

GEP Coding challenge week 2 extended

Scoring

As stated in the presentation of Phil, this is the scoring table:

Criterium	points
Does it work	1
Readability (names, structure, linting)	3
Handle change / single responsibility	2
Testing	3
Deep Source	1
total	10

Some Recommendations

- Add a README.md with your final answer, and possibly additional comments
- Use comments wisely
- Give variables and functions meaningful names
- Give your methods (functions) just one responsibility
- Create tests. Check at least the example given by Euler.
- Carefully read the presentation of Phil Rice.

A word on linting

Linting is the process of running a program that will analyze code for potential errors.

Linting is important to reduce errors and improve the overall quality of your code. Using lint tools can help you accelerate development and reduce costs by finding errors earlier.

If you use an IDE like PyCharm you get linting for “free”.

But you can also use a tool like Flake8 from the command-line.

<https://pypi.org/project/flake8/> or <https://flake8.pycqa.org/en/latest/>

A word on Deepsource

DeepSource builds source code analyzers -- to find and fix issues like bug risks, anti-patterns, performance optimizations and security vulnerabilities. Once integrated, DeepSource runs on every commit and pull/merge request.

You can connect Deepsource directly to your GitHub repository.

The image shows two screenshots of the GitHub Marketplace interface. The top screenshot displays the 'Extend GitHub' section with a search bar and a list of categories. A red arrow points from the 'Code quality' category in the left sidebar to the 'Recommended for you' section, which features 'Stale' and 'CodeFactor' apps. Another red arrow points from the 'Code quality' category to the 'Search results' page below. The bottom screenshot shows the 'Search results' page for 'Code quality', listing 482 results. A red arrow points from the 'DeepSource' app in the list to the right. The 'DeepSource' app is described as: 'Discover bug risks, anti-patterns and security vulnerabilities before they end up in production. For Python, Go and Ruby'.

Extend GitHub
Add tools to help you build and grow

Explore apps

Types

- Apps
- Actions

Categories

- API management
- Chat
- Code quality
- Code review
- Continuous integration
- Dependency management
- Deployment
- IDEs

Search for apps and actions

Introducing GitHub Actions
An entirely new way to automate your development workflow.

Explore Actions

Recommended for you

- Stale
- CodeFactor

Marketplace / Search results

Search for apps and actions

Code quality

Automate your code review with style, quality, security, and test-coverage checks when you need them.

482 results filtered by Code quality

- Better Code Hub**
A Benchmarked Definition of Done for Code Quality with BetterCodeHub
- Code Climate**
Automated code review for technical debt and test coverage
- Sider**
Automatically analyze pull request against custom per-project rulesets and best practices
- CodeScene**
A quality visualization tool to identify and prioritize technical debt and evaluate your organizational efficiency
- TestQuality**
Modern, powerful, test plan management
- Codecov**
Group, merge and compare coverage reports
- CodeFactor**
Automated code review for GitHub
- codebeat**
Code review expert on demand. Automated for mobile and web
- Restyled.io**
Restyle Pull Requests as they're opened
- LGTM**
Find and prevent zero-days and other critical bugs, with customizable alerts and automated code review
- DeepScan**
Advanced static analysis for automatically finding runtime errors in JavaScript code
- Datree**
Policy enforcement solution for confident and compliant code
- Coveralls**
Ensure that new code is fully covered, and see coverage trends emerge. Works with any CI service
- DeepSource**
Discover bug risks, anti-patterns and security vulnerabilities before they end up in production. For Python, Go and Ruby
- Code Inspector**
Code Quality, Code Reviews and Technical Debt evaluation made easy
- Imgbot**
A GitHub app that optimizes your images

Deepsources findings from week 1

Legend:

White: recommendation, but no penalty

Yellow: -0.1 points penalty per finding

Orange: -0.5 points penalty per finding

Red: No points for DeepSource!

anti-patterns	explanation
Module imported but unused	
Unused variable found	
Function contains unused argument	
File opened without the with statement	<code>exec(open(filename).read())</code>
Re-defined variable from outer scope	6 times an iterator like x was used multiple times. 1 time a variable from a function was re-used outside the function
Consider using enumerate for iteration	A lot of times when dealing with iterators, we also get a need to keep a count of iterations. Python eases the programmers' task by providing a built-in function <code>enumerate()</code> for this task. <code>Enumerate()</code> method adds a counter to an iterable and returns it in a form of enumerate object. This enumerate object can then be used directly in for loops or be converted into a list of tuples using <code>list()</code> method.
Re-definition found for builtin function	<code>sum = 0</code> <code>list = []</code> sum and list are standard Python functions
Unnecessary else/elif	<code>if len(character_list) == len(number_as_string):</code> <code>return True</code> <code>else:</code> <code>return False</code>
red = 0 (zero), orange = 0.5 each and yellow = 0.1 each	
Bug risk	
Assert statement used outside of tests	Unit test not recognized as such by Python
invalid syntax	SyntaxError: invalid character in identifier
Unexpected indentation	
Indentation contains mixed spaces and tabs	
Performance	
Unnecessary comprehension	Unnecessary comprehension - 'sum' and 'max' can take a generator <code>print(sum([i for i in range(1000) if (i % 3 == 0 or i % 5 == 0)]))</code>
Equality comparison detected with singleton object	comparison to None should be 'if cond is None:' <code>if (None == number or number < 2):</code>
Expression not assigned	Expression "[print_and_stop_after_first_divisor_limit_found(triangle_nr, 500) for triangle_nr in create_triangle_number_list(1000000)]" is assigned to nothing
Security	
Audit required: Use of exec	<code>exec(open(filename).read())</code>
Documentation	
Use of FIXME/XXX/TODO encountered	
One-line docstring should fit on one line with quotes	
Missing docstring in public function	
Use of single quote detected in docstring	Use <code>"""triple double quotes"""</code>
Docstring is over-indented	

The following are all Linting issues, which have already been scored at “Readability”.

So here no (additional) penalties.

Style	
expected 2 blank lines	
Trailing whitespace detected	
At least two spaces before inline comment	
Expected 2 blank lines after end of function or class	
No newline at end of file	
Multiple blank lines detected at end of the file	
Missing whitespace after ',', ' ', or ':'	
Blank line contains whitespace	
Missing whitespace around operator	
Whitespace before opening parenthesis	
Missing whitespace around modulo operator	
Too many blank lines found	
Unexpected spaces around keyword / parameter equals	
Whitespace before colon	
Multiple spaces found before operator	
Multiple spaces found after operator	
Whitespace after opening parenthesis detected	
Whitespace before closing parenthesis	
Doc line too long	
Block comment should start with #	
Inline comment should start with #	
Indentation is not a multiple of four	
Indentation is not a multiple of four in comments	
Bad indentation detected	
Unexpected indentation in comments	
Indentation contains tabs	
Misplaced comparison constant	
Module level import not at the top of the file	
Unnecessary semicolon	
Statement ends with a semicolon	
Multiple imports on one line	
Inconsistent return statements	
Unnecessary parentheses after keyword	
line too long (> 88 characters)	