

Supplementary Information for

Identifying regime transitions for water governance at a basin scale

Shuang Song¹, Shuai Wang¹, Xutong Wu¹, yongping Wei², Graeme S.

Cumming³, Yue Qin⁴, Xilin Wu⁵, Bojie Fu^{1,5}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, Beijing, China.

² School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, 4067, QLD, Australia.

³ ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, 4811, QLD, Australia.

⁴ College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100875, Beijing, China.

⁵ State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100875, Beijing, China.

Contents of this file

1. Text S1 to S3
2. Figures S1 to S3
3. Tables S1 to S2

Introduction When calculating the indicators (especially the SFV water stress index and the allocation entropy metric), we should use data at a lower (regional scale in this study) spatial scale. Therefore, we divide the YRB into four regions: source region (SR), upper region (UR), middle region (MR), and lower region (LR), according to characteristics and customary practices in the 1. The formulation in detail for applying the SFV-index is

available in the S1. We used multiple sources of datasets in this study, textitSupporting Information S1 introduces where they came from and how we harmonies them for analysis.

YRB Regions We divide the YRB into four regions to calculate the indicators considering both socio-economic and natural conditions. The division aligns with the customary schema from publications and the YRCC (?, ?; Wang et al., 2019; ?, ?), so four important hydrological stations can distinguish the regions (see Figure S1).

- **Source Region (SR):** Over 50% of natural runoff originates from this region. The most ecological function here is water yield, as sparsely populated and less economically developed.

- **Upper Region (UR):** With the highest per capita irrigated land area, there are numbers of large irrigation lands in this region. However, irrigation efficiency is relatively much lower than its lower reaches.

- **Middle Region (MR):** Crossing Loess Plateau, a famous rich-sand area, Yellow River loads most of its sediments here with the highest soil erosion risk. The “grain for the green” project changed the water utilization here strikingly to reverse this situation (Wu et al., 2020).

- **Lower Region (LR):** With a dense population and the traditional agricultural trajectory, the lower region used to be the largest water use region. However, as the industrial transformation going, the proportion of agriculture keeps decreasing, but LR is still the largest water use region in each aspect.

SFV-index By taking water flexibility and variability into account, the scarcity-flexibility-variability (SFV) index focus more on dynamic responses to water resources

in a developing perspective, which is a valid metric of temporal changes in water stresses (Qin et al., 2019). To apply this method, we need to combine three metrics following:

First, for scarcity, $A_{i,j}$ is the total water consumption as a proportion of regional multi-year average runoff volume in year j and region i (in this study, four regions in the YRB, textitSupporting Information 1):

$$A_{i,j} = \frac{WU_{i,j}}{R_{i,avg}} \quad (1)$$

Second, for flexibility, $B_{i,j}$ is the inflexible water use $WU_{inflexible}$ (i.e. for thermal power plants or humans and livestock) as a proportion of average multi-year runoff, in year i and region j :

$$B_{i,j} = \frac{WU_{i,j,inflexible}}{R_{i,avg}} \quad (2)$$

Finally for variability, the capacity of the reservoir and the positive effects of storage on natural runoff fluctuations are also considered.

$$C_i = C1_i * (1 - C2_i) \quad (3)$$

$$C1_{i,j} = \frac{R_{i,std}}{R_{i,avg}} \quad (4)$$

$$C2_i = \frac{RC_i}{R_{i,avg}}, \text{ if } RC < R_{i,avg} \quad (5)$$

$$C2_i = 1, \text{ if } RC \geq R_{i,avg} \quad (6)$$

In all the equations above, $R_{i,avg}$ is the average runoff in region i , RC_i is the total storage capacities of reservoirs in the region i , $R_{i,std}$ is the standard deviation of runoff in the region i .

Finally, assuming three metrics (scarcity, flexibility and variability) have the same weights, we can calculate the *SFV* index after normalizing them:

$$V = \frac{A_{normalize} + B_{normalize} + C_{normalize}}{3} \quad (7)$$

$$a = \frac{1}{V_{max} - V_{min}}; \quad (8)$$

$$b = \frac{1}{V_{min} - V_{max}} * V_{min} \quad (9)$$

$$SFV = a * V + b \quad (10)$$

The index of scarcity (SFV index) in the study period (including three different periods) showed A change trend of first decreasing, then rapidly increasing, and finally slightly decreasing again (Figure S6 A), indicating that water resource pressure first decreased, then rapidly increased, and then stabilized. In the four different regions (Figure S6 B), the source region has almost no contribution to SFV index changes in the three periods, and the downstream region only has a weak negative contribution in the governance transition period and the adaptation enhancement period. The upper and middle reaches of the Yellow River had the greatest impact on the scarcity. The upper reaches made the greatest contribution to SFV change in the period of centralized water supply and governance change, while the middle reaches made the greatest contribution in the period of adaptation enhancement.

In terms of water use purpose, the proportion of supply water remained basically unchanged in the period of centralized water supply, but showed A rapid decline in the period of governance transformation and adaptation enhancement (Figure S4 A). In the three periods, the change of irrigation water dominated the change of the proportion,

while urban and rural water for human settlements and rural livestock had almost no influence on the change of the proportion (Figure S5 B).

The index change of distribution mode showed an obvious “V-shaped” trend, indicating that water resources in the source region, upper, middle and lower reaches of the Yellow River first gradually moved away from uniform distribution, and then gradually tended to average distribution after 2000 (Figure S5).

Datasets

Descriptions

This study used multiple types of data (see Table S1): statistical datasets, hydrological datasets, and political datasets.

Statistical datasets

The water resources use dataset was published by Zhou et al. (Zhou et al., 2020), which records water utilization in different sectors along with social-economic situations at the Prefectures level. 2nd National Water Resources Assessment Program mainly extracted this dataset launched in 2002, led by the National Development and Reform Commission and the Ministry of Water Resources (see ref (1) and <http://www.mwr.gov.cn/english/pubs/> for more details). Since then, the statistics from the survey using the same criteria have been supplemented and harmonized with the 2013 administrative divisions.

The data covers a total of subcategories of water use under four broad categories: agriculture (IRR), industry (IND), urban (URB) and rural (RUR) water use (see Zhou et al., for details (Zhou et al., 2020)).

Hydrological datasets

The reservoir dataset was collected by Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2019), which introduced includes the significant new reservoirs built in the YRB since 1949 (Figure S2). YRCC labelled the regulation-oriented reservoirs among them, see <http://www.yrcc.gov.cn/hhyl/sngc/>). In addition, annual runoff data derived from hydrological station measurements are the same as the datasets used in (Wang et al., 2019) and (?, ?).

Political datasets

The policy dataset collects laws and policies listed in the book (?, ?), which are related to the Yellow River basin promulgated and implemented by departments at (such as YRCC) and above (such as national institutions) at the Basin’s level (Table S2). In addition, some are difficult to categorize; not a landmark, but numerous water governance practices in the YRB had been recorded in “Yellow River Events” by the YRCC; we collected them from <http://www.yrcc.gov.cn/hhyl/hhjs/>.

Methods S3. Harmonization

Due to the wide sources of our data set and the different spatial scales, we need to harmonize them into a practical scale.

- 1. Datasets at watersheds scales: We directly divided the annual hydrological data and measured runoff data according to their watersheds’ corresponding hydrological stations (see Figure S1 A and B).
- 2. Prefecture: We calculate the area of each prefecture to determine whether they belong to a region, with the threshold of 95%:

$$S_{ij} = MAX(S_{ij}/S_i) \quad (11)$$

Where i refers to a specific prefecture and j refers to a region within YRB, i.e. SR, UR, MR, or DR. S_i refers to the area of prefect i , and S_{ij} refers intersecting area between prefect i and region j . We define prefecture i belongs to region j if their intersecting area S_{ij} over 95% of S_i , i.e.:

$$MAX(S_{ij}) > 0.95 * S_i \quad (12)$$

• 3. Province: According to the major provinces contained in different regions, we determine which region the data of that province is merged into by referring to the traditional division practice:

- SR: Qinghai Gansu and Sichuan,
- UR: Ningxia and Inner Mongolia,
- MR: Shanxi and Shaanxi,
- DR: Shandong, Hebei and Henan.

Finally, when we process the location data (i.e., the location data of the reservoirs), we judge the province it belongs to according to its location and then fit it to the regional scale.

References

- Archives, Y. R. (2004). *Organizational History of the Yellow River Conservancy Commission*. Yellow River Water Conservancy Press.
- Qin, Y., Mueller, N. D., Siebert, S., Jackson, R. B., AghaKouchak, A., Zimmerman, J. B., ... Davis, S. J. (2019, June). Flexibility and intensity of global water use. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(6), 515–523. doi: 10.1038/s41893-019-0294-2

- Wang, S., Fu, B., Bodin, O., Liu, J., Zhang, M., & Li, X. (2019, September). Alignment of social and ecological structures increased the ability of river management. *Science Bulletin*, 64(18), 1318–1324. doi: 10.1016/j.scib.2019.07.016
- Wu, X., Wei, Y., Fu, B., Wang, S., Zhao, Y., & Moran, E. F. (2020, October). Evolution and effects of the social-ecological system over a millennium in China's Loess Plateau. *Science Advances*, 6(41), eabc0276. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abc0276
- Zhou, F., Bo, Y., Ciais, P., Dumas, P., Tang, Q., Wang, X., ... Wada, Y. (2020, March). Deceleration of China's human water use and its key drivers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(14), 7702–7711. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1909902117




Figure S1. The study area. **A.** Diagram of the YRB and the subdivision of the basin (SR: Source Region, UR: Upper Region, MR: Middle Region, DR: Downstream region). **B.** Profile of the main channel of the Yellow River. The hydrological stations control the SR, UR, MR and DR. **C.** Typical landscapes in different regions in the YRB.




Figure S2. Numbers of new reservoirs in each year.




Figure S3. Sensitivity analysis of the threshold of p-values. **A.** number of breakpoints in different p-values, the scheme with two-breakpoints are the dominant situation. **B.** Threshold of p-values $\alpha = 0.0005$. **C.** Threshold of p-values $\alpha = 0.05$.




Figure S4. Changing trend of the indicator of priority




Figure S5. Changing trend of the indicator of allocation




Figure S6. Changing trend of the indicator of stress

Table S1. The correlation of the Integrated Governance Index (IWGI) and its three sub-indicators (IS, IP, IA)

| Period | IS vs IP | IS vs IA | IP vs IA | IP vs IWGI | IA vs IWGI | IS vs IWGI |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| P1 to P3 | -0.75 * | -0.29 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.75 * | 0.14 |
| P1 | -0.08 | -0.31 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.51 | 0.65 |
| P2 | -0.90 * | -0.87 * | 0.77 * | -0.18 | -0.13 | 0.5 |
| P3 | 0 | -0.38 | -0.86 * | -0.33 | 0.61 | 0 |

Table S1. Used datasets and their sources.

| Dataset | Type | Spatial scale | Time scale | Source |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---|
| 1. Administrative water use | Statistical | Prefectures | 1965-2013 | 2nd National Water Resources Assessment Program (Zhou et al., 2020) |
| 2. GDP | Statistical | Province | 1949-2019 | Wind database |
| 3. Streamflow withdrawals | Statistical | Watershed | 2003-2019 | Yearbooks http://www.yrcc.gov.cn/other/hhgb/ |
| 4. Reservoirs | Hydrological | Location | 1949-2015 | Publication (Wang et al., 2019) |
| 5. Measured runoff | Hydrological | Location | 1949-2019 | Measured data (Wang et al., 2019; ?, ?) |
| 6. Laws | Political | Documents | 1949-2013 | YRCC (?, ?) |
| 7. History of YRCC | Political | Documents | 1949-2002 | YRCC (Archives, 2004) |
| 8. YRB Events | Political | Documents | 1949-2015 | YRCC: http://www.yrcc.gov.cn/hhyl/hhjs/ |

Table S2. Policies and regulations above YRB level which affected the whole basin in

water utilization

| Name | Year | Agency |
|---|------|--|
| 1. Water Law of PRC | 1988 | National People's Congress of the PRC |
| 2. Water Law of PRC -revised 1 | 2009 | National People's Congress of the PRC |
| 3. Water Law of PRC -revised 2 | 2016 | National People's Congress of the PRC |
| 4. Regulations on the Administration of Water Drawing Licences and The Collection of water resource fees | 2006 | State Council of the PRC |
| 5. Regulations on the Administration of Water Drawing Licences and The Collection of water resource fees -revised 1 | 2017 | State Council of the PRC |
| 6. Regulations on the Allocation of Water in the Yellow River | 2006 | State Council of the PRC |
| 7. Yellow River water supply distribution scheme | 1987 | State Council of the PRC |
| 8. Measures for the Administration of Water Drawing Permits | 2008 | Ministry of Water Resources of the PRC |
| 9. Measures for the Administration of Water Drawing Permits -revised 1 | 2015 | Ministry of Water Resources of the PRC |
| 10. Measures for the Administration of Water Drawing Permits -revised 2 | 2017 | Ministry of Water Resources of the PRC |
| 11. Regulations on the Allocation of Water in the Yellow River | 2006 | State Council of the PRC |
| 12. Annual distribution of available water supply of the Yellow River and mainstream water dispatching scheme | 1998 | Ministry of Water Resources of the PRC |
| 13. The Yellow River water dispatching management measures | 1998 | Ministry of Water Resources |
| 14. Measures for the Implementation of the Yellow River Water Rights Conversion Management | 2004 | Ministry of Water Resources |
| 15. Regulations on the Administration of Water Drawing Licences and The Collection of water resource fees | 2006 | State Council of the PRC |
| 16. Measures for the implementation of the water drawing Permit system | 1993 | State Council of the PRC |
| 17. Measures for the demonstration and management of water resources in construction projects | 2002 | Ministry of Water Resources of the PRC |
| 18. Implementation Opinions on the Reform of Water Conservancy Project Management System | 2006 | State Council of the PRC |

¹ If a policy was proposed by multiple legacies, we only show the highest one.