Convex Optimization Note

Garrick Lin

March 14, 2019

1 Affine Set

1.1 Definition

A set A is called affine set when it satisfied:

If
$$\mathbf{x_1} \in \mathcal{A}$$
 and $\mathbf{x_2} \in \mathcal{A}$, then, $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{R}$, $x = \theta \mathbf{x_1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{x_2}$ also belong to \mathcal{A} .

The expression $\theta \mathbf{x_1} + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{x_2}$ represent a line that cross through $\mathbf{x_1}$ and $\mathbf{x_2}$. Hence, affine set can be explained intuitively as:

Affine set is a set that contain the line which cross through any two point within this set.

1.2 Properties

Assume a affine set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^n$. If \mathcal{A} contain original point(0), then, \mathcal{A} is a subspace. In order to prove this, \mathcal{A} must satisfied following three rules:

- 1. \mathcal{A} contain original point.
- 2. If $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}$, then, $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{R}$, $\theta \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}$.
- 3. If $\mathbf{v_1}, \mathbf{v_2} \in \mathcal{A}$, then, $\mathbf{v_1} + \mathbf{v_2} \in \mathbf{A}$.

The first rule is already satisfied. For the second rule, $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{R}, \theta \mathbf{v}$ satisfied:

$$\theta \mathbf{v} = \theta \mathbf{v} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{0}$$

Since \mathcal{A} is affine and $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}$ always true. For the third rule, there is:

$$\mathbf{v_1} + \mathbf{v_2} = 2(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_2})$$

 $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_2} \text{ is in } \mathcal{A} \text{ as } \mathcal{A} \text{ is affine and } \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_1}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_2} \in \mathcal{A}(\text{According to the second rule, } \mathbf{v_1}, \mathbf{v_2} \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ then, } \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_1}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_2} \in \mathcal{A}).$ According to the second rule, $2(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v_2}) \in \mathcal{A}$

Therefore, any affine set \mathcal{A}' can be thought as a subspace \mathcal{V} with a transportation \mathbf{v} , which means, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{V} + \mathbf{v}$. On the other hand, an affine set subtract some constant vector $(\mathcal{A}' - \mathbf{v})$, which make the new set contain original point, can form a subspace. Formally, for a affine set $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a_1}, \mathbf{a_2}, \cdots, \mathbf{a_n}\}$ and $\mathbf{a_i} \in \mathcal{A}$, the set:

$$A - a_i = \{a_1 - a_i, a_2 - a_i, \cdots, a_{i-1}, 0, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_n - a_i\}$$
(1)

form a subspace. Furthermore, any affine set A has the form:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{x} | \mathcal{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c} \} \tag{2}$$

Proof: According to equation 1, $\mathcal{A} - \mathbf{a_i}$ is a subspace. Denote this subspace as $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A} - \mathbf{a_i}$. Assume the space that perpendicular to \mathcal{L} , denote as \mathcal{L}^{\perp} , has basis $\{\mathbf{b_1}, \mathbf{b_2}, \cdots, \mathbf{b_n}\}$. Then, \mathcal{L} is the set of vectors that perpendicular to the basis of \mathcal{L}^{\perp} . As a result, if we write the basis of \mathcal{L}^{\perp} in matrix form, which is:

$$\mathcal{B} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b_1}^T \\ \mathbf{b_2}^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b_n}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

We have:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ \mathbf{y} | \mathcal{B} \mathbf{y} = 0 \} \tag{3}$$

Equation 3 always hold as all vector in \mathcal{L}^{\perp} is the linear combination of the basis, hence, if a vector \mathbf{v} satisfied $\mathbf{b_1}^T \mathbf{v} = 0, \mathbf{b_2}^T \mathbf{v} = 0, \cdots, \mathbf{b_n}^T \mathbf{v} = 0$, then, $(\theta_1 \mathbf{b_1} + \theta_2 \mathbf{b_2} + \cdots \theta_n \mathbf{b_n})^T \mathbf{v} = 0$, which means, \mathbf{v} is perpendicular to any vector in \mathcal{L}^{\perp} if \mathbf{v} is perpendicular to the basis of \mathcal{L}^{\perp} .

Since the vector in \mathcal{L} come from \mathcal{A} subtract $\mathbf{a_i}$, for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}$, there must exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that:

$$y = x - a_i \tag{4}$$

By combining equation 4 and equation 3, we have:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathbf{x} | \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a_i}) = 0 \}$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{x} | \, \mathcal{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{B}\mathbf{a_i}\}$$

and has the same form as equation 2, where $\mathbf{c} = \mathcal{B}\mathbf{a_i}$.

2 Hyper-plane

2.1 Definition

In a \mathbb{R}^n space, the hyperplane is defined as a n-1 dimension subspace plus a translate $\mathbf{x_0}$, which is perpendicular to a one dimension vector \mathbf{w} . The formal mathematical expression of hyper-plane \mathcal{H} is:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x_0} | \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{y} = 0 \} \tag{5}$$

We can denote $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x_0}$, hence, equation 5 become:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}) = 0 \}$$
 (6)

It is clearly that when $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x_0}$, $\mathbf{w}^T(\mathbf{x_0} - \mathbf{x_0}) = 0$ always hold, hence, $\mathbf{x_0}$ is a point within hyper-plane. As a result, equation 6 can be explained intuitively as: Hyper-plane is a set of any point \mathbf{x} which satisfied the vector $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}$ (start from a fixed point $\mathbf{x_0}$ which belong to this hyper-plane, end at \mathbf{x}) is perpendicular to a normal vector \mathbf{w} .

Equation 6 give us another way to represent hyper-plane:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \} \tag{7}$$

Where $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x_0}$.

2.2 Half-space

Intuitively, a hyper-plane split the whole space into two part, each of them is called half-space. The formal mathematical expression for half-space, base on equation 6, is:

$$\{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}) < 0\}$$

or

$$\{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}) > 0\}$$

Which corresponding to the two split space. In geometric, two vector $\mathbf{v_1}$ and $\mathbf{v_2}$ satisfied: $\mathbf{v_1}^T\mathbf{v_2} > 0$ if their angle smaller than 90 degree, otherwise, $\mathbf{v_1}^T\mathbf{v_2} < 0$. As a result, the half-space $\{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}) < 0\}$ is the set of point \mathbf{x} which satisfied the vector $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}$ have a angle greater than 90 degree with a normal vector \mathbf{w} . The half-space $\{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}) > 0\}$ can be explained in the same way except the angle is smaller than 90 degree.

Furthermore, according to 7, the expression of half-space can also be written as:

$$\{\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{b}\}$$

Where $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x_0}$.

3 Cone

3.1 Definition

A cone \mathcal{K} is the set of any point \mathbf{x} that satisfied: If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$, then, for any $\theta \geq 0$, $\theta \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$. A **convex** cone, as its' name suggest, is a cone which is also a convex set.

3.2 Property of Convex Cone

Assume a cone K is a convex cone, then, it satisfied:

If $\mathbf{x_1} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbf{x_2} \in \mathcal{K}$, then, for any $0 \le \theta \le 1$, $\theta \mathbf{x_1} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{x_2} \in \mathcal{K}$.

Furthermore, for any p, q > 0, the expression $p\mathbf{x_1} + q\mathbf{x_2}$ can be written as:

$$p\mathbf{x_1} + q\mathbf{x_2} = (p+q)\left(\frac{p}{p+q}\mathbf{x_1} + \frac{q}{p+q}\mathbf{x_2}\right)$$
(8)

Following the property of convex set, the point $(\frac{p}{p+q}\mathbf{x_1} + \frac{q}{p+q}\mathbf{x_2}) \in \mathcal{K}$. Additionally, since $(p+q) \in \mathcal{R}$ and p+q>0, the definition of cone indicate $(p+q)(\frac{p}{p+q}\mathbf{x_1} + \frac{q}{p+q}\mathbf{x_2}) \in \mathcal{K}$. As a result, it can be seen within a convex cone \mathcal{K} :

If $\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2} \in \mathcal{K}$, then, for any $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$, $\theta_1 \mathbf{x_1} + \theta_2 \mathbf{x_2} \in \mathcal{K}$

3.3 Dual Cone

In geometric, a point \mathbf{x} can be defined as the end point of a vector that start with the original point($\mathbf{0}$) and terminate at point \mathbf{x} , which means, any point \mathbf{x} can also be thought as a vector $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{0}$. Base on this, the dual cone of a cone \mathcal{K} , denote as \mathcal{K}^* , is defined as the set of any point λ which satisfied $\lambda^T \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$, which means, the **vector** λ have the angle smaller than 90 degree for any **vector** $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{0}$ defined by the point \mathbf{x} in \mathcal{K} .

Furthermore, the expression $\lambda^T \mathbf{x} > 0$ define a half-space according to equation 6, where the fixed point $\mathbf{x_0}$ is the original point. As a result, the dual cone of \mathcal{K} can also be illustrated as:

A point λ is in \mathcal{K}^* if the half-space with the **vector** λ as normal vector, which expressed as $\lambda \mathbf{x} > 0$, contain the original cone \mathcal{K} .

3.4 Generalized Inequality

We can define the generalized inequality based on the concept of cone:

 \mathbf{x} is smaller than \mathbf{y} respect to a specific cone \mathcal{K} if $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{K}$. Denote as: $\mathbf{x} \prec_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{y}$

Start from the definition of generalized inequality, we can define **minimum** and **minimal** value of a set S with respect to a specific cone K:

The point $\mathbf{x_0} \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be the **minimum** of \mathcal{S} if for any other $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$, the expression $\mathbf{x} \preceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{y}$ always holds.

Before we move to the definition of **minimal** point, we have to realize an important fact with respect to generalized inequality: When $\mathbf{y} \npreceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{x}$ hold, it **does not** mean $\mathbf{x} \preceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{y}$, which means, even though \mathbf{y} is not smaller than \mathbf{x} (with respect to a specific cone \mathcal{K}), it **does not** implies that \mathbf{x} is smaller or equal to \mathbf{y} . This fact implies that a point smaller than any other element of a set is not equal to there is no other element within this set that smaller than the point. We can now introduce the definition of **minimal** point with respect to cone \mathcal{K} :

A point $\mathbf{x_0}$ is minimal point of \mathcal{S} if for any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathbf{y} \npreceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{x_0}$ always hold unless $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x_0}$

Which means, if $\mathbf{x_0}$ is a minimal point of \mathcal{S} , there exist no element \mathbf{y} within \mathcal{S} which satisfied $\mathbf{y} \preceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{x_0}$ (There is no element in \mathcal{S} that smaller than $\mathbf{x_0}$). Furthermore, according to the fact that mentioned above, for some $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$, even though $\mathbf{y} \npreceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{x_0}$, $\mathbf{x_0}$ does **not** need to satisfy $\mathbf{x_0} \preceq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathbf{y}$. As a result, $\mathbf{x_0}$ does not need to be the minimum point.

3.5 Minimum and Minimal with Dual Cone Perspective

If a point $\mathbf{x_0}$ is a minimum point of \mathcal{S} with respect to cone \mathcal{K} , then, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}$, there is:

$$\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0} \in \mathcal{K}$$

According to the definition of dual cone, for the element \mathbf{v} within \mathcal{K} , it must satisfy:

$$\lambda^T \mathbf{b} > 0$$

Where $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^*$. Since $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0} \in \mathcal{K}$, we have:

$$\lambda^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0}) > 0$$

Which define a hyper-plane and a half-space with $\mathbf{x_0}$ as the fixed point according to equation 6. As a result, the minimum point of a set \mathcal{S} with respect to a cone \mathcal{K} and its' dual cone \mathcal{K}^* can be illustrated as:

If $\mathbf{x_0}$ is minimum point, then, for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^*$, the hyper-plane and half-space defined by λ and $\mathbf{x_0}$: $\lambda^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0})$ should contain entire \mathcal{S} .