

# Probability Theory

## Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 2.1** Take  $\Omega = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\}$ . Consider  $P$  the equiprobability on  $\Omega$  and  $Q$  the probability measure  $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_a + \delta_d)$  (with  $\delta_a$  the point measure at  $a$ , and  $\delta_d$  the point measure at  $d$ ).

- (a) Show that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{A}$ , and  $P$  and  $Q$  agree on  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (b) Show that  $\{A \in \mathcal{A}; P(A) = Q(A)\}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (c) Is  $\mathcal{C}$  a  $\pi$ -system?

**Exercise 2.2** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) the event “at time  $i$  the phenomena  $\Phi$  occurs”.

Express with the help of the subsets  $A_i$  the following events as subsets  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ :

- (a) “ $\Phi$  occurs exactly 17 times”
- (b) “ $\Phi$  always occurs again”
- (c) “ $\Phi$  stops occurring at some point”

Describe in words the following event:

- (d)  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m > n} (A_n \cap A_m)$

Which of these events belong to the asymptotic  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}^* := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma\left(\bigcup_{i \geq n} \{A_i\}\right)$ ?

**Exercise 2.3** In this exercise, we will construct a countably infinite number of independent random variables, without using a product space with an infinite number of factors.

Consider  $\Omega = [0, 1)$ , equipped with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and the Lebesgue measure  $P$  restricted to  $[0, 1)$ . We define the random variables

$$Y_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

by

$$Y_n(\omega) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lfloor 2^n \omega \rfloor \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } \lfloor 2^n \omega \rfloor \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \leq x\}$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ . Show that  $Y_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are independent and satisfy  $P[Y_n = 0] = P[Y_n = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Hint:* To gain insight, consider the meaning of  $Y_n$  in terms of the binary expansion of  $\omega$ . You may use the following observation, without proving it:

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be random variables on this space, each taking values only in a countable set (that is, for each  $i$  there is a countable set  $S_i$  such that  $P[Y_i \in S_i] = 1$ ). Assume that

$$P[Y_1 = z_1, Y_2 = z_2, \dots, Y_n = z_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n P[Y_i = z_i] \text{ for all } z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1)$$

holds for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then, the infinite sequence of random variables  $(Y_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is independent.

**Exercise 2.4 (Optional.)** A non-empty family  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of a non-empty set  $\Omega$  is called a  $\lambda$ -system, if

- (i)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{C} : B \subset A \Rightarrow A \setminus B \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- (iii)  $A_n \in \mathcal{C}, A_n \subset A_{n+1} \Rightarrow \bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Show that the definitions of a Dynkin system and a  $\lambda$ -system are equivalent.

**Submission:** until 14:15, Oct 8., during exercise class or in the tray outside of HG G 53.

**Office hours (Präsenz):** Mon. and Thu., 12:00-13:00 in HG G 32.6.

**Class assignment:**

Students	Time & Date	Room	Assistant
Afa-Fül	Tue 13-14	HG F 26.5	Angelo Abächerli
Gan-Math	Tue 13-14	ML H 41.1	Zhouyi Tan
Meh-Schu	Tue 14-15	HG F 26.5	Angelo Abächerli
Schü-Zur	Tue 14-15	ML H 41.1	Dániel Bálint

**Solution 2.1**

- (a) We start with the first claim. Because  $\{a\} = \{a, b\} \cap \{a, c\}$ , we know that  $\{a\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . By cyclic symmetry we obtain that  $\{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$  as well. The first claim follows now from Exercise 1.2 (a). For the second claim, we simply observe that  $\forall B \in \mathcal{C}, P(B) = Q(B) = 1/2$ .
- (b) Suppose  $\{A \in \mathcal{A}; P(A) = Q(A)\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since this collection contains  $\mathcal{C}$ , by (a), it would contain also  $\mathcal{A}$ , by (a). Thus,  $P$  and  $Q$  would be equal, which is a contradiction.
- (c) No. By a direct inspection, we see that

$$\{a, b\} \cap \{a, c\} = \{a\} \notin \mathcal{C}.$$

We can also show this by the following argument: If it were a  $\pi$ -system, by (1.3.11) in the lecture notes, any  $P$  and  $Q$  agreeing on  $\mathcal{C}$  would be equal.

**Solution 2.2**

(a)

$$\bigcup_{n_1 \geq 1} \bigcup_{n_2 > n_1} \cdots \bigcup_{n_{17} > n_{16}} \left( A_{n_1} \cap A_{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n_{17}} \cap \left( \bigcap_{k \notin \{n_1, \dots, n_{17}\}} A_k^c \right) \right).$$

This event does not belong in general to the asymptotic  $\sigma$ -algebra since its occurrence is determined by the occurrence of the event  $A_1$ .

- (b)  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$ . This event belongs to the asymptotic  $\sigma$ -algebra, as for each  $n_0 \geq 1$

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m = \bigcap_{n \geq n_0} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \in \sigma \left( \bigcup_{i \geq n_0} \{A_i\} \right).$$

- (c)  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m^c$ . This event belongs to the asymptotic  $\sigma$ -algebra, as for each  $n_0 \geq 1$

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m^c = \bigcup_{n \geq n_0} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m^c \in \sigma \left( \bigcup_{i \geq n_0} \{A_i\} \right).$$

- (d)  $\Phi$  occurs at least two times. This event does not belong to the asymptotic  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Solution 2.3** We claim that each  $\omega \in \Omega$  can be written as

$$\omega = \sum_{j \geq 1} Y_j(\omega) 2^{-j}. \quad (2)$$

To see this, we write down the binary representation of  $\omega$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \omega_j 2^{-j}, \quad \omega_j \in \{0, 1\}, \\ &= 0.\omega_1\omega_2\ldots \end{aligned}$$

*Technical point:* In cases like  $\omega = 1/2$ , which can be represented as both  $0.1000\dots$  and  $0.01111\dots$ , we choose the terminating binary representation, i.e the one which “ends” in an infinite sequence of zeroes, which is the usual convention.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } \omega = 0.\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_j\dots \Rightarrow 2^j\omega &= \omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_j.\omega_{j+1}\dots \in [\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_j, \omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_j + 1), \\ \Rightarrow \lfloor 2^j\omega \rfloor &= \omega_1\dots\omega_j \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lfloor 2^j\omega \rfloor = \omega_1\dots\omega_j \text{ is odd,} & \Rightarrow \omega_j = 1, \\ \lfloor 2^j\omega \rfloor = \omega_1\dots\omega_j \text{ is even,} & \Rightarrow \omega_j = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $Y_j(\omega) = \omega_j$ . From the representation (2), we see that for  $n \geq 1$

$$\{Y_n = 0\} = \Omega \cap \bigcup_{j=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \left[ \frac{2j}{2^n}, \frac{2j+1}{2^n} \right).$$

Thus the  $Y_n$  are measurable, and  $P[Y_n = 0] = 2^{n-1}/(2^n) = 1/2 = P[Y_n = 1]$ . To prove independence, we note that for  $n \geq 1$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in \{0, 1\}$ , we have

$$P \left[ \bigcap_{j=1}^n \{Y_j = z_j\} \right] = P \left[ \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{z_j}{2^j}, \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{z_j}{2^j} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \right] = 2^{-n} = \prod_{j=1}^n P[Y_j = z_j].$$

By the observation given in the hint, this implies independence of the infinite sequence  $\{Y_n\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

**Solution 2.4** “ $\Leftarrow$ ” Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\lambda$ -system, then:

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$ , because of (i).
- Let  $A$  be in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $A \subset \Omega \xrightarrow{(ii)} A^c = \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  disjoint sets. Then we have that  $A \subset B^c \in \mathcal{C}$  and due to (ii):

$$B^c \setminus A \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow (B^c \setminus A)^c = B \cup A \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (3)$$

Now let  $(A_i)_{i \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  be pairwise disjoint subsets, and set  $B_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ . By (3),  $B_n$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , and clearly  $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$ . Therefore by (iii) we get that,

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} A_i \in \mathcal{C}.$$

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Dynkin-system, then we have:

- (i):  $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (ii): Let  $A, B$  be in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $A \subset B$ . Hence  $A \cap B^c = \emptyset$ , and therefore

$$A \cap B^c = \emptyset \Rightarrow A \cup B^c \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow (A \cup B^c)^c = B \setminus A \in \mathcal{C}.$$

- (iii): Let  $(A_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}$  be a sequence satisfying that  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and set  $F_1 = A_1$ ,  $F_n := A_n \setminus A_{n-1} \stackrel{(ii)}{\in} \mathcal{C}$ . Then,  $F_n \cap F_k = \emptyset$ , for  $k \neq n$  and  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} A_k \in \mathcal{C}$ .