4.2 Convergence in probability and weak law of large numbers

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

4.2 Convergence in probability and weak law of large numbers

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

Definition Suppose ξ and $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$, are defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . If for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon) = 0,$$

or equivalently $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\xi_n-\xi|<\varepsilon)=1$, then we say that ξ_n converges to ξ in probability, written Throwing a dot in [0,1] randomly, the dot is located any point in [0,1] with the same possibility. Let ω denote the location of dot and define

$$\xi(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, \ \omega \in [0, 0.5], \\ 0, \ \omega \in (0.5, 1], \end{cases} \quad \eta(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0, \ \omega \in [0, 0.5], \\ 1, \ \omega \in (0.5, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then ξ and η have the same distribution function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & 0 \le x < 1, \\ 1, & x \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

If we define $\xi_n=\xi$, for $n\geq 1$, then $\xi_n\stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow}\eta$, but $|\xi_n-\eta|\equiv 1.$

- Suppose ξ and $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .
 - (1) If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, then $\xi_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi$.
 - (2) If $\xi_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c$, where c is a constant, then $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} c$.

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

 $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} c$.

• Suppose ξ and $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . (1) If $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi$, then $\xi_n \xrightarrow{d} \xi$.

Proof. (1) Let F and F_n be the cdfs of ξ and ξ_n respectively, and let x be a continuity point of F.

(2) If $\xi_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c$, where c is a constant, then

For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(\xi_n \le x) = (\xi_n \le x, |\xi_n - \xi| < \varepsilon) + (\xi_n \le x, |\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\subset (\xi \le x + \varepsilon) \cup (|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(\xi_n \le x) = (\xi_n \le x, |\xi_n - \xi| < \varepsilon) + (\xi_n \le x, |\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\subset (\xi \le x + \varepsilon) \cup (|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

Thus

$$F_n(x) \le F(x+\varepsilon) + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

2 Convergence in probability and weak law of large numbers 4.2.1 Convergence in probability

Since
$$\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \xi$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

Since $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \xi$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Thus

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_n(x) \le F(x+\varepsilon).$$

Similarly

$$(\xi \le x) \subset (\xi_n \le x + \varepsilon) \cup (|\xi - \xi_n| \ge \varepsilon)$$

and thus

$$F(x) \le F_n(x+\varepsilon) + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

Similarly

$$(\xi \le x) \subset (\xi_n \le x + \varepsilon) \cup (|\xi - \xi_n| \ge \varepsilon)$$

and thus

$$F(x) \le F_n(x+\varepsilon) + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

So

$$F(x-\varepsilon) \le F_n(x) + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$



Similarly

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

$$(\xi \le x) \subset (\xi_n \le x + \varepsilon) \cup (|\xi - \xi_n| \ge \varepsilon)$$

and thus

$$F(x) \le F_n(x+\varepsilon) + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

So

$$F(x-\varepsilon) \le F_n(x) + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

Thus

$$F(x-\varepsilon) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} F_n(x).$$

We conclude that

$$F(x-\varepsilon) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) \le F(x+\varepsilon).$$

We conclude that

$$F(x-\varepsilon) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) \le F(x+\varepsilon).$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = F(x).$$

That is

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow{d} \xi$$
.

(2) If
$$\xi_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c$$
, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < c, \\ 1, & x > c. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $\xi_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} c$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < c, \\ 1, & x > c. \end{cases}$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\xi_n - c| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$= P(\xi_n \ge c + \varepsilon) + P(\xi_n \le c - \varepsilon)$$

$$= 1 - P(\xi_n < c + \varepsilon) + P(\xi_n \le c - \varepsilon)$$

$$= 1 - F_n(c + \varepsilon - 0) + F_n(c - \varepsilon)$$

$$\to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

The proof is complete.

Example 1 Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the common uniform distribution in [0,a]. Let $\eta_n = \max\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\cdots,\xi_n\}$. Prove that $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} a$.

Proof.

Example 1 Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the common uniform distribution in [0,a]. Let $\eta_n = \max\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\cdots,\xi_n\}$. Prove that $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} a$.

Proof. Let F(x) be the distribution function of ξ_k . then the distribution function of η_n is $G_n(x) = (F(x))^n$.

Now the distribution function of ξ_k is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ x/a, & 0 \le x < a, \\ 1, & x \ge a. \end{cases}$$

Now the distribution function of ξ_k is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ x/a, & 0 \le x < a, \\ 1, & x \ge a. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$G_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ (x/a)^n, & 0 \le x < a, \\ 1, & x \ge a, \end{cases}$$

$$\to D(x-a) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < a, \\ 1, & x \ge a, \end{cases} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So $\eta_n \stackrel{d}{\to} a$ and a is a constant. So $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} a$.

Let $\{\xi, \xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Prove that (1) If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \eta$, then $P(\xi = \eta) = 1$. (2) If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, f is the continuous function on

 $(-\infty, \infty)$, then $f(\xi_n) \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} f(\xi)$.

- **2** Let $\{\xi, \xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Prove that
 - (1) If $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi$, $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \eta$, then $P(\xi = \eta) = 1$.
 - (2) If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \xi$, f is the continuous function on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then $f(\xi_n) \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} f(\xi)$.

In general, if $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi$, $\eta_n \xrightarrow{P} \eta$ and f(x,y) is a continuous function, then

$$f(\xi_n, \eta_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} f(\xi, \eta).$$

Proof. (1) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) \subseteq (|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cup (|\xi_n - \eta| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Thus

$$P(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) \le P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + P(|\xi_n - \eta| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Proof. (1) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) \subseteq (|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cup (|\xi_n - \eta| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Thus

$$P(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) \le P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + P(|\xi_n - \eta| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Note that the left side of the above inequality is independent of n and $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi$, $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \eta$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Therefore $P(|\xi - \eta| > \varepsilon) = 0$.

Proof. (1) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) \subseteq (|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \cup (|\xi_n - \eta| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Thus

$$P(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) \le P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + P(|\xi_n - \eta| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2}).$$

Note that the left side of the above inequality is independent of n and $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi$, $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \eta$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Therefore $P(|\xi - \eta| \ge \varepsilon) = 0$. Furthermore,

$$P(|\xi - \eta| > 0) = P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (|\xi - \eta| \ge \frac{1}{n}))$$

 $\le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|\xi - \eta| \ge \frac{1}{n}) = 0,$

i.e., $P(\xi = \eta) = 1$.



(2) For any given $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists an M > 0 satisfying

$$P(|\xi| \ge M) \le P(|\xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}. \tag{1}$$

(2) For any given $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists an M > 0 satisfying

$$P(|\xi| \ge M) \le P(|\xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}.\tag{1}$$

Since $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \xi$, when $n \ge N_1$ for some $N_1 \ge 1$,

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}.$$

Hence

$$P(|\xi_n| \ge M) \le P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) + P(|\xi| \ge \frac{M}{2})$$

$$\le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}.$$
(2)

(2) For any given $\varepsilon'>0$, there exists an M>0 satisfying

$$P(|\xi| \ge M) \le P(|\xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}. \tag{1}$$

Since $\xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi$, when $n \ge N_1$ for some $N_1 \ge 1$,

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}.$$

Hence

$$P(|\xi_n| \ge M) \le P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \frac{M}{2}) + P(|\xi| \ge \frac{M}{2})$$

$$\le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{4} = \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}.$$
(2)

And for f(x) is continuous function on $(-\infty,\infty)$, then f(x) is uniformly continuous in [-M,M]. For given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$, when $|x-y|<\delta$, $|f(x)-f(y)|<\varepsilon$.

Thus

$$P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\le P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon, |\xi_{n} - \xi| < \delta, |\xi_{n}| < M, |\xi| < M)$$

$$+P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon, |\xi_{n} - \xi| \ge \delta, |\xi_{n}| < M, |\xi| < M)$$

$$+P(|\xi_{n}| \ge M) + P(|\xi| \ge M)$$

$$\le P(|\xi_{n} - \xi| \ge \delta) + P(|\xi_{n}| \ge M) + P(|\xi| \ge M). \tag{3}$$

Thus

$$P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\le P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon, |\xi_{n} - \xi| < \delta, |\xi_{n}| < M, |\xi| < M)$$

$$+P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon, |\xi_{n} - \xi| \ge \delta, |\xi_{n}| < M, |\xi| < M)$$

$$+P(|\xi_{n}| \ge M) + P(|\xi| \ge M)$$

$$\le P(|\xi_{n} - \xi| \ge \delta) + P(|\xi_{n}| \ge M) + P(|\xi| \ge M). \tag{3}$$

For the above δ , when $n \geq N_2$ for some $N_2 \geq 1$,

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \delta) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}.$$
 (4)

Thus

$$P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon)$$

$$\le P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon, |\xi_{n} - \xi| < \delta, |\xi_{n}| < M, |\xi| < M)$$

$$+P(|f(\xi_{n}) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon, |\xi_{n} - \xi| \ge \delta, |\xi_{n}| < M, |\xi| < M)$$

$$+P(|\xi_{n}| \ge M) + P(|\xi| \ge M)$$

$$\le P(|\xi_{n} - \xi| \ge \delta) + P(|\xi_{n}| \ge M) + P(|\xi| \ge M). \tag{3}$$

For the above δ , when $n \geq N_2$ for some $N_2 \geq 1$,

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \delta) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}.$$
 (4)

Combining (1), (2), (3) and (4), we obtain

$$P(|f(\xi_n) - f(\xi)| \ge \varepsilon) \le \frac{\varepsilon'}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon'}{4} = \varepsilon'$$

provided $n \ge \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Thus $f(\xi_n) \xrightarrow{P} f(\xi)$.

In general, if

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_n =: (\xi_{n,1}, \dots, \xi_{n,m}) \stackrel{P}{\to} \boldsymbol{\xi} := (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$$
 (i.e., $\|\boldsymbol{\xi}_n - \boldsymbol{\xi}\| \to 0$, or equivalently, $\xi_{n,k} \to \xi_k$, $k = 1, \dots, m$)

and $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a m-dimensional continuous function, then

$$f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} f(\boldsymbol{\xi}).$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Proof.} \ \ &\text{For any } \epsilon > 0 \ \text{and} \ M > 0 \text{, choose} \ 0 < \delta < M/2 \ \text{such} \\ &\text{that} \ |f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| < \epsilon \ \text{whenever} \\ &\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| < \delta, \|\boldsymbol{x}\| \leq M, \|\boldsymbol{y}\| \leq M. \end{split}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Proof.} & \text{ For any } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } M > 0 \text{, choose } 0 < \delta < M/2 \text{ such } \\ & \text{that } |f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| < \epsilon \text{ whenever} \\ & \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| < \delta, \|\boldsymbol{x}\| \leq M, \|\boldsymbol{y}\| \leq M. \text{ Then} \end{aligned}$

$$\{|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| \ge \epsilon\}$$

$$\subset \{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| \ge \delta\} \bigcup \{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| > M\} \bigcup \{\|\boldsymbol{y}\| > M\}$$

$$\subset \{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| \ge \delta\} \bigcup \{\|\boldsymbol{y}\| > M/2\}.$$

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and M > 0, choose $0 < \delta < M/2$ such that $|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| < \epsilon$ whenever $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| < \delta, \|\boldsymbol{x}\| < M, \|\boldsymbol{y}\| < M$. Then

$$\{|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| \ge \epsilon\}$$

$$\subset \{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| \ge \delta\} \bigcup \{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| > M\} \bigcup \{\|\boldsymbol{y}\| > M\}$$

$$\subset \{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\| \ge \delta\} \bigcup \{\|\boldsymbol{y}\| > M/2\}.$$

So,

$$P(|f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n) - f(\boldsymbol{\xi})| \ge \epsilon)$$

$$\le P(||\boldsymbol{\xi}_n - \boldsymbol{\xi}|| \ge \delta) + P(||\boldsymbol{\xi}|| > M/2) \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$ and then $M \to \infty$.

We have

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

• If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \eta$, then $\xi_n \pm \eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi \pm \eta$;

We have

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

- If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \eta$, then $\xi_n \pm \eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi \pm \eta$; If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \eta$, then $\xi_n \eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi \eta$;

2.2 Convergence in probability and weak law of large numbers 4.2.1 Convergence in probability

- We have

 - If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} c$, where c is a constant, both η_n and c are not 0, then $\xi_n/\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi/c$;

We have

- If $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} c$, where c is a constant, both η_n and c are not 0, then $\xi_n/\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi/c$;

Proof. We only give a proof of (3) and (4).

Proof. We only give a proof of (3) and (4). By (2), it is sufficient to show that $\eta_n^{-1} \stackrel{P}{\to} c^{-1}$.

Proof. We only give a proof of (3) and (4). By (2), it is sufficient to show that $\eta_n^{-1} \stackrel{P}{\to} c^{-1}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta = \min\{\epsilon \frac{1}{2}c^2, \frac{1}{2}|c|\}$. If $|\eta_n - c| < \delta$, then $|\eta_n| > |c| - \delta > \frac{1}{2}|c|$, and so

$$\left| \eta_n^{-1} - c^{-1} \right| = \frac{\left| \eta_n - c \right|}{\left| \eta_n \right| \left| c \right|} < \frac{\epsilon \frac{1}{2} c^2}{\frac{1}{2} \left| c \right| \cdot \left| c \right|} = \epsilon.$$

Proof. We only give a proof of (3) and (4). By (2), it is sufficient to show that $\eta_n^{-1} \stackrel{P}{\to} c^{-1}$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $\delta = \min\{\epsilon \frac{1}{2}c^2, \frac{1}{2}|c|\}$. If $|\eta_n - c| < \delta$, then $|\eta_n| > |c| - \delta > \frac{1}{2}|c|$, and so

$$\left| \eta_n^{-1} - c^{-1} \right| = \frac{\left| \eta_n - c \right|}{\left| \eta_n \right| \left| c \right|} < \frac{\epsilon_2^{\frac{1}{2}} c^2}{\frac{1}{2} \left| c \right| \cdot \left| c \right|} = \epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$P\left(\left|\eta_n^{-1} - c^{-1}\right| \ge \epsilon\right) \le P\left(\left|\eta_n - c\right| \ge \delta\right) \to 0.$$

For (4), it suffices to show that for any bounded continuous function g(x,y) we have

$$Eg(\xi_n, \eta_n) \to Eg(\xi, c).$$
 (*)

For (4), it suffices to show that for any bounded continuous function g(x,y) we have

$$Eg(\xi_n, \eta_n) \to Eg(\xi, c).$$
 (*)

If fact, choosing $g(x,y)=e^{it(x+y)}$ and $g(x,y)=e^{itxy}$ yields

$$Ee^{it(\xi_n+\eta_n)} \to Ee^{it(\xi+c)}, Ee^{it(\xi_n\eta_n)} \to Ee^{it(c\xi)},$$

respectively, which completes the proof by the inverse limit theorem.

Now, suppose g(x,y) is a continuous function with $|g(x,y)| \leq M$, then it is uniformly continuous in any bounded area. So for any given $\epsilon > 0$ and any A>0 there exist a $\delta = \delta(A,\epsilon,g)>0$ such that $|g(\xi_n,\eta_n)-g(\xi_n,c)| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $|\eta_n-c| \leq \delta$ and $|\xi_n| \leq A$.

Then

$$|Eg(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}) - Eg(\xi, c)|$$

$$\leq |Eg(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}) - Eg(\xi_{n}, c)| + |Eg(\xi_{n}, c) - Eg(\xi, c)|$$

$$\leq E[|g(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}) - g(\xi_{n}, c)|] + |Eg(\xi_{n}, c) - Eg(\xi, c)|$$

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

$$|Eg(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}) - Eg(\xi, c)|$$

$$\leq |Eg(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}) - Eg(\xi_{n}, c)| + |Eg(\xi_{n}, c) - Eg(\xi, c)|$$

$$\leq E[|g(\xi_{n}, \eta_{n}) - g(\xi_{n}, c)|] + |Eg(\xi_{n}, c) - Eg(\xi, c)|$$

$$\leq \epsilon + 2MP(|\eta_{n} - c| > \delta)$$

$$+ |Eg(\xi_{n}, c) - Eg(\xi, c)| + 2MP(|\xi_{n}| > A).$$

The second term will converge to zero because $\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} c$. The third will also converge to zero because $\xi_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \xi$ and g(x,c) is a continuous function of x.

For the fourth term, we can choose A such that $\pm A$ is continuous points of the distribution function of ξ . Then $2MP(|\xi_n|>A)$ will converges to

$$2MP(|\xi| > A),$$

which can be smaller than the given $\epsilon>0$ if A is large enough.

Finally, by the arbitrariness of ϵ , (*) is proved.

Markov's inequality. Let ξ be a random variable defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , f(x) be a non-negatively monotonically non-decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$, then for any x > 0,

$$P(|\xi| > x) \le \frac{Ef(|\xi|)}{f(x)}.$$

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $F_n(x)$ denote the distribution function of $\xi_n - \xi$. Sufficiency: We have

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = \int_{|x| > \epsilon} dF_n(x)$$

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $F_n(x)$ denote the distribution function of $\xi_n - \xi$. Sufficiency: We have

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = \int_{|x| > \epsilon} dF_n(x)$$

$$\leq \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $F_n(x)$ denote the distribution function of $\xi_n - \xi$. Sufficiency: We have

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| > \varepsilon) = \int_{|x| > \epsilon} dF_n(x)$$

$$\leq \int_{|x| > \epsilon} \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} E \frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

That is $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \xi$.

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$
$$= \int_{|x| < \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| > \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$= \int_{|x| < \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| \ge \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2} + \int_{|x| \ge \varepsilon} dF_n(x)$$

$$E \frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$= \int_{|x| < \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| \ge \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2} + \int_{|x| \ge \varepsilon} dF_n(x)$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2} + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$= \int_{|x| < \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x) + \int_{|x| \ge \varepsilon} \frac{x^2}{1 + x^2} dF_n(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2} + \int_{|x| \ge \varepsilon} dF_n(x)$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2} + P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \varepsilon).$$

Since $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, first letting $n \to \infty$ and then letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ yield

$$E\frac{|\xi_n - \xi|^2}{1 + |\xi_n - \xi|^2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$\rho(\xi, \eta) = E \frac{|\xi - \eta|}{1 + |\xi - \eta|}.$$

$$\rho(\xi, \eta) = E \frac{|\xi - \eta|}{1 + |\xi - \eta|}.$$

Theorem

 $\rho(\cdot,\cdot)$ satisfies

- $\rho(\xi, \eta) = 0$ if and only if $P(\xi = \eta) = 1$;
- $\rho(\xi, \tau) \le \rho(\xi, \eta) + \rho(\eta, \tau)$.

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{ \xi : \xi \text{ is a random variable on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \}.$$

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

Let

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{ \xi : \xi \text{ is a random variable on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \}.$$

Theorem

• (\mathfrak{R}, ρ) is a metric space;

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{ \xi : \xi \text{ is a random variable on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \}.$$

Theorem

- (\mathfrak{R}, ρ) is a metric space;
- $\bullet \ (\mathfrak{R},\rho) = (\mathfrak{R}, \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow});$

 $\mathfrak{R} = \{ \xi : \xi \text{ is a random variable on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \}.$

Theorem

- (\mathfrak{R}, ρ) is a metric space;
- $(\mathfrak{R}, \rho) = (\mathfrak{R}, \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow});$
- (\mathfrak{R}, ρ) is complete, i.e., $\xi_n \xi_m \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$ if and only if there exists a random variable ξ such that $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$.

• Suppose $\xi_n \overset{P}{\to} \xi$, $P(|\xi_n| \le \eta) = 1$ and $E\eta < \infty$. Then

$$E\xi_n \to E\xi$$
.

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

• Suppose $\xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi$, $P(|\xi_n| \le \eta) = 1$ and $E\eta < \infty$. Then

$$E\xi_n \to E\xi$$
.

 ${\bf Proof.}$ First, we have $P(|\xi| \leq \eta) = 1.$ In fact, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\xi| > \eta + \epsilon) = P(|\xi| > \eta + \epsilon, |\xi_n - \xi| < \epsilon)$$

$$+P(|\xi| > \eta + \epsilon, |\xi_n - \xi| \ge \epsilon)$$

$$\leq P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \epsilon) \to 0,$$

which implies $P(|\xi| \le \eta) = 1$.



Now, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and M > 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_n - \xi| &\leq \epsilon + |\xi_n - \xi| I\{ |\xi_n - \xi| \geq \epsilon \} \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2\eta I\{ |\xi_n - \xi| \geq \epsilon \} \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2M I\{ |\xi_n - \xi| \geq \epsilon \} + 2\eta I\{ \eta \geq M \} \ a.s \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $\epsilon>0$ and M>0, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_n - \xi| &\leq \epsilon + |\xi_n - \xi| I\{|\xi_n - \xi| \geq \epsilon\} \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2\eta I\{|\xi_n - \xi| \geq \epsilon\} \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2MI\{|\xi_n - \xi| \geq \epsilon\} + 2\eta I\{\eta \geq M\} \ a.s \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon>0$, choose M>0 large enough such that

$$E\eta I\{\eta \ge M\} = \int_{y \ge M} y dF_{\eta}(y) < \epsilon/4.$$

Then choose N large enough such that

$$P(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \epsilon) < \epsilon/(4M), \ n \ge N.$$

Then for n > N.

4.2.1 Convergence in probability

$$|E\xi_n - E\xi| \le E|\xi_n - \xi|$$

$$\le \epsilon + 2MP(|\xi_n - \xi| \ge \epsilon) + 2E\eta I\{\eta \ge M\} < 2\epsilon.$$

4.2.2 Weak laws of large numbers

Consider the event A in random trial E. Suppose the probability of occurring A is p (0 . Now we experiment independently <math>n times—n-fold Bernoulli trial. Let

$$\xi_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & \text{A occurs at the i-th trial,} \\ 0, & \text{A does not occur at the i-th trial,} \end{array} \right.$$

$$1\leq i\leq n.$$
 Then $P(\xi_i=1)=p,$
$$P(\xi_i=0)=1-p.$$
 Let $S_n=\Sigma_{i=1}^n\xi_i.$ Then
$$\frac{S_n}{n}=F_n(A)---$$
 the frequency of $A.$

What does

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = F_n(A) \approx P(A) = p$$

mean?

What does

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = F_n(A) \approx P(A) = p$$

mean?

For any $\varepsilon>0$ we can not except that $|S_n/n-p|\leq \varepsilon$ holds for all the trials even if n is big enough.

What does

$$\frac{S_n}{n} = F_n(A) \approx P(A) = p$$

mean?

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can not except that $|S_n/n - p| \le \varepsilon$ holds for all the trials even if n is big enough.

It is nature to hope that the probability to appear $\{|S_n/n-p| \geq \varepsilon\}$ could be as smaller as possible when n is large enough.

Theorem 4 (Bernoulli) Let $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $P(\xi_n = 1) = p$, $P(\xi_n = 0) = 1 - p$, $0 . Put <math>S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$. Then we have

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} p,$$

i.e., for any $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$, there is a $N=N(\epsilon,\delta)$ such that

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - p\right| \ge \epsilon\right) < \delta, \quad \text{for all } n \ge N.$$

4.2.2 Weak laws of large numbers

Theorem 5(Chebyshev) Let $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent (or pairwise correlated) random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with $E\xi_n = \mu_n$ and $Var\xi_n = \sigma_n^2$. If $\Sigma_{k=1}^n \sigma_k^2/n^2 \longrightarrow 0$, then $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ obeys the weak law of large numbers, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi_k - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mu_k \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

4.2.2 Weak laws of large numbers

Using the Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$P(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\xi_{k}-\mu_{k})\right| \geq \varepsilon)$$

$$\leq P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi_{k}-E\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi_{k}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi_{k}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}n^{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sigma_{k}^{2} \longrightarrow 0 \; ; \; \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is complete.

Example 8. Suppose that $\xi_k \sim \begin{pmatrix} k^s & -k^s \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$, where s < 1/2 is a constant, and $\{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ is indept.. Prove that $\{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ obeys the weak LLN. Proof.

Example 8. Suppose that $\xi_k \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc} k^s & -k^s \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{array} \right)$,

where s<1/2 is a constant, and $\{\xi_k,k\geq 1\}$ is indept.. Prove that $\{\xi_k,k\geq 1\}$ obeys the weak LLN.

Proof.We have $E\xi_k = 0$, $Var\xi_k = k^{2s}$. When s < 1/2,

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n Var \xi_k = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2s} < \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n n^{2s} = n^{2s-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In addition, $\{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ is also independent,

Example 8. Suppose that $\xi_k \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc} k^s & -k^s \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{array} \right)$,

where s<1/2 is a constant, and $\{\xi_k,k\geq 1\}$ is indept.. Prove that $\{\xi_k,k\geq 1\}$ obeys the weak LLN.

Proof.We have $E\xi_k = 0$, $Var\xi_k = k^{2s}$. When s < 1/2,

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n Var \xi_k = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{2s} < \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n n^{2s} = n^{2s-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

In addition, $\{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ is also independent, so $\{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ obeys the Chebyshev LLN, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\xi_{k}\stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow}0.$$

Theorem 6 (Khinchine) Let $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables defined (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with $E|\xi_1| < \infty$. Let $E\xi_1 = \mu$, $S_n = \Sigma_{k=1}^n \xi_k$. Then $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ obeys the weak LLN, i.e.,

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let f(t) and $f_n(t)$ be the c.f.s of ξ_1 and S_n/n respectively.

Proof. Let f(t) and $f_n(t)$ be the c.f.s of ξ_1 and S_n/n respectively. Since $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d., we have $f_n(t) = (f(t/n))^n$. Moreover, from the Taylor expansion formula, we have

$$f(t) = 1 + i\mu t + o(t)$$
 as $t \longrightarrow 0$,

since $E\xi_1 = \mu$.

Proof. Let f(t) and $f_n(t)$ be the c.f.s of ξ_1 and S_n/n respectively. Since $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d., we have $f_n(t) = (f(t/n))^n$. Moreover, from the Taylor expansion formula, we have

$$f(t) = 1 + i\mu t + o(t)$$
 as $t \longrightarrow 0$,

since $E\xi_1 = \mu$. For every $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$f(t/n) = 1 + i\frac{\mu t}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

$$f_n(t) = (1 + i\frac{\mu t}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}))^n \to e^{i\mu t}.$$

Proof. Let f(t) and $f_n(t)$ be the c.f.s of ξ_1 and S_n/n respectively. Since $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d., we have $f_n(t) = (f(t/n))^n$. Moreover, from the Taylor expansion formula, we have

$$f(t) = 1 + i\mu t + o(t)$$
 as $t \longrightarrow 0$,

since $E\xi_1 = \mu$. For every $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$f(t/n) = 1 + i \frac{\mu t}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

$$f_n(t) = (1 + i\frac{\mu t}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}))^n \to e^{i\mu t}.$$

By the inverse limit theorem in we know that $S_n/n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mu$. So, we have $S_n/n \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$. The proof is complete.

Proof (2): For
$$M > 0$$
, let $\eta_k = \xi_k I\{|\xi_k| \le M\}$, $\zeta_k = \xi_k I\{|\xi_k| > M\}$. Then
$$P\left(\frac{|\sum_{k=1}^n (\eta_k - E\eta_k)|}{n} \ge \epsilon/2\right)$$
 $\le \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n Var\left(\eta_k\right)$ $\le \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{4}{\epsilon^2 n^2} E[\xi_k^2 I\{|\xi_k| \le M\}] \le \frac{4M^2}{\epsilon^2 n};$

$$P\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\zeta_{k}-E\zeta_{k})\right|}{n} \ge \epsilon/2\right) \le \frac{2}{\epsilon n}E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\zeta_{k}-E\zeta_{k})\right|$$

$$\le \frac{2}{\epsilon n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}E|\zeta_{k}-E\zeta_{k}| \le 2\frac{2}{\epsilon n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}E|\zeta_{k}| \le \frac{4}{\epsilon}E[|\xi_{1}|I\{|\xi_{1}|>M\}].$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} &P\left(\frac{|\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\xi_k-E\xi_k)|}{n}\geq\epsilon\right)\\ \leq &\frac{4M^2}{\epsilon^2n}+\frac{4}{\epsilon}E[|\xi_1|I\{|\xi_1|>M\}]\\ &\to 0\quad\text{as }n\to\infty, \text{ and then }M\to\infty. \end{split}$$

Corollary Let $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of pairwise independent and identically distributed random variables defined (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with $E|\xi_1| < \infty$. Let $E\xi_1 = \mu$, $S_n = \Sigma_{k=1}^n \xi_k$. Then $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ obeys the weak LLN, i.e.,

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Definition In general, suppose $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . If there exist constant sequences $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k - b_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Then $\{\xi_n\}$ will be said to obey the weak law of large numbers, in short $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ obeys LLN.

The applications of LLN

Example

Let $\{\xi_k, k \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $E\xi_k = \mu$ and $Var\xi_k = \sigma^2$. Let

$$\bar{\xi}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k, \quad \hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k - \bar{\xi}_n)^2.$$

Prove that $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$ and find the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n} \frac{\overline{\xi}_n - \mu}{\widehat{\sigma}_n}$.

The applications of LLN

$$\widehat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k - \bar{\xi}_n)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n ((\xi_k - \mu) - (\bar{\xi}_n - \mu))^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k - \mu)^2 - (\bar{\xi}_n - \mu)^2.$$

By the Khinchine weak LLN, we have $\bar{\xi}_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \mu$. Thus $\bar{\xi}_n - \mu \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

Moreover, since $\{(\xi_k - \mu)^2, k \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d. and $E(\xi_k - \mu)^2 = Var\xi_k = \sigma^2$, $\{(\xi_k - \mu)^2, k \geq 1\}$ also obeys the Khinchine weak LLN, i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k - \mu)^2 / n \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^2$. Hence $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2 \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^2$.

Moreover, since $\{(\xi_k - \mu)^2, k \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d. and $E(\xi_k - \mu)^2 = Var\xi_k = \sigma^2$, $\{(\xi_k - \mu)^2, k \geq 1\}$ also obeys the Khinchine weak LLN, i.e. $\sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k - \mu)^2 / n \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^2$. Hence $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2 \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^2$.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\xi_k - \mu)^2 / n \xrightarrow{1} \sigma^2$$
. Hence $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2 \xrightarrow{1} \sigma^2$. By the Lindeberg-Lévy central limit theorem,

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{\xi}_n - \mu}{\sigma} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k - \mu)}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Hence

$$\sqrt{n}\frac{\bar{\xi}_n - \mu}{\widehat{\sigma}_n} = \frac{\sigma}{\widehat{\sigma}_n} \cdot \sqrt{n}\frac{\bar{\xi}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Example Prove that for any q > p > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^q + \cdots + x_n^q}{x_1^p + \cdots + x_n^p} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \frac{p+1}{q+1}.$$

Example Prove that for any q > p > 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^q + \cdots + x_n^q}{x_1^p + \cdots + x_n^p} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \frac{p+1}{q+1}.$$

Proof. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ i.i.d. $\sim U(0,1)$, and let

$$\eta_n = \frac{\xi_1^q + \dots + \xi_n^q}{\xi_1^p + \dots + \xi_n^p}.$$

Then $0 \le \eta_n \le 1$ and

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^q + \cdots + x_n^q}{x_1^p + \cdots + x_n^p} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = E\eta_n.$$

On the other hand, by WLLN,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k^q \stackrel{P}{\to} E \xi_1^q = \frac{1}{q+1}$$
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_k^p \stackrel{P}{\to} E \xi_1^p = \frac{1}{p+1}.$$

So,

$$\eta_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \frac{E\xi_1^q}{E\xi_1^p} = \frac{p+1}{q+1}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x_{1}^{q} + \cdots + x_{n}^{q}}{x_{1}^{p} + \cdots + x_{n}^{p}} dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n} = E\eta_{n} \to \frac{p+1}{q+1}.$$

Convergence in mean of order r:

Definition 3 Let r>0, ξ and $\{\xi_n, n\geq 1\}$ be random variables defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with $E|\xi|^r<\infty$ and $E|\xi_n|^r<\infty$. If

$$E|\xi_n - \xi|^r \longrightarrow 0,$$

then we say that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ converges in mean of order r to ξ , denoted by $\xi_n \stackrel{L_r}{\to} \xi$.

Convergence in mean of order r:

Definition 3 Let r>0, ξ and $\{\xi_n, n\geq 1\}$ be random variables defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with $E|\xi|^r<\infty$ and $E|\xi_n|^r<\infty$. If

$$E|\xi_n - \xi|^r \longrightarrow 0,$$

then we say that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ converges in mean of order r to ξ , denoted by $\xi_n \stackrel{L_r}{\to} \xi$.

$$\xi_n \stackrel{L_r}{\to} \xi \implies \xi_n \stackrel{P}{\to} \xi.$$

Convergence in mean of order r:

Definition 3 Let r>0, ξ and $\{\xi_n, n\geq 1\}$ be random variables defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with $E|\xi|^r<\infty$ and $E|\xi_n|^r<\infty$. If

$$E|\xi_n - \xi|^r \longrightarrow 0,$$

then we say that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ converges in mean of order r to ξ , denoted by $\xi_n \stackrel{L_r}{\to} \xi$.

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow{L_r} \xi \implies \xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi.$$

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow{L_r} \xi \not= \xi_n \xrightarrow{P} \xi.$$

Example 5. Define ξ_n by

$$\begin{split} &P(\xi_n = n) = 1/\log(n+3),\\ &P(\xi_n = 0) = 1 - 1/\log(n+3),\ n = 1, 2, \cdots. \text{ It is easy to know } \xi_n \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} 0, \text{ but for any } 0 < r < \infty, \end{split}$$

$$E|\xi_n|^r = \frac{n^r}{\log(n+3)} \longrightarrow \infty.$$

That is, $\xi_n \xrightarrow{L_r} 0$ does not hold true.