# Tutorial - Week 9

Please read the related material and attempt these questions before attending your allocated tutorial. Solutions are released on Friday 4pm.

## Question 1

- (a) Sample n=300 observations from a  $\mathcal{N}(0_p,I_p)$  distribution where p=10. Calculate the multiple correlation coefficient using the sample covariance matrix and the sample correlation matrix. Are the results the same?
- (b) Establish analytically the formula on page 8 of Week 8 Lecture Notes:

$$S_{21}^T S_{22}^{-1} S_{21} / S_{11} = R_{21}^T R_{22}^{-1} R_{21}.$$

## Question 2

(a) Let  $R^2$  be the sample Multiple Correlation Coefficient between  $X_1$  and  $(X_2, \ldots, X_p)^T$  based on an iid sample of size n from  $N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$  where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  is a positive definite matrix. Assuming that the population Multuple Correlation Coefficient,  $\rho$ , is zero, page 10 of Week 8 Lecture Notes claims that

$$\frac{n-p}{p-1} \frac{R^2}{1-R^2} \sim F_{p-1,n-p}.$$

Perform a simulation study with N=2500 replicates to check this when p=50, n=300,  $\Sigma=I_p$  and  $\mu=\mathbf{0}_p$ .

- (b) Empirically examine the size of the classical test for  $\rho=0$  under the same assumptions as Question (2a).
- (c) Empirically examine the power of the classical test with  $\alpha=0.05$  for  $\rho=0$  where the data is generated under the same assumptions as Question (2a) with the exception that the population covariance matrix has the structure

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\rho} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\rho-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

for

$$A_{
ho} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 
ho \ 
ho & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\rho \in [-1,1]$ . Note that  $\rho^2 = \frac{\sigma_{(1)}^T \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \sigma_{(1)}}{\sigma_{11}} = A_{12} \times 1 \times A_{21}/1$  where  $\Sigma$  is now decomposed as

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{(1)}^T \\ \sigma_{(1)} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $1 = \sigma_{11}$  a scalar.

# **Question 3**

(a) In @zheng2014inference, the authors claim that when  $n \to \infty$  and  $p \to \infty$  with  $p/n \to y \in (0,1)$  and under some technical assumptions that

$$\sqrt{n}(R^2 - y_n) \to N(0, 2y(1 - y))$$

where  $y_n = p/n$ ,  $R^2 = S_{21}^T S_{22}^{-1} S_{21}/S_{11}$  and assuming that  $\rho = 0$ . Check this result by a simulation study. You may assume that the technical assumptions are satisfied when  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N_p(0, I_p)$  with (n > p > 0).

- (b) Empirically examine the size of the test based on Zheng's method for the null hypothesis that  $\rho = 0$  vs  $\rho \neq 0$ .
- (c) Empirically examine the power of the test based on Zheng's method for  $\rho = 0$  vs  $\rho \neq 0$  under the same assumptions as Question 2(c).

### Question 4

In this question, we consider a dataset presented in (Anderson 2003) . Available for analysis is the sample correlation matrix

$$\mathbf{R}^X := \begin{bmatrix} 1.00 & 0.4248 & 0.0420 & 0.0215 & 0.0573 \\ 0.4248 & 1.0000 & 0.1487 & 0.2489 & 0.2843 \\ 0.0420 & 0.1487 & 1.0000 & 0.6693 & 0.4662 \\ 0.0215 & 0.2489 & 0.6693 & 1.0000 & 0.6915 \\ 0.0573 & 0.2843 & 0.4662 & 0.6915 & 1.000 \end{bmatrix}$$

The underlying data matrix  $\mathbf{X}_{n \times p}$  has p=5 columns corresponding to scores on tests in arithmetic speed,  $X_1$ , arithmetic power  $X_2$ , memory for words  $X_3$ , memory for meaningful symbols  $X_4$ , and memory for meaningless symbols  $X_5$ .

Of interest is the hypothesis at the 1% significance level that arithmetic speed is independent of the three memory scores.

(a) Write the underlying data matrix as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{n \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 & X_4 & X_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Y}_{n \times (p-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_3 & X_4 & X_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is the data matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  including only the columns for arithmetic speed and the three memory scores.

Check whether the sample correlation matrix formed from  $\mathbf{Y}$ , say  $\mathbf{R}^{Y}$ , is of the form

$$\mathbf{R}^{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{11}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{13}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{14}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{15}^{X} \\ \mathbf{R}_{31}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{33}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{34}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{35}^{X} \\ \mathbf{R}_{41}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{43}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{44}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{45}^{X} \\ \mathbf{R}_{51}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{53}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{54}^{X} & \mathbf{R}_{55}^{X} \end{bmatrix},$$

so that the sample correlation matrix for  $\mathbf{Y}$  is just the sample correlation matrix for  $\mathbf{X}$  with 1 row and 1 column removed.

- (b) Can you use the correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}^{X}$  to analyze the hypothesis of interest?
- (c) Formulate the hypothesis of interest statistically.
- (d) Test the hypothesis of interest using the classical method. Is this a reasonable thing to do? Why or why not? What is the conclusion?
- (e) Test the hypothesis of interest using Zheng et al.'s method. What is the conclusion?
- (f) Which of these two analyses (in Question 3(d) and Question 3(e)) do you prefer? Why?