1, we conclude from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$A_0 = A_{-1} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{2n} - A_{2n+2}) \sim A_{-1} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{2n+1} - A_{2n+3}) = A_1.$$

Given two sets A and B, we say

- Card(A) = Card(B) if  $A \sim B$ ;
- $Card(A) \leq Card(B)$  if A is equivalent to a subset of B;
- $\operatorname{Card}(A) < \operatorname{Card}(B)$  if  $\operatorname{Card}(A) \leq \operatorname{Card}(B)$  and  $\operatorname{Card}(A) \neq \operatorname{Card}(B)$ .

This yields an order for sets.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let A and B be sets. Then

(i) 
$$Card(A) \leq Card(A)$$
;

- (ii) if  $Card(A) \leq Card(B)$  and  $Card(B) \leq Card(C)$ , then  $Card(A) \leq Card(C)$ ;
- (iii) if  $Card(A) \leq Card(B)$  and  $Card(B) \leq Card(A)$ , then Card(A) = Card(B).

*Proof.* We only prove (iii). By definition, let  $B_1 \subset B$  and  $A_1 \subset A$  be such that

$$(2.4) A \sim B_1 \text{ and } B \sim A_1$$

Denote by h a one-to-one map from B to  $A_1$ . Using  $B_1 \subset B$ ,

$$B_1 \sim A_2 := h(B_1) \subset A_1$$
.

Hence  $A_2 \subset A_1 \subset A$  and  $A_2 \sim B_1 \sim A$ . By Theorem 2.5,  $A_1 \sim A$ . By (2.4),  $B \sim A$ .

By the above comparison principle, we have the following result.

**Example 2.4.** Let C([0,1]) be the set of all continuous functions on [0,1]. Then C([0,1]) has the cardinality of the continuum.

*Proof.* Let  $f_{\lambda}:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  be the function such that  $f_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda$ . Clearly

$$(2.5) [0,1] \sim \{f_{\lambda}\}_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} \subset C([0,1]) \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Card}([0,1]) \leq \operatorname{Card}(C([0,1])).$$

On the other hand, given a  $f \in C([0,1])$ , let

$$X = X(f) = (f(r_1), f(r_2), \cdots, f(r_n), \cdots)$$

where  $\{r_k\}_{k\geq 1}$  is the set of all rational numbers in [0, 1]. Thus we define a mapping

$$X: C([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}^{\infty} := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$$
, with  $R_i = \mathbb{R}$  for all  $i$ .

By the continuity, if  $f, g \in C([0,1])$  and  $f \neq g$ , then  $X(f) \neq X(g)$ . Hence X is injective and so

(2.6) 
$$\operatorname{Card}(C([0,1])) \le \operatorname{Card}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}) = \operatorname{Card}([0,1]).$$

The last equality follows from Theorem 2.4.

In view of Theorem 2.6, we deduce that  $C([0,1]) \sim [0,1]$  by (2.5) and (2.6).

Next we show that the cardinality can be "arbitrarily large".

**Theorem 2.7.** For any set X, there holds  $Card(X) < Card(2^X)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously  $X \sim \{\{x\}\}_{x \in X} \subset 2^X$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Card}(X) \leq \operatorname{Card}(2^X)$ . For completing the proof, we suppose by contradiction there is a one-to-one map  $f: X \to 2^X$ . Let

$$X^* = \{ x \in X : \ x \notin f(x) \}.$$

Since  $X^*$  is a subset of X, there is a  $x^*$  such that  $f(x^*) = X^*$ .

If  $x^* \in f(x^*) = X^*$ , then by the definition of  $X^*$  we have  $x^* \notin X^*$ ; if  $x^* \notin f(x^*) = X^*$ , then by the definition again we obtain  $x^* \in X^*$ ; arriving contradictions for both cases.