1.B

- • Suppose S is a nonempty set. Let V^S denote the set of functions from S to V.
 - Define a natural add and scalar multi on V^S , and show that V^S is a vecsp with these defs.

SOLUTION:

- Add on V^S is defined by (f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) for any $x \in S$ and $f, g \in V^S$.
- Scalar Multi on V^S is defined by $(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda f(x)$.

1•Prove that -(-v) = v for every $v \in V$.

SOLUTION:

$$(-(-v)) + (-v) = 0$$

$$v + (-v) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ By the uniques of add inv. } \square$$

Or.
$$-(-v) = (-1)((-1)v) = ((-1)(-1))v = 1 \cdot v = v$$
.

2 •Suppose $a \in \mathbb{F}$, $v \in V$, and av = 0. Prove that a = 0 or v = 0.

SOLUTION: •If a = 0, then we are done.

•Otherwise,
$$\exists \ a^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$$
, $a^{-1}a = 1$, hence $v = 1 \cdot v = (a^{-1}a)v = a^{-1}(av) = a^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0$.

3 •Suppose $v, w \in V$. Explain why there exists a unique $x \in V$ such that v + 3x = w.

SOLUTION:

[Existns] •Let
$$x = \frac{1}{3}(w - v)$$
.

[*Uniques*] •Suppose $v + 3x_1 = w$,(I) $v + 3x_2 = w$ (II).

•Then (I)
$$-$$
 (II) $: 3(x_1 - x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{By Problem (2)}, x_1 - x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2.$

5 •Show that in the definition of a vector space, the add inv condition can be replaced.

SOLUTION: Using [1.31].
$$0v = 0$$
 for all $v \in V \iff (1 + (-1))v = 1 \cdot v + (-1)v = v + (-v) = 0$.

- **6** •Let ∞ and $-\infty$ denote two distinct objects, neither of which is in R.
 - •Define an add and scalar multi on $R \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$ as you could guess.
 - The operations of real numbers is as usual. While for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$t\infty = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } t < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases} \qquad t(-\infty) = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

and (I) $t + \infty = \infty + t = \infty + \infty = \infty$,

(II)
$$t + (-\infty) = (-\infty) + t = (-\infty) + (-\infty) = -\infty$$
,

(III)
$$\infty + (-\infty) = (-\infty) + \infty = 0.$$

With these operations of add and scalar multi, is $R \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$ a vecsp over R? Explain.

SOLUTION:

No. By Associ:
$$(a + \infty) + (-\infty) \neq a + (\infty + (-\infty))$$
.

OR. By Distributive properties:
$$\infty = (2 + (-1))\infty \neq 2\infty + (-\infty) = \infty + (-\infty) = 0$$
.

1.C

- **7** •Prove or give a counterexample: If $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and U is closed under
 - •taking add invs and under add, then U is a subsp of \mathbb{R}^2 .

SOLUTION: Let $U = \mathbb{Z}^2$, $(\mathbb{Z}^*)^2$, $(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$, $\mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, or $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

- **8** •Give an example of $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ such that U is closed under scalar multi,
 - •but U is not a subsp of \mathbb{R}^2 .

SOLUTION: Let $U = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x = 0 \lor y = 0\}$. Or. Let $U = \{(x,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2\} \cup \{(0,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$.

- **9** A function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called periodic if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$
 - •such that f(x) = f(x + p) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - •Is the set of periodic functions from R to R a subsp of R^R ? Explain.

SOLUTION: Denote the set by S.

Suppose $h(x) = \sin \sqrt{2}x + \cos x \in S$, since $\sin \sqrt{2}x$, $\cos x \in S$.

Assume $\exists p \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $h(x) = h(x+p), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x = 0 \Rightarrow h(0) = h(\pm p) = 1$.

Thus $1 = \cos p + \sin \sqrt{2}p = \cos p - \sin \sqrt{2}p$

$$\Rightarrow \sin \sqrt{2}p = 0, \cos p = 1 \Rightarrow p = 2k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ while } p = \frac{m\pi}{\sqrt{2}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence
$$2k = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{2k} \in \mathbb{Q}$$
. Contradiction!

11 • Prove that the intersection of every collection of subsps of V is a subsp of V.

SOLUTION:

Suppose $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ is a collection of subsps of V; here Γ is an arbitrary index set.

We need to prove that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_{\alpha}$, which equals the set of vectors that are in U_{α} for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, is a subsp of V.

- (-) $0 \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_{\alpha}$. Nonempty.
- $(\underline{\hspace{0.1cm}})\ u,v\in\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Gamma}U_{\alpha}\Rightarrow u+v\in U_{\alpha},\ \forall \alpha\in\Gamma\Rightarrow u+v\in\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Gamma}U_{\alpha}.$ Closed under add.
- $(\equiv) \ u \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_{\alpha}, \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow \lambda u \in U_{\alpha}, \ \forall \alpha \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \lambda u \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_{\alpha}.$ Closed under scalar multi.

Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_{\alpha}$ is nonempty subset of V that is closed under add and scalar multi.

Hence $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_{\alpha}$ is a subsp of V.

- **12** •Prove that the union of two subsps of V is a subsp of V
 - •if and only if one of the subsps is contained in the other.

SOLUTION: Suppose U and W are subsps of V.

- (a) Suppose $U \subseteq W$. Then $U \cup W = W$ is a subsp of V.
- (b) Suppose $U \cup W$ is a subsp of V. Suppose $U \nsubseteq W$ and $U \not\supseteq W$ ($U \cup W \neq U$ and W).

Then $\forall a \in U$ but $a \notin W$; $b \in W$ but $b \notin U$. $a + b \in U \cup W$.

Consider
$$a + b \in U \Rightarrow b = (a + b) + (-a) \in U$$
, contradicts!
Consider $a + b \in W \Rightarrow a = (a + b) + (-b) \in W$, contradicts! $\Rightarrow U \cup W = U$ or W . Contradicts!

Thus $U \subseteq W$ and $U \supseteq W$.

- **13** •• Prove that the union of three subsps of V is a subsp of V
 - ••if and only if one of the subsps contains the other two.
 - ullet This exercise is not true if we replace ${f F}$ with a field containing only two elements.

SOLUTION: Suppose U_1, U_2, U_3 are subsps of V. Denote $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ by \mathcal{U} .

- (a) •Suppose that one of the subsps contains the other two.
 - •Then $\mathcal{U} = U_1, U_2$ or U_3 is a subsp of V.
- (b) Suppose that $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ is a subsp of V.

By distinct we notice that $A \cup B \cup C = (A \cup B) \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup C) \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup (A \cup C)$.

Also note that, if $U \cup W = V$ is a vecsp, then in general U and W are not subsps of V.

Hence this literal trick is invalid.

- (I) •ss
- (I) •If any U_i is contained in the union of the other two, say $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \cup U_3$, then $\mathcal{U} = U_2 \cup U_3$.
 - •By applying Problem (12) we conclude that one U_i contains the other two. Thus we are done.
- (II) •Assume that no U_j is contained in the union of the other two, and no U_j contains the union of the other two.
 - •Say $U_1 \not\subseteq U_2 \cup U_3$ and $U_1 \not\supseteq U_2 \cup U_3$.

 $\exists u \in U_1 \land u \notin U_2 \cup U_3; \ v \in U_2 \cup U_3 \land v \notin U_1. \text{ Let } W = \{v + \lambda u : \lambda \in \mathbf{F}\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}.$

Note that $W \cap U_1 = \emptyset$, for if $v + \lambda u \in U_1$ then $v + \lambda u - \lambda u = v \in U_1$ while $v \notin U_1$.

 $\not \subseteq W \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$. Thus $W \subseteq U_2 \cup U_3$.

 $\forall v + \lambda u \in W, \exists i \in \{2,3\}, v + \lambda u \in U_i.$

Because U_2 , U_3 are subsps and hence have at least one element.

If $U_2 = U_3$, then $\mathcal{U} = U_1 \cup U_2$ and by Problem (12) we are done.

Otherwise, $\exists \lambda, \mu \in F$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$ such that $v + \lambda u, v + \mu u \in U_i$ for some $i \in \{2, 3\}$.

Then $u \in U_i$ while $u \notin U_2 \cup U_3$. Contradicts.

15 • Suppose U is a subsp of V. What is U + U?

16 •Suppose U and W are subsps of V. Prove that U + W = W + U?

Solution:
$$\forall x \in U, y \in W$$
, $\begin{cases} x + y = y + x \in W + U \Rightarrow U + W \subseteq W + U \\ y + x = x + y \in U + W \Rightarrow W + U \subseteq U + W \end{cases} \Rightarrow U + W = W + U.$

17 •Suppose V_1, V_2, V_3 are subsps of V. Prove that $(V_1 + V_2) + V_3 = V_1 + (V_2 + V_3)$.

SOLUTION:

Let
$$x \in V_1, y \in V_2, z \in V_3$$
. Denote $(V_1 + V_2) + V_3$ by $L, V_1 + (V_2 + V_3)$ by R . $\forall u \in L, \exists x, y, z, u = (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) \in R \Rightarrow L \subseteq R$ $\forall u \in R, \exists x, y, z, u = x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z \in L \Rightarrow R \subseteq L$ $\Rightarrow L = R$.

- **18** Does the operation of add on the subsps of V have an additive identity?
 - •Which subsps have add invs?

SOLUTION: Suppose Ω is the additive identity.

For any subsp U of V. $\Omega \subseteq U + \Omega = U \Rightarrow \Omega \subseteq U$. Let $U = \{0\}$, then $\Omega = \{0\}$.

Now suppose *W* is an add inv of $U \Rightarrow U + W = \Omega$.

Note that $U + W \supseteq U, W \Rightarrow \Omega \supseteq U, W$. Thus $U = W = \Omega = \{0\}$.

EXAMPLE: • Suppose $U = \{(x, x, y, y) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}, W = \{(x, x, x, y) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}.$ • Prove that $U + W = \{(x, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}\}.$ Solution: Let T denote $\{(x, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}\}.$ (a) By def, $U + W = \{(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_2, y_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) \in \mathbb{F}^4 : (x_1, x_1, y_1, y_1) \in U, (x_2, x_2, x_2, y_2) \in W\}.$ $\Rightarrow \forall v \in U + W, \ \exists \ t \in T, \ v = t \Rightarrow U + W \subseteq T.$ (b) $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$, let $u = (0, 0, y - x, y - x) \in U, \ w = (x, x, x, -y + x + z) \in W$ $\Rightarrow (x, x, y, z) = u + w \in U + W. \text{ Hence } \forall t \in T, \ \exists \ u \in U, w \in W, \ t = u + w \Rightarrow T \subseteq U + W.$

21 •Suppose $U = \{(x, y, x + y, x - y, 2x) \in \mathbf{F}^5 : x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}.$

•Find a subsp W of \mathbf{F}^5 such that $\mathbf{F}^5 = U \oplus W$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Let $W = \{(0,0,z,w,u) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : z,w,u \in \mathbb{F}\}$. Then $W \cap U = \{0\}$.
- (b) $\forall x, y, z, w, u \in \mathbb{F}$, let $u = (x, y, x + y, x y, 2x) \in U, w = (0, 0, z x y, w x y, u 2x) \in W$ $\Rightarrow (x, y, z, w, u) = u + w \Rightarrow \mathbb{F}^5 \subseteq U + W.$
- **22** •Suppose $U = \{(x, y, x + y, x y, 2x) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}.$
 - Find three subsps W_1 , W_2 , W_3 of \mathbf{F}^5 , none of which equals $\{0\}$,
 - •such that $\mathbf{F}^5 = U \oplus W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$.

SOLUTION:

- (1) Let $W_1 = \{(0,0,z,0,0) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : z \in \mathbb{F}\}$. Then $W_1 \cap U = \{0\}$. Let $U_1 = U \oplus W_1$. Then $U_1 = \{(x,y,z,x-y,2x) \in \mathbb{F}^5 : x,y,z \in \mathbb{F}\}$. (Check it!)
- (2) Let $W_2 = \{(0,0,0,w,0) \in \mathbf{F}^5 : w \in \mathbf{F}\}$. Then $W_2 \cap U_1 = \{0\}$. Let $U_2 = U_1 \oplus W_2$. Then $U_2 = \{(x,y,z,w,2x) \in \mathbf{F}^5 : x,y,z,w \in \mathbf{F}\}$.
- (3) Let $W_3 = \{(0,0,0,0,u) \in \mathbf{F}^5 : u \in \mathbf{F}\}$. Then $W_3 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$. Let $U_3 = U_2 \oplus W_3$. Then $U_3 = \{(x,y,z,w,u) \in \mathbf{F}^5 : x,y,z,w,u \in \mathbf{F}\}$.

Thus $\mathbf{F}^5 = ((U \oplus W_1) \oplus W_2) \oplus W_3$.

23 •Prove or give a counterexample: If V_1 , V_2 , U are subsps of V such that

 $\bullet V = V_1 \oplus U$ and $V = V_2 \oplus U$, then $V_1 = V_2$.

SOLUTION: A counterexample:

$$V = \mathbf{F}^2, \, U = \{(x,x) \in \mathbf{F}^2 : x \in \mathbf{F}\}, \, V_1 = \{(x,0) \in \mathbf{F}^2 : x \in \mathbf{F}\}, \, V_2 = \{(0,x) \in \mathbf{F}^2 : x \in \mathbf{F}\}.$$

- **24** •Let V_E denote the set of real-valued even functions on R
 - •and let V_O denote the set of real-valued odd functions on R. Show that $R^R = V_E \oplus V_O$.

SOLUTION:

(a) $V_E \cap V_O = \{f : f(x) = f(-x) = -f(-x)\} = \{0\}.$

$$\begin{array}{c} f_e \in V_E \Leftrightarrow f_e(x) = f_e(-x) \Leftarrow \operatorname{let} f_e(x) = \frac{g(x) + g(-x)}{2} \\ f_o \in V_O \Leftrightarrow f_o(x) = -f_o(-x) \Leftarrow \operatorname{let} f_o(x) = \frac{g(x) - g(-x)}{2} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \forall g \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{R}}, g(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x). \quad \Box$$

2·A

- **2** (a) A list (v) of length 1 in V is linely inde $\iff v \neq 0$.
 - (b) $\bullet A$ list (v, w) of length 2 in V is linely inde $\iff \forall \lambda, \mu \in F, v \neq \lambda w, w \neq \mu v$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Suppose $v \neq 0$. Then let av = 0, $a \in F$. Now a = 0. Thus (v) is linely inde. Suppose (v) is linely inde. $av = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$. Then $v \neq 0$, for if not, $a \neq 0$ while av = 0. Contradicts.
- (b) Denote the list by (v, w), where $v, w \in V$. If (v, w) is linely inde, let $av + bw = 0 \Rightarrow a = b = 0$. If, say $v \neq cw \ \forall c \in F$. Then let av + bw = 0, getting $a = b = 0 \Rightarrow (v, w)$ is linely inde.
- **1** •Prove that if (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) spans V, then the list $(v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, v_3 v_4, v_4)$ also spans V. Solution: Assume that $\forall v \in V$, $\exists a_1, ..., a_4 \in F$,

$$\begin{split} v &= a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4 \\ &= b_1(v_1 - v_2) + b_2(v_2 - v_3) + b_3(v_3 - v_4) + b_4v_4 \\ &= b_1v_1 + (b_2 - b_1)v_2 + (b_3 - b_2)v_3 + (b_4 - b_3)v_4, \text{ letting } b_i = \sum_{r=1}^i a_r. \end{split}$$
 Thus $\forall v \in V, \ \exists \ b_i \in \mathbf{F}, \ v = b_1(v_1 - v_2) + b_2(v_2 - v_3) + b_3(v_3 - v_4) + b_4v_4. \end{split}$

Hence the list $(v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$ also spans V.

- **6** Suppose (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) is linearly independent in V.
 - •Prove that the list $(v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, v_3 v_4, v_4)$ is also linearly independent.

Solution:
$$a_1(v_1 - v_2) + a_2(v_2 - v_3) + a_3(v_3 - v_4) + a_4v_4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1v_1 + (a_2 - a_1)v_2 + (a_3 - a_2)v_3 + (a_4 - a_3)v_4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 - a_1 = a_3 - a_2 = a_4 - a_3 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_4 = 0.$$

- **7** •Prove that if $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_m)$ is a linely independent list of vectors in V,
 - •then $(5v_1 4v_2, v_2, v_3, ..., v_m)$ is linely indep.

SOLUTION:
$$a_1(5v_1 - 4v_2) + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4 = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow 5a_1v_1 + (a_2 - 4a_1)v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4 = 0$
 $\Rightarrow 5a_1 = a_2 - 4a_1 = a_3 = a_4 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_4 = 0$

- • Suppose (v_1, \ldots, v_m) is a list of vectors in V. For $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $w_k = v_1 + \cdots + v_k$.
 - (a) Show that $span(v_1, ..., v_m) = span(w_1, ..., w_m)$.
 - (b) Show that $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde $\iff (w_1, ..., w_m)$ is linely inde.

SOLUTION:

(a) Let
$$\operatorname{span}(v_1,\dots,v_m)=U$$
. Assume that $\forall v\in U,\ \exists\ a_i\in \mathbf{F},$
$$v=a_1v_1+\dots+a_mv_m=b_1w_1+\dots+b_mw_m=\sum_{j=1}^m(\sum_{i=j}^mb_i)v_j$$

$$\Rightarrow b_1=a_1,\ b_i=a_i-\sum_{r=1}^{i-1}b_r. \text{ Thus }\ \exists\ b_i\in \mathbf{F} \text{ such that } v=b_1w_1+\dots+b_mw_m.$$
 $\not\boxtimes \operatorname{Each} w_i\in U\Rightarrow \operatorname{span}(v_1,\dots,v_m)=\operatorname{span}(w_1,\dots,w_m).$

(b)
$$a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_m w_m = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow (a_1 + \dots + a_m) v_1 + \dots + (a_i + \dots + a_m) v_i + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$

10 • Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde in V and $w \in V$.

- (a) Prove that if $(v_1 + w, ..., v_m + w)$ is linely depe, then $w \in \text{span}(v_1, ..., v_m)$.
- (b) Show that $(v_1, ..., v_m, w)$ is linely inde $\iff w \notin \text{span}(v_1, ..., v_m)$.

SOLUTION:

(a) •Suppose $a_1(v_1+w)+\cdots+a_m(v_m+w)=0$, $\exists a_i\neq 0 \Rightarrow a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m=0=-(a_1+\cdots+a_m)w$. •Then $a_1+\cdots+a_m\neq 0$, for if not, $a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m=0$ while $a_i\neq 0$ for some i, contradicts. Hence $w\in \operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,v_m)$.

(b) Suppose $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Then (v_1, \dots, v_m, w) is linely depe. Thus have we proven the " \Rightarrow " by its contrapositive.

Suppose $w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Then by [2.23], (v_1, \dots, v_m, w) is linely inde.

14 •Prove that V is infinite-dim if and only if there is a sequence $(v_1, v_2, ...)$ in V •such that $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

SOLUTION: Similar to [2.16].

Suppose there is a sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots) in V such that (v_1, \dots, v_m) is linely inde for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Choose an m. Suppose a linely inde list (v_1, \dots, v_m) spans V.

Then there exists $v_{m+1} \in V$ but $v_{m+1} \notin \text{span } (v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Hence no list spans V. Thus V is infinite-dim.

Conversely it is true as well. For if not, *V* must be finite-dim, contradicting the assumption.

15 •*Prove that* \mathbf{F}^{∞} *is infinite-dim.*

SOLUTION: Let $e_i = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty}$ for every $m \in \mathbf{N}^+$, where '1' is on the ith entry of e_i . Suppose \mathbf{F}^{∞} is finite-dim. Then let $\mathrm{span}(e_1, ..., e_m) = V$. But $e_{m+1} \notin \mathrm{span}(e_1, ..., e_m)$. Contradicts. \square

16 •*Prove that the real vector space of all continuous real-valued functions* •*on the interval* [0, 1] *is infinite-dim.*

SOLUTION: Denote the vecsp by U. Note that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $(1, x, ..., x^m)$ is linely inde.

Because if $a_0, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m = 0$, $\forall x \in [0, 1]$, then the poly has infinitely many roots and hence $a_0 = \dots = a_m = 0$.

OR. Note that for $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Suppose
$$f_n = \begin{cases} x - \frac{1}{n}, & x \in \left[\frac{1}{n}, 1\right) \\ 0, & x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \end{cases}$$
. Then for any $m, f_1(\frac{1}{m}) = \cdots = f_m(\frac{1}{m})$, while $f_{m+1}(\frac{1}{m}) \neq 0$.

Hence $f_{m+1} \notin \text{span}(f_1, ..., f_m)$. Thus by Problem (14), U is infinite-dim.

17 •Suppose $p_0, p_1, ..., p_m ∈ \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ such that $p_k(2) = 0$ for each $k ∈ \{0, ..., m\}$. •Prove that $(p_0, p_1, ..., p_m)$ is not linely inde in $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$.

SOLUTION:

Suppose $(p_0, p_1, ..., p_m)$ is linely inde. Define $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ by $p(z) = z \ \forall z \in \mathbf{F}$.

But $\forall a_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $z \neq a_0 p_0(z) + \dots + a_m p_m(z)$, for if not, let z = 2, contradicts. Thus $z \notin \text{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m)$.

Then $\operatorname{span}(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_m)$ $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ while the list (p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_m) has length m+1.

Hence (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m) is linely depe in $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$.

For if not, notice that the list $(1, z, ..., z^m)$ spans $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$,

ENDED

2.B

• **Note For** *linely inde sequence and* [2.34]:

" $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots)$ " is an invalid expression.

If we allow using "infinite list", then we must guarantee that $(v_1, ..., v_n, ...)$ is a spanning "list" such that for all $v \in V$, there exists a smallest positive integer n such that $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$, The key point is, how can we guarantee that such a "list" exists?

• Note For " $C_V U \cap \{0\}$ ": " $C_V U \cap \{0\}$ " is supposed to be a subsp "W" such that $V = U \oplus W$.

But if we let $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$ and $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$, then $\begin{cases} w \in \mathbf{C}_V U \cap \{0\} \\ u \pm w \in \mathbf{C}_V U \cap \{0\} \end{cases} \} \Rightarrow u \in \mathbf{C}_V U \cap \{0\}.$ Contradicts.

To fix this, denote the set $\{W_1, W_2 \dots\}$ by $\mathcal{S}_V U$, where for each $W_i, V = U \oplus W_i$. See also in (1.C.23).

1 • Find all vector spaces that have exactly one basis.

Solution: $F = C, R, Q, \{0,1\}, \mathcal{P}_0(F)$.

6 •Suppose (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) is a basis of V. Prove that $(v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 + v_4, v_4)$ is also a basis.

SOLUTION: $\forall v \in V$, $\exists ! a_1, \dots, a_4 \in F$, $v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4$.

Assume that $v = b_1(v_1 + v_2) + b_2(v_2 + v_3) + b_3(v_3 + v_4) + b_4v_4$. Then $v = b_1v_1 + (b_1 + b_2)v_2 + (b_2 + b_3)v_3 + (b_3 + b_4)v_4$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists ! b_1 = a_1, b_2 = a_2 - b_1, b_3 = a_3 - b_2, b_4 = a_4 - b_3 \in \mathbf{F}.$$

7 •Prove or give a counterexample: If v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is a basis of V and U is a subsp of V

•such that $v_1, v_2 \in U$ and $v_3 \notin U$ and $v_4 \in U$, then v_1, v_2 is a basis of U.

SOLUTION: Let $V = \mathbb{F}^4$, $v_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $v_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$, $v_3 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$, $v_4 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$.

And $U = \{(x, y, z, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{F} \}$. We have a counterexample.

- • Suppose V is finite-dim and U, W are subsps of V such that V = U + W.
 - •Prove that there exists a basis of V consisting of vectors in $U \cup W$.

SOLUTION: Let $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ and $(w_1, ..., w_n)$ be bases of U and W respectively.

Then $V = \text{span}(u_1, ..., u_m) + \text{span}(w_1, ..., w_n) = \text{span}(u_1, ..., u_m, w_1, ..., w_n).$

Hence, by [2.31], we get a basis of V consisting of vectors in U or W.

- **8** •Suppose U and W are subsps of V such that $V = U \oplus W$. Suppose also that
 - $\bullet(u_1,\ldots,u_m)$ is a basis of U and (w_1,\ldots,w_n) is a basis of W.
 - •Prove that $(u_1, ..., u_m, w_1, ..., w_n)$ is a basis of V.

 $\textbf{Solution:} \ \forall v \in V, \ \exists \,!\, a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{F}, v = (a_1u_1 + \dots + a_mu_m) + (b_1w_1 + \dots + b_nw_n)$

 $\Rightarrow (a_1u_1+\cdots+a_mu_m)=-(b_1w_1+\cdots+b_nw_n)\in U\cap W=\{0\}. \text{ Thus } a_1=\cdots=a_m=b_1=\cdots=b_n. \quad \Box$

- •OR (9.4) Suppose V is a real vector space.
 - •Show that if $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is a basis of V (as a real vector space),
 - •then $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is also a basis of the complexification V_C (as a complex vector space).

• See Section 1B (4e) for the definition of the complexification $V_{\rm C}$. **SOLUTION**: $\forall u + iv \in V_C$, $\exists ! u, v \in V, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $u + iv = (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) + i(b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n) = (a_1 + b_1i)v_1 + \dots + (a_n + b_ni)v_n$ $\Rightarrow u + iv = c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n, \exists ! c_i = a_i + b_i i \in \mathbb{C}$ \Rightarrow By the uniques of c_i and [2.29], $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is a basis of V_C . **ENDED** 2·C **1**•Suppose V is finite-dim and U is a subsp of V such that dim $V = \dim U$. Then by [2.39], (u_1, \dots, u_m) is a basis of V. Thus V = U. **2** •Show that the subsps of \mathbb{R}^2 are precisely $\{0\}$, all lines in \mathbb{R}^2 •containing the origin, and R^2 . **SOLUTION**: Suppose *U* is a subsp of \mathbb{R}^2 . Let dim U = n. If n = 0, then $U = \{0\}$. If n = 1, then $U = \text{span}(v) = {\lambda v : \lambda \in \mathbf{F}}$, for all linely inde $v \in \mathbf{R}^2$. If n = 2, then $U \mathbb{R}^2$. **3** •Show that the subsps of \mathbb{R}^3 are precisely $\{0\}$, all lines in \mathbb{R}^3 •containing the origin, all planes in \mathbb{R}^3 containing the origin, and \mathbb{R}^3 . **SOLUTION:** Suppose *U* is a subsp of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let dim U = n. If n = 0, then $U = \{0\}$. If n = 1, then $U = \text{span}(v) = {\lambda v : \lambda \in \mathbf{F}}$, for all linely inde $v \in \mathbf{R}^3$. If n = 2, then $U = \text{span}(v, w) = \{\lambda v + \mu w : \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}\}$, for all linely inde $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^3$. If n = 3, then $U = \mathbb{R}^3$. 7 (a) •Let $U = \{ p \in \mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F}) : p(2) = p(5) = p(6) \}$. Find a basis of U. (b) • Extend the basis in (a) to a basis of $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$. (c) • Find a subsp W of $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$ such that $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F}) = U \oplus W$. **SOLUTION:** Suppose $p(z) = az^4 + bz^3 + cz^2 + dz + e$ and p(2) = p(5) = p(6). p(2) = 16a + 8b + 4c + 2d + e(I)Then p(5) = 625a + 125b + 25c + 5d + e (II) p(6) = 1296a + 216b + 36c + 6d + e (III) You don't have to compute to know that the dimension of the set of solutions is 3. (a) A basis: 1, (z-2)(z-5)(z-6), z(z-2)(z-5)(z-6). (b) Extend to a basis of $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{F})$ as $1, z, z^2, (z-2)(z-5)(z-6), z(z-2)(z-5)(z-6)$.

9 •Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde in V and $w \in V$.

•Prove that dim span $(v_1 + w, ..., v_m + w) \ge m - 1$.

(c) Let $W = \operatorname{span}(z, z^2) = \{az + bz^2 : a, b \in \mathbb{F} \}$, so that $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F}) = U \oplus W$.

SOLUTION: Note that $v_i - v_1 = (v_i + w) - (v_1 + w) \in \text{span}\,(v_1 + w, \dots, v_n + w)$, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. (v_1, \dots, v_m) is linely inde $\Rightarrow (v_1, v_2 - v_1, \dots, v_m - v_1)$ is linely inde $\Rightarrow (v_2 - v_1, \dots, v_m - v_1)$ is linely inde of length m - 1.

 $\therefore x^{i+1} \in \operatorname{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_i, p_{i+1}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{span}(1, x, \dots, x^i, x^{i+1}) \subseteq \operatorname{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_i, p_{i+1}).$ Thus $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F}) = \operatorname{span}(1, x, \dots, x^m) = \operatorname{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m).$ • • Suppose m is a positive integer. For $0 \le k \le m$, let $p_k(x) = x^k (1-x)^{m-k}$. •Show that $(p_0, ..., p_m)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$. • The basis in this exercise leads to what are called Bernstein polynomials. You can do a web search to learn how • Bernstein polynomials are used to approximate continuous functions on [0, 1]. **SOLUTION:** Using mathematical induction. (i) $\bullet k = 0, 1, 2, p_m(x) = x^m, p_{m-1}(x) = x^{m-1} - x^m, p_{m-2}(x) = x^{m-2} + x^m - 2x^{m-1}.$ (ii) $\bullet k \ge 2$. Suppose for $p_{m-k}(x)$, $\exists ! a_i \in \mathbf{F}$, $x^{m-k} = p_{m-k}(x) + a_m x^m + \dots + a_{m-k+1} x^{m-k+1}$. •Then for $p_{m-k-1}(x)$, $\exists ! c_i \in \mathbf{F}$, $x^{m-k-1} = p_{m-k-1}(x) + C_{k+1}^{1}(-1)^{2}x^{m-k} + \dots + C_{k+1}^{k}(-1)^{k+1}x^{m-1} + (-1)^{k-2}x^{m}$ $\Rightarrow c_{m-i} = C_{k+1}^{k+1-i}(-1)^{k-i}.$ Thus for each x^i , $\exists ! b_i \in \mathbf{F}, x^i = b_m p_m(x) + \cdots + b_{m-i} p_{m-i}(x)$ \Rightarrow span $(x^m, \dots, x, 1) = \text{span } \underbrace{(p_m, \dots, p_1, p_0)}_{\text{Racis}}$. ullet Suppose V is finite-dim and V_1, V_2, V_3 are subsps of V with •dim V_1 + dim V_2 + dim V_3 > 2 dim V. Prove that $V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3 \neq \{0\}$. $\dim V_1 + \dim V_2 > 2\dim V - \dim V_3 \ge \dim V \Rightarrow V_1 \cap V_2 \ne \overline{\{0\}}$ $\dim V_2 + \dim V_3 > 2\dim V - \dim V_1 \ge \dim V \Rightarrow V_2 \cap V_3 \neq \{0\}$ $\Rightarrow V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3 \neq \{0\}.$ **SOLUTION:** $\dim V_1 + \dim V_3 > 2\dim V - \dim V_2 \ge \dim V \Rightarrow V_1 \cap V_3 \ne \{0\}$ • • Suppose V is finite-dim and U is a subsp of V with $U \neq V$. Let $n = \dim V$, $m = \dim U$. •Prove that there exist (n-m) subsps of V, say U_1, \ldots, U_{n-m} , each of dimension (n-1), •such that $\bigcap^{n-m} U_i = U$. **SOLUTION**: Let $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ be a basis of U, extend to a basis of V as $(v_1, ..., v_m, ..., v_n)$. Define $U_i = \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_{m+i-1}, v_{m+i+1}, \dots, v_n)$ for each i. Thus we are done. **EXAMPLE:** Suppose dim V = 6, dim U = 3. $U_1 = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3) \oplus \text{span}(v_5, v_6)$ $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6)$, define $U_2 = \operatorname{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3) \oplus \operatorname{span}(v_4, v_6)$ $\Rightarrow \dim U_i = 6 - 1, i = \underbrace{1, 2, 3}_{6, 2, 2}$. Basis of U $U_3 = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3) \oplus \text{span}(v_4, v_5)$ Basis of V

 \mathbb{Z} By the contrapositive of (2.A.10), $w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \Rightarrow (v_1 + w, \dots, v_m + w)$ is linely inde.

10 •Suppose m is a positive integer and $p_0, p_1, ..., p_m ∈ \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ are such that •each p_k has degree k. Prove that $(p_0, p_1, ..., p_m)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$.

 $\mathbb{Z} \operatorname{deg} p_{i+1} = i+1, \ p_{i+1}(x) = a_{i+1}x^{i+1} + r_{i+1}(x); \ a_{i+1} \neq 0, \ \operatorname{deg} r_{i+1} \leq i.$

 $\Rightarrow x^{i+1} = \frac{1}{a_{i+1}}(p_{i+1}(x) - r_{i+1}(x)) \in \text{span}(1, x, \dots, x^i, p_{i+1}) = \text{span}(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_i, p_{i+1}).$

 $m \ge \dim \operatorname{span}(v_1 + w, \dots, v_m + w) \ge m - 1.$

SOLUTION: Using mathematical induction on m. (i) For p_0 , deg $p_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \text{span}(p_0) = \text{span}(1)$.

(ii) Suppose for $i \ge 1$, span $(p_0, p_1, ..., p_i) = \text{span } (1, x, ..., x^i)$. Then $\text{span}(p_0, p_1, ..., p_i, p_{i+1}) \subseteq \text{span } (1, x, ..., x^i, x^{i+1})$. **14** • Suppose that V_1, \ldots, V_m are finite-dim subsps of V.

•Prove that $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ is finite-dim and $\dim(V_1 + \cdots + V_m) \le \dim V_1 + \cdots + \dim V_m$.

SOLUTION: Choose a basis \mathcal{E}_i of $V_i \Rightarrow V_1 + \dots + V_m = \operatorname{span} (\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{E}_m)$; $\dim U_i = \operatorname{card} \mathcal{E}_i$.

Then $\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_m) = \dim \operatorname{span} (\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{E}_m)$.

Thus $\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_m) \le \dim U_1 + \dots + \dim U_m$.

 $\textbf{Comment:} \ \dim(V_1+\dots+V_m) = \dim U_1+\dots+\dim U_m \Longleftrightarrow V_1+\dots+V_m \ \text{is a direct sum}.$

For each i, $(V_1 + \cdots + V_i) \cap V_{i+1} = \{0\} \iff V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ is a direct sum $\iff \square$

17 • Suppose V_1, V_2, V_3 are subsps of a finite-dim vector space, then

$$\bullet \dim(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = \dim V_1 + \dim V_2 + \dim V_3$$

$$-\dim(V_1 \cap V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_3) - \dim(V_2 \cap V_3) + \dim(V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3).$$

•Explain why you might think and prove the formula above or give a counterexample.

SOLUTION:

[Similar to] Given three sets *A*, *B* and *C*.

Because $|X \cup Y| = |X| + |Y| - |X \cap Y|$; $(X \cup Y) \cap Z = (X \cap Z) \cup (Y \cap Z)$.

Now $| (A \cup B) \cup C | = | A \cup B | + | C | - | (A \cup B) \cap C |$.

And $|(A \cup B) \cap C| = |(A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)| = |A \cap C| + |B \cap C| - |A \cap B \cap C|$.

Hence $|(A \cup B) \cup C| = |A| + |B| + |C| + |A \cap B \cap C| - |A \cap B| - |A \cap C| - |B \cap C|$.

Because
$$(V_1 + V_2) + V_3 = V_1 + (V_2 + V_3) = (V_1 + V_3) + V_2$$
.

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = \dim(V_1 + V_2) + \dim(V_3) - \dim((V_1 + V_2) \cap V_3) \quad (1)$$

$$= \dim(V_2 + V_3) + \dim(V_1) - \dim((V_2 + V_3) \cap V_1) \quad (2)$$

$$= \dim(V_1 + V_3) + \dim(V_2) - \dim((V_1 + V_3) \cap V_2)$$
 (3)

Notice that in general, $(X + Y) \cap Z \neq X \cap Z + Y \cap Z$.

For example, $X = \{(x,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}\}, Y = \{(0,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y \in \mathbb{R}\}, Z = \{(z,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : z \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

• Corollary: If V_1 , V_2 and V_3 are finite-dim vector spaces, then $\frac{(1)+(2)+(3)}{3}$:

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = \dim V_1 + \dim V_2 + \dim V_3$$

$$-\frac{\dim(V_{1} \cap V_{2}) + \dim(V_{1} \cap V_{3}) + \dim(V_{2} \cap V_{3})}{3} \\ -\frac{\dim((V_{1} + V_{2}) \cap V_{3}) + \dim((V_{1} + V_{3}) \cap V_{2}) + \dim((V_{2} + V_{3}) \cap V_{1})}{3}.$$

The formula above may seem strange because the right side does not look like an integer.

ENDED

3.A

• TIPS:
$$T: V \to W$$
 is linear $\iff \left| \begin{array}{c} \forall v, u \in V, T(v+u) = Tv + Tu \\ \forall v, u \in V, \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, T(\lambda v) = \lambda (Tv) \end{array} \right| \iff T(v+\lambda u) = Tv + \lambda Tu.$

3 •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^n, \mathbf{F}^m)$. Prove that $\exists A_{i,k} \in \mathbf{F}$ such that

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (A_{1,1}x_1 + \dots + A_{1,n}x_n, \dots, A_{m,1}x_1 + \dots + A_{m,n}x_n)$$

• for any $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbf{F}^n$.

S	\cap	T	וידיו	īΩ	NI
יכו			, .	. ,	IN.

Let $T(1,0,0,\dots,0,0) = (A_{1,1},\dots,A_{m,1}),$ Note that $(1,0,\dots,0,0),\dots,(0,0,\dots,0,1)$ is a basis of \mathbf{F}^n . Then by [3.5], we are done. \square \vdots $T(0,0,0,\dots,0,1) = (A_{1,n},\dots,A_{m,n}).$

4 •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is a list of vectors in V such that

 \bullet $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m)$ is linely inde in W. Prove that $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde.

SOLUTION: Suppose $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m = 0$. Then $a_1Tv_1 + \cdots + a_mTv_m = 0$. Thus $a_1 = \cdots = a_m = 0$.

5 • Prove that $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is a vector space,

SOLUTION: Note that $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is a subsp of W^V .

7 •Show that every linear map from a one-dim vector space to itself

- •is multi by some scalar. More precisely, prove that if dim V = 1 and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$,
- •then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ such that $Tv = \lambda v$ for all $v \in V$.

SOLUTION:

Let *u* be a nonzero vector in $V \Rightarrow V = \text{span}(u)$.

Because $Tu \in V \Rightarrow Tu = \lambda u$ for some λ .

Suppose $v \in V \Rightarrow v = au$, $\exists ! a \in \mathbf{F}$. Then $Tv = T(au) = \lambda au = \lambda v$.

8 • Give an example of a function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $\bullet \varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ but φ is not linear.

SOLUTION:

Define
$$T(x,y) = \begin{cases} x + y, & \text{if } (x,y) \in \text{span } (3,1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 OR. Define $T(x,y) = \sqrt[3]{(x^3 + y^3)}$.

9 • *Give an example of a function* $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that*

- $\bullet \varphi(w+z) = \varphi(w) + \varphi(z)$ for all $w, z \in \mathbb{C}$ but φ is not linear.
- ullet (Here C is thought of as a complex vector space.)

SOLUTION:

Suppose $V_{\rm C}$ is the complexification of a vector space V. Suppose $\varphi: V_{\rm C} \to V_{\rm C}$.

Define $\varphi(u + iv) = u = \text{Re}(u + iv)$

OR. Define $\varphi(u + iv) = v = \text{Im}(u + iv)$.

- • *Prove or give a counterexample:*
 - •If $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ and $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ is defined by $Tp = q \circ p$, then T is linear.

SOLUTION: Because in general, $q(p_1(x) + \lambda p_2(x)) \neq (q \circ p_1)(x) + \lambda (q \circ p_2)(x)$.

- •OR (3.D.16) •Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - •Suppose ST = TS for every $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that T is a scalar multi of the identity.

SOLUTION:

If $V = \{0\}$, then we are done. Now suppose $V \neq \{0\}$.

Assume that (v, Tv) is linely depe for every $v \in V$, then by (2.A.2.(b)), $Tv = \lambda_v v$ for some $\lambda_v \in F$.

To prove that λ_v is independent of v

(in other words, for any two distinct nonzero vectors v and w in V, we have $\lambda_v \neq \lambda_w$), we discuss in two cases:

$$(-) \text{ If } (v,w) \text{ is linely inde, } \lambda_{v+w}(v+w) = T(v+w) = Tv + Tw = a_vv + a_ww \\ \qquad \qquad \Rightarrow (\lambda_{v+w} - \lambda_v)v + (\lambda_{v+w} - \lambda_w)w = 0 \\ (=) \text{ Otherwise, suppose } w = cv, a_ww = Tw = cTv = ca_vv = a_vw \Rightarrow (a_w - a_v)w \end{cases} \Rightarrow a_w = a_v.$$
 Now we prove the assumption by contradiction.

Now we prove the assumption by contradiction.

Suppose (v, Tv) is linely inde for every nonzero vector $v \in V$.

Fix one v. Extend to $(v, Tv, u_1, ..., u_n)$ a basis of V.

Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $S(av + bTv + c_1u_1 + \dots + c_nu_n) = bv \Rightarrow S(Tv) = v = T(Sv) = 0$. Contradicts. \square

OR. •Let $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ be a basis of V.

- Define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbf{F})$ by $\varphi(v_1) = \cdots = \varphi(v_m) = 1$. Let $\lambda = \varphi(Tv_1) \in \mathbf{F}$.
- •For any $v \in V$, define $S_v \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $S_v u = \varphi(u)v$.

Then
$$Tv = T(\varphi(v_1)v) = T(S_v v_1) = S_v(Tv_1) = \varphi(Tv_1)v = \lambda v$$
.

- **10** Suppose U is a subsp of V with $U \neq V$. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ and $S \neq 0$
 - (which means that $Su \neq 0$ for some $u \in U$).
 - •Define $T: V \to W$ by $Tv = \begin{cases} Sv, & \text{if } v \in U, \\ 0, & \text{if } v \in V \setminus U. \end{cases}$ Prove that T is not a linear map on V.

SOLUTION:

Suppose *T* is a linear map. And $v \in V \setminus U$, $u \in U$ such that $Su \neq 0$.

Then $v + u \in V \setminus U$, (for if not, $v = (v + u) - u \in U$) while $T(v + u) = 0 = Tv + Tu = 0 + Su \Rightarrow Su = 0$. Hence we get a contradiction.

- **11** Suppose V is finite-dim. Prove that every linear map on a subsp of V
 - •can be extended to a linear map on V. In other words, show that if
 - •*U* is a subsp of *V* and $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$, then there exists $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$
 - •such that Tu = Su for all $u \in U$.

SOLUTION:

Define
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$$
 by $T(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_nu_n + a_{n+1}u_{n+1} + \dots + a_mu_m) = a_1Su_1 + \dots + a_nSu_n$.
Where we let (u_1, \dots, u_n) be a basis of U , extend to a basis of V as $(u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots, u_m)$.

- **12** Suppose V is finite-dim with dim V > 0, and W is infinite-dim.
 - •Prove that $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ is infinite-dim.

SOLUTION:

Let $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ be a basis of V. Let $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ be linely inde in W for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Define
$$T_{x,y} \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$$
 by $T_{x,y}(v_z) = \delta_{zy}w_y$, $\forall x \in \{1,\ldots,n\}, y \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$, where $\delta_{zy} = \begin{cases} 0, & z \neq y, \\ 1, & z = y. \end{cases}$

Suppose $a_1T_{x,1} + \dots + a_mT_{x,m} = 0$. Then $(a_1T_{x,1} + \dots + a_mT_{x,m})(v_x) = 0 = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m$.

 $\Rightarrow a_1 = \cdots = a_m = 0$. $\boxtimes m$ is arbitrarily chosen.

Thus $(T_{x,1}, \dots, T_{x,m})$ is a linely inde list in $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ for any x and length m. Hence by (2.A.14).

- **13** Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is a linely depe list of vectors in V.
 - •Suppose also that $W \neq \{0\}$. Prove that there exist $(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in W$
 - •such that no $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ satisfies $Tv_k = w_k$ for each k = 1, ..., m.

SOLUTION:

We prove by contradiction. By linear dependence lemma, $\exists j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $v_j \in \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$.

Fix *j*. Let $w_i \neq 0$, while $w_1 = \dots = w_{i-1} = w_{i+1} = w_m = 0$.

Define *T* by $Tv_k = w_k$ for all *k*. Suppose $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m = 0$ (where $a_i \neq 0$).

Then $T(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m) = 0 = a_1w_1 + \cdots + a_mw_m = a_jw_j$ while $a_j \neq 0$ and $w_j \neq 0$. Contradicts.

OR. •We prove the contrapositive:

- •Suppose for any list $(w_1, ..., w_m) \in W$, $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W), Tv_k = w_k$ for each w_k .
- (We need to) Prove that $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is linely inde.

Suppose $\exists a_i \in \mathbb{F}, a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$. Choose a nonzero $w \in W$.

By assumption, for the list $(\overline{a_1}w, \dots, \overline{a_m}w)$, $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W), Tv_k = \overline{a_k}w$ for each v_k .

$$0 = T(\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k v_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k T v_k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \overline{a_k} w = (\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k|^2) w. \text{ Hence } \sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_k|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_k = 0.$$

- • (4E 3.A.16)
 - •Suppose V is finite-dim. Show that the only two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ are $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - A subsp \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is called a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ if $TE \in \mathcal{E}, ET \in \mathcal{E}, \ \forall E \in \mathcal{E}, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

SOLUTION:

Let $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ be a basis of V. If $\mathcal{E} = 0$, then we are done.

Suppose $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$ and \mathcal{E} is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Let $S \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{0\}$.

Suppose $Sv_i \neq 0$ and $Sv_i = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$, where $a_k \neq 0$.

Define $R_{x,y} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $R_{x,y}(v_x) = v_y$, $R_{x,y}(v_z) = 0$ ($z \neq x$). Then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$(R_{k,y}S)(v_i) = a_k v_y \Rightarrow ((R_{k,y}S) \circ R_{x,i})(v_x) = a_k v_y, \text{ and } ((R_{k,y}S) \circ R_{x,i})(v_z) = 0 \text{ for } z \neq x.$$

Thus $R_{k,y}SR_{x,i} = a_kR_{x,y}$. Denote by $T_{x,y}$.

Getting
$$(\frac{1}{a_k}T_{1,1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_k}T_{n,n})v_j = v_j \Rightarrow \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{a_k}T_{r,r} = I.$$

Hence for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $I \circ T = T \circ I = T \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}(V)$.

ENDED

3.B

2 •Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that range $S \subseteq \text{null } T$. Prove that $(ST)^2 = 0$.

Solution:
$$TS = 0 \Rightarrow STST = (ST)^2 = 0$$
.

- **3.** Suppose (v_1, \ldots, v_m) in V. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^m, V)$ by $T(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = z_1v_1 + \cdots + z_mv_m$.
 - (a) The surj of T corresponds to $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ spanning V.
 - (b) The inje of T corresponds to $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ being linely inde.
- **7** •Suppose *V* is finite-dim with 2 ≤ dim *V* and also dim $V \le \dim W$, if *W* is finite-dim.
 - •Show that $U = \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : T \text{ is not inje } \} \text{ is not a subsp of } \mathcal{L}(V, W).$

SOLUTION:

Let $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ be a basis of V, $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ be linely inde in W.

(Let dim W = m, if W is finite, otherwise, we choose $m \in \{n, n+1, ...\}$ arbitrarily; $2 \le n \le m$).

Define $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ as $T_1: v_1 \mapsto 0$, $v_2 \mapsto w_2$, $v_i \mapsto w_i$.

Define $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ as $T_2: v_1 \mapsto w_1, v_2 \mapsto 0, v_i \mapsto w_i, i = 3, ..., n$. Thus $T_1 + T_2 \notin U$. Comment: If dim V=0, then $V=\{0\}=\mathrm{span}\,(\,).\ \forall\ T\in\mathcal{L}(V,W)$, T is inje. Hence $U=\emptyset$. If dim V = 1, then $V = \text{span}(v_0)$. Thus $U = \text{span}(T_0)$, where $T_0v_0 = 0$. If *V* is infinite-dim, the result is true as well. **8** • Suppose W is finite-dim with dim $W \ge 2$ and also dim $V \ge \dim W$, if V is finite-dim. •Show that $U = \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : T \text{ is not surj } \} \text{ is not a subsp of } \mathcal{L}(V, W).$ **SOLUTION:** Let $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ be linely inde in V, $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ be a basis of W. (Let $n = \dim V$, if V is finite, otherwise we choose $n \in \{m, m+1, ...\}$; $2 \le m \le n$). $v_i \mapsto w_i$ Define $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ as $T_1 : v_1 \mapsto 0$, $v_2 \mapsto w_2$ $v_{m+i} \mapsto 0$. Define $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ as $T_2 : v_1 \mapsto w_1, v_2 \mapsto 0, v_i \mapsto w_i$, $v_{m+i} \mapsto 0.$ For each j = 2, ..., m; i = 1, ..., n - m, if V is finite, otherwise let $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Thus $T_1 + T_2 \notin U$. **COMMENT**: If dim W = 0, then $W = \{0\} = \text{span}()$. $\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W), T \text{ is surj. Hence } U = \emptyset$. If dim W = 1, then $W = \text{span}(v_0)$. Thus $U = \text{span}(T_0)$, where $T_0v_0 = 0$. If *W* is infinite-dim, the result is true as well. **9** •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is inje and $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is linely inde in V. • Prove that $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ is linely inde in W. **SOLUTION:** $a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n = 0 = T(\sum_{i=1}^n a_iv_i) \iff \sum_{i=1}^n a_iv_i = 0 \iff a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0.$ **10** • Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ spans V and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Show that $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ spans range T. **SOLUTION:** (a) range $T = \{ Tv : v \in V \} = \{ Tv : v \in \text{span}(v_1, ..., v_n) \} \Rightarrow Tv_1, ..., Tv_n \in \text{range } T \Rightarrow \text{By } [2.7].$ OR. span $(Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n) \ni a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \in \text{range } T$. (b) $\forall w \in \text{range } T$, $\exists v \in V$, w = Tv. ($\exists a_i \in F$, $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$) $\Rightarrow w = a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n \Rightarrow \square$ **11** • Suppose $S_1, ..., S_n$ are injelinear maps and $S_1S_2 ... S_n$ makes sence. • Prove that $S_1S_2...S_n$ is inje. $\textbf{Solution:} \ \ S_1S_2\dots S_n(v)=0 \Longleftrightarrow S_2S_3\dots S_n(v)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \cdots \Longleftrightarrow S_n(v)=0 \Longleftrightarrow v=0.$ **12** • Suppose that V is finite-dim and that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that •there exists a subsp U of V such that $U \cap \text{null } T = \{0\}$ and range $T = \{Tu : u \in U\}$. **SOLUTION:** By [2.34], there exists a subsp U of V such that $V = U \oplus \text{null } T$. $\forall v \in V, \ \exists ! \ w \in \text{null} \ T, u \in U, v = w + u. \ \text{Then} \ Tv = T(w + u) = Tu \in \{Tu : u \in U\} \Rightarrow \Box$ COMMENT: V can be infinite-dim. See the above of [2.34]. **16** • Suppose there exists a linear map on V •whose null space and range are both finite-dim. Prove that V is finite-dim.

Denote the linear map by T. Let $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ be a basis of range T, $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ be a basis of null T.

SOLUTION:

Then for all $v \in V$, $T(\underbrace{v - a_1v_1 - \cdots - a_nv_n}) = 0$, where $Tv = a_1Tv_1 + \cdots + a_nTv_n$. $\Rightarrow u = b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_mu_m \Rightarrow v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n + b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_mu_m.$

Getting $V \subseteq \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n, u_1, \dots, u_m)$. Thus V is finite-dim.

17 • Suppose V and W are both finite-dim. Prove that there exists an inje $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ •if and only if dim $V \leq \dim W$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Suppose there exists an inje T. Then dim $V = \dim \operatorname{range} T \leq \dim W$.
- (b) Suppose dim $V \le \dim W$, letting (v_1, \dots, v_n) and (w_1, \dots, w_m) be bases of V and W respectively. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ by $Tv_i = w_i$, $i = 1, ..., n (= \dim V)$.

18 • Suppose V and W are both finite-dim. Prove that there exists a surj $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ •if and only if dim $V \ge \dim W$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Suppose there exists a surj T. Then dim $V = \dim W + \dim \operatorname{null} T \Rightarrow \dim W \leq \dim V$.
- (b) Suppose dim $V \ge \dim W$, letting (v_1, \dots, v_n) and (w_1, \dots, w_m) be bases of V and W respectively. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ by $T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + a_{m+1}v_{m+1} + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m$.
- **19** Suppose V and W are finite-dim and that U is a subsp of V.
 - •Prove that $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, $\text{null } T = U \iff \dim U \ge \dim V \dim W$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) Suppose $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, null T = U. Then dim null $T = \dim U \ge \dim V \dim W$.
- (b) Suppose $\underbrace{\dim U}_{m} \ge \underbrace{\dim V}_{m+n} \underbrace{\dim W}_{p}$ ($\Rightarrow \dim W = p \ge n = \dim V \dim U$).

Let (u_1, \dots, u_m) be a basis of U, extend to a basis of V as $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$.

Let $(w_1, ..., w_v)$ be a basis of W.

Define
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$$
 by $T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n + b_1u_1 + \dots + b_mu_m) = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n$.

- Tips: Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $R = (Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ is linely inde in range T.
 - (Let dim range T = n, if range T is finite, otherwise choose n arbitrarily.)
 - •By (3.A.4), $L = (v_1, ..., v_n)$ is linely inde in V.
- NEW NOTATION:
 - Denote \mathcal{K}_R by span L, if range T is finite-dim, otherwise, denote it by a vecsp in \mathcal{S}_V null T.
 - •Denote $\mathcal{K}_{\text{range }T}$ by \mathcal{K}_{R} , where R is arbitrarily chosen.
- New Theorem: $\mathcal{K}_R \in \mathcal{S}_V$ null T.•

Suppose range *T* is finite-dim. Otherwise, we are done immediately.

$$\mathcal{K}_R \oplus \operatorname{null} T = V \Longleftarrow \begin{cases} \text{ (a) } T(\sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i T v_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}_R \cap \operatorname{null} T = \{0\}. \\ \text{ (b) } \forall v \in V, T v = \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i T v_i \Rightarrow T v - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i T v_i = T(v - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow v - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i v_i \in \operatorname{null} T \Rightarrow v = (v - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) + (\sum\limits_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}_R + \operatorname{null} T = V. \end{cases}$$

- Comment: null $T \in \mathcal{S}_V \mathcal{K}_R$.
- (4E 3.B.21) Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, and U is a subsp of W.
 - •Prove that $\mathcal{K}_U = \{ v \in V : Tv \in U \}$ is a subsp of V

•and dim \mathcal{K}_U = dim null T + dim($U \cap \text{range } T$). **SOLUTION:** For any $u, w \in \mathcal{K}_U$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$, $T(u + \lambda w) = Tu + \lambda Tw \in U \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}_U$ is a subsp of V. Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}_U, U)$ as Rv = Tv for all $v \in \mathcal{K}_U$. Hence range $R = U \cap \text{range } T$. Suppose Tv = 0 for some $v \in V$. $X \in U \Rightarrow Rv = 0$. Thus null $T \subseteq \text{null } R$. **20** • Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that T is inje $\iff \exists S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V), ST = I \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. **SOLUTION:** (a) Suppose $\exists S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$, ST = I. Then if $Tv = 0 \Rightarrow ST(v) = 0 = v$. (b) Suppose *T* is inje. Let $R = (Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ be linely inde in range $T \subseteq W$, where $n = \dim \text{range } T$ if finite-dim, otherwise $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then $\mathcal{K}_R \oplus \text{null } T = V$. And supose $U \oplus \text{range } T = W$. Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ by $S(Tv_i) = v_i$ and Su = 0, $u \in U$. Thus ST = I. **21** • Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that T is surj $\iff \exists S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V), TS = I \in \mathcal{L}(W)$. **SOLUTION:** (a) Suppose $\exists S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$, TS = I. Then $\forall w \in W, TS(w) = w \in \text{range } T \Rightarrow \text{range } T = W$. (b) Suppose *T* is surj. Let $R = (Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ be linely inde in range T = W, where $n = \dim \operatorname{range} T$ if finite-dim, otherwise $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then $\mathcal{K}_R \oplus \text{null } T = V$. Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ by $S(Tv_i) = v_i$. Then TS = I. **22** • Suppose U and V are finite-dim vecsps and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$. • Prove that dim null $ST \leq \dim \text{null } S + \dim \text{null } T$. **SOLUTION:** Define $R \in \mathcal{L}(\text{null } ST, V)$ by Ru = Tu for all $u \in \text{null } ST \subseteq U$. $S(Tu) = 0 = S(Ru) \Rightarrow \operatorname{range} R \subseteq \operatorname{null} S \Rightarrow \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{range} R \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{null} S$ $Tu = 0 = Ru \Rightarrow \operatorname{null} R \supseteq \operatorname{null} T \Rightarrow \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{null} R = \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{null} T$ OR. For any $u \in U$, note that $u \in \text{null } ST \iff S(Tu) = 0 \iff Tu \in \text{null } S$. Thus $\operatorname{null} ST = \mathcal{K}_{\operatorname{null} S \cap \operatorname{range} T} = \{u \in U : Tu \in \operatorname{null} S\}$. By Problem (4E 3B.21), $\dim \operatorname{null} ST = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim (\operatorname{null} S \cap \operatorname{range} T) \leq \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{null} S.$ **COROLLARY:** (1) If *T* is inje, then dim null $T = 0 \Rightarrow \dim \text{null } ST \leq \dim \text{null } S$. (2) If *T* is surj, then range $R = \text{null } S \Rightarrow \dim \text{null } ST = \dim \text{null } S + \dim \text{null } T$. (3) If *S* is inje, then range $R = \{0\} \Rightarrow \dim \text{null } ST = \dim \text{null } R = \dim \text{null } T$. **23** • Suppose U and V are finite-dim vecsps and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$. • *Prove that* dim range $ST \leq \min\{\dim \operatorname{range} S, \dim \operatorname{range} T\}$. **SOLUTION:** range $ST = \{Sv : v \in \text{range } T\} = \text{span}(Su_1, ..., Su_{\dim \text{range } T}),$ where span $(u_1, ..., u_{\dim range T}) = \operatorname{range} T$. $\dim \operatorname{range} ST \leq \dim \operatorname{range} T \setminus \dim \operatorname{range} ST \leq \dim \operatorname{range} S \Rightarrow \square$ OR. Note that range $(S|_{\text{range }T}) = \text{range }ST$. Thus dim range $ST = \dim \operatorname{range}(S|_{\operatorname{range}T}) = \dim \operatorname{range}T - \dim \operatorname{null}(S|_{\operatorname{range}T}) \leq \operatorname{range}T$.

COROLLARY:

- (1) If *S* is inje, then dim range $ST = \dim \operatorname{range} T$.
- (2) If T is surj, then dim range $ST = \dim \text{range } S$.
- •(a) •Suppose dim V = 5 and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that ST = 0.
 - Prove that dim range $TS \leq 2$.
 - •(b) •Give an example of $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^5)$ with ST = 0 and dim range TS = 2.

SOLUTION:

 $5-\dim \operatorname{null} T$ $5-\dim \operatorname{null} S$

By Problem (23), dim range $TS \leq \min\{\overline{\dim \operatorname{range} S}, \overline{\dim \operatorname{range} T}\}$.

We show that dim range $TS \leq 2$ by contradiction. Assume that dim range $TS \geq 3$.

Then $\min\{5 - \dim \operatorname{null} T, 5 - \dim \operatorname{null} S\} \ge 3 \Rightarrow \max\{\dim \operatorname{null} T, \dim \operatorname{null} S\} \le 2$.

OR. $\left. \begin{array}{l} \dim \operatorname{null} S = 5 - \dim \operatorname{range} S \\ \dim \operatorname{range} TS \leq \dim \operatorname{range} S \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \dim \operatorname{null} S \leq 5 - \dim \operatorname{range} TS.$

And $ST = 0 \Rightarrow \text{range } T \subseteq \text{null } S \Rightarrow \text{dim range } TS \leq \text{dim range } T \leq \text{dim null } S$.

Thus dim range $TS \le 5$ – dim range $TS \Rightarrow$ dim range $TS \le \frac{5}{2}$.

EXAMPLE: Let $(v_1, ..., v_5)$ be a basis of \mathbf{F}^5 . Define $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^5)$ by:

$$\begin{split} T: & v_1 \mapsto 0, \quad v_2 \mapsto 0, \quad v_i \mapsto v_i \ ; \\ S: & v_1 \mapsto v_4, \quad v_2 \mapsto v_5, \quad v_i \mapsto 0 \quad ; \qquad i = 3,4,5. \end{split}$$

- • Suppose dim V = n and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that ST = 0.
 - Prove that dim range $TS \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$.

SOLUTION:

By Problem (23), dim range $TS \le \min\{\underbrace{\dim \operatorname{range} S}, \underbrace{\dim \operatorname{range} T}\}$. We prove by contradiction. Assume that dim range $TS \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$.

Then $\min\{n - \dim \operatorname{null} T, n - \dim \operatorname{null} S\} \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 max{dim null T , dim null S } $\leq n - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 1$.

 \mathbb{X} dim null $ST = n \le \dim \text{null } S + \dim \text{null } T \le 2(n - \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1)$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1 \le \frac{n}{2}$$
. Contradicts. Thus dim range $TS \le \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$.

OR. dim null $S = n - \dim \operatorname{range} S \le n - \dim \operatorname{range} TS$.

And $ST = 0 \Rightarrow \dim \operatorname{range} TS \leq \dim \operatorname{range} T \leq \dim \operatorname{null} S \leq n - \dim \operatorname{range} TS$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 2 dim range $TS \le n \Rightarrow$ dim range $TS \le \frac{n}{2}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 dim range $TS \le \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ (because dim range TS is an integer). \square

- **24** Suppose that W is finite-dim and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.
 - •Prove that $\operatorname{null} S \subseteq \operatorname{null} T \iff \exists \ E \in \mathcal{L}(W) \ such that \ T = ES$.

SOLUTION:

Suppose $\exists E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ such that T = ES. Then null $T = \text{null } ES \supseteq \text{null } S$.

Suppose null $S \subseteq \text{null } T$. Let $R = (Sv_1, \dots, Sv_n)$ be a basis of range S

 \Rightarrow (v_1, \dots, v_n) is linely inde.

Let $\mathcal{K}_R = \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) \Rightarrow V = \mathcal{K}_R \oplus \operatorname{null} S$.

Define $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ by $E(Sv_i) = Tv_i$, Eu = 0; for each $i = 1 \dots, n$ and $u \in \text{null } S$.

Hence $\forall v \in V$, $(\exists! a_i \in \mathbb{F}, u \in \text{null } S)$, $Tv = a_1 T v_1 + \dots + a_n T v_n = E(a_1 S v_1 + \dots + a_n S v_n) \Rightarrow T = ES. \square$

OR. Extend *R* to a basis $(Sv_1, ..., Sv_n, w_1, ..., w_m)$ of *W*.

Define $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ by $E(Sv_k) = Tv_k$, $Ew_i = 0$.

Because
$$\forall v \in V$$
, $\exists a_i \in F$, $Sv = a_1Sv_1 + \cdots + a_nSv_n$

$$\Rightarrow S(v - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \in \text{null } S$$

$$\Rightarrow v - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \in \operatorname{null} T.$$

$$\Rightarrow T(v - (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n)) = 0$$

Thus $Tv = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$. Hence $E(Sv) = a_1E(Sv_1) + \dots + a_nE(Sv_n) = a_1Tv_1 + \dots + a_nTv_n = Tv$. \square

- **25** Suppose that V is finite-dim and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.
 - •Prove that range $S \subseteq \text{range } T \iff \exists \ E \in \mathcal{L}(V) \ \text{such that } S = TE.$

SOLUTION:

Suppose $\exists E \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that S = TE. Then range $S = \text{range } TE \subseteq \text{range } T$.

Suppose range $S \subseteq \text{range } T$. Let (v_1, \dots, v_m) be a basis of V.

Because range $S \subseteq \text{range } T \Rightarrow Sv_i \in \text{range } T \text{ for each } i. \text{ Suppose } u_i \in V \text{ for each } i \text{ such that } Tu_i = Sv_i.$

Thus defining $E \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $Ev_i = u_i$ for each $i \Rightarrow S = TE$.

26 •Prove that the differentiation map $D \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ is surj.

SOLUTION:

[Informal Proof]

Note that $\deg Dx^n = n - 1$.

Because span $(Dx, Dx^2, ...) \subseteq \text{range } D$. X By (2.C.10), span $(Dx, Dx^2, ...) = \text{span } (1, x, ...) = \mathcal{P}(R)$. \square

[Proper Proof]

We will recursively define a sequence of polynomials $(p_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ where $Dp_k = x^k$.

Because dim $Dx = (\deg x) - 1 = 0$, we have $Dx = C \in \mathbb{F}$. Define $p_0 = C^{-1}x$. Then $Dp_0 = C^{-1}Dx = 1$.

Suppose we have defined $p_0, ..., p_n$ such that $Dp_k = x^k$ for each $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$.

Because deg $D(x^{n+2}) = n+1$, we let $D(x^{n+2}) = a_{n+1}x^{n+1} + a_nx^n + \dots + a_1x + a_0$, where $a_{n+1} \neq 0$.

Then
$$a_{n+1}^{-1}D(x^{n+2}) = x^{n+1} + a_{n+1}^{-1}(a_nDp_n + \dots + a_1Dp_1 + a_0Dp_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow x^{n+1} = D(a_{n+1}^{-1}(x^{n+2} - a_n p_n - \dots - a_1 p_1 - a_0 p_0)).$$

Thus defining $p_{n+1} = a_{n+1}^{-1}(x^{n+2} - a_n p_n - \dots - a_1 p_1 - a_0 p_0)$, we have $Dp_{n+1} = x^{n+1}$.

Hence we get the sequence $(p_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ by recursion.

Now it suffices to show that D is surj. Let $p = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg p} a_k x^k \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.

Then
$$D\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\deg p} a_k p_k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg p} a_k D p_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg p} a_k x^k = p.$$

27 •Suppose $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$. Prove that there exists a poly $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ such that 5q'' + 3q' = p.

SOLUTION:

Define $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ by $B = 5D^2 + 3D \Rightarrow B(q) = 5q'' + 3q'$.

Note that $\deg Bx^n = n - 1$. Similar to Problem (26), we conclude that B is surj.

28 •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ is a basis of range T. Prove that

$$\bullet \exists \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbf{F}) \text{ such that for all } v \in V, Tv = \varphi_1(v)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(v)w_m.$$

SOLUTION:

Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ in V such that $Tv_i = w_i$ for each i.

Then (v_1, \ldots, v_m) is linely inde, extend it to a basis of V as $(v_1, \ldots, v_m, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$.

Note that
$$\forall v \in V, v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m + b_1u_1 + \dots + b_nu_n, \ \exists \,!\, a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{F} \Rightarrow Tv = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m.$$

Define $\varphi_i : V \to \mathbf{F}$ by $\varphi_i(v) = a_i v_i$ for each i. We now check the linearity.

$$\forall v, u \in V \ (\exists ! a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbf{F}), \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, \varphi_i(v + \lambda u) = a_i + \lambda c_i = \varphi(v) + \lambda \varphi(u).$$

29 • Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbf{F}) \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose $u \in V \setminus \text{null } \varphi$.

• Prove that $V = \text{null } \varphi \oplus \{au : a \in F\}.$

SOLUTION:

(a) $\forall v = cu \in \text{null } \varphi \cap \{au : a \in \mathbf{F}\}, \varphi(v) = 0 = c\varphi(u) \Rightarrow c = 0$. Hence $\text{null } \varphi \cap \{au : a \in \mathbf{F}\} = \{0\}$.

$$(b) \ \forall \ v \in V, v = (v - \frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(u)}u) + \frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(u)}u. \ \left| \begin{array}{l} v - \frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(u)}u \in \operatorname{null}\varphi \\ \frac{\varphi(v)}{\varphi(u)}u \in \{au: a \in \mathbf{F}\} \end{array} \right| \\ \Rightarrow V = \operatorname{null}\varphi \oplus \{au: a \in \mathbf{F}\}. \quad \Box$$

This may seems strange. Here we explain why.

 $\varphi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists$ a linely inde list $(v_1, \dots, v_n \in V)$ such that $\varphi(v_i) = a_i \neq 0$.

Choose a v_k arbitrarily. Then $\varphi(v_k - \frac{\varphi(v_k)}{\varphi(v_i)}v_j) = 0$ for each j = 1, ..., k-1, k+1, ..., n.

Thus span $\{v_k - \frac{\varphi(v_k)}{\varphi(v_i)}v_j\}_{j \neq k} \subseteq \text{null } \varphi$.

Hence there is only one nonzero vector in every vecsp in \mathcal{S}_V null φ .

30 • Suppose $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbf{F})$ and $\text{null } \varphi_1 = \text{null } \varphi_2 = \text{null } \varphi$.

•Prove that $\exists c \in \mathbf{F}, \varphi_1 = c\varphi_2$

SOLUTION:

If null $\varphi = V$, then $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$, we are done. Suppose $u \in V/\text{null } \varphi \Rightarrow \varphi_1(u), \varphi_2(u) \neq 0$.

By Problem (29), $V = \text{null } \varphi \oplus \text{span } (u)$.

Hence for any $v \in V$, $v = w + a_v u$, $\exists ! w \in \text{null } \varphi, a_v \in F$.

$$\varphi_{1}(v) = a_{v}\varphi_{1}(u), \quad \varphi_{2}(v) = a_{v}\varphi_{2}(u) \Rightarrow a_{v} = \frac{\varphi_{1}(v)}{\varphi_{1}(u)} = \frac{\varphi_{2}(v)}{\varphi_{2}(u)} \Rightarrow \frac{\varphi_{1}(u)}{\varphi_{2}(u)} = \frac{\varphi_{1}(v)}{\varphi_{2}(v)} = c \in \mathbf{F}.$$
Thus $\varphi_{1} = c\varphi_{2}$.

Thus
$$\varphi_1 = c\varphi_2$$
.

• Suppose V is finite-dim, X is a subsp of V, and Y is a finite-dim subsp of W.

•Prove that if dim X + dim Y = dim V, then $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, null T = X and range T = XΥ.

SOLUTION:

Suppose dim X + dim Y = dim V. Let $(u_1, ..., u_n)$ be a basis of X, $R = (w_1, ..., w_m)$ be a basis of Y.

Extend
$$(u_1,\ldots,u_n)$$
 to a basis of V as $(u_1,\ldots,u_n,v_1,\ldots,v_m)$. Define $T\in\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ by $T(\sum\limits_{i=1}^m a_iv_i+\sum\limits_{i=1}^n b_iu_i)=\sum\limits_{i=1}^m a_iw_i$. Now we show that null $T=X$ and range $T=Y$

Suppose $v \in V$. Then $\exists ! a_i, b_j \in \mathbf{F}, v = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i u_i$.

$$v\in\operatorname{null} T\Rightarrow Tv=0\Rightarrow a_1=\cdots=a_m=0\Rightarrow v\in X\\v\in X\Rightarrow v\in\operatorname{null} T\end{cases}\Rightarrow\operatorname{null} T=X.$$

$$v\in X\Rightarrow v\in\operatorname{null} T$$

$$\Leftrightarrow\operatorname{null} T=X.$$

$$w\in\operatorname{range} T\Rightarrow\exists\ v=\sum_{i=1}^m a_iv_i+\sum_{i=1}^n b_iu_i\in V, Tv=w=\sum_{i=1}^m a_iw_i\Rightarrow w\in Y\\w\in Y\Rightarrow w=a_1Tv_1+\cdots+a_mTv_m=T(a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m)\Rightarrow w\in\operatorname{range} T\end{cases}\Rightarrow\operatorname{range} T=Y.$$

$$\bullet\operatorname{Suppose} V\ is\ finite\text{-}dim\ and\ T\in\mathcal{L}(V,W).\ Let\ (Tv_1,\ldots,Tv_n)\ be\ a\ basis\ of\ \operatorname{range} T.$$

$$\bullet\operatorname{Extend}\ (v_1,\ldots,v_n)\ to\ a\ basis\ of\ V\ as\ (v_1,\ldots,v_n,u_1,\ldots,u_m).$$

$$\bullet\operatorname{Prove\ or\ give\ a\ counterexample:}\ (u_1,\ldots,u_m)\ is\ a\ basis\ of\ \operatorname{null} T.$$
 Solution: A counterexample:
$$(u_1,\ldots,u_m)\ is\ a\ basis\ of\ \operatorname{null} T.$$
 Solution: A counterexample:
$$\operatorname{Suppose\ dim} V=3, Tv_1=Tv_2=Tv_3=w_1.\ \operatorname{Then\ span}\ (Tv_1,Tv_2,Tv_3)=\operatorname{span}\ (w_1).$$

SOLUTION: A counterexample:

Extend (v_i) to (v_1, v_2, v_3) for each i. But none of (v_1, v_2) , (v_1, v_3) , (v_2, v_3) is a basis of null T.

COMMENT: $(v_2 - v_1, v_3 - v_1), (v_1 - v_2, v_3 - v_2)$ or $(v_1 - v_3, v_2 - v_3)$ are all bases of null T.

- • Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Let $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ be a basis of null T.
 - Extend (u_1, \ldots, u_m) to a basis of V as $(u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$.
 - Prove or give a counterexample: $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ spans range T.

SOLUTION:

$$\forall w \in \operatorname{range} T, \ \exists v \in V, \ (\exists ! a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{F}), Tv = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = w$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \operatorname{span} (Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n) \Rightarrow \operatorname{range} T \subseteq \operatorname{span} (Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n).$$

COMMENT: If *T* is inje, then $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ is a basis of range *T*.

• •OR (5.B.4) •Suppose $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $P^2 = P$. Prove that $V = \text{null } P \oplus \text{range } P$.

SOLUTION:

Let (P^2v_1, \dots, P^2v_n) be a basis of range P^2 . Then (Pv_1, \dots, Pv_n) is linely inde in V.

Let
$$(P^2v_1, ..., P^2v_n)$$
 be a basis of range P^2 . Then $(Pv_1, ...$
Let $\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{span}(Pv_1, ..., Pv_n) \Rightarrow V = \mathcal{K} \oplus \operatorname{null} P^2$
 $\not \subset \mathcal{K} = \operatorname{range} P = \operatorname{range} P^2$; $\operatorname{null} P = \operatorname{null} P^2$

- OR. \bullet (a) \bullet Suppose $v \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$.
 - •Then $\exists u \in V, v = Pu, Pv = 0 \Rightarrow v = Pu = P^2u = Pv = 0$. Hence $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$.
 - •(b) •Note that v = Pv + (v Pv) and $P^2v = Pv$ for all $v \in V$.
 - •Then $P(v Pv) = 0 \Rightarrow v Pv \in \text{null } P$. Hence V = range P + null P.

• • Suppose V is finite-dim with dim V > 1. Show that if $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(V) \to \mathbf{F}$

•is a linear map such that $\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S) \cdot \varphi(T)$ for all $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then $\varphi = 0$.

SOLUTION: Using notations in (4E 3.A.16).

Suppose $\varphi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}, \varphi(R_{i,j}) \neq 0$.

Because
$$R_{i,j} = R_{x,j} \circ R_{i,x}$$
, $\forall x = 1, ..., n$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(R_{i,j}) = \varphi(R_{x,j}) \cdot \varphi(R_{i,x}) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(R_{x,j}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \varphi(R_{i,x}) \neq 0.$$

Again, because $R_{i,x} = R_{y,x} \circ R_{i,y}$, $\forall y = 1, ..., n$. Thus $\varphi(R_{y,x}) \neq 0$ for any x, y = 1, ..., n.

Let $l \neq i, k \neq j$ and then $\varphi(R_{l,k} \circ R_{i,j}) = \varphi(0) = 0 = \varphi(R_{l,k}) \cdot \varphi(R_{i,j})$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(R_{l,k}) = 0 \text{ or } \varphi(R_{i,j}) = 0. \text{ Contradicts.}$$

OR. Note that by (4E 3.A.16), $\exists S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V), ST - TS \neq 0$.

Then $\varphi(ST - TS) = \varphi(S)\varphi(T) - \varphi(T)\varphi(S) = 0 \Rightarrow ST - TS \in \text{null } \varphi \neq \{0\}.$

Thus $\forall E \in \text{null } \varphi, T \in \mathcal{L}(V), \varphi(ET) = \varphi(TE) = 0 \Rightarrow ET, TE \in \text{null } \varphi.$

- • Suppose that V and W are real vector spaces and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.
 - •Define $T_C: V_C \to W_C$ by $T_C(u + iv) = Tu + iTv$ for all $u, v \in V$.
 - (a) Show that T_C is a (complex) linear map from V_C to W_C .
 - (b) Show that T_C is inje \iff T is inje.
 - (c) Show that range $T_C = W_C \iff \text{range } T = W$.

SOLUTION:

- $$\begin{split} \text{(a)} \ \ \forall u_1 + \mathrm{i} v_1, u_2 + \mathrm{i} v_2 \in V_{\mathrm{C}}, \lambda \in \mathbf{F}\,, \\ T((u_1 + \mathrm{i} v_1) + \lambda (u_2 + \mathrm{i} v_2)) &= T((u_1 + \lambda u_2) + \mathrm{i} (v_1 + \lambda v_2)) = T(u_1 + \lambda u_2) + \mathrm{i} T(v_1 + \lambda v_2) \\ &= Tu_1 + \mathrm{i} Tv_1 + \lambda Tu_2 + \mathrm{i} \lambda Tv_2 = T(u_1 + \mathrm{i} v_1) + \lambda T(u_2 + \mathrm{i} v_2). \end{split}$$
- (b) Suppose $T_{\rm C}$ is inje. Let $T(u) = 0 \Rightarrow T_{\rm C}(u+{\rm i}0) = Tu = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$. Suppose T is inje. Let $T_{\rm C}(u+{\rm i}v) = Tu+{\rm i}Tv = 0 \Rightarrow Tu = Tv = 0 \Rightarrow u+{\rm i}v = 0$.
- (c) Suppose $T_{\mathbf{C}}$ is surj. $\forall w \in W, \ \exists \ u \in V, T(u+\mathrm{i}0) = Tu = w+\mathrm{i}0 = w \Rightarrow \mathrm{T}$ is surj. Suppose T is surj. $\forall w, x \in W, \ \exists \ u, v \in V, Tu = w, Tv = x \Rightarrow \forall w+\mathrm{i}x \in W_{\mathbf{C}}, \ \exists \ u+\mathrm{i}v \in V, T(u+\mathrm{i}v) = w+\mathrm{i}x \Rightarrow T_{\mathbf{C}}$ is surj. $\Rightarrow \Box$

ENDED

3·C

• Note For [3.47]:
$$LHS = (AC)_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} C_{r,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (A_{j,\cdot})_{1,r} (C_{\cdot,k})_{r,1} = (A_{j,\cdot}C_{\cdot,k})_{1,1} = A_{j,\cdot}C_{\cdot,k} = RHS.$$

• Note For [3.48]:

••Suppose $C \in \mathbf{F}^{m,c}$, $R \in \mathbf{F}^{c,p}$.

(a)
$$\bullet$$
 For $k = 1, ..., p$, $(CR)_{\cdot,k} = CR_{\cdot,k} = C_{\cdot,r}R_{\cdot,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{c} C_{\cdot,r}R_{r,k} = R_{1,k}C_{\cdot,1} + \cdots + R_{c,k}C_{\cdot,c}$

(b) •For
$$j = 1, ..., m$$
, $(CR)_{j,.} = C_{j,.}R = C_{j,.}R_{.,.} = \sum_{r=1}^{c} C_{j,r}R_{r,.} = C_{j,1}R_{1,.} + \cdots + C_{j,c}R_{c,.}$

• Example:

•
$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{F}^{2,3}.$$

$$\bullet P_{\cdot,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} = 5 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 8 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 21 \\ 47 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\bullet P_{\cdot,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} = 6 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 9 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 24 \\ 54 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\bullet P_{\cdot,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} = 7 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + 10 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 27 \\ 61 \end{pmatrix};$$

•
$$P_{1,\cdot} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 24 & 27 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$P_{2,\cdot} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} = 3 \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix} + 4 \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 47 & 54 & 61 \end{pmatrix};$$

• Note For [3.52]: $A \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$, $c \in \mathbf{F}^{n,1} \Rightarrow Ac \in \mathbf{F}^{m,1}$

$$(Ac)_{j,1} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r} c_{r,1} = \left[\sum_{r=1}^{n} (A_{\cdot,r} c_{r,1}) \right]_{j,1} = (c_1 A_{\cdot,1} + \dots + c_n A_{\cdot,n})_{j,1}$$

$$\therefore Ac = A_{.,c_{.,1}} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{.,r} c_{r,1} = c_1 A_{.,1} + \dots + c_n A_{.,n} \quad \text{OR. By } (Ac)_{.,1} = Ac_{.,1} \text{ Using (a) above.}$$

• EXERCISE 11:

$$\therefore aC = a_{1,\cdot}C_{\cdot,\cdot} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} a_{1,r}C_{r,\cdot} = a_{1}C_{1,\cdot} + \dots + a_{n}C_{n,\cdot}$$
 OR. By $(aC)_{1,\cdot} = a_{1,\cdot}C$. Using (b) above.

 COLUMN-ROW FACTORIZATION (CR Factorization)

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m,n}$, $A \neq 0$. Let $S_c = \operatorname{span}(A_{1}, \dots, A_{n}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{m,1}$, $\dim S_c = c.\bullet$

And
$$S_r = \operatorname{span}(A_{1,r}, \dots, A_{n,r}) \subseteq \mathbf{F}^{1,n}, \dim S_r = r.$$

Prove that A = CR. $\exists C \in \mathbf{F}^{m,c}$, $R \in \mathbf{F}^{c,n}$.

SOLUTION: Notice that $A \neq 0 \Rightarrow c, r \geq 1$.

Let $(C_{.1},...,C_{.c})$ be a basis of S_c , forming $C \in \mathbb{F}^{m,c}$.

Then for any $A_{\cdot,k}$, $A_{\cdot,k} = R_{1,k}C_{\cdot,1} + \dots + R_{c,k}C_{\cdot,c} = (CR)_{\cdot,k}$, $\exists ! R_{1,k}, \dots, R_{c,k} \in F$.

Hence, by letting $R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{1,1} & \cdots & R_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{c,1} & \cdots & R_{c,n} \end{pmatrix}$, we have A = CR.

OR. Let (R_1, \dots, R_c) be a basis of S_r , forming $R \in \mathbf{F}^{c,n}$.

For any $A_{j,\cdot}$, $A_{j,\cdot} = C_{j,1}R_{1,\cdot} + \cdots + C_{j,c}R_{c,\cdot} = (CR)_{j,\cdot}$, $\exists ! C_{j,1}, \dots, C_{j,c} \in F$. Similarly.

EXAMPLE:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\ 26 & 19 & 12 & 5 \\ 46 & 33 & 20 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 7 & 4 & 1 \\ 26 & 19 & 12 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{(2)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 19 & 12 \\ 33 & 20 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (1) •Because $\begin{pmatrix} 46 & 33 & 20 & 7 \end{pmatrix} = 2\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 7 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 26 & 19 & 12 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$.
 - •Hence dim $S_r = 2$. We choose $(A_{1,\cdot}, A_{2,\cdot})$ as the basis.

(2) •Because
$$\begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 26 \\ 46 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 19 \\ 33 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 12 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 12 \\ 20 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 19 \\ 33 \end{pmatrix}.$$

•Hence dim $S_c = 2$. We choose $(A_{.2}, A_{.3})$ as the basis.

• COLUMN RANK EQUALS ROW RANK (Using the notation above) •

For any
$$A_{i,.} \in S_r$$
, $A_{i,.} = (CR)_{i,.} = C_{i,1}R = C_{i,1}R_{1,.} + \dots + C_{i,c}R_{c,.}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 span $(A_{1,r}, \dots, A_{m_r}) = S_r = \text{span}(R_{1,r}, \dots, R_{c,r}) \Rightarrow \dim S_r = r \le c = \dim S_c. \bullet$

Apply the result to
$$A^t \in \mathbf{F}^{n,m} \Rightarrow \dim S_r^t = \dim S_c = c \le r = \dim S_r = \dim S_c^t$$
.

- • Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and u_1, \dots, u_n and v_1, \dots, v_n are bases of V.
 - Prove that the following are equi. Here $A = \mathcal{M}(T)$ means $\mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, ..., u_n), (v_1, ..., v_n))$.
 - (a) $\bullet T$ is inje.
 - (b) The cols of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ are linely inde in $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.
 - (c) The cols of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ span $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.
 - (d) The rows of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ span $\mathbf{F}^{1,n}$.
 - (e) The rows of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ are linely inde in $\mathbf{F}^{1,n}$.

SOLUTION: T is inje \iff dim $V = \dim \operatorname{range} T + \dim \operatorname{null} T = \dim \operatorname{range} T$

$$\iff$$
 $(Tu_1, ..., Tu_n)$ is linely inde in V , and therefore is a basis of V

$$\iff (\mathcal{M}(Tu_1), \dots, \mathcal{M}(Tu_n)) \text{ is linely inde, as well as } (A_{\cdot,1}, \dots, A_{\cdot,n})$$

$$\iff$$
 $(A_{\cdot,1},\ldots,A_{\cdot,n})$ is a basis of $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z} \dim \operatorname{span} \left(A_{\cdot,1}, \ldots, A_{\cdot,n} \right) = \dim \operatorname{span} \left(A_{1,\cdot}, \ldots, A_{n,\cdot} \right) = n \end{array} \right) \\ \iff \left(A_{1,\cdot}, \ldots, A_{n,\cdot} \right) \text{ is a basis of } \mathbf{F}^{1,n}.$$

- • Suppose A is an m-by-n mtx with $A \neq 0$.
 - •Prove that the rank of A is 1 if and only if there exist $(c_1, ..., c_m) \in \mathbb{F}^m$ and $(d_1, ..., d_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$
 - •such that $A_{i,k} = c_i \cdot d_k$ for every j = 1, ..., m and every k = 1, ..., n.

SOLUTION: Using the notation in CR Factorization.

(a) Suppose
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \cdots & d_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 d_1 & \cdots & c_1 d_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_m d_1 & \cdots & c_m d_n \end{pmatrix}.$$
 ($\exists c_j, d_k \in \mathbf{F}, \forall j, k$)

$$\text{Then } S_c = \operatorname{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix}c_1d_1\\\vdots\\c_md_1\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}c_1d_2\\\vdots\\c_md_2\end{pmatrix},\dots,\begin{pmatrix}c_1d_n\\\vdots\\c_md_n\end{pmatrix}\right) = \operatorname{span}\left(\begin{pmatrix}c_1\\\vdots\\c_m\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

OR.
$$S_r = \operatorname{span} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 d_1 & \cdots & c_1 d_n \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} c_2 d_1 & \cdots & c_2 d_n \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} c_m d_1 & \cdots & c_m d_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{span} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \dots & d_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 Hence the rank of A is 1.

(b) Suppose the rank of
$$A$$
 is dim $S_c = \dim S_r = 1$
Let $c_j = \frac{A_{j,1}}{A_{1,1}} = \frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{1,2}} = \dots = \frac{A_{j,n}}{A_{1,n}}, \qquad d'_k = \frac{A_{1,k}}{A_{1,1}} = \frac{A_{2,k}}{A_{2,1}} = \dots = \frac{A_{m,k}}{A_{m,1}}. \quad (\forall j,k)$

$$\Rightarrow A_{j,k} = d'_k A_{j,1} = c_j A_{1,k} = c_j d'_k A_{1,1} = c_j d_k. \text{ Letting } d_k = d'_k A_{1,1}.$$

- **1** •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Show that with resp to each choice of bases of V and W,
 - the mtx of T has at least dim range T nonzero entries.

SOLUTION:

Let $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ and $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ be bases of V and W respectively. We prove by contradiction.

Suppose $A = \mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, ..., v_n), (w_1, ..., w_m))$ has at most (dim range T-1) nonzero entries.

Then by Pigeon Hole Principle, at least one of $A_{\cdot,k} = 0$.

Thus there are at most (dim range $T-1$) nonzero vectors in Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_n . While range $T=\operatorname{span}\left(Tv_1,\ldots,Tv_n\right)\Rightarrow \operatorname{dim}\operatorname{range} T\leq \operatorname{dim}\operatorname{range} T-1$. We get a contradiction	on.
3 •Suppose V and W are finite-dim and $T ∈ \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. •Prove that there exist a basis of V and a basis of W such that •[letting $A = \mathcal{M}(T)$ with resp to these bases], • $A_{k,k} = 1, A_{i,j} = 0$, where $1 ≤ k ≤ \dim \operatorname{range} T, i ≠ j$.	
SOLUTION: Let $R = (Tv_1,, Tv_n)$ be a basis of range T , extend it to the basis of W as $(Tv_1,, Tv_n, w_1,$ Let $\mathcal{K}_R = \operatorname{span}(v_1,, v_n)$. Let $(u_1,, u_m)$ be a basis of null T . Then $(v_1,, v_n, u_1,, u_m)$ is the basis of V .	(w_p) .
Thus $T(v_k) = Tv_k$, $T(u_j) = 0 \Rightarrow A_{k,k} = 1$, $A_{i,j}$ for each $k \in \{1,, \dim \operatorname{range} T\}$ and $j \in \{1,, d\}$	m }. \square
4 •Suppose $(v_1,, v_m)$ is a basis of V and W is finite-dim. Suppose $T ∈ \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. •Prove that there exists a basis $(w_1,, w_n)$ of W such that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. •Colution: If $Tv_1 = 0$, then we are done. If not then extend (Tv_1) .	
5 •Suppose (w_1, \ldots, w_n) is a basis of W and V is finite-dim. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. •Prove that there exists a basis (v_1, \ldots, v_m) of V such that • $[letting\ A = \mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, \ldots, v_m), (w_1, \ldots, w_n))], A_{1,\cdot} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Solution: Let (u_1, \ldots, u_m) be a basis of V . If $A_{1,\cdot} = 0$, then let $v_i = u_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we are done. Otherwise, $\begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,m} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$, choose one $A_{1,k} \neq 0$. Let $v_1 = \frac{u_k}{A_{1,k}}; v_j = u_{j-1} - A_{1,j-1}v_1 \text{for } j = 2, \ldots, k;$ $v_i = u_i - A_{1,i}v_1 \text{for } i = k+1, \ldots, n$. □	
 •Suppose V and W are finite-dim and T ∈ L(V, W). Prove that dim range T = 1 •if and only if there exist a basis of V and a basis of W such that •with resp to these bases, all entries of A = M(T) equal 1. SOLUTION: Denote the bases of V and W by B_V = (v₁,,v_n) and B_W = (w₁,,w_m) respective (a) Suppose B_V, B_W are the bases such that all entries of A equal 1. Then Tv_i = w₁ + ···· + w_m for all i = 1,,n. Hence dim range T = 1. (b) Suppose dim range T = 1. Then dim null T = dim V − 1. Let (u₂,,u_n) be a basis of null T. Extend it to a basis of V as (u₁, u₂,,u_n). Let w₁ = Tv₁ - w₂ - ··· - w_m. Extend it to B_W the basis of W. 	ely.

12 • *Give an example of 2-by-2 mtcs A and B such that AB* \neq *BA*.

Let $v_1 = u_1, v_i = u_1 + u_i$. Extend it to B_V the basis of V.

$$\textbf{Solution:} \ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **13** *Prove that the distr property holds for mtx add and mtx multi.*
 - •In other words, suppose A, B, C, D, E and F are mtcs

- •whose sizes are such that A(B+C) and (D+E)F make sense.
- Explain why AB + AC and DF + EF both make sense and prove that.

SOLUTION: Using [3.36], [3.43].

(a) Left distr: Suppose $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m,n}$ and $B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n,p}$.

Because
$$[A(B+C)]_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,r}(B+C)_{r,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (A_{j,r}B_{r,k} + A_{j,r}C_{r,k}).$$

Hence we conclude that $A(B+C) = AB + AC$.

OR. Let (e_1, \dots, e_M) be the standard basis of \mathbf{F}^M , where $M = \max\{m, n, p\}$.

Suppose
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^n, \mathbf{F}^m)$$
 such that $Te_k = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{j,k}e_j$ for each $k = 1, ..., n$. Then $\mathcal{M}(T) = A$.

Similarly, define S, R such that $\mathcal{M}(S) = B$, $\mathcal{M}(R) = C$.

Thus
$$T(S+R) = TS + TR$$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T(S+R)) = \mathcal{M}(TS+TR)$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T)[\mathcal{M}(S) + \mathcal{M}(R)] = \mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(S) + \mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(R)$
 $\Rightarrow A(B+C) = AB + AC.$

Suppose
$$\mathcal{M}(T) = D$$
, $\mathcal{M}(S) = E$, $\mathcal{M}(R) = F$.

Then
$$(T + S)R = TR + SR$$

(b) Right distr: Similarly.
$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}((T+S)R) = \mathcal{M}(TR) + \mathcal{M}(SR)$$
$$\Rightarrow [\mathcal{M}(T) + \mathcal{M}(S)]\mathcal{M}(R) = \mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(R) + \mathcal{M}(S)\mathcal{M}(R)$$
$$\Rightarrow (D+F)F + FF$$

- **14** *Prove that mtx multi is associ. In other words,*
 - •suppose A, B and C are mtcs whose sizes are such that (AB)C makes sense.
 - Explain why A(BC) makes sense and prove that (AB)C = A(BC).

SOLUTION:

Because
$$[(AB)C]_{j,k} = (AB)_{j,\cdot}C_{\cdot,k} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} (A_{j,s}B_{s,\cdot})C_{\cdot,k} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} A_{j,s}(B_{s,\cdot}C_{\cdot,k}) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} A_{j,s}(BC)_{s,k} = A(BC)_{j,k}$$

Hence we conclude that $(AB)C = A(BC)$.

OR. Suppose $A \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}$, $B \in \mathbf{F}^{n,p}$, $C \in \mathbf{F}^{p,s}$.

Let (e_1, \dots, e_M) be the standard basis of \mathbf{F}^M , where $M = \max\{m, n, p, s\}$.

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^n, \mathbf{F}^m)$ such that $Te_k = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{j,k}e_j$ for each k = 1, ..., n. Then $\mathcal{M}(T) = A$.

Similarly, define S, R such that $\mathcal{M}(S) = B, \mathcal{M}(R) = C$.

Hence
$$(TS)R = T(SR) \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}((TS)R) = \mathcal{M}(T(SR))$$

$$\Rightarrow [\mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(S)]\mathcal{M}(R) = \mathcal{M}(T)[\mathcal{M}(S)\mathcal{M}(R)]$$

$$\Rightarrow (AB)C = A(BC).$$

- **15** *Suppose A is an n-by-n mtx and* $1 \le j, k \le n$.
 - •Show that the entry in row j, col k, of A^3
 - (which is defined to mean AAA) is $\sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,p} A_{p,r} A_{r,k}$.

SOLUTION:

$$(AAA)_{j,k} = (AA)_{j,.}A_{.,k} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} (A_{j,p}A_{p,.})A_{.,k} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,p}A_{p,r}A_{r,k}.$$
OR.
$$(AAA)_{j,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (AA)_{j,r}A_{r,k} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\sum_{p=1}^{n} A_{j,p}A_{p,r})A_{r,k}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{n} (A_{j,1}A_{1,r}A_{r,k} + \dots + A_{j,n}A_{n,r}A_{r,k})$$

$$= A_{j,1} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{1,r}A_{r,k} + \dots + A_{j,n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{n,r}A_{r,k} = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{j,p}A_{p,r}A_{r,k}.$$

ENDED

3.D

• Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is inv. Show that T^{-1} is inv and $(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$.

$$TT^{-1} = (T^{-1})^{-1}T^{-1} = I \in \mathcal{L}(V)$$

$$T^{-1}T = T^{-1}(T^{-1})^{-1} = I \in \mathcal{L}(W)$$

$$\Rightarrow T = (T^{-1})^{-1}, \text{ by the uniques of inverse.}$$

- **1** •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ are both inv linear maps.
 - •Prove that $ST \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ is inv and that $(ST)^{-1} = T^{-1}S^{-1}$.

- **9** •Suppose V is finite-dim and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - •*Prove that ST is inv* \iff *S and T are inv.*

SOLUTION:

Suppose S, T are inv. Then $(ST)(T^{-1}S^{-1}) = (T^{-1}S^{-1})(ST) = I$. Hence ST is inv.

Suppose ST is inv. Let $R = (ST)^{-1} \Rightarrow R(ST) = (ST)R = I$.

$$Tv = 0 \Rightarrow v = R(ST)v = RS(Tv) = 0$$

$$\forall v \in V, v = (ST)Rv = S(TRv) \in \text{range } S$$
 \rightarrow T is inje, S is surj.

Notice that *V* is finite-dim. Hence *S*, *T* are inv.

OR. Suppose ST is inv but S or T is not inv (\Rightarrow not surj and inje).

If S is not inv then dim range $S < \dim V$ and by Problem (23) in (3.B),

 $\dim \operatorname{range} ST \leq \dim \operatorname{range} S < \dim V$. Thus ST is not surj. Contradicts.

If T is not inv then dim range T < 0. Similarly, ST is not surj. Contradicts.

10 •Suppose V is finite-dim and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that $ST = I \iff TS = I$.

SOLUTION:

Suppose
$$ST = I$$
. $Tv = 0 \Rightarrow v = STv = 0$ $v \in V \Rightarrow v = S(Tv) \in \text{range } S$ $\Rightarrow T$ is inje, S is surj.

Notice that *V* is finite-dim. Thus *T*, *S* are inv.

OR. By Problem (9), V is finite-dim and ST = I is inv $\Rightarrow S$, T are inv.

$$S((TS)v) = ST(Sv) = Sv \Rightarrow (TS)v = v \Rightarrow S \text{ is inv.}$$

OR.
$$ST = I \Rightarrow S = T^{-1} \Rightarrow S^{-1} = T$$
. $\not \supset S = S \Rightarrow TS = S^{-1}S = I$.

Reversing the roles of *S* and *T*, we conclude that $TS = I \Rightarrow ST = I$.

- **11** Suppose V is finite-dim and S, T, $U \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and STU = I.
 - •Show that T is inv and that $T^{-1} = US$.

SOLUTION: Using Problem (9) and (10).

$$\begin{split} (ST)U &= U(ST) = (US)T = T(US) = S(TU) = (TU)S = I. \\ \Rightarrow U^{-1} &= ST, \quad T^{-1} = US, \quad S^{-1} = TU. \end{split}$$

12 •*Show that the result in Exercise* 11 *can fail without the hypothesis that V is finite-dim.*

SOLUTION:

Let
$$V = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$$
, $S(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_2, \dots)$, $T(a_1, \dots) = (0, a_1, \dots)$, $U = I$. Then $STU = I$ but T^{-1} is not inv.

- **13** Suppose V is finite-dim and R, S, T ∈ $\mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that RST is surj.
 - •*Prove that S is inje.*

SOLUTION: By Problem (1) and (9), Notice that V is finite-dim. Then RST is inv.

$$(RST)^{-1} = ((RS)T)^{-1} = T^{-1}(RS)^{-1} = T^{-1}S^{-1}R^{-1}.$$

OR. Let
$$X = (RST)^{-1}$$
 $Tv = 0 \Rightarrow v = X(RSTv) = 0 \Rightarrow T$ is inje, and therefore is inv. $\forall v \in V, v = (RST)Xv \in \text{range } R \Rightarrow R$ is surj, and therefore is inv.

Thus
$$S = R^{-1}(RST)T^{-1}$$
 is inv.

- **15** •Prove that every linear map from $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$ to $\mathbf{F}^{m,1}$ is given by a mtx multi.
 - •In other words, prove that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^{n,1}, \mathbf{F}^{m,1})$, then $\exists A \in \mathbf{F}^{m,n}, Tx = Ax, \forall x \in \mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.

SOLUTION:

Let
$$E_i \in \mathbb{F}^{n,1}$$
 for each $i = 1, ..., n$ (where $M = \max\{m, n\}$) be such that $(E_i)_{j,1} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ 1, & i = j \end{cases}$

Then $(E_1, ..., E_n)$ is linely inde and thus is a basis of $\mathbf{F}^{n,1}$.

Similarly, let $(R_1, ..., R_m)$ be a basis of $\mathbf{F}^{m,1}$.

Suppose
$$T(E_i) = A_{1,i}R_1 + \dots + A_{m,i}R_m$$
 for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence by letting $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & \cdots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & \cdots & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$. \Box

COMMENT: $\mathcal{M}(T) = A$. Conversely it is true as well.

• •OR (10.A.2) •Suppose $A, B \in \mathbf{F}^{n,n}$. Prove that $AB = I \iff BA = I$.

SOLUTION: Using Problem (10) and (15).

Define
$$T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^{n,1}, \mathbf{F}^{n,1})$$
 by $Tx = Ax, Sx = Bx$ for all $x \in \mathbf{F}^{n,1}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(T) = A, \mathcal{M}(S) = B$.

Thus
$$AB = I \Leftrightarrow A(Bx) = x \Leftrightarrow T(Sx) = x \Leftrightarrow TS = I \Leftrightarrow ST = I \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)\mathcal{M}(T) = BA = I.\Box$$

• Note For [3.60]: Suppose (v_1, \dots, v_n) is a basis of V and (w_1, \dots, w_m) is a basis of W.•

Define
$$E_{i,j} \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$$
 by $E_{i,j}(v_x) = \delta_{ix}w_j$; $\delta_{ix} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq x \\ 1, & i = x \end{cases}$ Corollary: $E_{l,k}E_{i,j} = \delta_{jl}E_{i,k}$.

Denote $\mathcal{M}(E_{i,j})$ by $\mathcal{E}^{(j,i)}$. $(\mathcal{E}^{(j,i)})_{l,k} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq k \text{ or } j \neq l \\ 1, & i = k \text{ and } j = l \end{cases}$

Denote
$$\mathcal{M}(E_{i,j})$$
 by $\mathcal{E}^{(j,i)}$. $(\mathcal{E}^{(j,i)})_{l,k} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq k \text{ or } j \neq l \\ 1, & i = k \text{ and } j = l \end{cases}$

Because $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$ are iso. And $T = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M}(T)$, $E_{i,i} = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{(j,i)}$.

Hence
$$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W), \ \exists ! A_{i,j} \in \mathbf{F} \ (\forall i = \{1, ..., m\}, j = \{1, ..., n\}), \mathcal{M}(T) = A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & ... & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m,1} & ... & A_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Thus}\, A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}\mathcal{E}^{(1,1)} + & \cdots & +A_{1,n}\mathcal{E}^{(1,n)} \\ + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + \\ A_{m,1}\mathcal{E}^{(m,1)} + & \cdots & +A_{m,n}\mathcal{E}^{(m,n)} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Longleftrightarrow T = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1}E_{1,1} + & \cdots & +A_{1,n}E_{n,1} \\ + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + \\ A_{m,1}E_{1,m} + & \cdots & +A_{m,n}E_{n,m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{L}(V, W) = \operatorname{span}\left(\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} E_{1,1}, & \cdots & E_{n,1}, \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{1,m}, & \cdots & E_{n,m} \end{bmatrix}}_{B}; \quad \mathbf{F}^{m,n} = \operatorname{span}\left(\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}^{(1,1)}, & \cdots & \mathcal{E}^{(1,n)}, \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{E}^{(m,1)}, & \cdots & \mathcal{E}^{(m,n)} \end{bmatrix}}_{B_{m}}.\bullet$$

Hence by [2.42] and [3.61], we conclude that *B* is a basis of $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and that B_M is a basis of $\mathbf{F}^{m,n}$.

- \circ •Suppose V is finite-dim and S ∈ $\mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - Define $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(V))$ by $\mathcal{A}(T) = ST$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - (a) Show that dim null $A = (\dim V)(\dim \text{null } S)$.
 - (b) Show that dim range $A = (\dim V)(\dim \operatorname{range} S)$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) For all $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $ST = 0 \iff \text{range } T \subseteq \text{null } S$. Thus $\text{null } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}(V, \text{null } S)$.
- (b) For all $R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, range $R \subseteq \text{range } S \iff \exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V), R = ST$. (By Problem (25) in 3.B) Thus range $A = \mathcal{L}(V, \text{range } S)$.

OR. Using NOTE FOR [3.60].

Let (w_1, \dots, w_m) be a basis of range S, extend it to a basis of V as $(w_1, \dots, w_m, \dots, w_n)$.

Let $v_i \in V$ such that $Sv_i = w_i$ for m = 1, ..., m. Extend $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ to a basis of V as $(v_1, ..., v_m, ..., v_n)$. Define $E_{i,j} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $E_{i,j}(v_x) = \delta_{ix}w_i$.

Thus
$$S = E_{1,1} + \dots + E_{m,m}$$
; $\mathcal{M}(S, (v_1, \dots, v_n), (w_1, \dots, w_n)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Define $R_{i,j} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $R_{i,j}(w_x) = \delta_{ix}v_i$.

Let $E_{i,k}R_{i,j} = Q_{i,k}$, $R_{i,k}E_{i,j} = G_{i,k}$

$$\text{Let}\, E_{j,k} R_{i,j} = Q_{i,k}, \quad R_{j,k} E_{i,j} = G_{i,k} \\ \text{Because}\,\, \forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V), \quad \exists\, ! A_{i,j} \in \mathbf{F}\,(\,\forall i,j=1,\ldots,n\,), \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} R_{1,1} + & \cdots & + A_{1,m} R_{m,1} + & \cdots & + A_{1,n} R_{n,1} \\ + & \cdots & + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + & \cdots & + \\ A_{m,1} R_{1,m} + & \cdots & + A_{m,m} R_{m,m} + & \cdots & + A_{m,n} R_{n,m} \\ + & \cdots & + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + & \cdots & + \\ A_{n,1} R_{1,n} + & \cdots & + A_{n,m} R_{m,n} + & \cdots & + A_{n,n} R_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(T) = ST = (\sum_{r=1}^{m} E_{r,r}) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j} R_{j,i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j} Q_{j,i} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} Q_{1,1} + & \cdots & + A_{1,m} Q_{m,1} + & \cdots & + A_{1,n} Q_{n,1} \\ + & \cdots & + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + & \cdots & + \\ A_{m,1} Q_{1,m} + & \cdots & + A_{m,m} Q_{m,m} + & \cdots & + A_{m,n} Q_{n,m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus null
$$\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{span}\begin{pmatrix} R_{1,m+1}, & \cdots & R_{n,m+1}, \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{1,n}', & \cdots & R_{n,n}' \end{pmatrix}$$
, range $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{span}\begin{pmatrix} Q_{1,1}, & \cdots & Q_{n,1}, \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_{1,m}', & \cdots & Q_{n,m}' \end{pmatrix}$.

Hence (a) dim null $A = n \times (n - m)$; (b) dim range $A = n \times m$.

COMMENT: Define $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(V))$ by $\mathcal{B}(T) = TS$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Similarly,
$$\mathcal{B}(T) = TS = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j} R_{j,i}) (\sum_{r=1}^{m} E_{r,r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{i,j} G_{j,i} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} G_{1,1} + & \cdots & + A_{1,m} G_{m,1} \\ + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + \\ A_{m,1} G_{1,m} + & \cdots & + A_{m,m} G_{m,m} \\ + & \cdots & + \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ + & \cdots & + \\ A_{n,1} G_{1,n} + & \cdots & + A_{n,m} G_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

- •OR (10.A.1) •Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is a basis of V.
 - •Prove that $\mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, ..., v_n))$ is inv \iff T is inv.

SOLUTION:

Notice that \mathcal{M} is an iso of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ onto $\mathbf{F}^{n,n}$.

- (a) $T^{-1}T = TT^{-1} = I \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T^{-1})\mathcal{M}(T) = \mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(T^{-1}) = I \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T^{-1}) = \mathcal{M}(T)^{-1}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(T)^{-1} = \mathcal{M}(T)^{-1}\mathcal{M}(T) = I$. $\exists ! S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that $\mathcal{M}(T)^{-1} = \mathcal{M}(S)$
- $\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T)\mathcal{M}(S) = \mathcal{M}(S)\mathcal{M}(T) = I = \mathcal{M}(TS) = \mathcal{M}(ST) \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M}(TS) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{M}(ST) = I = TS = ST \Rightarrow S = T^{-1}. \end{array}$
- •OR (10.A.4) •Suppose that $(\beta_1, ..., \beta_n)$ and $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$ are bases of V.
 - •Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be such that $Tv_k = u_k$ for each k = 1, ..., n.
 - •Prove that $\mathcal{M}(T,(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n))=\mathcal{M}(I,(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n),(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)).$

SOLUTION:

For ease of notation, let $\mathcal{M}(T, \alpha \to \beta) = \mathcal{M}(T, (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n), (\beta_1, ..., \beta_n)), \ \mathcal{M}(T, \alpha \to \alpha) = \mathcal{M}(T, (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)).$

Denote $\mathcal{M}(T, \alpha \to \alpha)$ by A and $\mathcal{M}(I, \beta \to \alpha)$ by B.

$$\forall k \in \{1, ..., n\}, Iu_k = u_k = B_{1,k}\alpha_1 + \dots + B_{n,k}\alpha_n = Tv_k = A_{1,k}\alpha_1 + \dots + A_{n,k}\alpha_n \Rightarrow A = B.$$

OR. Note that $\mathcal{M}(T, \alpha \to \beta)$ is the identity mtx.

$$\mathcal{M}(T,\alpha \to \alpha) = \mathcal{M}(I,\beta \to \alpha) \underbrace{\mathcal{M}(T,\alpha \to \beta)}_{=\mathcal{M}(I,\beta \to \beta)} = \mathcal{M}(I,\beta \to \alpha).$$

OR. Note that $\mathcal{M}(T, \beta \to \beta)\mathcal{M}(I, \alpha \to \beta) = \mathcal{M}(T, \alpha \to \beta) = I$.

$$\mathcal{M}(T,\alpha\to\alpha)=\mathcal{M}(I,\alpha\to\beta)^{-1}[\underbrace{\mathcal{M}(T,\beta\to\beta)\mathcal{M}(I,\alpha\to\beta)}]=\mathcal{M}(I,\beta\to\alpha).$$

COMMENT: Denote $\mathcal{M}(T, \beta \to \beta)$ by A'.

$$u_k = Iu_k = B_{1,k}\alpha_1 + \dots + B_{n,k}\alpha_n, \ \forall \ k \in \{1,\dots,n\}.$$

OR.
$$\mathcal{M}(T, \beta \to \beta) = \mathcal{M}(T, \alpha \to \beta)\mathcal{M}(I, \beta \to \alpha) = B$$
.

16 • Suppose V is finite-dim and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

•Prove that $\exists \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, S = \lambda I \iff ST = TS \text{ for every } T \in \mathcal{L}(V).$

SOLUTION: Using the notation and result in (o).

Suppose $S = \lambda I$. Then $ST = TS = \lambda T$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Conversely, if S = 0, then we are done.

Suppose $S \neq 0$, ST = TS, $\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Let
$$S=E_{1,1}+\cdots+E_{m,m}\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S,(v_1,\ldots,v_1))=\mathcal{M}(I,(w_1,\ldots,w_n),(v_1,\ldots,v_n)).$$

Then $\forall k \in \{m+1,\ldots,n\}, 0 \neq SR_{k,1} = R_{k,1}S$. Hence $n = \dim V = \dim \operatorname{range} S = m$.

Note that
$$R_{i,j}S = SR_{i,j} \iff Q_{i,j} = G_{i,j}$$
. Thus $Q_{i,j}(w_i) = w_j = a_{i,i}v_j = G_{i,j}(a_{1,i}v_1 + \dots + a_{n,i}v_n)$. Where: $a_{i,j} = \mathcal{M}(I, (w_1, \dots, w_n), (v_1, \dots, v_n))_{i,j} \iff w_i = Iw_i = a_{1,i}v_1 + \dots + a_{n,i}v_n$;

For each j, for all i. Thus $a_{i,i} = a_{k,k} = \lambda$, $\forall k \neq i$.

Hence
$$w_i = \lambda v_i \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}(\lambda I, (v_1, \dots, v_n)) \Rightarrow S = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathcal{M}(\lambda I)) = \lambda I.$$

- •OR (10.A.3) •Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - •Prove that T has the same mtx with resp to every basis of V
 - ullet if and only if T is a scalar multi of the identity optor.

SOLUTION: [Compare with the first solution of Problem (16) in (3.A)]

Suppose $T = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then T has the same mtx with resp to every basis of V.

Conversely, if T = 0, then we are done; Suppose $T \neq 0$. And v is a nonzero vector in V.

Assume that (v, Tv) is linely inde.

Extend (v, Tv) to a basis of V as $(v, Tv, u_3, ..., u_n)$. Let $B = \mathcal{M}(T, (v, Tv, u_3, ..., u_n))$.

$$\Rightarrow Tv = B_{1,1}v + B_{2,1}(Tv) + B_{3,1}u_3 + \dots + B_{n,1}u_n \Rightarrow B_{2,1} = 1, B_{i,1} = 0, \forall i \neq 2.$$

By assumption, $A = \mathcal{M}(T, (v, w_2, \dots, w_n)) = B$ for any basis (v, w_2, \dots, w_n) . Then $A_{2,1} = 1, A_{i,1} = 0$ (···).

 \Rightarrow $Tv = w_2$, which is not true if we let $w_2 = u_3$, $w_3 = Tv$, $w_j = u_j$ (j = 4, ..., n). Contradicts.

Hence (v, Tv) is linely depe $\Rightarrow \forall v \in V$, $\exists \lambda_v \in \mathbf{F}, Tv = \lambda_v v$.

Now we show that λ_v is independent of v, that is,

to show that for any two nonzero distinct vectors $v, w \in V, \lambda_v = \lambda_w$. Thus $T = \lambda I, \exists \lambda \in \mathbf{F}$.

$$(v,w) \text{ is linely inde} \Rightarrow T(v+w) = \lambda_{v+w}(v+w) = \lambda_{v+w}v + \lambda_{v+w}w \\ = \lambda_v v + \lambda_w w = Tv + Tw \Rightarrow \lambda_{v+w} = \lambda_v = \lambda_w \\ (v,w) \text{ is linely depe, } w = cv \Rightarrow Tw = \lambda_w w = \lambda_w cv = c\lambda_v v = T(cv) \Rightarrow \lambda_v = \lambda_w \end{cases} \Rightarrow \Box$$

OR. Conversely, denote $\mathcal{M}(T,(u_1,\ldots,u_m))$ by A, where the basis (u_1,\ldots,u_m) is arbitrarily chosen.

Fix one basis (v_1, \dots, v_m) and then $(v_1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}v_k, \dots, v_m)$ is also a basis for any given $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Fix one *k*. Now we have
$$T(\frac{1}{2}v_k) = A_{1,k}v_1 + \dots + A_{k,k}(\frac{1}{2}v_k) + \dots + A_{m,k}v_m$$

$$\Rightarrow Tv_k = 2A_{1,k}v_1 + \dots + A_{k,k}v_k + \dots + 2A_{m,k}v_m = A_{1,k}v_1 + \dots + A_{k,k}v_k + \dots + A_{m,k}v_m.$$

Then $A_{j,k} = 2A_{j,k} \Rightarrow A_{j,k} = 0$ for all $j \neq k$. Thus $Tv_k = A_{k,k}v_k$, $\forall k \in \{1, ..., m\}$.

Now we show that $A_{k,k} = A_{i,j}$ for all $j \neq k$. Choose j,k arbitrarily but $j \neq k$.

Consider the basis $(v'_1, \dots, v'_j, \dots, v'_k, \dots, v'_m)$,

where
$$v'_{i} = v_{k}$$
, $v_{k}' = v_{i}$ and $v'_{i} = v_{i}$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., m\} \setminus \{j, k\}$.

Remember that $\mathcal{M}(T,({v'}_1,\ldots,{v'}_m))=\mathcal{M}(T,({v}_1,\ldots,{v}_m))=A.$

Hence
$$T(v'_k) = A_{1,k}v'_1 + \dots + A_{k,k}v'_k + \dots + A_{m,k}v'_m = A_{k,k}v'_k = A_{k,k}v_j$$
, while $T(v'_k) = T(v_j) = A_{j,j}v_j$.

Thus
$$A_{k,k} = A_{j,j}$$
.

- **17** •Suppose V is finite-dim. Show that the only two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ are $\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - A subsp \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is called a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ if $TE \in \mathcal{E}, ET \in \mathcal{E}, \ \forall E \in \mathcal{E}, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Solution: Using Note For [3.60]. Let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be a basis of V. If $\mathcal{E} = 0$, then we are done.

Suppose $\mathcal{E} \neq 0$ and \mathcal{E} is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}(V)$.

Then for any
$$E_{i,j} \in \mathcal{E}$$
, ($\forall x, y = 1, ..., n$), by assumption, $E_{j,x}E_{i,j} = E_{i,x} \in \mathcal{E}$, $E_{i,j}E_{y,i} = E_{y,j} \in \mathcal{E}$.

Again,
$$E_{y,x'}, E_{y',x} \in \mathcal{E}$$
 for all $x', y', x, y = 1, ..., n$. Thus $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}(V)$.

18 •*Show that V and* $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V)$ *are iso vector spaces.*

SOLUTION:

Define $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V))$ by $\Psi(v) = \Psi_v$; where $\Psi_v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V)$ and $\Psi_v(\lambda) = \lambda v$.

(a)
$$\Psi(v) = \Psi_v = 0 \Rightarrow \forall \lambda \in F, \Psi_v(\lambda) = \lambda v = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0$$
. Hence Ψ is inje.

(b)
$$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V)$$
, let $v = T(1) \Rightarrow T(\lambda) = \lambda v = \Psi_v(\lambda)$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F} \Rightarrow T = \Psi(T(1))$. Hence Ψ is surj.

OR. Define $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V), V)$ by $\Phi(T) = T(1)$. (a) Suppose $\Phi(T) = 0 = T(1) = \lambda T(1) = T(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow T = 0$. Thus Φ is inje. (b) For any $v \in V$, define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V)$ by $T(\lambda) = \lambda v$. Then $\Phi(T) = T(1) = v$. Thus Φ is surj. Comment: $\Phi = \Psi^{-1}$.

• • Suppose $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$. Prove that $\exists p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}), q(x) = (x^2 + x)p^{''}(x) + 2xp^{'}(x) + p(3), \forall x \in \mathbf{R}$.

SOLUTION:

Note that $deg[(x^2 + x)p''(x) + 2xp'(x) + p(3)] = deg p$.

Define $T_n: \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R})$ by $T_n(p) = (x^2 + x)p''(x) + 2xp'(x) + p(3)$.

As can be easily checked, T_n is an optor.

Because $\deg(T_n p) = \deg p$. If $T_n(p) = 0 \Rightarrow \deg(T_n p) = -\infty$, then $\deg p = -\infty \Rightarrow p = 0$.

Hence T_n is inje and therefore is surj.

For all $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$, if q = 0, let m = 0; if $q \neq 0$, let $m = \deg q$. We have $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{R})$.

Hence $\exists p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{R}), q(x) = T_m(p) = (x^2 + x)p''(x) + 2xp'(x) + p(3)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

- **19** Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))$ is inje. $\deg Tp \leq \deg p$ for every nonzero $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$.
 - (a) •*Prove that T is surj.*
 - (b) Prove that for every nonzero p, $\deg Tp = \deg p$.

SOLUTION:

- (a) T is inje $\iff T|_{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is inje for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ \iff $T|_{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R})}$ is surj for any $n \in \mathbf{N}^+ \iff T$ is surj.
- (b) Using mathematical induction.
 - (i) $\bullet \deg p = 0 \Rightarrow p = C \Rightarrow \deg Tp = \deg p = 0$.
 - $\bullet \deg p = -\infty \Rightarrow p = 0 \Rightarrow \deg Tp = \deg p = -\infty.$
 - (ii) •Suppose deg $f = \deg Tf$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then suppose deg $g = n + 1, g \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$.
 - •Assume that $\deg Tg < \deg g \ (\Rightarrow \deg Tg \leq n, Tg \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R}) \).$
 - •Then by (a), $\exists f \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R}), T(f) = (Tg). \ \ \ \ \ \ \ T \text{ is inje} \Rightarrow f = g.$
 - •While $\deg f = \deg Tf = \deg Tg < \deg g$. Contradicts the assumption.
 - •Hence deg $Tp = \deg p$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$.

Thus $\deg Tp = \deg p$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$.

• • Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is a list in V such that $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m)$ spans V. • Prove that (v_1, \ldots, v_m) spans V.

SOLUTION:

Because $V=\mathrm{span}\,(Tv_1,\ldots,Tv_m)\Rightarrow T$ is surj, $\not\boxtimes V$ is finite-dim $\Rightarrow T$ is inv $\Rightarrow T^{-1}$ is inv.

$$\forall v \in V, \ \exists \ a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v = a_1 T v_1 + \dots + a_n T v_n \Rightarrow T^{-1} v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \Rightarrow \operatorname{range} T^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n). \square$$

OR. Reduce $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_n)$ to a basis of V as $(Tv_{\alpha_1}, ..., Tv_{\alpha_m})$, where $m = \dim V$ and $\alpha_i \in \{1, ..., m\}$.

Then $(v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, v_{\alpha_m})$ is linely inde of length m, therefore is a basis of V, contained in the list (v_1, \dots, v_m) .

- **2** *Suppose V is finite-dim and* dim V > 1.
 - •Prove that the set of non-inv optors on V is not a subsp of $\mathcal{L}(V)$.

SOLUTION: Denote the set by U.

Suppose dim V = n > 1. Let $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ be a basis of V.

Define $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $S(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1v_1$ and $T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_2v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$.

Hence S + T = I is inv. Thus *U* is not closed under add and therefore is not a subsp. **C**OMMENT: If dim V = 1, then $U = \{0\}$ is a subsp of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. **3** • Suppose V is finite-dim, U is a subsp of V, and $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$. •Prove that there exists an inv $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ such that • Tu = Su for every $u \in U$ if and only if S is inje. [Compare this with (3.A.11).] **SOLUTION:** (a) Tu = Su for every $u \in U \Rightarrow u = T^{-1}Su \Rightarrow S$ is inje. OR. $\text{null } S = \text{null } T \cap U = \{0\} \cap U = \{0\}$. (b) Suppose $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ be a basis of U and S is inje $\Rightarrow (Su_1, ..., Su_m)$ is linely inde in V. Extend these to bases of V as $(u_1, \ldots, u_m, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ and $(Su_1, \ldots, Su_m, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $T(u_i) = Su_i$; $Tv_i = w_i$, for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. **4** •Suppose that W is finite-dim and $S,T \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$. • Prove that $\operatorname{null} S = \operatorname{null} T (= U) \iff S = ET, \exists inv E \in \mathcal{L}(W).$ **SOLUTION:** Define $E \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ by $E(Tv_i) = Sv_i$, $E(w_i) = x_j$, for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Where: Let $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m)$ be a basis of range T, extend it to a basis of W as $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m, w_1, ..., w_n)$. Let (u_1, \ldots, u_n) be a basis of U. Then by (3.B.TIPS), $(v_1, \ldots, v_m, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is a basis of V. $\therefore E$ is inv \mathbb{X} null $S = \text{null } T \Rightarrow V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \oplus \text{null } S \Rightarrow \text{span}(Sv_1, \dots, Sv_m) = \text{range } S$. and S = ET. And dim range $T = \dim \operatorname{range} S = \dim V - \operatorname{null} U = m$. Hence (Sv_1, \dots, Sv_m) is a basis of range S. Thus we let $(Sv_1, ..., Sv_m, x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a basis of W. Conversely, $S = ET \Rightarrow \text{null } S = \text{null } ET$. Then $v \in \text{null } ET \iff ET(v) = 0 \iff Tv = 0 \iff v \in \text{null } T$. Hence null ET = null T = null S. **5** •Suppose that W is finite-dim and $S,T \in \mathcal{L}(V,W)$. • Prove that range $S = \text{range } T(=R) \iff S = TE, \exists inv E \in \mathcal{L}(V).$ **SOLUTION:** Define $E \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ as $E: v_i \mapsto r_i$; $u_j \mapsto s_j$; for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Where: Let $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m)$ and $(Sr_1, ..., Sr_m)$ be bases of R such that $\forall i, Tv_i = Sr_i$. Let $(u_1, ..., u_n)$ and $(s_1, ..., s_n)$ be bases of null T and null S respectively. \therefore *E* is inv and S = TE. Thus $(v_1, \ldots, v_m, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ and $(r_1, \ldots, r_m, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ are bases of V. Conversely, $S = TE \Rightarrow \text{range } S = \text{range } TE$.

Then $w \in \text{range } S \iff \exists v \in V, Sv = TE(v) = T(E(v)) = w \in \text{range } T$. Hence range S = range T.

•Prove that $S = E_2TE_1$, $\exists inv E_1 \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $E_2 \in \mathcal{L}(W) \iff \dim \text{null } S = \dim \text{null } T$.

 $[\dim \operatorname{null} S = \dim \operatorname{null} T = n]$

6 •Suppose V and W are finite-dim and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Define $E_1: v_i \mapsto r_i$; $u_i \mapsto s_i$; for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$.

Define $E_2: Tv_i \mapsto Sr_i$; $x_i \mapsto y_i$; for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Where:

SOLUTION:

Let $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m)$ and $(Sr_1, ..., Sr_m)$ be bases of range T and range S. Let $(u_1, ..., u_n)$ and $(s_1, ..., s_n)$ be bases of null T and null S respectively. Thus $(v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $(r_1, \dots, r_m, s_1, \dots, s_n)$ are bases of V. $|: E_1, E_2 \text{ are inv and } S = E_2 T E_1.$ Extend $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m)$ and $(Sr_1, ..., Sr_m)$ to bases of W as $(Tv_1, ..., Tv_m, x_1, ..., x_p)$ and $(Sr_1, ..., Sr_m, y_1, ..., y_p)$. Conversely, $S = E_2 T E_1 \Rightarrow \dim \text{ null } S = \dim \text{ null } E_2 T E_1$. $v \in \operatorname{null} E_2 T E_1 \iff E_2 T E_1(v) = 0 \iff T E_1(v) = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{null} E_2 T E_1 = \operatorname{null} T E_1 = \operatorname{null} S$. $X \rightarrow By (3.B.22.COROLLARY)$, $E \rightarrow By (3.B.22$ **8** • Suppose V is finite-dim and $T: V \to W$ is a surj linear map of V onto W. •Prove that there is a subsp U of V such that $T|_U$ is an iso of U onto W. • $T|_U$ is the function whose domain is U, with $T|_U$ defined by $T|_U(u) = Tu$ for every $u \in U$. **SOLUTION:** T is surj \Rightarrow range $T = W \Rightarrow \dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim W = \dim V - \dim \operatorname{null} T$. Let $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ be a basis of range $T = W \Rightarrow \forall w_i, \exists ! v_i \in V, Tv_i = w_i$. $\Rightarrow (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is a basis of \mathcal{K} . Thus dim $\mathcal{K} = \dim W$. Thus $T|_{\mathcal{K}}$ maps a basis of \mathcal{K} to a basis of range T = W. Denote \mathcal{K} by U. OR. By Problem (12) in (3.B), there is a subsp U of V such that $U \cap \text{null } T = \{0\} = \text{null } T|_{U}, \text{ range } T = \{Tu : u \in U\} = \text{range } T|_{U}.$ • Suppose V and W are finite-dim and U is a subsp of V. •Let $\mathcal{E} = \{ T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : U \subseteq \text{null } T \}.$ (a) • Show that \mathcal{E} is a subsp of $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$. (b) • Find a formula for dim \mathcal{E} in terms of dim V, dim W and dim U. •Hint: Define $\Phi : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \to L(U, W)$ by $\Phi(T) = T|_{U}$. What is null Φ ? What is range Φ ? **SOLUTION:** (a) $\forall S, T \in \mathcal{E}, \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, \forall u \in U, Su = \lambda Tu = (S + \lambda T)u = 0 \Rightarrow (S + \lambda T) \in \mathcal{E}.$ (b) Define Φ as in the hint. Because $T \in \text{null } \Phi \Longleftrightarrow \Phi(T) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \forall u \in U, Tu = 0 \Longleftrightarrow T \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence null $\Phi = \mathcal{E}$. Because $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W) \Rightarrow \exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W), \Phi(T) = S$, by (3.B.11) $\Rightarrow S \in \text{range } T$. Hence range $\Phi = \mathcal{L}(U, W)$. Thus dim null $\Phi = \dim \mathcal{E} = \dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) - \dim \operatorname{range} \Phi = (\dim V - \dim U) \dim W$. OR. Extend (u_1, \dots, u_m) a basis of U to $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ a basis of V. Let $p = \dim W$. (See NOTE FOR [3.60]) $\forall T \in \mathcal{E}, k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, TE_{k,k} = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{matrix} E_{1,1}, & \cdots & , E_{m,1}, \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{1,p}', & \cdots & , E_{m,p} \end{matrix} \right\} \cap \mathcal{E} = \{0\}.$ Denote it by R $\mathbb{X} W = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} E_{m+1,1}, & \cdots & , E_{n,1}, \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{r} & & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{E}. \text{ Where } \mathcal{L}(V, W) = R \oplus W \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V, W) = R + \mathcal{E}.$

Then $\dim \mathcal{E} = \dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) - \dim R - \dim(R \cap \mathcal{E}) = (\dim V - \dim U) \dim W$.

3.E

- **2** •Suppose V_1, \dots, V_m are vecsps such that $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$ is finite-dim.
 - Prove that every V_i is finite-dim.

SOLUTION: Denote $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$ by U. Denote $\{0\} \times \cdots \{0\} \times V_i \times \{0\} \cdots \times \{0\}$ by U_i .

Let $(v_1, ..., v_M)$ be a basis of U. Note that $\forall u_i \in V_i, \in U_i \subseteq U$, for each i.

Define
$$R_i \in \mathcal{L}(V_i, U)$$
 by $R_i(u_i) = (0, ..., 0, u_i, 0, ..., 0)$.
Define $S_i \in \mathcal{L}(U, V_i)$ by $S_i(u_1, ..., u_i, ..., u_m) = u_i$ $\Rightarrow S_i|_{U_i} = R_i^{-1}|_{U_i}$.

Thus U_i and V_i are iso. X X Y is a subsp of a finite-dim vecsp Y.

3 • Give an example of a vecsp V and its two subsps U_1 , U_2 such that

• $U_1 \times U_2$ and $U_1 + U_2$ are iso but $U_1 + U_2$ is not a direct sum.

SOLUTION:

NOTE that at least one of U_1 , U_2 must be infinite-dim.

For if not, $U_1 \times U_2$ is finite-dim and $\dim(U_1 \times U_2) = \dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2$.

And V must be infinite-dim. For if not, both U_1 and U_2 are finite-dim subsps.

Let
$$V = \mathbf{F}^{\infty} = U_1, U_2 = \{(x, 0, \dots) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty} : x \in \mathbf{F}\}.$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Define } T \in \mathcal{L}(U_1 \times U_2, U_1 + U_2) \text{ by } T((x_1, x_2, \cdots), (x, 0, \cdots)) = (x, x_1, x_2, \cdots) \\ \text{Define } S \in \mathcal{L}(U_1 + U_2, U_1 \times U_2) \text{ by } S(x, x_1, x_2, \cdots) = ((x_1, x_2, \cdots), (x, 0, \cdots)) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow S = T^{-1}. \qquad \square$$

- **4** Suppose V_1, \ldots, V_m are vecsps.
 - •Prove that $\mathcal{L}(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m, W)$ and $\mathcal{L}(V_1, W) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}(V_m, W)$ are iso.

SOLUTION: Using the notations in Problem (2).

Note that
$$T(u_1, ..., u_m) = T(u_1, 0, ..., 0) + \cdots + T(0, ..., u_m)$$
.

Define
$$\varphi: T \mapsto (T_1, \dots, T_m)$$
 by $\varphi(T) = (TR_1, \dots, TR_m)$.
Define $\psi: (T_1, \dots, T_m) \mapsto T$ by $\psi(T_1, \dots, T_m) = T_1S_1 + \dots + T_mS_m$. $\} \Rightarrow \psi = \varphi^{-1}$.

5 • Suppose $W_1, ..., W_m$ are vecsps.

•Prove that $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(V, W_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}(V, W_m)$ are iso.

SOLUTION: Using the notations in Problem (2).

Note that
$$Tv = (w_1, ..., w_m)$$
. Define $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(V, W_i)$ by $T_i(v) = w_i$.

Define
$$\varphi : T \mapsto (T_1, \dots, T_m)$$
 by $\varphi(T) = (S_1 T, \dots, S_m T)$.

Define
$$\varphi: T \mapsto (T_1, \dots, T_m)$$
 by $\varphi(T) = (S_1 T, \dots, S_m T)$.
Define $\psi: (T_1, \dots, T_m) \mapsto T$ by $\psi(T_1, \dots, T_m) = T_1 S_1 + \dots + T_m S_m$. $\} \Rightarrow \psi = \varphi^{-1}$.

6 •For $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, define V^m by $\underbrace{V \times \cdots \times V}_{m \text{ times}}$. Prove that V^m and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^m, V)$ are iso. **SOLUTION:**

Define $T:(v_1,\ldots,v_m)\to \varphi$, where $\varphi:(a_1,\ldots,a_m)\mapsto v$ is defined by $\varphi(a_1,\ldots,a_m)=a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m$.

- (a) Suppose $T(v_1, ..., v_m) = 0$. Then $\forall (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbf{F}^m, \varphi(a_1, ..., a_m) = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m = 0$ $\Rightarrow (v_1, \dots, v_m) = 0 \Rightarrow T$ is inje.
- (b) Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^m, V)$. Let (e_1, \dots, e_m) be the standard basis of \mathbf{F}^m . Then $\forall (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbf{F}^m$, $(T(\psi(e_1), \dots, \psi(e_m)) \)(b_1, \dots, b_m) = b_1 \psi(e_1) + \dots + b_m \psi(e_m) = \psi(b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_m e_m) = \psi(b_1, \dots, b_m).$ Thus $T(\psi(e_1), \dots, \psi(e_m)) = \psi$. Hence T is surj.

7 •Suppose $v, x \in V$ (chosen arbitrarily) and U and W are subsps of V.

• Suppose v + U = x + W. Prove that U = W.

SOLUTION:

- (a) $\forall u \in U$, $\exists w \in W, v + u = x + w$, let u = 0, now $v = x + w \Rightarrow v x \in W$.
- (b) $\forall w \in W$, $\exists u \in U, v + u = x + w$, let w = 0, now $x = v + u \Rightarrow x v \in U$.

Thus
$$\pm (v - x) \in U \cap W \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u = (x - v) + w \in W \Rightarrow U \subseteq W \\ w = (v - x) + u \in U \Rightarrow W \subseteq U \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow U = W.$$

- •Let $U = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2x + 3y + 5z = 0\}$. Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.
 - •Prove that A is a translate of $U \iff \exists c \in \mathbb{R}, A = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2x + 3y + 5z = c\}.$ [Do it in your mind.]

- • Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $c \in W$. Prove that $U = \{x \in V : Tx = c\}$ is either \emptyset
- •or is a translate of null *T*.

SOLUTION:

If $c \in W$ but $c \notin \text{range } T$, then $U = \emptyset$ and we are done.

Suppose $c \in \text{range } T$, then $\exists u \in V, Tu = c \Rightarrow u \in U$.

Suppose $y \in \text{null } T \Rightarrow y + u \in U \iff T(y + u) = Ty + c = c$.

Thus $u + \text{null } T \subseteq U$. Hence u + null T = U,

for if not, suppose $z \notin u + \text{null } T \text{ but } Tz = c (\Leftrightarrow z \in U)$,

then $\forall w \in \text{null } T, z \neq u + w \Leftrightarrow z - u \notin \text{null } T$.

$$\not \subset \tilde{T}(z + \text{null } T) = \tilde{T}(u + \text{null } T) \Rightarrow z + \text{null } T = u + \text{null } T \Rightarrow z - u \in \text{null } T$$
, contradicts.

COROLLARY: The set of solutions to a system of linear equations such as [3.28] is either \emptyset or a translate of the null subsp.

- **8** Suppose A is a nonempty subset of V.
 - •Prove that A is a translate of some subsp of $V \Longleftrightarrow \lambda v + (1-\lambda)w \in A$, $\forall v, w \in A, \lambda \in F$.

SOLUTION:

Suppose A = a + U, where U is a subsp of V. $\forall a + u_1, a + u_2 \in A, \lambda \in F$,

$$\lambda(a + u_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a + u_2) = a + [\lambda(u_1 - u_2) + u_2] \in A.$$

Suppose $\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)w \in A$, $\forall v, w \in A$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$. Suppose $a \in A$ and let $A' = \{x - a : x \in A\}$.

Then $\forall x - a, y - a \in A', \lambda \in F$,

- (I) $\lambda(x-a) = [\lambda x + (1-\lambda)a] a \in A'$. Then let $\lambda = 2$.
- (II) $\lambda(x-a) + (1-\lambda)(y-a) = \frac{1}{2}(x-a) + \frac{1}{2}(y-a) = \frac{1}{2}x + (1-\frac{1}{2})(y) a \in A'.$ By (I), $2 \times \left[\frac{1}{2}(x-a) + \frac{1}{2}(y-a)\right] = (x-a) + (y-a) \in A'.$

Thus A' is a subsp of V. Hence $a + A' = \{(x - a) + a : x \in A\} = A$ is a translate.

- **9** •Suppose $A_1 = v + U_1$ and $A_2 = w + U_2$ for some $v, w \in V$ and some subsps U_1, U_2 of V.
 - •Prove that the intersection $A_1 \cap A_2$ is either a translate of some subsp of V or is \emptyset .

SOLUTION:

Suppose $v + u_1, w + u_2 \in A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$. By Problem (8),

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, \lambda(v+u_1) + (1-\lambda)(w+u_2) \in A_1 \text{ and } A_2.$$
 Thus $A_1 \cap A_2$ is a translate of some subsp of V . \square

\frac{10}{5} Prove that the intersection of any collection of translates of subsps of V

is either a translate of some subsp or \emptyset *.*

Suppose $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ is a collection of translates of subsps of V, where Γ is an arbitrary index set.

Suppose $x, y \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$, then by Problem (18), $\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A_{\alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_{\alpha}$ is a translate of some subsp of V.

11 Suppose $A = \{\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_m v_m : \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1\}$, where each $v_i \in V, \lambda_i \in F$.

(a) Prove that A is a translate of some subsp of V: By Problem (8), $\forall \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i, \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i v_i \in A, \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, \quad \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i v_i = (\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i) v_i \in A$ Α.

- (b) Prove that if B is a translate of some subsp of V and $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subseteq B$, then $A \subseteq B$.
- (c) Prove that A is a translate of some subsp of V and dim V < m.
- (b) Let $v = \lambda_1 v_+ \cdots + \lambda_m v_m \in A$. To show that $v \in B$, use induction on m by k.
 - (i) $k = 1, v = \lambda_1 v_1 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 1$. $X v_1 \in B$. Hence $v \in B$. $k = 2, v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = 1 - \lambda_1$. $X v_1, v_2 \in B$. By problem (8), $v \in B$.
- (ii) $2 \le k \le m$, we assume that $v = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k \in A \subseteq B$. $(\forall \lambda_i \text{ such that }$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \lambda_i = 1$

For $u = \mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_k v_k + \mu_{k+1} v_{k+1} \in A$. $\forall i = 1, \dots, k, \ \exists \ \mu_i \neq 1$, fix one such i by

l.

Then
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mu_i - \mu_i = 1 - \mu_i \Rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_i}) - \frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_i} = 1.$$

Let $w = \underbrace{\frac{\mu_1}{1 - \mu_i} v_1 + \dots + \frac{\mu_{i-1}}{1 - \mu_i} v_{i-1} + \frac{\mu_{i+1}}{1 - \mu_i} v_{i+1} + \dots + \frac{\mu_{k+1}}{1 - \mu_i} v_{k+1}}_{k \text{ terms}}.$

Let $\lambda_i = \frac{\mu_i}{1-\mu}$ for $i=1,\ldots,\iota-1;\ \lambda_j = \frac{\mu_{j+1}}{1-\mu}$ for $j=\iota,\ldots,k$. Then, $\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} = 1 \Rightarrow w \in B \\ v_{\iota} \in B \Rightarrow u^{'} = \lambda w + (1 - \lambda)v_{\iota} \in B \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow Let \ \lambda = 1 - \mu_{\iota}. \ Thus \ u^{'} = u \in B \Rightarrow A \subseteq A \subseteq A$

В.

(c) $\forall k = 1, ..., m$, $\forall \lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_{k+1}, ..., \lambda_m$, let $\lambda_k = 1 - \lambda_1 - \cdots - \lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_{k+1} - \cdots - \lambda_m$ $\Rightarrow \lambda_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m v_m$ $= \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1} + (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_{k+1} - \dots - \lambda_m) v_k + \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots + \lambda_m v_m$ $= v_k + \lambda_1(v_1 - v_k) + \dots + \lambda_{k-1}(v_{k-1} - v_k) + \lambda_{k+1}(v_{k+1} - v_k) + \dots + \lambda_m(v_m - v_k).$ Thus $A = v_k + \text{span}(v_1 - v_k, \dots, v_{k-1} - v_k, v_{k+1} - v_k, \dots, v_m - v_k)$.

§2 Suppose *U* is a subsp of *V* such that *V* / *U* is finite-dim.

Prove that is V is iso to U \times (V/U).

Let $(v_1 + U, ..., v_n + U)$ be a basis of V/U. Note that

$$\forall v \in V, \ \exists \ ! \ a_1, \dots, a_n \in F, v + U = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(v_i + U) = (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i) + U$$

$$\Rightarrow (v - a_1 v_1 - \dots - a_n v_n) = u \in U \ for \ some \ u; v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i + u.$$
Thus define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, U \times (V/U)) \ by \ \varphi(v) = (u, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i + U)$

$$and \ \psi \in \mathcal{L}(U \times (V/U), V) \ by \ \psi(u, w + U) = u + w; w = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i + U.$$
So that $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$.

• Suppose $V=U\oplus W, (w_1,\ldots,w_m)$ is a basis of W.

Prove that $(w_1 + U, ..., w_m + U)$ *is a basis of* V/U.

Note that for any $v \in V$ *,*

$$\exists ! u \in U, w \in W, v = u + w \not \subset \exists ! c_i \in \mathbf{F} \text{ such that } w = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i w_i \Rightarrow v = u + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i w_i.$$

Thus $v+U=\sum\limits_{i=1}^mc_iw_i+U\Rightarrow v+U\in \mathrm{span}\,(w_1+U,\ldots,w_m+U)\Rightarrow V/U\subseteq \mathrm{span}\,(w_1+U,\ldots,w_m+U).$

Now suppose
$$a_1(w_1+U)+\cdots+a_m(w_m+U)=0+U\Rightarrow \sum\limits_{i=1}^m a_iw_i\in U \ while \ U\cap W=\{0\}.$$

Then
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i w_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0.$$

3 Suppose $(v_1 + U, ..., v_m + U)$ is a basis of V/U and $(u_1, ..., u_n)$ is a basis of U.

Prove that $(v_1, ..., v_m, u_1, ..., u_n)$ *is a basis of* V.

By Problem (12), U and V/U are finite-dim $\Rightarrow U \times (V/U)$ is finite-dim, so is V.

$$\dim V = \dim(U \times (V/U)) = \dim U + \dim V/U = m + n.$$

Or. Note that for any
$$v \in V$$
, $v + U = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i + U$, $\exists ! a_i \in \mathbf{F} \Rightarrow v = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = \mathbf{F}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i v_i, \ \exists ! b_i \in \mathbf{F}.$$

$$\Rightarrow v \in \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_n) \supseteq V.$$

$$\nearrow$$
 Notice that $(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i) + U = 0 + U (\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i \in U) \iff a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0.$

Hence $span(v_1, ..., v_m) \cap U = \{0\} \Rightarrow span(v_1, ..., v_m) \oplus U = V$

Thus
$$(v_1, \ldots, v_m, u_1, \ldots, u_n)$$
 is linely inde, so is a basis of V .

14 Suppose $U = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty} : x_k \neq 0 \text{ for only finitely many } k\}.$

- (a) Show that U is a subsp of \mathbf{F}^{∞} . [Do it in your mind]
- (b) Prove that \mathbf{F}^{∞}/U is infinite-dim.

For $u = (x_1, ..., x_p, ...) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty}$, denote x_p by u[p]. For each $r \in \mathbf{N}^+$.

```
\textit{Define}\,e_r[p] = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1\,,\,(p-1) \equiv 0\,(\textit{mod}\,r) \\ 0\,,\textit{otherwise} \end{array} \right. , \\ \textit{simply}\,e_r = (1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{(p-1)\,\,\textit{times}}\,,1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{(p-1)\,\,\textit{times}}\,,1,\ldots) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty}.
    Choose m \in \mathbb{N}^+ arbitrarily
   Suppose a_1(e_1 + U) + \dots + a_m(e_m + U) = (a_1e_1 + \dots + a_me_m) + U = 0 + U = 0.
   \Rightarrow a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_me_m = u for some u \in U.
    Then suppose u = (x_1, \dots, x_t, 0, \dots) \Rightarrow u[t+i] = 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}^+,
   then let j = s \cdot m! + 1 \ge t \ (\exists \ s \in \mathbb{N}^+) so that e_1[j] = \dots = e_m[j] = 1, \ u[j+i] = 0.
   Now we have: u[j+i] = (\sum_{r=1}^m a_r e_r)[j+i] = \sum_{r=1}^m a_r e_r [s \cdot m! + 1 + i] = a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_{\tau(i)}} = 0,
   \Rightarrow (\sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r e_r)[j+i] = a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_{\tau(j)}} = 0. \ (\Delta)
   where i_1, \ldots, i_{\tau(i)} are distinct ordered factors of i ( 1 = i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_{\tau(i)} = i ).
    ( Note that by definition, e_r[s \cdot m! + 1 + i] = 1 \iff s \cdot m! + i \equiv i \equiv 0 \pmod{r} \iff r \mid i.)
   Let i^{'} = i_{\tau(i)-1}. Notice that i^{'}_{l} = i_{l}, \forall l \in \{1, ..., \tau(i^{'})\}; \text{ and } \tau(i^{'}) = \tau(i) - 1.
   Again by (\Delta), (\Sigma_{r=1}^{m} a_r e_r)[j+i'] = a_{i'_1} + \cdots + a_{i'_{\tau(i')}} = a_{i_1} + \cdots + a_{i_{\tau(i)-1}} = 0.
   Thus a_{i_{\tau}(i)} = a_i = 0 for any i \in \{1, \dots, m\}.
   Hence (e_1,\ldots,e_m) is linely inde in \mathbf{F}^{\infty}, so is (e_1,\ldots,e_m,\ldots), since m\in\mathbf{N}^+.
    \mathbb{Z} e_i \notin U \Rightarrow (e_1 + U, e_2 + U, ...) is linely inde in \mathbb{F}^{\infty}/U. By [2.B.14].
                                                                                                                                            15 Suppose \varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbf{F}) \setminus \{0\}. Prove that dim V/(\text{null } \varphi) = 1.
By [3.91] (d), dim range \varphi = 1 = \dim V / (null \varphi).
                                                                                                                                             Solution: [3.88, 3.90, 3.91] \in \mathcal{S}_V U, because V = U \oplus W. \forall v \in V, \exists ! u_v \in U, w_v \in W, v = V
u_v + w_v.
   Define T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) by T(v) = w_v. Hence \text{null } T = U, range T = W.
   Then \tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V/\text{null } T, W) is defined as \tilde{T}(v + U) = Tv = w_v.
   Thus \tilde{T} is inje (by [3.91(b)]) and surj (range \tilde{T} = \text{range } T = W),
   and therefore is an iso. We conclude that V/U and W, namely any vecsp in S_V, are iso.
16 Suppose dim V/U=1. Prove that \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V,\mathbf{F}) such that null \varphi=U.
Suppose V_0 is a subsp of V such that V = U \oplus V_0. Then V_0 and V/U are iso. dim V_0 = 1.
   Define a linear map \varphi: v \mapsto \lambda by \varphi(v_0) = 1, \varphi(u) = 0, where v_0 \in V_0, u \in U.
```

17 Suppose V/U is finite-dim. W is a subsp of V.

- (a) Show that if V = U + W, then dim $W \ge \dim V/U$.
- (b) Suppose dim $W = \dim V/U$ and $V = U \oplus W$. Find such W.

Let $(w_1, ..., w_n)$ be a basis of W

- (a) $\forall v \in V, \exists u \in U, w \in W \text{ such that } v = u + w \Rightarrow v + U = w + U$ Then $V/U \subseteq \operatorname{span}(w_1 + U, \dots, w_n + U) \Rightarrow V/U = \operatorname{span}(w_1 + U, \dots, w_n + U)$. Hence $\dim V/U = \dim \operatorname{span}(w_1 + U, \dots, w_n + U) \leq \dim W$.
- (b) Let $W \in \mathcal{S}_V U$. In other words,

reduce
$$(w_1 + U, ..., w_n + U)$$
 to a basis of V/U as $(w_{\alpha_1} + U, ..., w_{\alpha_m} + U)$ and let $W = \operatorname{span}(w_{\alpha_1}, ..., w_{\alpha_m})$.

§8 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and U is a subsp of V. Let π denote the quotient map.

Prove that $\exists S \in \mathcal{L}(V/U, W)$ *such that* $T = S \circ \pi$ *if and only if* $U \subseteq null$ T.

(a) Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(V/U, W)$ by S(v + U) = Tv. We have to check it is well-defined. Suppose $v_1 + U = v_2 + U$, while $v_1 \neq v_2$.

Then $(v_1-v_2)\in U\Rightarrow S((v_1-v_2)+U)=T(v_1-v_2)=0\Rightarrow Tv_1=Tv_2.$ Checked.

(b) Suppose $\exists S \in \mathcal{L}(V/U, W)$, $T = S \circ \pi$. Then $\forall u \in U, Tu = S \circ \pi(u) = S(0+U) = 0 \Rightarrow U \subseteq null T.\square$

20 Define $\Gamma: \mathcal{L}(V/U, W) \to \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ by $\Gamma(S) = S \circ \pi \ (= \pi'(S))$.

- (a) Prove that Γ is linear: By [3.9] distr properties and [3.6].
- (b) Prove that Γ is inje:

$$\Gamma(S) = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \forall v \in V, S(\pi(v)) = 0$$

$$\iff \forall v + U \in V/U, S(v + U) = 0$$

$$\iff S = 0.$$

(c) Prove that range Γ (= range π') = { $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : U \subseteq null T$ }: By Problem (18).

ENDED

3.F

- By (18) in (3.D) we know that $\varphi: V \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}, V)$ is an iso. Now we prove that (v_1, \dots, v_m) is linely inde $\iff (\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m))$ is linely inde.
- (a) Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde and $\vartheta \in \text{span}(\varphi(v_1), ..., \varphi(v_m))$.

Let $\vartheta = 0 = a_1 \varphi(v_1) + \dots + a_m \varphi(v_m)$. Then $\vartheta(1) = 0 = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$.

Or Because φ is inje. Suppose $a_1\varphi(v_1) + \cdots + a_m\varphi(v_m) = 0 = \varphi(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m)$. Then $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \cdots = a_m = 0$. Thus $(\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m))$ is linely inde. (b) Suppose $(\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m))$ is linely inde and $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Let $v = 0 = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m$. Then $\varphi(v) = a_1 \varphi(v_1) + \dots + a_m \varphi(v_m) = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = 0$ $a_m = 0.$ Thus v_1, \ldots, v_m is linely inde. **1** • *Explain why each linear functional is surj or is the zero map.* For any $\varphi \in V'$ and $\varphi \neq 0$, $\exists v \in V$, such that $\varphi(v) \neq 0$. (a) $\dim range \ \varphi = \dim \mathbf{F} = 1. \ (b)$ $\Rightarrow \Box$ SOLUTION: **S**Suppose V is finite-dim and U is a subsp of V such that $U \neq V$. *Prove that* $\exists \varphi \in V'$ *and* $\varphi \neq 0$ *such that* $\varphi(u) = 0$ *for every* $u \in U$. Let (u_1, \ldots, u_m) be a basis of U, extend to $(u_1, \ldots, u_m, u_{m+1}, \ldots, u_{m+n})$ a basis of V. Choose $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ arbitrarily. Define $\varphi \in V'$ by $\varphi(u_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = m + k. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ OR: Equivalent to proving that $U^0 \neq \{0\}$. By [3.106], dim $U^0 = \dim V - \dim U > 0$. \square • • Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $(w_1, ..., w_m)$ is a basis of range T. •Hence $\forall v \in V$, $Tv = \varphi_1(v)w_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(v)w_m$, $\exists ! \varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_m(v)$, • thus defining functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ from V to F. Show that each $\varphi_i \in V'$. SOLUTION: For each w_i , $\exists v_i \in V$, $Tv_i = w_i$, getting a linely inde list (v_1, \dots, v_m) . Now we have $Tv = a_1Tv_1 + \cdots + a_mTv_m$, $\forall v \in V$, $\exists ! a_i \in \mathbf{F}$. Let $(\psi_1, ..., \psi_m)$ be the dual basis of rangeT. Then $(T^{'}(\psi_i))(v) = \psi_i \circ T(v) = a_i$. Thus letting $\varphi_i = \psi_i \circ T$. • • Suppose $\varphi, \beta \in V'$. Prove that $null \varphi \subseteq null \beta$ if and only if $\beta = c\varphi$. $\exists c \in F$. Solution: Using (3.B.29, 30)(a) Suppose $null \varphi \subseteq null \beta$. Choose $au \notin null \beta$. $V = null \beta \oplus \{au : a \in F\}$. If $\operatorname{null} \varphi = \operatorname{null} \beta$, then let $c = \frac{\beta(u)}{\varphi(u)}$, we are done. Otherwise, suppose $u^{'} \in \text{null } \beta$, but $u^{'} \notin \text{null } \varphi$, then $V = \text{null } \varphi \oplus \{bu^{'} : b \in \mathbf{F}\}$. $\forall v \in V, v = w + au = w' + bu', \exists ! w, w' \in \text{null } \varphi, a, b \in F.$ Thus $\beta(v) = a\beta(u)$, $\varphi(v) = b\varphi(u')$. Let $c = \frac{a\beta(u)}{b\varphi(u')}$. We are done (b) Suppose $\beta = c\varphi$ for some $c \in \mathbf{F}$.

If c = 0, then $null \beta = V \supseteq null \varphi$, we are done.

Otherwise,
$$\begin{cases} \forall v \in \text{null } \varphi, \varphi(v) = 0 = \beta(v) \Rightarrow \text{null } \varphi \subseteq \text{null } \beta. \\ \forall v \in \text{null } \beta, \beta(v) = 0 = \varphi(v) \Rightarrow \text{null } \beta \subseteq \text{null } \varphi. \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{null } \varphi = \text{null } \beta \Rightarrow \text{null } \varphi \subseteq \text{null } \beta.$$

5 •Prove that $(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m)'$ and $V'_1 \times \cdots \times V'_m$ are iso.

SOLUTION: *Using notations in* (3.E.2).

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Define } \varphi: \; (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m)^{'} \rightarrow V_{\;1}^{'} \times \cdots \times V_{\;m}^{'} \\ \textit{by } \varphi(T) = (T \circ R_1, \ldots, T \circ R_m) = (R_{\;1}^{'}(T), \ldots, R_{\;m}^{'}(T)). \\ \textit{Define } \psi: V_{\;1}^{'} \times \cdots \times V_{\;m}^{'} \rightarrow (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m)^{'} \\ \textit{by } \psi(T_1, \ldots, T_m) = T_1 S_1 + \cdots + T_m S_m = S_{\;1}^{'}(T_1) + \cdots + S_{\;m}^{'}(T_m). \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \psi = \varphi^{-1}. \ \Box$$

• Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is a basis of V and $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n)$ is the dual basis of V'.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Define } \Gamma: V \to \mathbf{F}^n \; \textit{by } \Gamma(v) = (\varphi_1(v), \ldots, \varphi_n(v)). \\ \textit{Define } \Lambda: \mathbf{F}^n \to V \; \textit{by } \Lambda(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n. \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \Lambda = \Gamma^{-1}.$$

35 Prove that $(\mathcal{P}(R))'$ and R^{∞} are iso.

Define $\theta \in \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))', \mathbf{R}^{\infty})$ by $\theta(\varphi) = (\varphi(1), \varphi(x), \dots, \varphi(x^n), \dots)$.

Injectivity: $\theta(\varphi) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall x^k \text{ in the basis } (1, x, ..., x^n, ...) \text{ of } \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{R}) \text{ for any } n, \ \varphi(x^k) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi = 0.$

Surjectivity: $\forall (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty}$, let ψ be such that $\psi(x^k) = a_k$ and thus $\theta(\psi) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$.

Hence θ is an iso from $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}))'$ onto \mathbf{R}^{∞} .

3 Suppose m is a positive integer. Show that the dual basis of the basis $(1, x, ..., x_m)$ of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{R})$

is
$$\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m$$
, where $\varphi_k = \frac{p^{(k)}(0)}{k!}$. Here $p^{(k)}$ denotes the k^{th} derivative of p , with the understanding that the 0^{th} derivative of p is p .

SOLUTION:

$$For each j \ and \ k, \ \ (x^j)^{(k)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} j(j-1) \ ... \ (j-k+1) \cdot x^{(j-k)} \ , \quad j \geq k. \\ \\ j(j-1) \ ... \ (j-j+1) = j! \ , \qquad j = k. \end{array} \right. \quad Then \ \ (x^j)^{(k)}(0) = 0 \ , \qquad \qquad j \leq k.$$

$$\begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k \\ k!, & j = k. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\varphi_k = \psi_k$, where ψ_1, \dots, ψ_m is the dual basis of $(1, x, \dots, x_m)$ of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{R})$.

§Suppose *m* is a positive integer.

- (a) By [2.C.10], $B = (1, x 5, ..., (x 5)^m)$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{R})$.
- (b) Let $\varphi_k = \frac{p^{(k)}(5)}{k!}$ for each k = 0, 1, ..., m. Then $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m)$ is the dual basis of B.

S Suppose $(v_1,, v_n)$ is a basis of V and $(\varphi_1,, \varphi_n)$ is the corresponding dual basis of V	V'.
Suppose $\psi \in V'$. Prove that $\psi = \psi(v_1)\varphi_1 + \cdots + \psi(v_n)\varphi_n$.	
Solution: $\psi(v) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \psi(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(v_i) \varphi_i(v) = [\psi(v_1) \varphi_1 + \dots + \psi(v_n) \varphi_n]$	[v]
Comment: For any other basis $(u_1,, u_n)$ of V and the corresponding dual basis of $(\rho_1,$	(ρ_n)
$\psi = \rho(u_1)\rho_1 + \dots + \rho(u_n)\rho_n.$	
$oldsymbol{12}$ Show that the dual map of the identity optor on V is the identity optor on $V^{'}.$	
Solution: $I^{'}(\varphi) = \varphi \circ I = \varphi, \ \forall \varphi \in V^{'}.$	
• Suppose W is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that $T' = 0 \iff T = 0$.	
Solution: $T = 0 \Leftrightarrow T^{'}(\varphi) = \varphi \circ T = 0$ for all $\varphi \in V^{'} \Leftrightarrow T^{'} = 0$.	
3 Define $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by $T(x, y, z) = (4x + 5y + 6z, 7x + 8y + 9z)$.	
Let (φ_1, φ_2) , (ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3) denote the dual basis of the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 .	
(a) Describe the linear functionals $T^{'}(\varphi_1), T^{'}(\varphi_2) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$	
For any $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $(T'(\varphi_1))(x, y, z) = 4x + 5y + 6z$, $(T'(\varphi_2))(x, y, z) = 7x + 8y$	+9z.
(b) Write $T'(\varphi_1)$ and $T'(\varphi_2)$ as linear combinations of ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 .	
$T'(\varphi_1) = 4\psi_1 + 5\psi_2 + 6\psi_3, \ T'(\varphi_2) = 7\psi_1 + 8\psi_2 + 9\psi_3.$	
14 Define $T: \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ by $(Tp)(x) = x^2p(x) + p''(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbf{R}$.	
(a) Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})'$ is defined by $\varphi(p) = p'(4)$. Describe $T'(\varphi) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})'$.	
$(T'(\varphi))(p) = [x^2p(x) + p''(x)]'(4) = [2xp(x) + x^2p'(x) + p'''(x)](4) = 8p(x)$	4) +
16p'(4) + p'''(4).	-/ 1
(b) Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})'$ is defined by $\varphi(p) = \int_0^1 p(x) dx$. Evaluate $(T'(\varphi))(x^3)$.	
$(T'(\varphi))(x^3) = \int_0^1 (x^5 + 6x) dx = \int_0^1 (\frac{1}{6}x^6 + 3x^2)' dx = \frac{6}{19}.$	
$(1 (\varphi))(x) = J_0(x + 0x)ax = J_0(6x + 0x) ax = 19.$	
• Suppose V and W are finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.	
Prove that T is inv if and only if $T' \in \mathcal{L}(W', V')$ is inv.	
By [3.108] and [3.110].	
Solution: Suppose V and W are finite-dim. Define Γ by $\Gamma(T) = T'$ for any $T \in \mathcal{L}(L, W)$.	
<i>Prove that</i> Γ <i>is an iso of</i> $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ <i>onto</i> $\mathcal{L}(W',V')$.	
V, W are finite-dim $\Rightarrow \dim \mathcal{L}(V, W) = \dim \mathcal{L}(W', V')$. And by [3.101], Γ is linear.	
\not Suppose $\Gamma(T) = T' = 0$. By Problem (15), $T = 0$. Thus T is inje $\Rightarrow T$ is inv.	
7. 2	_

17 Suppose $U \subseteq V$. Explain why $U^0 = \{ \varphi \in V' : U \subseteq null \varphi \}$. **S**OLUTION: Because for $\varphi \in V'$, $U \subseteq \text{null } \varphi \iff \forall u \in U, \varphi(u) = 0$. By definition in [3.102]. **18** $U \subseteq V$. We have $U = \{0\} \iff \forall \varphi \in V'$, $U \subseteq \text{null } \varphi \iff U^0 = V'$. **19** *U* is a subsp of *V*. Prove that $U = V \iff U_V^0 = \{0\} = V_V^0$. Suppose $U_{V}^{0} = \{0\}$. Then U = V. Conversely, suppose U = V, then $U_V^0 = \{ \varphi \in V' : V \subseteq \text{null } \varphi \}$, therefore $U_V^0 = \{ 0 \}$. **20. 21** Suppose U and W are subsets of V. Prove that $U \subseteq W \iff W^0 \subseteq U^0$. $(a)\ U\subseteq W\Rightarrow \forall w\in W, u\in U\cap W=U,\ \forall \varphi\in W^0, \varphi(w)=0=\varphi(u)\Rightarrow \varphi\in U^0.$ Thus $W^0 \subseteq U^0$. (b) $W^0 \subseteq U^0 \Rightarrow \forall w \in W, u \in U, \varphi(w) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(u) = 0$. Then $null \varphi \supseteq W \Rightarrow null \varphi \supseteq W$ U. Thus $W \supseteq U$. \Box . • Corollary: $W^0 = U^0 \iff U = W$. **32** *Prove that* $(U + W)^0 = U^0 \cap W^0$. $\left. \begin{array}{l} U \subseteq U + W \\ W \subseteq U + W \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} (U + W)^0 \subseteq U^0 \\ (U + W)^0 \subseteq W^0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (U + W)^0 \subseteq U^0 \cap W^0.$ (b) $\forall \varphi \in U^0 \cap W^0, \varphi(u+w) = 0$, where $u \in U, w \in W \Rightarrow \varphi \in (U+W)^0$. Thus $(U+W)^0 \supseteq U^0 \cap W^0$. **3** Prove that $(U \cap W)^0 = U^0 + W^0$. $(a) \quad \frac{U \cap W \subseteq U}{U \cap W \subseteq W} \right\} \Rightarrow \quad \frac{(U \cap W)^0 \supseteq U^0}{(U \cap W)^0 \supseteq W^0} \right\} \Rightarrow (U \cap W)^0 \supseteq U^0 + W^0 \supseteq U^0 \cap W^0.$ (b) $\forall \varphi \in U^0, \psi \in W^0$ and $\forall v \in U \cap W, (\varphi + \psi)(v) = \varphi(v) + \psi(v) = 0$. Thus $U^0 + W^0 \subseteq (U \cap W)^0$. • COROLLARY: Suppose $\{V_{\alpha_i}\}_{\alpha_i \in \Gamma}$ is a collection of subsps of V. Then $(\sum_{\alpha_i \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha_i})^0 = \bigcap_{\alpha_i \in \Gamma} (V_{\alpha_i}^0);$ And $(\bigcap_{\alpha_i \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha_i})^0 = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Gamma} (V_{\alpha_i}^0)$.

24 Suppose V is finite-dim and U is a subsp of V.

Prove, using the pattern of [3.104]*, that dimU+ dimU*⁰ = dimV.

Solution:

Let $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ be a basis of U, extend to a basis of V as $(u_1, ..., u_m, ..., u_n)$,

and let $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m, \dots, \varphi_n)$ be the dual basis. (a) Suppose $\varphi \in \text{span}(\varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_n)$, then $\exists a_i \in \mathbb{F}, \varphi = a_{m+1}\varphi_{m+1} + \dots + a_n\varphi_n$. For all $u \in U$, $\varphi(u) = 0$. Thus $\varphi \in U^0$, getting span $(\varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_n) \subseteq U^0$. (b) Suppose $\varphi \in U^0$, then $\exists a_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $\varphi = a_1 \varphi_1 + \cdots + a_m \varphi_m + \cdots + a_n \varphi_n$. For all $u_i \in U$, $0 = \varphi(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(u_i) = a_i$. Then $\varphi = a_{m+1}\varphi_{m+1} + \dots + a_n\varphi_n$. Thus $\varphi \in \text{span}(\varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_n)$, getting $\text{span}(\varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_n) \supseteq U^0$. $Hence span(\varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_n) = U^0$, $\dim U^0 = n - m = \dim V - \dim U$. **25** Suppose U is a subsp of V. Explain why $U = \{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0 \text{ for every } \varphi \in U^0\}$. Solution: Note that $U = \{v \in V : v \in U\}$ is a subsp of V and $\varphi(v) = 0$ for every $\varphi \in U^0 \iff v \in U$. **26** Suppose V is finite-dim and Ω is a subsp of V'. Prove that $\Omega = \{v \in V : \forall \varphi \in \Omega, \varphi(v) = 0\}^0$. Solution: *Using the corollary in Problem* (20, 21). Suppose $U = \{v \in V : \forall \varphi \in \Omega, \varphi(v) = 0\}.$ Getting $U^0 = \{v \in V : \forall \varphi \in \Omega, \varphi(v) = 0\}^0$. We need to show that $\Omega = U^0$. $(a) \ \forall \varphi \in \Omega, v \in U, \varphi(v) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi \in U^0 \Rightarrow \Omega \subseteq U^0.$ (b) $v \in U \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \varphi \in \Omega, \varphi(v) = 0 \\ \forall \psi \in U^0, \psi(v) = 0 \end{array} \right. \text{ Thus } \Omega \supseteq U^0. \left. \right\} \Rightarrow \square$ **27** Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_5(\mathbf{R}))$ and $\operatorname{null} T' = \operatorname{span}(\varphi)$, where φ is the linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_5(\mathbf{R})$ defined by $\varphi(p) = p(8)$. Prove that range $T = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_5(\mathbf{R}) : p(8) = 0\}$. Solution: By Problem (26), $span(\varphi) = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_5(\mathbf{R}) : \psi(p) = 0, \forall \psi \in span(\varphi)\}^0$, $nullT' = (range T)^0$.

28. 29 Suppose V, W are finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

(a) Suppose $\exists \varphi \in W'$ such that $\operatorname{null} T' = \operatorname{span}(\varphi)$. Prove that $\operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{null} \varphi$.

By the corollary in Problem (20, 21), range $T = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_5(\mathbb{R}) : p(8) = 0\}$.

(b) Suppose $\exists \varphi \in V'$ such that range $T' = \operatorname{span}(\varphi)$. Prove that $\operatorname{null} T = \operatorname{null} \varphi$.

Solution: *Using Problem* (26), [3.107] and [3.109].

Because $span(\varphi) = \{v \in V : \forall \psi \in span(\varphi), \psi(v) = 0\}^0 = \{v \in V : \varphi(v) = 0\}^0 = (null \varphi)^0$.

$$\begin{array}{l} (a) \; (\operatorname{range} T)^0 = \operatorname{null} T' = \operatorname{span} \, (\varphi) = (\operatorname{null} \varphi)^0 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{range} T = \operatorname{null} \varphi. \\ (b) \; (\operatorname{null} T)^0 = \operatorname{range} T' = \operatorname{span} \, (\varphi) = (\operatorname{null} \varphi)^0 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{null} T = \operatorname{null} \varphi. \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \Box$$

31 Suppose V is finite-dim and $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n)$ is a basis of V'.

Show that there exists a basis of V whose dual basis is $(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n)$.

SOLUTION: *Using* (3.B.29,30).

For each φ_i , $null \varphi_i \oplus \{au_i : a \in \mathbf{F}\} = V$.

Because $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ is linely inde. $null \varphi_i \neq null \varphi_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $i \neq j$.

Thus $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ is linely inde, for if not, then $\exists i, j \text{ such that } null \varphi_i = \text{null } \varphi_i$, contradicts.

 $\mathbb{X} \dim V' = m = \dim V$. Then (u_1, \dots, u_m) is a basis of V whose dual basis is $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$. \square .

- Suppose dim and $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m \in V'$. Prove that the following three sets are equal to each other.
 - (a) $span(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m)$
 - (b) $((\operatorname{null} \varphi_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m))^0$
 - $(c) \{ \varphi \in V' : (\text{null } \varphi_1) \cap \dots \cap (\text{null } \varphi_m) \subseteq \text{null } \varphi \}$

SOLUTION: By Problem (17), (b) and (c) are equi. By Problem (26) and the corollary in Problem (23),

$$((\operatorname{null} \varphi_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m))^0 = (\operatorname{null} \varphi_1)^0 + \cdots + (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m)^0.$$

$$\not \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\varphi_i) = \{v \in V : \forall \psi \in \operatorname{span}(\varphi_i), \psi(v) = 0\}^0 = (\operatorname{null} \varphi_i)^0.$$

$$\Rightarrow (a) = (b). \square$$

30 OR COROLLARY:

Suppose V is finite-dim and $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m$ is a linely inde list in V'.

Then $dim((\operatorname{null} \varphi_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m)) = (dim V) - m$.

Solution: $\Gamma: V' \to \mathbf{F}^m$ by $\Gamma(\varphi) = (\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_m))$, where $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$.

- (a) Show that $span(v_1, ..., v_m) = V \iff \Gamma$ is inje.
- (b) Show that $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde $\iff \Gamma$ is surj.

SOLUTION:

$$(a) \begin{cases} \textit{Suppose Γ is inje. Then let $\Gamma(\varphi) = 0$, $\textit{getting $\varphi = 0$} \Leftrightarrow \text{null $\varphi = V = \text{span } (v_1, \dots, v_m)$.} \\ \textit{Suppose span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = V. \textit{ Then let $\Gamma(\varphi) = 0$, $\textit{getting $\varphi(v_i) = 0$ for each i,} \\ \textit{null$\varphi = \text{span } (v_1, \dots, v_m) = V$, thus $\varphi = 0$, Γ is } \end{cases}$$

```
then for each i, we have \varphi_i(a_1v_1+\cdots+a_mv_m)=a_i=0. Thus v_1,\ldots,v_n is linely independent of v_1,\ldots,v_n is linely independent.
                                        Suppose (v_1, ..., v_m) is linely inde. Let (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m) be the dual basis of span(v_1, ..., v_m).
                                                            Thus for each (a_1, ..., a_m) \in \mathbf{F}^m, we have \varphi = a_1 \varphi_1 + \cdots + a_m \varphi_m so that \Gamma(\varphi) = (a_1, ..., a_m)
• Define \Gamma: V \to \mathbf{F}^m by \Gamma(v) = (\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_m(v)), where \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in V'.
           (c) Show that span(\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m) = V' \iff \Gamma is inje.
           (d) Show that (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m) is linely inde \iff \Gamma is surj.
SOLUTION:
                                    Suppose \Gamma is inje. Then \Gamma(v)=0 \Leftrightarrow \forall i, \varphi_i(v)=0 \Leftrightarrow v \in (\operatorname{null} \varphi_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m) \Leftrightarrow \varphi_i(v)=0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_i(v)
                                    Getting (\operatorname{null} \varphi_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m) = \{0\}. By Problem (\bullet) above, \operatorname{span} (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) Suppose \operatorname{span} (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) = V'. Again by Problem (\bullet), (\operatorname{null} \varphi_1) \cap \cdots \cap (\operatorname{null} \varphi_m) = \{0\}.
                                                           Thus \Gamma(v) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall i, \varphi_i(v) = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0.
                                        Suppose (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_m) is linely inde. Then by Problem (31), (v_1, ..., v_m) is linely inde.
                                                            Thus for any (a_1, ..., a_m) \in \mathbf{F}, by letting v = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i, then \varphi_i(v) = a_i \Rightarrow \Gamma(v) = (a_i + v_i)
                                    Suppose \Gamma is surj. Let e_1, \ldots, e_m be a basis of \mathbf{F}^m.
                                                           For every e_i, \exists v_i \in V such that \Gamma(v_i) = (\varphi_1(v_i), \dots, \varphi_m(v)) = e_i,
                                                          fix v_i (\Rightarrow (v_1,...,v_m) is linely inde). Thus \varphi_i(v_i) = 1, \varphi_i(v_i) = 0.
                                                           Hence (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m) is the dual basis of the basis v_1, \dots, \varphi_m of span (v_1, \dots, v_m).
33 Suppose A \in \mathbb{F}^{m,n}. Define T: A \to A^t. Prove that T is an iso of \mathbb{F}^{m,n} onto \mathbb{F}^{n,m}
By [3.111], T is linear. Note that (A^{t})^{t} = A.
                   (a) For any B \in \mathbf{F}^{n,m}, let A = B^t so that T(A) = B. Thus T is surj.
                   (b) If T(A) = 0 for some A \in \mathbf{F}^{n,m}, then A = 0. Thus T is inje.
                                   for if not, \exists j, k \in \mathbb{N}^+ such that A_{i,k} \neq 0, then T(A)_{k,j} \neq 0, contradicts.
32 Suppose T \in \mathcal{L}(V), and (u_1, ..., u_m) and (v_1, ..., v_m) are bases of V. Prove that
                T is inv \iff The rows of \mathcal{M}(T, (u_1, ..., u_m), (v_1, ..., v_m)) form a basis of \mathbf{F}^{1,n}.
Note that T is invertible \Rightarrow T^{'} is inv. And \mathcal{M}(T^{'}) = \mathcal{M}(T)^{t} = A^{t}, denote it by B.
              Let (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m) be the dual basis of (v_1, \ldots, v_m), (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m) be the dual basis of (u_1, \ldots, u_m).
                (a) Suppose T is inv, so is T'. Because T'(\varphi_1), \ldots, T'(\varphi_m) is linely inde.
                              Noticing that T'(\varphi_i) = B_{1,i}\psi_1 + \cdots + B_{m,i}\psi_m.
                              Thus the cols of B, namely the rows of A, are linely inde (check it by contradiction).
```

Suppose Γ is surj. Then let $\Gamma(\varphi_i) = e_i$ for each i, where (e_1, \dots, e_m) is the standard basis of

Then $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ is linely inde, suppose $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = 0$,

(b) Suppose the rows of A are linely inde, so are the cols of B. Then $(T^{'}(\varphi_1), ..., T^{'}(\varphi_m))$ is a basis of range $T^{'}$, namely $V^{'}$. Thus $T^{'}$ is surj. Hence T' is inv, so is T. **34** The double dual space of V, denoted by V'', is defined to be the dual space of V'. In other words, $V^{''} = \mathcal{L}(V^{'}, \mathbf{F})$. Define $\Lambda : V \to V^{''}$ by $(\Lambda v)(\varphi) = \varphi(v)$. (a) Show that Λ is a linear map from V to $V^{''}$. (b) Show that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then $T^{''} \circ \Lambda = \Lambda \circ T$, where $T^{''} = (T^{'})^{'}$. (c) Show that if V is finite-dim, then Λ is an iso from V onto $V^{''}$. Suppose V is finite-dim. Then V and V' are iso, but finding an iso from V onto V' generally requires choosing a basis of V. In contrast, the iso Λ from V onto V'' does not require a choice of basis and thus is considered more natural. (a) $\forall \varphi \in V'$, $\forall v, w \in V, a \in F$, $(\Lambda(v + aw))(\varphi) = \varphi(v + aw) = \varphi(v) + a\varphi(w) =$ $(\Lambda v)(\varphi) + a(\Lambda w)(\varphi).$ Thus $\Lambda(v + aw) = \Lambda v + a\Lambda w$. Hence Λ is linear. $(b) (T''(\Lambda v))(\varphi) = ((\Lambda v) \circ (T'))(\varphi) = (\Lambda v)(T'(\varphi)) = (T'(\varphi))(v) = (\varphi \circ T)(v) =$ $\varphi(Tv) = (\Lambda(Tv))(\varphi).$ Hence $T^{''}(\Lambda v) = (\Lambda(Tv))$, getting $T^{''} \circ \Lambda = \Lambda \circ T$. (c) Suppose $\Lambda v = 0$. Then $\forall \varphi \in V'$, $(\Lambda v)(\varphi) = \varphi(v) = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0$. Thus Λ is inje. ∇ Because V is finite-dim. dim $V = \dim V' = \dim V''$. Hence Λ is an iso. **36** Suppose U is a subsp of V. Define $i: U \to V$ by i(u) = u. Thus $i' \in \mathcal{L}(V', U')$. (a) Show that null $i' = U^0$: null $i' = (range i)^0 = U^0 \Leftarrow range i = U$. \square (b) Prove that if V is finite-dim, then range i' = U': range $i' = (null\ i)_U^0 = (\{0\})_U^0 = U'$. \square (c) Prove that if V is finite-dim, then \tilde{i}' is an iso from V'/U^0 onto U': Note that $\tilde{i}': V'/null\ i' \rightarrow range\ i' \Rightarrow \tilde{i}': V'/U^0 \rightarrow U'$. By (a), (b) and [3.91(d)]. The iso in (c) is natural in that it does not depend on a choice of basis in either vector space.

37 Suppose U is a subsp of V and π is the quotient map. Thus $\mathcal{L}(V)$ of V.

- (a) Show that π' is inje: Because π is surj. Use [3.108]. \square
- (b) Show that $\pi' = U^0$.
- (c) Conclude that π' is an iso from (V/U)' onto U^0 .

The iso in (c) is natural in that it does not depend on a choice of basis in either vector space.

In fact, there is no assumption here that any of these vector spaces are finite-dim.

[3.109] is not available. Using (3.E.18), also see (3.E.20).

- (b) $\psi \in range \ \pi' \iff \exists \ \varphi \in (V/U)', \psi = \varphi \circ \pi \iff null \ \psi \supseteq U \iff \psi \in U^0$. Hence $range \ \pi' = U^0$.
- (c) $\psi \in U^0 \iff null \ \psi \supseteq U \iff \exists \ \varphi \in (V/U)', \psi = \varphi \circ \pi = \pi(\varphi)$. Thus π' is surj. And by (a). \square

ENDED

4

SOLUTION: [4.8]: division algorithm for polynomials

Suppose $p,s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$, with $s \neq 0$. Then $\exists !q,r \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ such that p = sq + r and $\deg r < \deg s$. Another Proof:

 $Suppose \deg p \geq \deg s. \ Then \ (\underbrace{1,z,\ldots,z^{\deg s-1}}_{of length \ \deg s},\underbrace{s,zs,\cdots,z^{\deg p-\deg s}s}_{of length \ (\deg p-\deg s+1)}) \ is \ a \ basis \ of \ \mathcal{P}_{\deg p}(\mathbf{F}).$

Because $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$, $\exists ! a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{F}$,

$$\begin{split} q &= a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{\deg s - 1} z^{\deg s - 1} + b_0 s + b_1 z s + \dots + b_{\deg p - \deg s} z^{\deg p - \deg s} s \\ &= \underbrace{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{\deg s - 1} z^{\deg s - 1}}_r + s \underbrace{(b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_{\deg p - \deg s} z^{\deg p - \deg s})}_q. \end{split}$$

With r, q as defined uniquely above, we are done.

SOLUTION: Another Proof: Solution: Another Proof:

First suppose $p(\lambda) = 0$. Write $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_m z^m$, $\exists ! a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{F}$ for all $z \in \mathbf{F}$.

Then $p(z) = p(z) - p(\lambda) = a_1(z - \lambda) + \dots + a_m(z^m - \lambda^m)$ for all $z \in \mathbf{F}$.

Hence $\forall k \in \{1, ..., m\}, z^k - \lambda^k = (z - \lambda)(z^{k-1}\lambda^0 + z^{k-2}\lambda^1 + \dots + z^{k-(j+1)}\lambda^j + \dots + z\lambda^{k-2} + z^0\lambda^{k-1}).$

Thus
$$p(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j(z-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda^{i-1} z^{k-i} = (z-\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda^{i-1} z^{k-i} = (z-\lambda) q(z).$$

SOLUTION: NOTE FOR [4.13]: *fundamental theorem of algebra, first version*

Every nonconstant poly with complex coefficients has a zero in C. Another Proof:

De Moivre' theorem (which you can prove using induction on k and the addition formulas for cosine and sine), states that

if $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^k = \cos k\theta + i \sin k\theta$.

Suppose $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and using polar coordinates. $\exists r \geq 0, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r(\cos \theta + i\sin \theta) = w$.

Hence $(r^{1/k}(\cos\frac{\theta}{k} + i\sin\frac{\theta}{k}))^k = w$. Thus every complex number has a k^{th} root, a fact that we will soon use.

Suppose a nonconstant $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C})$ with highest-order nonzero term $c_m z_m$.

Then
$$|p(z)| \to \infty$$
 as $|z| \to \infty$ (because $\frac{|p(z)|}{|z_m|} \to |c_m|$ as $|z| \to \infty$).

Thus the continuous function $z \to |p(z)|$ has a global minimum at some point $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

To show that $p(\zeta) = 0$ *, suppose that* $p(\zeta) \neq 0$ *.*

Define
$$q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C})$$
 by $q(z) = \frac{p(z + \zeta)}{p(\zeta)}$.

The function $z \to |q(z)|$ has a global minimum value of 1 at z = 0.

Write $q(z) = 1 + a_k z^k + \dots + a_m z^m$, where k is the smallest positive integer such that $a_k \neq 0$.

Let
$$\beta \in \mathbb{C}$$
 be such that $\beta^k = -\frac{1}{a_k}$.

There is a constant c > 1 such that if $t \in (0,1)$,

then
$$|q(t\beta)| \le |1 + a_k t^k \beta^k| + t^{k+1} c = 1 - t^k (1 - tc)$$
.

Thus taking t to be 1/(2c) in the inequality above, we have $|q(t\beta)| < 1$,

which contradicts the assumption that the global minimum of $z \to |q(z)|$ is 1.

Hence
$$p(\zeta) = 0$$
, as desired.

• Prove that if $w, z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $||w| - |z|| \le |w - z|$. The inequality here is called the reverse triangle inequality.

$$|w - z|^2 = (w - z)(\overline{w} - \overline{z})$$

$$= |w|^2 + |z|^2 - (w\overline{z} + \overline{w}z)$$

$$= |w|^2 + |z|^2 - (\overline{w}z + \overline{w}z)$$

$$= |w|^2 + |z|^2 - 2Re(\overline{w}z)$$

$$\geq |w|^2 + |z|^2 - 2|\overline{w}z|$$

$$= |w|^2 + |z|^2 - 2|w||z| = ||w| - |z||^2.$$

Geometric interpretation: The length of each side of a triangle is greater than or equal to the difference of the lengths of the two other sides.

• Suppose V is a complex vector space and $\varphi \in V'$.

Define $\sigma: V \to \mathbf{R}$ by $\sigma(v) = \mathbf{Re} \, \varphi(v)$ for each $v \in V$.

Show that $\varphi(v) = \sigma(v) - i\sigma(iv)$ for all $v \in V$.

Notice that $\varphi(v) = \operatorname{Re} \varphi(v) + i \operatorname{Im} \varphi(v) = \sigma(v) + i \operatorname{Im} \varphi(v)$. $X \operatorname{Re} \varphi(iv) = \operatorname{Re} [i\varphi(v)] = -\operatorname{Im} \varphi(v) = \sigma(iv)$.

Hence
$$\varphi(v) = \sigma(v) - i\sigma(iv)$$
.

2 Suppose m is a positive integer. Is the set $U = \{0\} \cup \{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) : \deg p = m\}$

a subsp of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$?

$$x^m, x^m + x^{m-1} \in U \ but \ \deg[(x^m + x^{m-1}) - (x^m)] \neq m \Rightarrow (x^m + x^{m-1}) - (x^m) \notin U.$$

Hence U is not closed under add, and therefore is not a subsp.

3 Suppose m is a positive integer. Is the set $U = \{0\} \cup \{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) : \deg p \text{ is even }\}$ a subsp of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$? $x^{2}, x^{2} + x \in U$ but $deg[(x^{2} + x) - (x^{2})]$ is odd and hence $(x^{2} + x) - (x^{2}) \notin U$. Thus *U* is not closed under add, and therefore is not a subsp. **4** Suppose that m and n are positive integers with $m \leq n$, and suppose $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathbf{F}$. Prove that $\exists p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ such that $\deg p = n$, the zeros of p are $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$. Let $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{n - (m-1)} (z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$. **5** Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, z_1, \ldots, z_{m+1} are distinct elements of \mathbb{F} , and $w_1, \ldots, w_{m+1} \in \mathbb{F}$. Prove that $\exists ! p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ such that $p(z_k) = w_k$ for each k = 1, ..., m + 1. This result can be proved without using linear algebra. However, try to find the clearer, shorter proof that uses some linear algebra. Define $T: \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathbf{F}^{m+1}$ by $Tq = (q(z_1), \dots, q(z_m), q(z_{m+1}))$. As can be easily checked, T is linear. We need to show that T is surj, so that such p exists; and that T is inje, so that such p is unique. $Tq = 0 \iff q(z_1) = \dots = q(z_m) = q(z_{m+1}) = 0$ \iff $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$ is the zero poly, for if not, q has at least m + 1 distinct roots, while $\deg q = m$. Contradicts (by [4.12]). Hence T is inje. $\dim \operatorname{range} T = \dim \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F}) - \dim \operatorname{null} T = m + 1 = \dim \mathbf{F}^{m+1}$. \mathbf{X} range $T \subseteq \mathbf{F}^{m+1}$. *Hence T is surj.* **Suppose** $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{C})$ has degree m. Prove that

p has m distinct zeros \iff p and its derivative p' have no zeros in common.

(a) Suppose p has m distinct zeros. By [4.14] and deg p=m, let $p(z)=c(z-\lambda_1)\cdots(z-1)$ λ_m), $\exists ! c, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

For each $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$, let $\frac{p(z)}{(z - \lambda_i)} = q_j \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{C})$, then $p(z) = (z - \lambda_j)q_j(z)$ and $q_i(\lambda_i) \neq 0.$

$$p'(z) = (z - \lambda_j)q_j'(z) + q_j(z) \Rightarrow p'(\lambda_j) = q_j(\lambda_j) \neq 0$$
, as desired.

(b) To prove the implication on the other direction, we prove the contrapositive: Suppose p has less than m distinct roots.

We must show that p and its derivative p' have at least one zero in common.

Let λ be a zero of p, then write $p(z) = (z - \lambda)^n q(z)$, $\exists ! n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $q \in \mathcal{P}_{m-n}(\mathbb{C})$. $p'(z) = (z - \lambda)^n q'(z) + n(z - \lambda)^{n-1} q(z) \Rightarrow p'(\lambda) = 0, \lambda \text{ is a common root of } p' \text{ and } p.\Box$

3 Prove that every poly of odd degree with real coefficients has a real zero.

Using the notation proof of [4.17]. $\deg p = 2M + m$ *is odd* $\Rightarrow m$ *is odd. Hence* λ_1 *exists.*

Or. Using calculus but not using [4.17].

Suppose $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$, $\deg p = m$, m is odd.

Let $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_m x^m$. Then $a_m \neq 0$. Denote $|a_m|^{-1} a_m$ by δ

Write
$$p(x) = x^m (\frac{a_0}{x^m} + \frac{a_1}{x^{m-1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{m-1}}{x} + a_m).$$

Thus p(x) is continuous, and $\lim_{x \to -\infty} p(x) = -\delta \infty$; $\lim_{x \to \infty} p(x) = \delta \infty$.

Hence we conclude that p has at least one real zero. \square

8For
$$p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$$
, define $Tp : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ by $(Tp)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{p(x) - p(3)}{x - 3} & \text{if } x \neq 3, \\ p'(3) & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Show that $Tp \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ and that $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ is a linear map. For $x \neq 3$, $T(x^n) = \frac{x^n - 3^n}{x - 3} = \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{i-1} x^{n-i}$.

For
$$x = 3$$
, $T(x^n) = 3^{n-1} \cdot n$. Note that if $x = 3$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n 3^{i-1} x^{n-i} = \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{n-1} = 3^{n-1} \cdot n$.

Hence for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T(x^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{i-1} x^{n-i} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.

Because T is linear, we conclude that $Tp \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$.

Now we show that T is linear:

$$\forall p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}), \lambda \in \mathbf{R}, T(p + \lambda q)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p + \lambda q)(x) - (p + \lambda q)(3)}{x - 3} & \text{if } x \neq 3, \\ (p + \lambda q)'(3) & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Notice that $(p + \lambda q)(x) - (p + \lambda q)(3) = (p(x) - p(3)) + (\lambda q(x) - \lambda q(3));$

$$(p + \lambda q)'(3) = p'(3) + \lambda q'(3).$$

Thus
$$T(p + \lambda q)(x) = (T(p) + \lambda T(q))(x)$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

SSuppose $p \in \mathcal{P}(C)$. Define $q : C \to C$ by $q(z) = p(z)p(\overline{z})$.

Prove that q is a poly with real coefficients.

$$p(z) = a_n z^n + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 \Rightarrow p(\overline{z}) = a_n \overline{z}^n + \dots + a_1 \overline{z} + a_0 \Rightarrow \overline{p(\overline{z})} = \overline{a_n} z^n + \dots + \overline{a_1} z + \overline{a_0}.$$

Note that
$$q(z) = p(z)\overline{p(\overline{z})} = \overline{p(\overline{z})}p(z) = p(\overline{z})p(\overline{\overline{z}}) = \overline{q(\overline{z})}$$
.

Hence letting
$$q(z) = c_m x^m + \dots + c_1 x + c_0 \implies \overline{c_k} = c_k, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$$
 for each k .

10 Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is such that

there are (m+1) distinct real numbers x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m with $p(x_k) \in \mathbb{R}$ for each x_k .

Prove that all coefficients of p are real.

Let $p(x_k) = y_k$ for each k. By Problem (5), $\exists ! q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{R})$ such that $q(x_k) = y_k$. Hence p = q.

Or. Using the Lagrange Interpolating Polynomial.

$$Define \ q(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\cdots(x-x_{j-1})(x-x_{j+1})\cdots(x-x_m)}{(x_j-x_0)(x_j-x_1)\cdots(x_j-x_{j-1})(x_j-x_{j+1})\cdots(x_j-x_m)} p(x_j).$$

 \mathbb{X} For each $j, x_j, p(x_j) \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

Notice that $q(x_k) = 1 \cdot p(x_k) \Rightarrow (q - p)(x_k) = 0$ for each $k \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$.

Then (q-p) has (m+1) distinct zeros, while $(q-p) \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Hence by [4.12], $q-p=0 \Rightarrow p=q$.

§1 Suppose $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ with $p \neq 0$. Let $U = \{pq : q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})\}$.

- (a) Show that dim $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})/U = \deg p$.
- (b) Find a basis of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})/U$.

U is a subsp of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ because $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, pf + \lambda pg = p(f + \lambda g) \in U$.

Note: Define P: $\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ by $(Pq)(x) = p(q(x)) = (p \circ q)(x)$ ($\neq p(x)q(x)$). *P is not linear.*

(a) By
$$[4.8]$$
, $\forall f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$, $\exists ! q, r \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$, $f = (p)q + (r)$; $\deg r < \deg p$.

Hence $\forall f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), \exists ! pq \in U, r \in \mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F}), f = (pq) + (r); r \notin U.$

Thus $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) = U \oplus \mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F})$. Therefore $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})/U$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F})$ are iso.

Or.
$$\forall f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), \exists ! q, r \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), f = (p)q + (r); \deg r < \deg p.$$

Define $R: \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F})$ by (Rf)(z) = r(z) for each $z \in \mathbf{F}$.

$$\forall f,g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, R(f+\lambda g)(z) = R(f) + \lambda R(g).$$

 $\overrightarrow{BECAUSE}: \forall f, g \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), \lambda \in \mathbf{F},$

$$\exists ! q_1, r_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), f = (p)q_1 + (r_1), \deg r_1 < \deg p;$$

$$\exists ! q_2, r_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), g = (p)q_2 + (r_2), \deg r_2 < \deg p;$$

 $\exists \,!\, q_3, r_3 \in \mathcal{P}(F), \lambda g = (p)q_3 + (r_3) = (p)(\lambda q_2) + (\lambda r_2), \ \deg r_3 < \deg p \ \textit{and} \ \deg \lambda r_2 < \deg p.$

$$\Rightarrow q_3 = \lambda q_2, r_3 = \lambda r_2.$$

$$\exists\,!\,q_0,r_0\in\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}),(f+\lambda g)=(p)q_0+(r_0)$$

 $= (p)(q_1 + \lambda q_2) + (r_1 + \lambda r_2), \ \deg r_0 < \deg p \ and \ \deg(r_1 + \lambda r_2) < \deg p.$

$$\Rightarrow q_1 + \lambda q_2 = q_0; \ r_1 + \lambda r_2 = r_0.$$

Hence R is linear.

$$R(f) = 0 \iff f = pq, \exists ! q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}). Thus \text{ null } R = U.$$

$$\forall r \in \mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F}), let f = p+r, then \ R(f) = r. \ Thus \ \mathrm{range} \ R = \mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F}).$$

Finally, by [3.91(d)], $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ /null R, namely $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})/U$, and range R, namely $\mathcal{P}_{\deg p-1}(\mathbf{F})$, are iso.

(b)
$$(1 + U, x + U, ..., x^{\deg p - 1}) + U$$
) can be a basis of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})/U$.

```
• Suppose nonconstant p,q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}) have no zeros in common. Let m = \deg p, n = \deg q.
  Use (a)—(c) below to prove that \exists ! r \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(C), s \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(C) such that rp + sq = 1.
  (a) Define T: \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{P}_{m+n-1}(\mathbb{C}) by T(r,s) = rp + sq. Show that the linear map T is inje.
  (b) Show that the linear map T in (a) is surj.
  (c) Use (b) to conclude that \exists ! r \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(C), s \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(C) such that rp + sq = 1.
(a) T is linear because \forall r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}), s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbb{C}), \lambda \in \mathbb{F},
       T((r_1, s_1) + \lambda(r_2, s_2)) = T(r_1 + \lambda r_2, s_1 + \lambda s_2) = (r_1 + \lambda r_2)p + (s_1 + \lambda s_2)q = T(r_1, s_1) + \lambda T(r_2, s_2).
       Suppose T(r,s) = rp + sq = 0. Notice that p, q have no zeros in common.
       Then r = s = 0, for if not, write \frac{q(z)}{r(z)} = \frac{p(z)}{s(z)}, while for any zero \lambda of q, \frac{q(\lambda)}{r(z)} = 0 \neq \frac{p(\lambda)}{s(z)}. Hence \square
   (b)\dim(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})\times\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbf{C}))=\dim\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(\mathbf{C})+\dim\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbf{C})=n+m=\dim\mathcal{P}_{m+n-1}(\mathbf{C}).
       Thus range T = \mathcal{P}m + n - 1 \Rightarrow T is surj, and therefore is an iso.
                                                                                                                                     (c) Immediately.
                                                                                                                              ENDED
5.A
[1]: 31; [2]: 1, 2, 3, 15, 21; [3]: 23, (2E Ch5.20), (4E.5.A.37), 4, 5; [4]: 6, (4E.5.A.17, 18) OR 16, (4E.5.A.15);
[5]: 7, 8, (4E.5.A.8), 22, 9, 10; [6]: 11, 12, 14, 30, 13, (4E.5.A.11); [7]: 17, (4E.5.A.16), 18; [8]: 19, 20, 24;
[9]: 24', 25, 26, 27, 28; [10]: (4E.5.A.39), 29; [11]: 32, (4E.5.A.35), (4E.5.A.38) OR 35, 36; [12] 32, 34.
Solonts. For b inerally, suppose we do not know whether V is finite-dim. Then (a) \iff (b).
  Suppose (a) \lambda is an eigral of T with an eigrec v. Then (T - \lambda I)v = 0.
 Hence we get (b), (T - \lambda I) is not inje. And then (d), (T - \lambda I) is not inv.
  But (d) \Rightarrow (b) (because S is not inv \iff S is not inje or S is not surj).
SONOTEN PROPOSE Tinely Lind Veignerove that
 every list of eigvecs of T corresponding to distinct eigvals is linely inde.
SOLUTION: OR Another Proof.
   Suppose the desired result is false. Then ( m \neq 1 because eigeness are nonzero )
   \exists smallest \mathbb{N}^+ \ni m > 1 such that \exists (v_1, ..., v_m) of eigences of T linely depe
                                                           corresponding to distinct eigens \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m of T.
   Suppose a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1}v_{m-1} + a_mv_m = 0. Then each a_i is zero, for if not, contradicts the minimality of m.
  Apply T - \lambda_m I to both sides, getting a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_m)v_1 + \dots + a_{m-1}(\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m)v_{m-1} = 0.
   Because the eigvals \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m are distinct, and a_i \neq 0 for all a_i.
   Thus (v_1, ..., v_{m-1}) of length (m-1) is linely depe corresponding to distinct eigenls.
                                                                                                                                     Contradicts the minimality of m.
```

31 Suppose V is finite-dim and $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$. Prove that (v_1, \ldots, v_m) is linely inde

 $\iff \exists \ T \in \mathcal{L}(V), v_1, \dots, v_m \ are \ eigvecs \ of \ T \ corresponding \ to \ distinct \ eigvals.$

SOLUTION: Suppose $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ is linely inde, extend it to a basis of V as $(v_1, ..., v_m, ..., v_n)$.

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $Tv_k = kv_k$ for each $k \in \{1, ..., m, ..., n\}$. Conversely by [5.10].

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U is a subspicolation:	of V.

- (a) Prove that if $U \subseteq \operatorname{null} T$, then U is invar under T. $\forall u \in U \subseteq \operatorname{null} T$, $Tu = 0 \in U$.
- (b) Prove that if range $T \subseteq U$, then U is invar under T. $\forall u \in U, Tu \in \text{range } T \subseteq U.\Box$
- Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that ST = TS.
 - (a) Prove that null $(T \lambda I)$ is invar under S, where λ is chosen arbitrarily.
 - (b) Prove that range $(T \lambda I)$ is invar under S, where λ is chosen arbitrarily.

Note that
$$ST = TS \Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)S = S(T - \lambda I)$$
.

- (a) Suppose $v \in \text{null } (T \lambda I)$, then $(T \lambda I)(Sv) = S(T \lambda I)v = S(0) = 0$. Hence $Sv \in \text{null } (T - \lambda I)$ and therefore $\text{null } (T - \lambda I)$ is invar under S.
- (b) Suppose $v \in \text{range}(T \lambda I)$, therefore $\exists u \in V, (T \lambda I)u = v$.

Then
$$Sv = S(T - \lambda I)u = (T - \lambda I)(Su) \in \text{range}(T - \lambda I)$$
.

Hence
$$Sv \in \text{range}(T - \lambda I)$$
 and therefore range $(T - \lambda I)$ *is invar under* S .

Comment: Reversing the roles of S and T, letting $\lambda = 0$, we can conclude that null S and range S is invar under T, which is what we will prove in Problem

(2) and (3) below.

• Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that ST = TS.

Show that W = pull S is invar under $T = 0 = STu \Rightarrow Tu \in W. \square$

3 Show that U = range S is invar under $Tv = TSv = Tw \in U$. \square

§ Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is inv.

- (a) Prove that T and $S^{-1}TS$ have the same eigvals.
- (b) What is the relationship between the eigrecs of T and the eigrecs of $S^{-1}TS$? Suppose λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec v.

Then
$$S^{-1}TS(S^{-1}v) = S^{-1}Tv = S^{-1}(\lambda v) = \lambda S^{-1}v$$
.

Thus λ is also an eigral of $S^{-1}TS$ with an eigrec $S^{-1}v$.

Suppose λ is an eigval of $S^{-1}TS$ with an eigvec v.

Then
$$S(S^{-1}TS)v = TSv = \lambda Sv$$
.

Thus λ is also an eigral of T with an eigrec Sv.

Or. Note that $S(S^{-1}TS)S^{-1} = T$. Hence every eigral of $S^{-1}TS$ is an eigral of $S(S^{-1}TS)S^{-1} = T$.

21 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is inv. (a) Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. *Prove that* λ *is an eigval of* $T \iff \frac{1}{\lambda}$ *is an eigval of* T^{-1} . (b) Prove that T and T^{-1} have the same eigvecs. (a) Suppose λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec v. Then $T^{-1}Tv = \lambda T^{-1}v = v \Rightarrow T^{-1}v = \frac{1}{\lambda}v$. Hence $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigval of T^{-1} . (b) Suppose $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigral of T^{-1} with an eigrec v. Then $TT^{-1}v = v = \frac{1}{\lambda}Tv \Rightarrow Tv = \lambda v$. Hence λ is an eigend of T. Or. Note that $(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$ and $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}} = \lambda$. **23** Suppose V is finite-dim, $S,T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that ST and TS have the same eigvals. Suppose λ is an eigral of ST with an eigrec v. Then $T(STv) = \lambda Tv = TS(Tv)$. If $Tv \neq 0$, then λ is an eigral of TS. Otherwise, $\lambda = 0$, ($v \neq 0, \lambda v = 0 = STv$), then T is not inv \Rightarrow TS is not inv \Rightarrow (TS – 0I) is not inv $\Rightarrow \lambda = 0$ is an eigend of TS. Reversing the roles of T and S, we conclude that ST and TS have the same eigvals. • (2E Ch5.20) Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has dim V distinct eigvals and $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has the same eigvecs (but might not with the same eigvals). Prove that ST = TS.

Let $n = \dim V$. For each $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, let v_j be an eigence with eigenal λ_j of T and α_j of S.

Then $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is a basis of V. Because $(ST)v_j = \alpha_j\lambda_jv_j = (TS)v_j$ for each j. Hence ST = TS.

• Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Define $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(V))$ by A(S) = TS for each $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Prove that the set of eigvals of T *equals the set of eigvals of* A.

(a) Suppose v_1, \ldots, v_m are all linely inde eigvecs of T with corresponding eigvals $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ respectively (possibly with repetitions). Extend to a basis of V as $(v_1, \ldots, v_m, \ldots, v_n)$.

Then for each $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$, span $(v_k) \subseteq \text{null } (T - \lambda_k I)$.

Define $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $S_k(v_j) = v_k$ for each $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, so that range $S_k = \operatorname{span}(v_k)$ for each $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$, then $\mathcal{A}(S_k) = TS_k = \lambda_k S_k$.

Thus the eigvals of T are eigvals of A. (b) Suppose $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ are all eigrals of \mathcal{A} with eigrecs S_1, \ldots, S_m respectively. Then for each $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $\exists v \in V, 0 \neq u = S_k(v) \in V \Rightarrow Tu = (TS_k)v =$ $(\lambda_k S_k)v = \lambda_k u.$ Thus the eigvals of A are eigvals of T. O_R . (a) Suppose λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec v. Let $v_1 = v$ and extend to a basis $(v_1, ..., v_m)$ of V. Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by $Sv_1 = v_1$, $Sv_k = 0$ for $k \ge 2$. Then $(T - \lambda I)Sv_1 = 0 = (T - \lambda I)Sv_k = 0$. Hence $(T - \lambda I)S = 0 \Rightarrow TS = \lambda S$ while $S \neq 0$. Thus λ is also an eigral of \mathcal{A} . (b) Suppose λ is an eigral of \mathcal{A} with an eigrec S. Then $(T - \lambda I)S = 0$ while $S \neq 0$. *Hence* $(T - \lambda I)$ *is not inje. Thus* λ *is also an eigral of* T. • COMMENT: Define $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(V))$ by $\mathcal{B}(S) = ST$, $\forall S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then the eigenst of \mathcal{B} are not the eigenst of T. **4** Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and V_1, \dots, V_m are subsps of V invar under T. *Prove that* $V_1 + \cdots + V_m$ *is invar under* T. For each i = 1, ..., m, $\forall v_i \in V_i, Tv_i \in V_i$ $Hence \ \forall v=v_1+\cdots+v_m \in V_1+\cdots+V_m, Tv=Tv_1+\cdots+Tv_m \in V_1+\cdots+V_m.$ **5** Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that the intersection of every collection of subsps of V invar under T is invar under T. Suppose $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ is a collection of subsps of V invar under T; here Γ is an arbitrary index set. We need to prove that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha}$, which equals the set of vectors that are in V_{α} for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, is invar under T. For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\forall v_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$, $Tv_{\alpha} \in V_{i}$. Hence $\forall v \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha}$, $Tv \in V_{\alpha}$, $\forall \alpha \in \Gamma \Rightarrow Tv \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha}$. Thus $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_{\alpha}$ is invar under T. **S**Prove or give a counterexample:

If V is finite-dim and U is a subsp of V that is invar under every optor on V, then $U = \{0\}$ or U = V.

Notice that V might be $\{0\}$. In this case we are done. Suppose dim $V \geq 1$. We prove by contrapositive:

Suppose $U \neq \{0\}$ and $U \neq V$, then $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ such that U is not invar under T.

I of	T 17	ha	such	that	17	_ 7	Τ	$\mathbf{\Phi}$	T 17	
пет	VV	рe	SUCH	tnut	V	$= \iota$		Θ	VV	_

Let $(u_1, ..., u_m)$ be a basis of U and $(w_1, ..., w_n)$ be a basis of W.

Hence $(u_1, \ldots, u_m, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ is a basis of V.

Define
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$$
 by $T(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_mu_m + b_1w_1 + \dots + b_nw_n) = b_1w_1 + \dots + b_nw_n$. \square

- Suppose F = R, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.
 - (a) (OR (9.11)) $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that λ is an eigval of $T \iff \lambda$ is an eigval of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$.
 - (b) (OR Problem (16)) $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Prove that λ is an eigral of $T_{\mathbb{C}} \iff \overline{\lambda}$ is an eigral of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (a) Suppose $v \in V$ is an eigvec corresponding to the eigval λ .

Then
$$Tv = \lambda v \Rightarrow T_{C}(v + i0) = Tv + iT0 = \lambda v$$
.

Thus λ is an eigral of T.

Suppose $v + iu \in V_C$ is an eigrec corresponding to the eigral λ .

Then $T_{\rm C}(v+{\rm i}u)=\lambda v+{\rm i}\lambda u\Rightarrow Tv=\lambda v, Tu=\lambda u.$ (Note that v or u might be zero).

Thus λ is an eigral of $T_{\rm C}$.

(b) Suppose λ is an eigval of T_C with an eigvec v + iu.

Let
$$(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$
 be a basis of V . Write $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$, $u = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i$, where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then $T_{\mathbf{C}}(v+\mathrm{i}u)=Tv+\mathrm{i}Tu=\lambda v+\mathrm{i}\lambda u=\lambda\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(a_i+\mathrm{i}b_i)v_i$. Conjugating two sides, we have:

$$\overline{T_{\mathrm{C}}(v+\mathrm{i}u)} = \overline{Tv+\mathrm{i}Tu} = \overline{Tv}-\mathrm{i}\overline{Tu} = Tv-\mathrm{i}Tu = T_{\mathrm{C}}(\overline{v+\mathrm{i}u}) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i+\mathrm{i}b_i)v_i = \overline{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i-\mathrm{i}b_i)v_i.$$

Hence
$$\overline{\lambda}$$
 is an eigval of $T_{\rm C}$. To prove the other direction, notice that $\overline{\lambda} = \lambda$.

• Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$.

Show that λ is an eigeal of $T \iff \lambda$ is an eigeal of the dual optor $T' \in \mathcal{L}(V')$.

(a) Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec v.

Then $(T - \lambda I_V)$ is not inv. \mathbb{Z} V is finite-dim.

Thus by [3.108, 110], [3.101] and Problem (12) in (3.F), $(T - \lambda I_V)' = T' - \lambda I_{V'}$ is not inv.

Hence λ is an eigval of T'.

(b) Suppose λ is an eigval T' with an eigvec ψ . Then $T'(\psi) = \psi \circ T = \lambda \psi$.

$$\not \subset \psi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists v \in V \text{ such that } \psi(v) \neq 0. \text{ Note that } \psi(Tv) = \lambda \psi(v).$$

Thus
$$\lambda = \frac{\psi(Tv)}{\psi(v)} \Rightarrow Tv = \frac{\psi(Tv)}{\psi(v)}v = \lambda v$$
. Hence λ is an eigral of T .

ZSuppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by T(x,y) = (-3y,x). Find the eigenst of T. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $T(x,y) = (-3y,x) = \lambda(x,y)$. Then $-3y = \lambda x$ and $x = \lambda y$. Thus $-3y = \lambda^2 y \Rightarrow \lambda^2 = -3$, ignoring the possibility of y = 0 (because if y = 0, then x = 0). Hence the set of solution for this equation is \emptyset , and therefore T has no eigvals in R. **8** Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^2)$ by T(w,z) = (z,w). Find all eigens and eigens of T. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ and $(w,z) \in \mathbf{F}^2$ such that $T(w,z) = (z,w) = \lambda(w,z)$. Then $z = \lambda w$ and $w = \lambda z$. Thus $z = \lambda^2 z \Rightarrow \lambda^2 = 1$, ignoring the possibility of z = 0 ($z = 0 \Rightarrow w = 0$). Hence $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$ are all eigenst of T. For $\lambda_1 = -1, z = -w, w = -z$; For $\lambda_2 = 1, z = w.$ Thus the set of all eigences is $\{(z, -z), (z, z) : z \in \mathbf{F} \land z \neq 0\}$. • Suppose $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$. *Prove that if* λ *is an eigval of* P*, then* $\lambda = 0$ *or* $\lambda = 1$. **S**OLUTION: (See also at (3.B), just below Problem (25), where (5.B.4) is answered.) Suppose λ is an eigend with an eigender v. Then $P(Pv) = Pv \Rightarrow \lambda^2 v = \lambda v$. Thus $\lambda = 1$ or $0.\Box$ **22** Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and \exists nonzero vectors u, w in V such that Tu = 3w and Tw = 3u. *Prove that* 3 *or* -3 *is an eigval of* T. COMMENT: Tu = 3w, $Tw = 3u \Rightarrow T(Tu) = 9u \Rightarrow T^2$ has an eigenl 9. $Tu = 3w, Tw = 3u \Rightarrow T(u + w) = 3(u + w), T(u - w) = 3(w - u) = -3(u - w).$ **8** Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^3)$ by $T(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (2z_2, 0, 5z_3)$. Find all eigvals and eigvecs of T. Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3$. Then $T(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (2z_2, 0, 5z_3) = \lambda(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. Thus $2z_2 = \lambda z_1$, $0 = \lambda z_2$, $5z_3 = \lambda z_3$. We discuss in two cases: For $\lambda=0$, $z_2=z_3=0$ and z_1 can be arbitrary ($z_1\neq 0$). For $\lambda \neq 0$, $z_2 = 0 = z_1$, and z_3 can be arbitrary ($z_3 \neq 0$), then $\lambda = 5$. *The set of all eigrecs is* $\{(0,0,z),(z,0,0):z\in \mathbb{F} \land z\neq 0\}.$

10 Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^n)$ by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n) = (x_1, 2x_2, 3x_3, ..., nx_n)$ (a) Find all eigvals and eigvecs of T. (b) Find all invar subsps of V under T. (a) Suppose $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n)$ is an eigerc of T with an eigeral λ . Then $Tv = \lambda v = (x_1, 2x_2, 3x_3, ..., nx_n) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3, ..., \lambda x_n).$ Hence $1, \ldots, n$ are eigvals of T. And $\{(0,\ldots,0,x_{\lambda},0,\ldots,0)\in \mathbf{F}^n:\lambda=1,\ldots,n,\ x_{\lambda}\in \mathbf{F}\wedge x_{\lambda}\neq 0\}$ is the set of all eigences of T. (b) Let $V_{\lambda} = \{(0,\ldots,0,x_{\lambda},0,\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{F}^n : x_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{F} \land x_{\lambda} \neq 0\}$. Then V_1,\ldots,V_n are invar under T. Hence by Problem (4), every sum of V_1, \ldots, V_n is a invar subsp of V under T. **11** Define $T: \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ by Tp = p'. Find all eigens and eigens of T. *Note that in general,* $\deg p' < \deg p \pmod{\deg 0} = -\infty$). Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec p. Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\deg \lambda p > \deg p'$ while $\lambda p \neq p'$. Contradicts. Thus $\lambda = 0$. Therefore $\deg \lambda p = -\infty = \deg p \Rightarrow p$ is a nonzero constant poly. Hence the set of all eigvecs is $\{C: C \in \mathbb{R} \land C \neq 0\} = \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$. **12** Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbf{R}))$ by (Tp)(x) = xp'(x) for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Find all eigvals and eigvecs of T. Suppose λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec p, then $(Tp)(x) = xp'(x) = \lambda p(x)$. Let $p = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$. Then $xp'(x) = a_1x + 2a_2x^2 + \dots + na_nx^n = \lambda a_0 + \lambda a_1x + \lambda a_2x^2 + \dots + \lambda a_nx^n$.

Similar to Problem (10), 0, 1, ..., n are eigvals of T.

The set of all eigences of T is
$$\{cx^{\lambda}: \lambda = 0, 1, ..., n, c \in \mathbb{F} \land c \neq 0\}$$
.

30 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^3)$ and $-4, 5, \sqrt{7}$ are eigvals of T.

Prove that $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $Tx - 9x = (-4, 5, \sqrt{7})$.

Because 9 is not an eigval. Hence (T - 9I) is surj.

14 Suppose $V = U \oplus W$, where U and W are nonzero subsps of V.

Define $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ by P(u + w) = u for each $u \in U$ and each $w \in W$.

Find all eigvals and eigvecs of P.

Suppose λ is an eigval of P with an eigvec (u + w).

Then $P(u+w) = u = \lambda u + \lambda w \Rightarrow (\lambda - 1)u + \lambda w = 0$. By [1.44] and $V = U \oplus W$, $(\lambda - 1)u = \lambda w = 0$.

Thus if $\lambda = 1$, then w = 0; if $\lambda = 0$, then u = 0.

Hence the eigvals of P are 0 and 1, the set of all eigvecs in P is $U \cup W$.

13 Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda \in F$.

Prove that $\exists \alpha \in \mathbf{F}, |\alpha - \lambda| < \frac{1}{1000}$ and $(T - \alpha I)$ is inv.

Let $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{F}$ be such that $|\alpha_k - \lambda| = \frac{1}{1000 + k}$ for each $k = 1, ..., \dim V + 1$.

Note that each $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ *has at most* dim V *distinct eigvals.*

Hence $\exists k = 1, ..., \dim V + 1$ such that α_k is not an eigenl of T and therefore $(T - \alpha_k I)$ is inv.

• Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$.

Prove that $\exists \delta > 0$ *such that* $(T - \alpha I)$ *is inv for all* $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}$ *such that* $0 < |\alpha - \lambda| < \delta$.

If T has no eigvals, then $(T - \alpha I)$ is inje for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}$ and we are done.

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that, for each eigend $\lambda_k, \lambda_k \notin (\lambda - \delta, \lambda) \cup (\lambda, \lambda + \delta)$.

So that for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}$ such that $0 < |\alpha - \lambda| < \delta$, $(T - \alpha I)$ is not inje.

§7 Give an example of an optor on \mathbb{R}^4 that has no (real) eigvals.

SOLUTION:

 $Define \ T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^4) \ by \ \mathcal{M}(T, (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 8 & 11 & 5 \\ 3 & -8 & -11 & 5 \end{pmatrix}. \ Where \ (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \ is \ the \ standard \ basis \ of \ \mathbf{R}^4.$

Suppose λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec (x, y, z, w).

Then
$$T(x, y, z, w) = \lambda(x, y, z, w) \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{cases}
(1 - \lambda)x + y + z + w = 0 \\
-x + (1 - \lambda)y - z - w = 0 \\
3x + 8y + (11 - \lambda)z + 5w = 0 \\
3x - 8y - 11z + (5 - \lambda)w = 0
\end{cases}$$

This linear equation has no solutions.

(You can type it on https://zh.numberempire.com/equationsolver.php to check.)

OR. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (-x_2, x_1, -x_4, x_3)$.

Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec (x, y, z, w).

Then
$$T(x, y, z, w) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z, \lambda w) = (-y, x, -w, z) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -y = \lambda x \\ x = \lambda y \\ -w = \lambda z \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} -xy = \lambda^2 xy \\ -zw = \lambda^2 zw \end{cases}$$

If $xy \neq 0$ or $zw \neq 0$, then $\lambda^2 = -1$, we fail.

Otherwise, $xy = 0 \Rightarrow x = y = 0$, for if $x \neq 0$, then $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$, contradicts.

Thus T has no eigvals.

• TODO Suppose (v_1, \ldots, v_n) is a basis of V and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $\mathcal{M}(T, (v_1, \ldots, v_n)) = A$. Prove that if λ is an eigval of T, then $|\lambda| \le n \max\{|A_{j,k}| : 1 \le j, k \le n\}$. *First we show that* $|\lambda| = n \max\{|A_{i,k}| : 1 \le j, k \le n\}$ *for some cases.*

Consider
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k & \cdots & k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k & \cdots & k \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then nk is an eigral of T with an eigrec $v_1 + \cdots + v_n$.

Now we show that if $|\lambda| \neq n \max\{|A_{j,k}| : 1 \leq j, k \leq n\}$, then $|\lambda| < n \max\{|A_{j,k}| : 1 \leq j, k \leq n\}$.

§8 Show that the forward shift optor $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^{\infty})$

defined by $T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (0, z_1, z_2, \dots)$ has no eigvals.

Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec $(z_1, z_2, ...)$.

Then
$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \dots) = (0, z_1, z_2, \dots).$$

Thus
$$\lambda z_1 = 0$$
, $\lambda z_2 = z_1, \dots, \lambda z_k = z_{k-1}, \dots$

Let $\lambda=0$, then $\lambda z_2=z_1=0=\lambda z_k=z_{k-1}$, therefore $(z_1,z_2,\dots)=0$ is not an eigence.

Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\lambda z_1 = 0 \Rightarrow z_1 = 0 \Rightarrow z_2 = 0 = z_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

And then $(z_1, z_2, ...) = 0$ is not an eigvec. Hence T has no eigvals.

Solution: $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ by

$$T(x_1, ..., x_n) = (x_1 + \cdots + x_n, ..., x_1 + \cdots + x_n).$$

In other words, the entries of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ *with resp to the standard basis are all* 1's.

Find all eigvals and eigvecs of T.

Suppose λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

Then
$$T(x_1, ..., x_n) = (\lambda x_1, ..., \lambda x_n) = (x_1 + ... + x_n, ..., x_1 + ... + x_n).$$

Thus
$$\lambda x_1 = \dots = \lambda x_n = x_1 + \dots + x_n$$
.

For
$$\lambda = 0$$
, $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$.

For $\lambda \neq 0$, $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$ and then $\lambda x_k = nx_k$ for each k.

Hence 0, n are eigvecs of T.

And the set of all eigrees of T is $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{F}^n : x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0 \lor x_1 = \dots = x_n\}$. \square

20 Define the backward shift optor $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^{\infty})$ by $S(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, \dots)$.

- (a) Show that every element of F is an eigral of S.
- (b) Find all eigvecs of S.

Suppose λ is an eigval of S with an eigvec $(z_1, z_2, ...)$.

Then
$$S(z_1, z_2, z_3 \dots) = (\lambda z_1, \lambda z_2, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, \dots).$$

Thus
$$\lambda z_1 = z_2, \lambda z_2 = z_3, ..., \lambda z_k = z_{k+1}, ...$$

For
$$\lambda = 0$$
, $\lambda z_1 = z_2 = 0 = \lambda z_2 = z_3 = \dots = z_k$ for all k .

While z_1 can be arbitrary, so that $(z_1, 0, ...)$ is an eigence with $z_1 \neq 0$.

For
$$\lambda \neq 0$$
, $\lambda^k z_1 = \lambda^{k-1} z_2 = \dots = \lambda z_k = z_{k+1}$ for all k .

Then $(z_1, \lambda z_1, \lambda^2 z_1, \dots, \lambda^k z_1, \dots)$ is an eigerc with $z_1 \neq 0$.

Hence (a) each element of $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ is an eigral of T.

And (b) the set of all eigences of T is
$$\{(z_1, \lambda z_1, \lambda^2 z_1, \dots, \lambda^k z_1, \dots) \in \mathbf{F}^{\infty} : \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, z_1 \neq 0\}$$

24 Suppose $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n,n}$. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ by Tx = Ax,

where elements of \mathbf{F}^n are thought of as n-by-1 col vectors.

- (a) Suppose the sum of the entries in each row of A equals 1. Prove that 1 is an eigval of T.
- (b) Suppose the sum of the entries in each col of A equals 1. Prove that 1 is an eigval of T.
- (a) Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Then
$$Tx = Ax = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{c=1}^{n} A_{1,c} x_c \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{c=1}^{n} A_{n,c} x_c \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$
. While $\sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{R,c} = 1$ for each $R = 1, \dots, n$.

Thus if we let $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$, then $\lambda = 1$, and hence is an eigral of T.

(b) Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Then
$$Tx = Ax = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{1,r} x_r \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{n,r} x_r \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$
. While $\sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{r,C} = 1$ for each $C = 1, ..., n$.

Thus
$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} (Ax)_{r,r} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (Ax)_{r,1}$$

= $\sum_{c=1}^{n} (A_{1,c} + \dots + A_{n,c}) x_c = \sum_{c=1}^{n} x_c = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_r \end{pmatrix}$.

Hence
$$\lambda = 1$$
, for all x such that $\sum_{c=1}^{n} x_{c,1} \neq 0$.

Or. Prove that (T - I) is not inv, so that we can conclude $\lambda = 1$ is an eigval.

Because
$$(T - I)x = (A - \mathcal{M}(I))x = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{1,r}x_r - x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{n,r}x_r - x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then
$$y_1 + \dots + y_n = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{c=1}^n (A_{r,c} x_c - x_r) = \sum_{c=1}^n x_c \sum_{r=1}^n A_{r,c} - \sum_{r=1}^n x_r = 0.$$

Thus range
$$(T-I) \subseteq \{ \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{F}^n : y_1 + \dots + y_n = 0 \}$$
. Hence $(T-I)$ is not surj. \square

• Suppose $A \in \mathbf{F}^{n,n}$. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^n)$ by Tx = xA,

where elements of \mathbf{F}^n are thought of as 1-by-n row vectors.

- (a) Suppose the sum of the entries in each col of A equals 1. Prove that 1 is an eigval of T.
- (b) Suppose the sum of the entries in each row of A equals 1. Prove that 1 is an eigval of T.
- (a) Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec $x = (x_1 \dots x_n)$.

Then
$$Tx = xA = \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n} x_r A_{r,1} \cdots \sum_{r=1}^{n} x_r A_{r,n}\right) = \lambda (x_1 \cdots x_n)$$
. While $\sum_{r=1}^{n} A_{r,C} = 1$ for each $C = 1, \dots, n$.

Thus if we let $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$, then $\lambda = 1$, hence is an eigral of T.

(b) Suppose λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec $x = (x_1 \dots x_n)$.

Then
$$Tx = xA = \left(\sum_{c=1}^{n} x_{c}A_{c,1} \cdots \sum_{c=1}^{n} x_{c}A_{c,n}\right) = \lambda (x_{1} \cdots x_{n})$$
. While $\sum_{c=1}^{n} A_{R,c} = 1$ for each $R = 1, \dots, n$.

Thus
$$\sum_{c=1}^{n} (xA)_{.,c} = \sum_{c=1}^{n} (xA)_{1,c} = \sum_{c=1}^{n} (A_{c,1} + \dots + A_{c,n}) x_c = \sum_{c=1}^{n} x_c = \lambda (x_1 + \dots + x_n).$$

Hence $\lambda = 1$, for all x such that $\sum_{r=1}^{n} x_{1,r} \neq 0$.

Or. Prove that (T - I) is not inv, so that we can conclude $\lambda = 1$ is an eigval.

Because
$$(T - I)x = x(A - \mathcal{M}(I)) = = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{c=1}^{n} x_c A_{c,1} - x_1 & \cdots & \sum_{c=1}^{n} x_c A_{c,n} - x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then
$$y_1 + \dots + y_n = \sum_{c=1}^n \sum_{r=1}^n (x_r A_{r,c} - x_c) = \sum_{r=1}^n x_r \sum_{c=1}^n A_{r,c} - \sum_{c=1}^n x_c = 0.$$

Thus range
$$(T - I) \subseteq \{ (y_1 \dots y_n) \in \mathbf{F}^n : y_1 + \dots + y_n = 0 \}$$
. Hence $(T - I)$ is not surj.

Prove that u and w are eigvecs of T corresponding to the same eigval.

Suppose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_0$ are eigenstands of T corresponding to u, w, u + w respectively.

Then
$$T(u+w) = \lambda_0(u+w) = Tu + Tw = \lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 w \Rightarrow (\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)u = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_0)w$$
.

Notice that u, w, u + w *are nonzero.*

If (u, w) is linely depe, then let w = cu, therefore

$$\lambda_2 c u = T w = c T u = \lambda_1 c u \qquad \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = \lambda_1.$$

$$\lambda_0(u+w) = T(u+w) = \lambda_1 u + \lambda_1 c u = \lambda_1(u+w) \quad \Rightarrow \lambda_0 = \lambda_1.$$

Otherwise,
$$\lambda_0 - \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_0 = 0 \implies \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_0$$
.

26 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that every nonzero vector in V is an eigeec of T.

Prove that T is a scalar multi of the identity optor.

Because $\forall v \in V, \exists ! \lambda_v \in F, Tv = \lambda_v v$.

Then for any two distinct nonzero vectors $v, w \in V$,

$$T(v+w) = \lambda_{v+w}(v+w) = Tv + Tw = \lambda_v v + \lambda_w w \Rightarrow (\lambda_{v+w} - \lambda_v)v = (\lambda_w - \lambda_{v+w})w.$$

If (v, w) is linely inde, then let w = cv, therefore

$$\lambda_v cv = cTv = Tw = \lambda_w w$$
 $\Rightarrow \lambda_w = \lambda_v$.

$$\lambda_{v+w}(v+w) = T(v+w) = Tv + Tw = \lambda_v(v+cv) \ \Rightarrow \lambda_{v+w} = \lambda_v.$$

Otherwise,
$$\lambda_v = \lambda_{v+w} = \lambda_w$$
.

27. 28 *Suppose* V *is finite-dim and* $k \in \{1, ..., \dim V - 1\}$.

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that every subsp of V of dim k is invar under T.

Prove that T is a scalar multi of the identity optor.

We prove the contrapositive:

If $T \neq \lambda I$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbf{F}$, then \exists a subsp U of V such that $\dim U = k$, and U is invar under T.

By Problem (26), $\exists v \in V$ and $v \neq 0$ such that v is not an eigeec of T.

Thus (v, Tv) is linely inde. Extend to a basis of V as $(v, Tv, u_1, ..., u_n)$.

Let $U = \text{span}(v, u_1, ..., u_{k-1}) \Rightarrow U$ is not an invar subsp of V under T.

Or. Suppose $0 \neq v = v_1 \in V$ and extend to a basis of V as (v_1, \dots, v_n) .

Suppose $Tv_1 = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n$, $\exists ! c_i \in \mathbf{F}$.

Consider a k - dim subsp $U = \text{span}(v_1, v_{\alpha_1}, \dots, v_{\alpha_{k-1}})$,

where $\alpha_j \in \{2, ..., n\}$ for each j, and $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{k-1}$ are distinct and are chosen arbitrarily.

Because every subsp such U is invar.

Thus
$$Tv_1 = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n \in U$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 = \cdots = c_n = 0$$
.

```
for if not, for each c_i \neq 0, choose U_i such that \alpha_j \in \{\underbrace{2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n}_{length (n-2)}\} for each j,
           hence for Tv_1=c_1v_1+\cdots+c_{i-1}v_{i-1}+c_{i+1}v_{i+1}+\cdots+c_nv_n\in U_i, we conclude that
c_i = 0.
      • Suppose V is finite-dim and T \in \mathcal{L}(V). Prove that
  T has an eigval \iff \exists a subsp U of V
                                               such that dim U = \dim V - 1, U is invar under T.
(a) Suppose \lambda is an eigral of T with an eigrec v.
        ( If dim V = 1, then U = \{0\} and we are done. )
        Extend v_1 = v to a basis of V as (v_1, v_2 ..., v_n).
        Step 1 If \exists w_1 \in \text{span}(v_2, ..., v_n) \text{ such that } 0 \neq Tw_1 \in \text{span}(v_1),
                 then extend w_1 = \alpha_{1,1} to a basis of span (v_2, \dots, v_n) as (\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{1,n-1}).
                  Otherwise, we stop at step 1.
       Step k If \exists w_k \in \text{span}(\alpha_{k-1,2}, \dots, \alpha_{k-1,n-k+1}) such that 0 \neq Tw_k \in \text{span}(v_1, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}),
                 then extend w_k = \alpha_{k,1} to a basis of span (\alpha_{k-1,2}, \dots, \alpha_{k-1,n-k+1}) as (\alpha_{k,1}, \dots, \alpha_{k,n-k}).
                  Otherwise, we stop at step k.
       Finally, we stop at step m, thus we get (v_1, w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}) and (\alpha_{m-1,2}, \dots, \alpha_{m-1,n-m+1}),
       {\rm range}\, T|_{{\rm span}\,(w_1,\dots,w_{m-1})} = {\rm span}\,(v_1,w_1,\dots,w_{m-2}) \Rightarrow \dim {\rm null}\, T|_{{\rm span}\,(w_1,\dots,w_{m-1})} = 0,
       \mathrm{span}\,(\underbrace{v_1,w_1,\ldots,w_{m-1}}_{length\,\mathrm{dim}\,m})\,\,and\,\,\mathrm{span}\,(\underbrace{\alpha_{m-1,2},\ldots,\alpha_{m-1,n-m+1}}_{length\,\mathrm{dim}\,(n-m)})\,\,are\,\,invar\,\,under\,\,T.
        Let U = \text{span}(\alpha_{m-1,2}, ..., \alpha_{m-1,n-m+1}) \oplus \text{span}(v_1, w_1, ..., w_{m-2}) and we are done.
       Comment: Both span (v_2, \ldots, v_n) and span (\alpha_{m-1,2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1,n-m+1}) \oplus \text{span}(w_1, \ldots, w_{m-1})
are in S_Vspan (v_1).
   (b) Suppose U is an invar subpsace of V under T with dim U = m = \dim V - 1.
        ( If m = 0, then dim V = 1 and we are done ).
       Let (u_1, \ldots, u_m) be a basis of U, extend to a basis of V as (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_m).
        We discuss in cases:
        For Tu_0 \in U, then range T = U so that T is not surj \iff null T \neq \{0\} \iff 0 is an
eigval of T.
```

For $Tu_0 \notin U$, then $Tu_0 = a_0u_0 + a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_mu_m$.

```
(1) If Tu_0 \in \text{span}(u_0), then we are done.
        (2) Otherwise, if range T|_{U} = U, then Tu_0 = a_0u_0 and we are done;
                             otherwise, T|_{U}: U \to U is not surj (\Rightarrow not inje), suppose range T|_{U} \neq
{0}
                               (Suppose range T|_U = \{0\}. If dim U = 0 then we are done.
                                            Otherwise \exists u \in U \setminus \{0\}, Tu = 0 and we are done.)
                             then \exists u \in U \setminus \{0\}, Tu = 0, we are done.
                                                                                                                                39 Suppose T \in \mathcal{L}(V) and range T is finite-dim.
     Prove that T has at most 1 + \dim \operatorname{range} T distinct eigvals.
Let \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m be the distinct eigrals of T and let v_1, \ldots, v_m be the corresponding eigrecs.
   (Because range T is finite-dim. Let (v_1, ..., v_n) be a list of all the linely inde eigvecs of T,
     so that the corresponding eigvals are finite. )
   For every \lambda_k \neq 0, T(\frac{1}{\lambda_k}v_k) = v_k. And if T = T - 0I is not inje, then \exists ! \lambda_A = 0 and
Tv_A = \lambda_A v_A = 0.
   Thus for \lambda_k \neq 0, \forall k, (Tv_1, ..., Tv_m) is a linely inde list of length m in range T.
   And for \lambda_A = 0, there is a linely inde list of length at most (m-1) in range T.
   Hence, by [2.23], m \le \dim \operatorname{range} T + 1.
                                                                                                                                32 Suppose that \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n are distinct real numbers.
    Prove that (e^{\lambda_1}x, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}x) is linely inde in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}.
    HINT: Let V = \text{span}(e^{\lambda_1}x, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}x), and define an optor D \in \mathcal{L}(V) by Df = f'.
             Find eigvals and eigvecs of D.
Define V and D \in \mathcal{L}(V) as in H_{INT}. Then because for each k, D(e^{\lambda_k x}) = \lambda_k e^{\lambda_k x}.
   Thus \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n are distinct eigrals of D. By [5.10], (e^{\lambda_1}x, \ldots, e^{\lambda_n}x) is linely inde in \mathbb{R}^R. \square
• Suppose \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n are distinct positive numbers.
  Prove that (\cos(\lambda_1 x), ..., \cos(\lambda_n x)) is linely inde in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}.
Let V = \text{span}(\cos(\lambda_1 x), ..., \cos(\lambda_n x)). Define D \in \mathcal{L}(V) by Df = f'.
   Then because D(\cos(\lambda_k x)) = -\lambda_k \sin(\lambda_k x). \mathbb{X} D(\sin(\lambda_k x)) = \lambda_k \cos(\lambda_k x).
   Thus D^2(\cos(\lambda_k x)) = -\lambda_k^2 \cos(\lambda_k x).
   Notice that \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n are distinct \Rightarrow -\lambda_1^2, \ldots, -\lambda_n^2 are distinct.
   Hence -\lambda_1^2, \dots, -\lambda_n^2 are distinct eigens of D^2
                 with the corresponding eigvecs \cos(\lambda_1 x), \dots, \cos(\lambda_n x) respectively.
   And then (\cos(\lambda_1 x), \dots, \cos(\lambda_n x)) is linely inde in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}.
```

• Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and U is a subsp of V invar under T.

The quotient optor $T/U \in \mathcal{L}(V/U)$ *is defined by*

$$(T/U)(v+U) = Tv + U$$
 for each $v \in V$.

- (a) Show that the definition of T/U makes sense (which requires using the condition that U is invar under T) and show that T/U is an optor on V/U.
- (b) (OR Problem 35) Show that each eigenal of T/U is an eigenal of T.
- (a) Suppose $v + U = w + U (\iff v w \in U)$.

Then because U is invar under T, $T(v-w) \in U \iff Tv + U = Tw + U$.

Hence the definition of T/U makes sense.

Now we show that T/U is linear.

$$\forall v + U, w + U \in V/U, \lambda \in \mathbf{F}, (T/U)((v + U) + \lambda(w + U))$$

$$= T(v + \lambda w) + U = (Tv + U) + \lambda(Tw + U)$$

$$= (T/U)(v + U) + \lambda(T/U)(w).$$

(b) Suppose λ is an eigval of T/U with an eigvec v + U.

Then
$$(T/U)(v+U) = \lambda(v+U) = Tv + U = \lambda v + U \Rightarrow (T-\lambda I)v \in U$$
.

If
$$(T - \lambda I)v = 0 \Rightarrow Tv = \lambda v$$
, then we are done.

Otherwise, then $(T|_U - \lambda I) : U \to U$ is inv,

$$hence \ \exists \,!\, w \in U, (T|_U - \lambda I)(w) = (T - \lambda I)v \Rightarrow T(v - w) = \lambda(v - w).$$

Note that $v - w \neq 0$ (for if not, $v \in U \Rightarrow v + U = 0 + U$ is not an eigvec).

36 Prove or give a counterexample:

The result of (b) in Exercise 35 is still true if V is infinite-dim.

A counterexample:

Consider
$$V = \text{span}(1, e^x, e^{2x}, ...)$$
 in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$, and a subsp $U = \text{span}(e^x, e^{2x}, ...)$ of V .

Define
$$T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$$
 by $Tf = e^x f$. Then range $T = U$ is invar under T .

Consider
$$(T/U)(1 + U) = e^x + U = 0$$

 \Rightarrow 0 is an eigval of T/U but is not an eigval of T

(null $T = \{0\}$, for if not, $\exists f \in V \setminus \{0\}$, $(Tf)(x) = e^x f(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow f = 0$, contradicts).

33 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that T/(range T) = 0.

 $\forall v + \text{range } T \in V/\text{range } T, v + \text{range } T \in \text{null } (T/(\text{range } T))$

 \Rightarrow null $(T/(\text{range }T)) = V/\text{range }T \Rightarrow T/(\text{range }T)$ is a zero map.

34 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that T/(null T) is inje \iff $(\text{null } T) \cap (\text{range } T) = \{0\}$.

(a) Suppose T/(null T) is inje.

Then (T/(null T))(u + null T) = Tu + null T = 0

 $\Leftrightarrow Tu \in \text{null } T \not \subset Tu \in \text{range } T \Leftrightarrow u + \text{null } T = 0 \Leftrightarrow u \in \text{null } T \Leftrightarrow Tu = 0.$

Thus $(\text{null } T) \cap (\text{range } T) = \{0\}.$

(b) Suppose (null T) \cap (range T) = {0}.

Then (T/(null T))(u + null T) = Tu + null T = 0

 \iff $Tu \in \text{null } T \not \subset Tu \in \text{range } T \iff Tu = 0 \iff u \in \text{null } T \iff u + \text{null } T = 0.$

Thus T/(null T) is inje.

ENDED

5.B: I [See 5.B: II below.]

COMMENT: 下面是第 5 章 B 节。为了照顾 5.B 节两版过大的差距,特别将 5.B 补注分成 I 和 II 两部分。 又考虑到第 4 版中 5.B 节的「本征值与极小多项式」与「奇维度实向量空间的本征值」 (相当一部分是从原第 3 版 8.C 节挪过来的)是对原第 3 版「多项式作用于算子」与 「本征值的存在性」(也即第 3 版 5.B 前半部分)的极大扩充,这一扩充也大大改变了 原第 3 版后半部分的「上三角矩阵」这一小节,故而将第 4 版 5.B 节放在第 3 版 5.B 节前面。

I 部分除了覆盖第 4 版 5.B 节和第 3 版 5.B 节前半部分与之相关的所有习题,还会覆盖第 4 版 5.A 节末。

II 部分除了覆盖第 3 版 5.B 节后半部分 [上三角矩阵] 这一小节,还会覆盖第 4 版 5.C 节;并且,下面 5.C 还会覆盖第 4 版 5.D 节。

「注: [8.40] OR (4E 5.22) — mini poly;

[8.44,8.45] OR (4E 5.25,5.26) ——how to find the mini poly;

[8.49] OR (4E 5.27) ——eigvals are the zeros of the mini poly;

[8.46] OR (4E 5.29) — $q(T) = 0 \Leftrightarrow q$ is a poly multi of the mini poly.]

[1]: (4E.5.A.33), 13; [2]: (4E.5.B.25, 26, 27, 28, 22); [3]: 6, (4E.5.B.10, 23, 21), 19; [4]: (4E 5.B.13, 14);

[5]: (4E.5.B.20, 24), 10; [6]: 1, 2, 7, 3, (4E.5.A.32); [7]: 8, (4E 5.B.12, 3, 8); [8]: (4E.5.B.11), 5, (4E.5.B.7);

[9]: 11, 12, (4E.5.B.17, 18); [10]: 18 OR (4E.5.B.15), (4E.5.B.9), (4E.5.B.16); [11]: (2E Ch5.24), (4E.5.B.29).

- Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and m is a positive integer.
 - (a) Prove that T is inje \iff T^m is inje.
 - (b) Prove that T is surj \iff T^m is surj.
- (a) Suppose T^m is inje. Then $Tv = 0 \Rightarrow T^{m-1}Tv = T^mv = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0$.

Suppose T is inje. Then $T^m v = T(T^{m-1}v) = 0$ $\Rightarrow T^{m-1}v = 0 = T(T^{m-2}v) \Rightarrow \cdots$ $\Rightarrow T^2v = TTv = 0$ $\Rightarrow Tv = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0.$ (b) Suppose T^m is surj. $\forall u \in V$, $\exists v \in V$, $T^m v = u = Tw$, let $w = T^{m-1}v$. Suppose T is surj. Then $\forall u \in V, \exists v \in V, T(v) = u$ $\Rightarrow \exists v_2 \in V, Tv_2 = \underline{v}, T^2(\underline{v_2}) = u$ $\Rightarrow \exists v_k \in V, Tv_k = \underline{v_{k-1}}, T^k \underline{(v_k)} = u$ $\Rightarrow \exists\, v_{m-1}\in V, Tv_{m-1}=\underline{v_{m-2}}, T^{m-1}\underline{(v_{m-1})}=u$ $\Rightarrow \exists \, v_m \ \overline{\in V}, Tv_m = \overline{v_{m-1}}, T^{m-1}(Tv_m) = u.$ **SONOTENFORM FORM** $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(F)$. *Prove that* $\operatorname{null} p(T)$ *and* $\operatorname{range} p(T)$ *are invar under* T. *Using the commutativity in* [5.10]. (a) Suppose $u \in \text{null } p(T)$. Then p(T)u = 0. Thus p(T)(Tu) = (p(T)T)u(Tp(T))u = T(p(T)u) = 0. Hence $Tu \in \text{null } p(T)$. (b) Suppose $u \in \text{range } p(T)$. Then $\exists v \in V \text{ such that } u = p(T)v$. Thus $Tu = T(p(T)v) = p(T)(Tv) \in \text{range } p(T)$. Suppose V is a finite-dim complex vector space of dim n > 0 and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Choose a nonzero $v \in V$. $(v, Tv, T^2v, ..., T^nv)$ of length n + 1 is linely depe. Suppose $a_0I + a_1T + \cdots + a_nT^n = 0$. Then $\exists a_i \neq 0$. Thus \exists nonconst p of smallest degree (deg p > 0) such that p(T)v = 0.

SONOTE: FOR [5.21]: Every optor on a finite-dim nonzero complex vector space has an eigval.

Because $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $p(\lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{C}), p(z) = (z - \lambda)q(z), \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Thus $0 = p(T)v = (T - \lambda I)(q(T)v)$. By the minimality of deg p and deg $q < \deg p$, $q(T)v \neq 0$.

Then $(T - \lambda I)$ is not inje. Thus λ is an eigral of T with eigrec q(T)v.

SOLXAMP.LE: an optor on a complex vector space with no eigvals

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C}))$ by (Tp)(z) = zp(z).

Suppose $p \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ is a nonzero poly. Then $\deg Tp = \deg p + 1$, and thus $Tp \neq \lambda p$, $\forall \lambda \in C$.

Hence T has no eigvals. Because $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{C})$ is infinite-dim, this example does not contradict the result above.

3 Suppose V is a complex vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has no eigvals.

Prove that every subsp of V *invar under* T *is either* $\{0\}$ *or infinite-dim.*

SOLUTION: Suppose U is a finite-dim nonzero invar subsp on C. Then by [5.21], $T|_{II}$ has an eigval.

SOLUTION: $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \mathcal{L}(V)$

- (a) Suppose $T_1, ..., T_m$ are all inje. Then $(T_1 \circ \cdots \circ T_m)$ is inje.
- (b) Suppose $(T_1 \circ \cdots \circ T_m)$ is not inje. Then at least one of T_1, \ldots, T_m is not inje.

(c) At least one of T_1, \ldots, T_m is not inje $\Rightarrow (T_1 \circ \cdots \circ T_m)$ is not inje. EXAMPLE: On infinite-dim only. Let $V = \mathbf{F}^{\infty}$. Let S be the backward shift (surj but not inje), T be the forward shift (inje but not surj). Then ST = I. **Solution:** $0 \neq v \in V$. Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\dim V}(\mathbf{C}))$, V) by S(p) = p(T)v. Prove [5.21]. Because dim $\mathcal{P}_{\dim V}(\mathbf{C})$ = dim V+1. Then S is not inje. Hence $\exists 0 \neq p \in \mathcal{P}_{\dim V}(\mathbf{C})$, p(T)v =0. Using [4.14], write $p(z) = c(z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$. Apply T to both sides: $p(T) = c(T - \lambda_m)$ $\lambda_1 I$) ··· ($T - \lambda_m I$). Thus at least one of $(T - \lambda_i I)$ is not inje (because p(T) is not inje). **17** Suppose $0 \neq v \in V$. Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{(\dim V)^2}(\mathbf{C}))$, $\mathcal{L}(V)$ by S(p) = p(T). Prove [5.21]. Because $\dim \mathcal{P}_{(\dim V)^2}(\mathbf{C})) = (\dim V)^2 + 1$. Then S is not inje. Hence $\exists \ 0 \neq p \in \mathcal{P}_{(\dim V)^2}(\mathbf{C}))$, p(0. Using [4.14], write $p(z) = c(z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$. Applying T, we have 0 = p(T) = $c(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_m I)$. Thus $(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_m I) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists j, (T - \lambda_i)$ is not inje. Comment: \exists monic $q \in \text{null } S \neq \{0\}$ of smallest degree, S(q) = q(T) = 0, then q is the mini poly. **Solverty For [8.40]:** def for mini poly Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. *Prove that* \exists ! *monic poly* $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ *of smallest degree,* p(T) = 0. *Moreover,* $\deg p \leq \dim V$. **SOLUTION** *OR Another Proof* : [Existns Part] We use induction on dim V. (i) If dim V = 0, then $I = 0 \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and let p = 1, we are done. (ii) Suppose dim $V \ge 1$. Assume that $\dim V > 0$ and that the desired result is true for all optors on all vecsps of smaller dim. Let $u \in V$, $u \neq 0$. The list $(u, Tu, ..., T^{\dim V}u)$ of length $(1 + \dim V)$ is linely depe. Then $\exists ! T^m$ of smallest degree such that $T^m u \in \text{span}(u, Tu, ..., T^{m-1}u)$. Thus $\exists c_i \in \mathbf{F}, c_0 u + c_1 T u + \dots + c_{m-1} T^{m-1} u + T^m u = 0.$ Define q by $q(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{m-1} z^{m-1} + z^m$. Then $0 = T^k(q(T)u) = q(T)(T^ku), \forall k \in \{1, ..., m-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}.$ Because $(u, Tu, ..., T^{m-1}u)$ is linely inde. Thus dim null $q(T) \ge m \Rightarrow \dim \operatorname{range} q(T) = \dim V - \dim \operatorname{null} q(T) \le \dim V - m$. Let W = range q(T). By assumption, \exists monic $s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ and $\deg s \leq \dim W$, so that $s(T|_W) = 0$. Hence $\forall v \in V$, ((sq)(T))(v) = s(T)(q(T)v) = 0. Thus sq is a monic poly such that $\deg sq \leq \dim V$ and (sq)(T) = 0.

Uniques Part

```
Let p,q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) be monic polys of smallest degree such that p(T) = q(T) = 0
     \Rightarrow (p-q)(T) = 0 \ \ \ \ \ \deg(p-q) < \deg p.
  If p-q=a_mz^m+\cdots+a_1z_1+a_0\neq 0, then \frac{1}{a_m}(p-q) is a monic poly of smaller degree than p.
  Hence contradicts the minimality of deg p. Thus p - q = 0 and we are done.
                                                                                                                 • (4E 5.31, 4E 5.B.25 and 26) mini poly of restriction optor and mini poly of quotient optor
 Suppose V is finite-dim, T \in \mathcal{L}(V), and U is an invar subsp of V under T.
 Let p be the mini poly of T.
 (a) Prove that p is a poly multi of the mini poly of T|_{II}.
 (b) Prove that p is a poly multi of the mini poly of T/U.
 (c) Prove that (mini poly of T|_{U}) × (mini poly of T/U) is a poly multi of p.
 (d) Prove that the set of eigvals of T equals
     the union of the set of eigvals of T|_{U} and the set of eigvals of T/U.
(a) p(T) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall u \in U, p(T)u = 0 \Rightarrow p(T|_{U}) = 0 \Rightarrow By [8.46].\square
  (b) \ p(T) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall v \in V, p(T)v = 0 \Rightarrow p(T/U)(v+U) = p(T)v + U = 0.
                                                                                                                                    (c) Suppose r is the mini poly of T|_{U}, s is the mini poly of T/U.
      Because \forall v \in V, s(T/U)(v+U) = s(T)v + U = 0. So that \forall v \in V but v \notin U, s(T)v \in U.
      Thus \forall v \in V but v \notin U, (rs)(T)v = r(s(T)v) = 0.
      And \forall u \in U, (rs)(T)u = r(s(T)u) = 0 (because s(T)u = s(T|_{U})u \in U).
      Hence \forall v \in V, (rs)(T)v = 0 \Rightarrow (rs)(T) = 0.
                                                                                                                                    (d) By [8.49], immediately.
                                                                                                                                    • (4E 5.B.27)
 Suppose F = R, V is finite-dim, and T \in \mathcal{L}(V).
 Prove that the mini poly p of T_{\mathbf{C}} equals the mini poly q of T.
SOLUTION:
   \forall u + i0 \in V_C, p(T_C)(u) = p(T)u = 0 \Rightarrow \forall u \in V, p(T)u = 0 \Rightarrow p is a poly multi of q.
   q(T) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall u + iv \in V_C, q(T_C)(u + iv) = q(T)u + iq(T)v = 0 \Rightarrow q \text{ is a poly multi of } p.
• (4E 5.B.28)
 Suppose V is finite-dim and T \in \mathcal{L}(V).
 Prove that the mini poly p of T' \in \mathcal{L}(V') equals the mini poly q of T.
SOLUTION:
  \forall \varphi \in V', p(T')\varphi = \varphi \circ (p(T)) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall \varphi \in V', p(T) \in \operatorname{null} \varphi \Rightarrow p(T) = 0
                                         \Rightarrow p(T) = 0 \Rightarrow p \text{ is a poly multi of } q.  \rightarrow \rightarrow \sigma
 q(T)=0 \Rightarrow \forall \varphi \in V', \varphi \circ (q(T))=q(T')\varphi=0 \Rightarrow q \text{ is a poly multi of } p.
• (4E 5.32) Suppose T \in \mathcal{L}(V) and p is the mini poly.
 Prove that T is not inje \iff the const term of p is 0.
T is not inje \iff 0 is an eigral of T \iff 0 is a zero of p \iff the const term of p is 0.
                                                                                                                                    OR. Because p(0)=(z-0)(z-\lambda_1)\cdots(z-\lambda_m)=0 \Rightarrow T(T-\lambda_1 I)\cdots(T-\lambda_m I)=0
  \mathbb{X} p is the mini poly \Rightarrow q define by q(z) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m) is such that q(T) \neq 0.
  Hence 0 = p(T) = Tq(T) \Rightarrow T is not inje.
  Conversely, suppose (T - 0I) is not inje, then 0 is a zero of p, so that the const term is 0.
```

• (4E 5.B.22)

• (4E 5.B.10, 5.B.23)

Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and p is the mini poly with degree m. Suppose $v \in V$.

- (a) Prove that span $(v, Tv, ..., T^{m-1}v) = \text{span}(v, Tv, ..., T^{j-1}v)$ for some $j \le m$.
- (b) Prove that span $(v, Tv, \dots, T^{m-1}v) = \operatorname{span}(v, Tv, \dots, T^{m-1}v, \dots, T^nv)$.

Comment: By Note For [8.40], j has an upper bound m-1, m has an upper bound dim V.

Write $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + z^m$ ($m \le \dim V$). If v = 0, then we are done. Suppose $v \ne 0$.

(a) Suppose $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is the smallest such that $T^j v \in \text{span}(v, Tv, ..., T^{j-1}v) = U_0$. Then $j \leq m$.

Write $T^jv=c_0v+c_1Tv+\cdots+c_{j-1}T^{j-1}v$. And because $T(T^kv)=T^{k+1}\in U_0$. U_0 is invar under T.

By Problem (6), $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $T^{j+k}v = T^k(T^jv) \in U_0$.

Thus $U_0 = \operatorname{span}(v, Tv, \dots, T^{j-1}v, \dots, T^nv)$ for all $n \ge j-1$. Let n = m-1 and we are done.

(b) Let $U = \text{span}(v, Tv, ..., T^{m-1}v)$. By (a), $U = U_0 = \text{span}(v, Tv, ..., T^{j-1}, ..., T^{m-1}, ..., T^n)$ for all $n \ge m - 1$.

• (4E 5.B.21)

Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Prove that the mini poly p has degree at most $1 + \dim \operatorname{range} T$.

If dim range $T < \dim V - 1$, then this result gives a better upper bound for the degree of mini poly.

SOLUTION:

If T is inje, then range T = V and we are done. Now choose $0 \neq v \in \text{null } T$, then $Tv + 0 \cdot v = 0$.

1 is the smallest positive integer such that $T^1v \in \text{span}(v, ..., T^0v)$. Define q by $q(z) = z \Rightarrow q(T)v = 0$.

Let $W = \operatorname{range} q(T) = \operatorname{range} T$. $\exists monic s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}) \text{ of smallest degree } (\operatorname{deg} s \leq \dim W)$, $s(T|_W) = 0$.

Hence sq is the mini poly (see Note For [8.40]) and $\deg(sq) = \deg s + \deg q \leq \dim \operatorname{range} T + 1$.

§ Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{q(T) : q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})\}$.

Prove that dim \mathcal{E} *equals the degree of the mini poly of* T.

Because the list $(I, T, ..., T^{(\dim V)^2})$ of length $\dim \mathcal{L}(V) + 1$ is linely depe in $\dim \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ is the smallest such that $T^m = a_0 I + \cdots + a_{m-1} T^{m-1}$.

Then q defined by $q(z) = z^m - a_{m-1}z^{m-1} - \cdots - a_0$ is the mini poly (see [8.40]).

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $T^{m+k} = T^k(T^m) \in \text{span}(I, T, ..., T^{m-1}) = U$.

Hence span $(I,T,\ldots,T^{(\dim V)^2})=\mathrm{span}\,(I,T,\ldots,T^{(\dim V)^2-1})=U.$

Note that by the minimality of m, the list $(I, T, ..., T^{m-1})$ is linely inde.

Thus dim $U = m = \dim \operatorname{span}(I, T, ..., T^{(\dim V)^2 - 1}) = \dim \operatorname{span}(I, T, ..., T^n)$ for all $m < n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

Define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbf{F}), \mathcal{E})$ by $\varphi(p) = p(T)$.

- (a) Suppose p(T) = 0. $\mathbb{Z} \deg p \leq m 1 \Rightarrow p = 0$. Then φ is inje.
- (b) $\forall S = a_0 I + a_1 T + \dots + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} \in \mathcal{E}$, define $p \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbf{F})$ by $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} \Rightarrow \varphi(p) = S$. Then φ is surj.

Hence \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbf{F})$ are iso. \mathbb{Z} dim $\mathcal{P}_{m-1}(\mathbf{F}) = m = \dim U$.

• (4E 5.B.13)

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ is defined by

$$q(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$$
, where $a_n \neq 0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{F}$.

Denote the mini poly of T by p defined by

$$p(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_{m-1} z^{m-1} + z^m \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{F}.$$

Prove that $\exists ! r \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ *such that* q(T) = r(T), $\deg r < \deg p$.

If $\deg q < \deg p$, then we are done.

If
$$\deg q = \deg p$$
, notice that $p(T) = 0 = c_0 I + c_1 T + \dots + c_{m-1} T^{m-1} + T^m$
$$\Rightarrow T^m = -c_0 I - c_1 T - \dots - c_{m-1} T^{m-1},$$
 define r by $r(z) = q(z) + [-a_m z^m + a_m (-c_0 - c_1 z - \dots - c_{m-1} z^{m-1})]$
$$= (a_0 - a_m c_0) + (a_1 - a_m c_1) z + \dots + (a_{m-1} - a_m c_{m-1}) z^{m-1},$$
 hence $r(T) = 0$, $\deg r < m$ and we are done.

Now suppose $\deg q \ge \deg p$. *We use induction on* $\deg q$.

- (i) $\deg q = \deg p$, then the desired result is true, as shown above.
- (ii) $\deg q > \deg p$, assume that the desired result is true for $\deg q = n$.

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ such that $f(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1}$. Apply the assumption to g defined by $g(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \cdots + b_n z^n$, *getting s defined by* $s(z) = d_0 + d_1 z + \dots + d_{m-1} z^{m-1}$. Thus $g(T) = s(T) \Rightarrow f(T) = g(T) + b_{n+1}T^{n+1} = s(T) + b_{n+1}T^{n+1}$. Apply the assumption to t defined by $t(z) = z^n$, getting δ defined by $\delta(z) = c_0' + c_1'z + \dots + c_{m-1}'z^{m-1}$. Thus $t(T) = T^{n} = c_{0}' + c_{1}'z + \dots + c_{m-1}'z^{m-1} = \delta(T)$. \mathbb{X} span $(v, Tv, ..., T^{m-1}v)$ is invar under T. Hence $\exists ! k_i \in \mathbb{F}, T^{n+1} = T(T^n) = k_0 + k_1 z + \dots + k_{m-1} z^{m-1}$. And $f(T) = s(T) + b_{n+1}(k_0 + k_1T + \dots + k_{m-1}T^{m-1})$ $\Rightarrow f(T) = (d_0 + k_0) + (d_1 + k_1)z + \dots + (d_{m-1} + k_{m-1})z^{m-1} = h(T)$, thus defining $h.\Box$ • (4E 5.B.14) Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has mini poly p defined by $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + z^m, a_0 \neq 0.$ Find the mini poly of T^{-1} . *Notice that V is finite-dim. Then* $p(0) = a_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0$ *is not a zero of* $p \Rightarrow T - 0I = T$ *is inv.* Then $p(T) = a_0 I + a_1 T + \cdots + T^m = 0$. Apply T^{-m} to both sides, $a_0(T^{-1})^m + a_1(T^{-1})^{m-1} + \dots + a_{m-1}T^{-1} + I = 0.$ Define q by $q(z) = z^m + \frac{a_1}{a_0} z^{m-1} + \dots + \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_0} z + \frac{1}{a_0}$ for all $z \in \mathbf{F}$. We now show that $(T^{-1})^k \notin \text{span}(I, T^{-1}, ..., (T^{-1})^{k-1})$ for every $k \in \{1, ..., m-1\}$ by contradiction, so that q is exactly the mini poly of T^{-1} . Suppose $(T^{-1})^k \in \text{span}(I, T^{-1}, \dots, (T^{-1})^{k-1})$. Then let $(T^{-1})^k = b_0 I + b_1 T^{-1} + \dots + b_{k-1} T^{k-1}$. Apply T^k to both sides, *getting* $I = b_0 T^k + b_1 T^{k-1} + \dots + b_{k-1} T$, hence $T^k \in \text{span}(I, T, \dots, T^{k-1})$. Thus f defined by $f(z) = z^k + \frac{b_1}{b_0}z^{k-1} + \dots + \frac{b_{k-1}}{b_0}z - \frac{1}{b_0}$ is a poly multi of p. *While* $\deg f < \deg p$. *Contradicts*.

• Note For [8.49]: Suppose V is a finite-dim complex vecsp, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

By [4.14], the mini poly has the form $(z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$, where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ is a list of all eigenst of T, possibly with repetitions.

SCONDIENT: nonzero poly has at most as many distinct zeros as its degree (see [4.12]). Thus by the upper bound for the deg of mini poly given in NOTE FOR [8.40], and by [8.49,]

• NOTICE: (See also 4E 5.B.20,24)

Suppose $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are all the distinct eigrals of T,

and therefore are all the distinct zeros of the mini poly.

Also, the mini poly of T is a poly multi of, but not equal to, $(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)$.

If we define q by $q(z) = (z - \alpha_1)^{\dim V - (n-1)} \cdots (z - \alpha_n)^{\dim V - (n-1)}$,

then q is a poly multi of the char poly (see [8.34] and [8.26])

(*Because* dim V > n and n - 1 > 0, $n[\dim V - (n - 1)] > \dim V$.)

The char poly has the form $(z - \alpha_1)^{\gamma_1} \cdots (z - \alpha_n)^{\gamma_n}$, where $\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n = \dim V$.

The mini poly has the form $(z - \alpha_1)^{\delta_1} \cdots (z - \alpha_n)^{\delta_n}$, where $0 \le \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_n \le \dim V$.

10 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, λ is an eigral of T with an eigrec v.

Prove that for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$, $p(T)v = p(\lambda)v$.

Suppose p is defined by $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_m z^m$ for all $z \in \mathbf{F}$. Because for any $n \in \mathbf{N}^+$, $T^n v =$ $\lambda^n v$.

Thus
$$p(T)v = a_0v + a_1Tv + \dots + a_mT^mv = a_0v + a_1\lambda v + \dots + a_m\lambda^m v = p(\lambda)v$$
.

• Comment: For any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$ such that $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_m)^{\alpha_m}$, the result is true as well.

Now we prove that $(T - \lambda_1 I)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (T - \lambda_m I)^{\alpha_m} v = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_m)^{\alpha_m} v$.

Define q_i by $q_i(z) = (z - \lambda_i)^{\alpha_i}$ for all $z \in \mathbf{F}$.

Because $(a + b)^n = a^n + C_n^1 a^{n-1} b + \dots + C_n^k a^{n-k} b^k + \dots + C_n^n b^n$.

Let a = z, $b = \lambda_i$, $n = \alpha_i$, so we can write $q_i(z)$ in the form $a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_m z^m$.

Hence $q_i(T)v = q_i(\lambda)v \Rightarrow (T - \lambda_i I)^{\alpha_i}v = (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{\alpha_i}v$.

Then for each $k \in \{2, ..., m\}$, $(T - \lambda_{k-1}I)^{\alpha_{k-1}}(T - \lambda_kI)^{\alpha_k}v$

$$= q_{k-1}(T)(q_k(T)v)$$

$$= q_{k-1}(T)(q_k(\lambda)v)$$

$$= q_{k-1}(\lambda)(q_k(\lambda)v)$$

$$= (\lambda - \lambda_{k-1})^{\alpha_{k-1}}(\lambda - \lambda_k)^{\alpha_k}v.$$

So that $(T - \lambda_1 I)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (T - \lambda_m I)^{\alpha_m} v$

$$= q_1(T)(q_2(T)(...(q_m(T)v)...))$$

$$= q_1(\lambda)(q_2(\lambda)(\dots(q_m(\lambda)v)\dots))$$

$$=(\lambda-\lambda_1)^{\alpha_1}\cdots(\lambda-\lambda_m)^{\alpha_m}v.$$

1 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $T^n = 0$.

Prove that (I - T) *is inv and* $(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$.

Note that
$$1 - x^n = (1 - x)(1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1}).$$

$$\frac{(I-T)(1+T+\dots+T^{n-1})}{(I+T+\dots+T^{n-1})(I-T)} = I-T^n = I
(1+T+\dots+T^{n-1})(I-T) = I-T^n = I$$

$$\Rightarrow (I-T)^{-1} = 1+T+\dots+T^{n-1}. \qquad \Box$$

2 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and (T-2I)(T-3I)(T-4I) = 0.

Suppose λ is an eigral of T. Prove that $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = 3$ or $\lambda = 4$.

Suppose v is an eigeec corresponding to λ . Then for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$, $p(T)v = p(\lambda)v$.

Hence
$$0 = (T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I)v = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 4)v$$
 while $v \neq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = 3$ or $\lambda = 4$.

Or. Because
$$(T-2I)(T-3I)(T-4I)=0$$
 is not inje. By Tips.

SSuppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that 9 is an eigval of $T^2 \iff 3$ or -3 is an eigval of T.

Solution: Comment: Note that V can be infinite-dim. See also in (5.A.22).

- (a) Suppose 9 is an eigval of T^2 . Then $(T^2 9I)v = (T 3I)(T + 3I)v = 0$ for some v. By T_{IPS} .
- (b) Suppose 3 or -3 is an eigval of T with an eigvec v. Then $Tv = \pm 3v \Rightarrow T^2v = T(Tv) = 9v$

3 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $T^2 = I$ and -1 is not an eigend of T. Prove that T = I.

$$T^2 - I = (T + I)(T - I)$$
 is not inje, \mathbb{Z} -1 is not an eigend of $T \Rightarrow By$ Tips.

Or. Note that $v = \left[\frac{1}{2}(I-T)v\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2}(I+T)v\right]$ for all $v \in V$.

And
$$(I - T^2)v = (I - T)(I + T)v = 0$$
 for all $v \in V$,

$$(I+T)(\frac{1}{2}(I-T)v) = \frac{1}{2}(I-T^2)v = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(I-T)v \in \text{null}\,(I+T) \\ (I-T)(\frac{1}{2}(I+T)v) = \frac{1}{2}(I-T^2)v = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(I+T)v \in \text{null}\,(I-T) \\ \} \Rightarrow V = \text{null}\,(I+T) + \text{null}\,(I-T).$$

 \mathbb{Z} -1 is not an eigral of $T \Rightarrow (I + T)$ is inje \Rightarrow null $(I + T) = \{0\}$.

Hence
$$V = \text{null } (I - T) \Rightarrow \text{range } (I - T) = \{0\}. \text{ Thus } I - T = 0 \in \mathcal{L}(V) \Rightarrow T = I. \quad \Box$$

• (4E 5.A.32) Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has no eigends and $T^4 = I$. Prove that $T^2 = -I$.

Because $T^4 - I = (T^2 - I)(T^2 + I) = 0$ is not inje $\Rightarrow (T^2 - I)$ or $(T^2 + I)$ is not inje.

 $X \cap T$ has no eigvals $\Rightarrow (T^2 - I) = (T - I)(T + I)$ is inje,

for if not, (T - I) or (T + I) is not inje. Contradicts.

 $Hence \ T^2+I=0 \in \mathcal{L}(V), for \ if \ not, \ \exists \ v \in V, (T^2+I)v \neq 0 \ while \ (T^2-I)((T^2+I)v)=0.$

Contradicts.□

Or. Note that $v = [\frac{1}{2}(I - T^2)v] + [\frac{1}{2}(I + T^2)v]$ for all $v \in V$.

And $(I - T^4)v = (I - T^2)(I + T^2)v = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

$$\begin{split} &(I+T^2)(\frac{1}{2}(I-T^2)v) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(I-T^2)v \in \text{null}\,(I+T^2) \\ &(I-T^2)(\frac{1}{2}(I+T^2)v) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(I+T^2)v \in \text{null}\,(I-T^2) \end{split} \} \Rightarrow V = \text{null}\,(I+T^2) + \text{null}\,(I-T^2).$$

 \mathbb{X} T has no eigvals \Rightarrow $(I - T^2)$ is inje \Rightarrow null $(I - T^2) = \{0\}$.

 $Hence\ V = \operatorname{null}(I+T^2) \Rightarrow \operatorname{range}(I+T^2) = \{0\}.\ Thus\ I+T^2 = 0 \in \mathcal{L}(V) \Rightarrow T^2 = -I.\Box$

8 (OR 4E 5.A.31) Give an example of $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $T^4 = -I$.

Simply by computing: $p(z) = z^4 + 1 = (z^2 + i)(z^2 - i) = (z + i^{1/2})(z - i^{1/2})(z - (-i)^{1/2})(z - (-i)^{1/2})(z + i^{1/2})(z - (-i)^{1/2})(z - (-i)^{1$ $(-i)^{1/2}$).

Note that
$$i^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$$
, $(-i)^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

Hence $T = \pm (\pm i)^{1/2}$.

Hence
$$I = \pm (\pm 1)^{1/2}$$
.

Define T by $T(x,y) = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}y, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}y)$.

 $\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-\pi/4) & \sin(-\pi/4) \\ -\sin(-\pi/4) & \cos(-\pi/4) \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(T)^4 = \mathcal{M}(T^4) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-\pi) & \sin(-\pi) \\ -\sin(-\pi) & \cos(-\pi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -\mathcal{M}(I)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} U\sin g \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos n\alpha & \sin n\alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos n\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

• (4E 5.B.12 See also at 5.A.9)

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^n)$ by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, 2x_2, 3x_3, \dots, nx_n)$. Find the mini poly. $T(x_1,...,0) = By (5.A.9)$ and [8.49], 1, 2, ..., n are zeros of the mini poly of T.

(X Each eigvals of T corresponds to exact one-dim subsp of F^n .)

Define a poly q by $q(z) = (z-1)(z-2) \cdots (z-n)$, for all $z \in \mathbb{F}$. (Then q is the char poly of T.)

Because $q(T)e_i = [(T - I) \cdots (T - (j - 1)I)(T - (j + 1)I) \cdots (T - nI)](T - jI)e_i = 0$ for each j,

where $(e_1, ..., e_n)$ is the standard basis. Thus $\forall v \in \mathbf{F}^n$, q(T)v = 0. Hence q is the mini poly of T.

• Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^n)$ by $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + \dots + x_n, \dots, x_1 + \dots + x_n)$. [See also at (5.A.19)] Find the mini poly of T.

Because n and 0 are all eigrals of T, X For all e_k , $Te_k = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$; $T^2e_k = n(e_1 + \cdots + e_n)$.

• (4E 5.B.8)

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the optor of counterclockwise rotation by the angel θ , where $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$. *Find the mini poly of T.*

If $\theta = \pi$, then T(w,z) = (-w,-z), $T^2 = I$ and the mini poly is z + 1.

If $2\pi | \theta$, then T = I and the mini poly is z - 1.

Now suppose (v, Tv) is linely inde.

Then span $(v, Tv) = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Suppose the mini poly p is defined by $p(z) = z^2 + bz + c$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Because of B
$$\begin{cases}
Tv = \frac{|\vec{v}|}{2L}(T^2v + v) \Rightarrow T = \frac{|\vec{v}|}{2L}(T^2 + I) \\
L = |\vec{v}|\cos\theta \Rightarrow \frac{|\vec{v}|}{2L} = \frac{1}{2\cos\theta}
\end{cases}$$

Hence $p(T) = T^2 - 2\cos\theta T + I = 0$. $z^2 - 2\cos\theta z + 1$ is the mini poly of T.

• (4E 5.B.11)

Suppose V is a two-dim vecsp, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and the mtx of T with resp to some basis of V is $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$.

- (a) Show that $T^2 (a + d)T + (ad bc)I = 0$.
- (b) Show that the mini poly of T equals

$$\begin{cases} z-a & \text{if } b=c=0 \text{ and } a=d, \\ z^2-(a+d)z+(ad-bc) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $\begin{cases} z-a & \text{if } b=c=0 \text{ and } a=d, \\ z^2-(a+d)z+(ad-bc) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ $(a) \text{ Suppose the basis is } (v,w). \text{ Because } \begin{cases} Tv=av+bw\Rightarrow (T-aI)v=bw, \text{ then apply } (T-dI) \text{ to both sides.} \\ Tw=cv+dw\Rightarrow (T-dI)w=cv, \text{ then apply } (T-aI) \text{ to both sides.} \end{cases}$

Hence $(T - aI)(T - dI) = bcI \Rightarrow T^2 - (a + d)T + (ad - bc)I = 0$.

(b) If b = c = 0 and a = d. Then $\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = a\mathcal{M}(I)$. Thus T = aI. Hence the mini poly is z - a.

Otherwise, by (a), $z^2 - (a + d)z + (ad - bc)$ is a poly multi of the mini poly.

Now we prove that $T \notin \text{span}(I)$ *, so that then the mini poly of* T *has exactly degree* 2.

(At least one of the assumption of (I),(II) below is true.)

- (I) Suppose a = d, then $Tv = av + bw \notin \text{span}(v)$, $Tw = cv + aw \notin \text{span}(w)$.
- (II) Suppose at most one of b, c is not 0. If b = 0, then $Tw \notin \text{span}(w)$; If c = 0, then $Tv \notin \text{span}(v)$.

SSuppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, S is inv, and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F})$. Prove that $p(TS) = S^{-1}p(ST)S$.

We prove $(TS)^m = S^{-1}(ST)^m S$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction.

(i)
$$m = 0.1$$
. $TS^0 = I = S^{-1}(ST)^0 S$; $TS = S^{-1}(ST) S$.

(ii) m > 1. Assume that $(TS)^m = S^{-1}(ST)^m S$.

 $Then \ (TS)^{m+1} = (TS)^m (TS) = S^{-1} (ST)^m STS = S^{-1} (ST)^{m+1} S.$ $Hence \ \forall p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}), p(TS) = a_0 (TS)^0 + a_1 (TS) + \dots + a_m (TS)^m$ $= a_0 [S^{-1} (ST)^0 S] + a_1 [S^{-1} (ST) S] + \dots + a_m [S^{-1} (ST)^m S]$ $= S^{-1} [a_0 (ST)^0 + a_1 (ST) + \dots + a_m (ST)^m] S = S^{-1} p(ST) S. \quad \Box$

- (4E 5.B.7)
 - (a) Give an example of $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{F}^2)$ such that the mini poly of ST does not equal the mini poly of TS.
 - (b) Suppose V is finite-dim and $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that if S or T is inv, then the mini poly of ST equals the mini poly of TS.
- (a) Define S by S(x,y)=(x,x). Define T by T(x,y)=(0,y). Then ST(x,y)=0, TS(x,y)=(0,x) for all $(x,y)\in \mathbf{F}^2$. Thus $ST=0\neq TS$ and $(TS)^2=0$.

Hence the mini poly of ST does not equal to the mini poly of TS. (b) Denote the mini poly of ST by p, and the mini poly TS by q.

Suppose S is inv.

$$\begin{array}{l} p(ST) = 0 = Sp(TS)S^{-1} \Rightarrow p(TS) = 0, p \ is \ a \ poly \ multi \ of \ q. \\ q(TS) = 0 = S^{-1}q(ST)S \Rightarrow q(ST) = 0, q \ is \ a \ poly \ multi \ of \ p. \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow p = q.$$

Reversing the roles of S and T, we conclude that if T is inv, then p = q as well.

11 Suppose F = C, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}(C)$, and $\alpha \in C$.

Prove that α *is an eigval of* $p(T) \iff \alpha = p(\lambda)$ *for some eigval* λ *of* T.

(a) Suppose α is an eigral of $p(T) \Leftrightarrow (p(T) - \alpha I)$ is not inje.

Write
$$p(z) - \alpha = c(z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m) \Rightarrow p(T) - \alpha I = c(T - \lambda_1 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_m I)$$
.
By Tips, $\exists (T - \lambda_i I)$ not inje. Thus $p(\lambda_i) - \alpha = 0$.

(b) Suppose $\alpha = p(\lambda)$ and λ is an eigval of T with an eigvec v. Then $p(T)v = p(\lambda)v = \alpha v$. \square Or. Define q by $q(z) = p(z) - \alpha$. λ is a zero of q.

Because $q(T)v = (p(T) - \alpha I)v = q(\lambda)v = (p(\lambda) - \alpha)v = 0$.

Hence q(T) is not inje $\Rightarrow (p(T) - \alpha I)$ is not inje.

 $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{OLUTION}}^{2}$: Or 4E.5.B.6) Give an example of an optor on \mathbb{R}^{2}

that shows the result above does not hold if C is replaced with R.

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by T(w,z) = (-z,w).

By Problem (4E 5.B.11), $\mathcal{M}(T,((1,0),(0,1))) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{ the mini poly of } T \text{ is } z^2 + 1.$

Define p by $p(z) = z^2$. Then $p(T) = T^2 = -I$. Thus p(T) has eigval -1. While $\nexists \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $-1 = p(\lambda) = \lambda^2$.

• (4E 5.B.17)

Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and p is the mini poly of T. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$. Show that the mini poly of $(T - \lambda I)$ is the poly q defined by $q(z) = p(z + \lambda)$.

 $q(T - \lambda I) = 0 \Rightarrow q$ is poly multi of the mini poly of $(T - \lambda I)$.

Suppose the degree of the mini poly of $(T - \lambda I)$ is n, and the degree of the mini poly of T is m.

By definition of mini poly,

n is the smallest such that $(T - \lambda I)^n \in \text{span}(I, (T - \lambda I), ..., (T - \lambda I)^{n-1});$

m is the smallest such that $T^m \in \operatorname{span}\,(I,T,\ldots,T^{m-1}).$

 $\not \subset T^k \in \text{span}(I, T, \dots, T^{k-1}) \iff (T - \lambda)^k \in \text{span}(I, (T - \lambda I), \dots, (T - \lambda I)^{k-1}).$

Thus n = m. X q is monic. By the uniques of mini poly.

• (4E 5.B.18)

Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and p is the mini poly of T. Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbf{F} \setminus \{0\}$. Show that the mini poly of λT is the poly q defined by $q(z) = \lambda^{\deg p} p(\frac{z}{\lambda})$.

 $q(\lambda T) = \lambda^{\deg p} p(T) = 0 \Rightarrow q \text{ is a poly multi of the mini poly of } \lambda T.$

Suppose the degree of the mini poly of λT is n, and the degree of the mini poly of T is m.

By definition of mini poly,

n is the smallest such that $(\lambda T)^n \in \text{span}(\lambda I, \lambda T, \dots, (\lambda T)^{n-1});$

m is the smallest such that $T^m \in \text{span}(I, T, ..., T^{m-1})$.

 $\not \exists (\lambda T)^k \in \operatorname{span}(\lambda I, \lambda T, \dots, (\lambda T)^{k-1}) \Longleftrightarrow T^k \in \operatorname{span}(I, T \dots, T^{k-1}).$

Thus n = m. X q is monic. By the uniques of mini poly.

18 (**S**OCUTION: OR 4E 5.B.15)

Suppose V is a finite-dim complex vector space with dim V > 0 and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Define $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(\lambda) = \dim \operatorname{range}(T - \lambda I)$. Prove that f is not a continuous function. Note that V is finite-dim.

Let λ_0 be an eigval of T. Then $(T - \lambda_0 I)$ is not surj. Hence dim range $(T - \lambda_0 I) < \dim V$. Because T has finitely many eigvals. There exist a sequence of number $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda_0$.

And λ_n is not an eigval of T for each $n \Rightarrow \dim \operatorname{range} (T - \lambda_n I) = \dim V \neq \dim \operatorname{range} (T - \lambda_n I)$ $\lambda_0 I$).

Thus
$$f(\lambda_0) \neq \lim_{n \to \infty} f(\lambda_n)$$
.

• (4E 5.B.9)

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that with resp to some basis of V, all entries of the mtx of T are rational numbers.

Explain why all coefficients of the mini poly of T are rational numbers.

Let (v_1,\ldots,v_n) denote the basis such that $\mathcal{M}(T,(v_1,\ldots,v_n))_{j,k}=A_{j,k}\in\mathbf{Q}$ for all $j,k=1,\ldots,n$. Denote $\mathcal{M}(v_i, (v_1, ..., v_n))$ by x_i for each v_i .

Suppose p is the mini poly of T and $p(z) = z^m + \cdots + c_1 z + c_0$. Now we show that each $c_i \in$ Q.

Note that $\forall s \in \mathbf{N}^+$, $\mathcal{M}(T^s) = \mathcal{M}(T)^s = A^s \in \mathbf{Q}^{n,n}$ and $T^s v_k = A^s_{1,k} v_1 + \dots + A^s_{n,k} v_n$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., n\}.$

$$\mathcal{M}(p(T)v_{1}) = (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)x_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)_{j,1}x_{j} = 0;$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathcal{M}(p(T)v_{n}) = (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)x_{n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)_{j,n}x_{j} = 0;$$

$$More clearly, \begin{cases} (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)_{1,1} = \dots = (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)_{n,1} = 0; \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)_{1,n} = \dots = (A^{m} + \dots + c_{1}A + c_{0}I)_{n,n} = 0; \end{cases}$$

Hence we get a system of n^2 linear equations in m unknowns $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}$.

We conclude that $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

• OR (4E 5.B.16), OR (8.C.18)

Suppose $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}$. Let T be the optor on \mathbb{F}^n such that

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & -a_1 \\ 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ & \ddots & 0 & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ with resp to the standard basis } (e_1, \dots, e_n).$$

Show that the mini poly of T is p defined by $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + z^n$.

 $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is called the **companion mtx** of the poly above. This exercise shows that every monic poly is the mini poly of some optor. Hence a formula or an algorithm that could produce exact eigenls for each optor on each \mathbf{F}^n could then produce exact zeros for each poly [by 8.36(b)]. Thus there is no such formula or algorithm. However, efficient numeric methods exist for obtaining very good approximations for the eigvals of an optor.

Note that $(e_1, Te_1, ..., T^{n-1}e_1)$ *is linely inde.* X *The deg of mini poly is at most n.*

$$T^{n}e_{1} = \cdots = T^{n-k}e_{1+k} = \cdots = Te_{n} = -a_{0}e_{1} - a_{1}e_{2} - a_{2}e_{3} - \cdots - a_{n-1}e_{n}$$

$$= (-a_{0}I - a_{1}T - a_{2}T^{2} - \cdots - a_{n-1}T^{n-1})e_{1}. Thus \ p(T)e_{1} = 0 = p(T)e_{j} \text{ for each } e_{j} = T^{j-1}e_{1}.\Box$$

- EIGENVALUES ON ODD-DIMENSIONAL REAL VECTOR SPACES (Eigvals on Odd-dim Real Vecsps)
- Even-Dimensional Null Space

Suppose F = R, V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $b, c \in R$ with $b^2 < 4c$.

Prove that dim null $(T^2 + bT + cI)$ *is an even number.*

Denote null $(T^2 + bT + cI)$ by R. Then $T|_R + bT|_R + cI_R = (T + bT + cI)|_R = 0 \in \mathcal{L}(R)$. Suppose λ is an eigeal of T_R with an eigeac $v \in R$.

Then
$$0 = (T|_R^2 + bT|_R + cI_R)(v) = (\lambda^2 + \lambda b + c)v = \left((\lambda + b)^2 + c - \frac{b^2}{4}\right)v.$$

Because $c - \frac{b^2}{4} > 0$ and we have v = 0. Thus T_R has no eigenst.

Let U be an invar subsp of R that has the largest, even dim among all invar subsps.

Assume that $U \neq R$. Then $\exists w \in R$ but $w \notin U$. Let W be such that $(w, T|_R w)$ is a basis of W.

Because $T|_R^2 w = -bT|_R w - cw \in W$. Hence W is an invar subsp of dim 2.

Thus $\dim(U + W) = \dim U + 2 - \dim(U \cap W)$, where $U \cap W = \{0\}$,

for if not, because $w \notin U, T|_R w \in U$,

 $U \cap W$ is invar under $T|_R$ of one dim (impossible because $T|_R$ has no eigences).

Hence U + W is even-dim invar subsp under $T|_R$, contradicting the maximality of dim U.

Thus the assumption was incorrect. Hence $R = \text{null}(T^2 + bT + cI) = U$ has even dim. \square

- Operators On Odd-Dimensional Vector Spaces Have Eigenvalues
 - (a) Suppose F = C. Then by [5.21], we are done.
 - (b) Suppose F = R, V is finite-dim, and dim $V = n \neq 0$ is an odd number.

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and the mini poly is p. Prove that T has an eigval.

- (i) If n = 1, then we are done.
- (ii) Suppose $n \ge 3$. Assume that every optor, on odd-dim vecsps of dim less than n, has an eigval.

If p is a poly multi of $(x - \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then by $[8.49] \lambda$ is an eigeal of T and we are done.

Now suppose $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b^2 < 4c$ and p is a poly multi of $x^2 + bx + c$ (see [4.17]).

Then $\exists q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ such that $p(x) = q(x)(x^2 + bx + c)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Now $0 = p(T) = (q(T))(T^2 + bT + cI)$, which means that $q(T)|_{\text{range}(T^2 + bT + cI)} = 0$.

Because $\deg q < \deg p$ and p is the mini poly of T , hence range $(T^2 + bT + cI) \neq V$.	
\mathbb{Z} dim V is odd and dim null $(T^2 + bT + cI)$ is even (by our previous result).	
Thus dim V – dim null $(T^2 + bT + cI)$ = dim range $(T^2 + bT + cI)$ is odd.	
By [5.18], range $(T^2 + bT + cI)$ is an invar subsp of V under T that has odd dim les	SS
than n.	
Our induction hypothesis now implies that $T _{\text{range}(T^2+bT+cI)}$ has an eigval.	
By mathematical induction.	
• (2E Ch5.24)	
Suppose $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has no eigvals.	
Prove that every invar subsp of V under T is even-dim.	
Suppose U is such a subsp. Then $T _U \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. We prove by contradiction.	
If dim U is odd, then $T _U$ has an eigval and so is T , so that \exists invar subsp of 1 dim, contra	<u>l</u> -
dicts.	
• (4E 5.B.29)	
Show that every optor on a finite-dim vecsp of dim ≥ 2	
has an invar subsp of dim 2.	
Exercise (4E 5.C.6) will give an improvement of this result when $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$.	
Using induction on dim V.	
(i) dim $V = 2$, we are done.	
(ii) dim $V > 2$. Assume that the desired result is true for vecsp of smaller dim.	
Suppose p is the mini poly of degree m and $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$.	
If $T = \lambda I$ ($\Leftrightarrow m = 1 \lor m = -\infty$), then we are done. ($m \neq 0$ because $\dim V \neq 0$.)	
Now define a q by $q(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)$.	
By assumption, $T _{\text{null }q(T)}$ has an invar subsp of dim 2.	
Ende	D
5.B: II	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION: []:	
• (4E 5.C.1)	

Prove or give a counterexample:

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and T^2 has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of V, then T has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of V.

• (4E 5.C.2)

Suppose A and B are upper-trig mtcs of the same size, with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ on the diag of A and β_1, \ldots, β_n on the diag of B.

- (a) Show that A + B is an upper-trig mtx with $\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n + \beta_n$ on the diag.
- (b) Show that AB is an upper-trig mtx with $\alpha_1\beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\beta_n$ on the diag.
- (4E 5.C.3)

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is inv and (v_1, \ldots, v_n) is a basis of V with resp to which the mtx of T is upper trig, with $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ on the diag. Show that the mtx of T^{-1} is also upper trig with resp to the same basis, with $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda_n}$ on the diag.

Solution: 4E 5.C.7)

Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $v \in V$.

- (a) Prove that $\exists !$ monic poly p_v of smallest degree such that $p_v(T)v = 0$.
- (b) Prove that the mini poly of T is a poly multi of p_v .

50 EUTION: OR 4E 5.C.4)

Give an optor T such that with resp to some basis, $\mathcal{M}(T)_{k,k} = 0$ for each k, while T is inv.

15 (**S**OLUTION: OR 4E 5.C.5)

Give an optor T such that with resp to some basis, $\mathcal{M}(T)_{k,k} \neq 0$ for each k, while T is not inv.

30 (OR 4E 5.C.6)

Suppose $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$, V is finite-dim, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Prove that if $k \in \{1, ..., \dim V\}$ *, then* V *has a* k *dim subsp invar under* T.

Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\exists v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ such that $T^2v + 2Tv = -2v$.

- (a) Prove that if F = R, then $\not\exists$ a basis of V with resp to which T has an upper-trig mtx.
- (b) Prove that if $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$ and A is an upper-trig mtx that equals the mtx of T with resp to some basis of V, then $-1 + \mathbf{i}$ or $-1 \mathbf{i}$ appears on the diag of A.

• (4E 5.C.9)

Suppose $B \in \mathbf{F}^{n,n}$ with complex entries.

Prove that \exists inv $A \in \mathbf{F}^{n,n}$ with complex entries such that $A^{-1}BA$ is an upper-trig mtx.

• (4E 5.C.10)

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is a basis of V.

Show that the following are equi.

- (a) The mtx of T with resp to $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ is lower trig.
- (b) span $(v_k, ..., v_n)$ is invar under T for each k = 1, ..., n.
- (c) $Tv_k \in \text{span}(v_k, \dots, v_n)$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$.

A square mtx is called lower trig if all entries above the diag are 0.

• (4E 5.C.11)

Suppose F = C and V is finite-dim.

Prove that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ *, then* T *has a lower-trig mtx with resp to some basis.*

• (4E 5.C.12)

Suppose V is finite-dim, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis, and U is a subsp of V that is invar under T.

- (a) Prove that $T|_{U}$ has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of U.
- (b) Prove that T/U has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of V/U.

• (4E 5.C.13)

Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

Suppose $\exists U$ of V that is invar under T such that

 $T|_{U}$ has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of U

and also T/U has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of V/U.

Prove that T has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of V.

• (4E 5.C.14)	
Suppose V is finite-dim and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.	
Prove that T has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of V	
\iff $T^{'}$ has an upper-trig mtx with resp to some basis of $V^{'}$.	
	Ended
5.C	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION:	
Sole non.	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION:	
SOLUTION:	
Solution:	
Solution:	
Solution:	
Solution:	
SOLUTION:	
Solution:	
SOLUTION:	
Solution:	
SOLUTION:	

SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:			
SOLUTION:			
SOLUTION:		 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:			
SOLUTION:			
SOLUTION:			
Solution:	 	 	

SOLUTION:			
SOLUTION:	 	 	
Solution:	 	 	
Solution:	 	 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:		 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	
SOLUTION:	 	 	

Solution:
S N SOLUTION:
S N SOLUTION:
Ended
5.E* (4E)
1 • Give an example of two commuting optors $S, T \in \mathbb{F}^4$ such that there is a subsp of \mathbb{F}^4
$ullet$ that is invar under S but not under T and there is a subsp of ${f F}^4$
•that is invar under T but not under S.
Solution:

- **2** •Suppose \mathcal{E} is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ and every element of \mathcal{E} is diagable.
 - •Prove that \exists a basis of V with resp to which
 - •every element of \mathcal{E} has a diag $mtx \iff$ every pair of elements of \mathcal{E} commutes.
 - This exercise extends [5.76], which considers the case in which \mathcal{E} contains only two elements.
 - ullet For this exercise, $\mathcal E$ may contain any number of elements, and $\mathcal E$ may even be an infinite set.

SOLUTION:

- **3** •Suppose $S, T ∈ \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that ST = TS. Suppose $p ∈ \mathcal{P}(F)$.
 - (a) Prove that $\operatorname{null} p(S)$ is invar under T.
 - (b) Prove that range p(S) is invar under T.
 - See Note For [5.17] for the special case S = T.

SOLUTION:

- **4** •*Prove or give a counterexample:*
 - •If A is a diag mtx and B is an upper-trig mtx

•of the same size as A, then A and B commute.
Solution:
5 • <i>Prove that a pair of optors on a finite-dim vecsp commute</i> ⇔ <i>their dual optors commute.</i>
Solution:
6 •Suppose V is a finite-dim complex vecsp and $S,T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ commute.
• Prove that $\exists \alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that range $(S - \alpha I) + \text{range}(T - \lambda I) \neq V$.
SOLUTION:
7 •Suppose V is a complex vecsp, $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is diagable, and T commutes with S .
•Prove that $∃$ basis B of V such that S has a diag mtx with resp to B •and T has an upper-trig mtx with resp to B .
Solution:
8 • Suppose $m = 3$ in Example [5.72]
•and D_x , D_y are the commuting partial differentiation optors on $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R}^2)$ from that example.
•Find a basis of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with resp to which D_x and D_y each have an upper-trig mtx.
Solution:
9 ◆Suppose V is a finite-dim nonzero complex vecsp.
•Suppose that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that S and T commute for all $S, T \in \mathcal{E}$.
(a) \bullet Prove that $\exists v \in V$ is an eigvec for every element of \mathcal{E} .
(b) •Prove that \exists a basis of V with resp to which every element of \mathcal{E} has an upper-trig mtx.
Solution:
10 •Give an example of two commuting optors S, T on a finite-dim real vecsp such that
$\bullet S + T$ has a eigval that does not equal an eigval of S plus an eigval of T
•and ST has a eigval that does not equal an eigval of S times an eigval of T.
Solution: