Lab 6: Linear regression

In this lab, you will review the details of linear regression. In particular:

- How to formulate Matrices and solutions to Ordinary Least Squares (OLS).
- sns.lmplot as a quick visual for Simple Linear Regression (SLR).
- scikit—learn, or sklearn for short, a real-world data science tool that is more robust and flexible than analytical or scipy.optimize solutions.

You will also practice interpreting residual plots (vs. fitted values) and the Multiple \mathbb{R}^2 metric used in Multiple Linear Regression.

```
In []: import pandas as pd
    import numpy as np
    import seaborn as sns
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    from sklearn.feature_extraction import DictVectorizer
    from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder
    np.random.seed(42)
    plt.style.use('fivethirtyeight')
    sns.set_context("talk")
%matplotlib inline
```

For the first part of this lab, you will predict fuel efficiency (mpg) of several models of automobiles using a **single feature**: engine power (horsepower). For the second part, you will perform feature engineering on **multiple features** to better predict fuel efficiency.

First, let's load in the data.

```
In []: # Here, we load the fuel dataset, and drop any rows that have missing dat
   vehicle_data = sns.load_dataset('mpg').dropna()
   vehicle_data = vehicle_data.sort_values('horsepower', ascending=True)
   vehicle_data.head(5)
```

Out[]:		mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	model_year
	19	26.0	4	97.0	46.0	1835	20.5	70
	102	26.0	4	97.0	46.0	1950	21.0	73
	326	43.4	4	90.0	48.0	2335	23.7	80
	325	44.3	4	90.0	48.0	2085	21.7	80
	244	43.1	4	90.0	48.0	1985	21.5	78


```
In [ ]: vehicle_data.shape
```

Out[]: (392, 9)

We have 392 datapoints and 8 potential features (plus our observed y values, $\ensuremath{\mathsf{mpg}}$).

Let's try to fit a line to the plot below, which shows mpg vs. horsepower for several models of automobiles.

```
In []: # Run this cell to visualize the data.
sns.scatterplot(data=vehicle_data, x='horsepower', y='mpg');
plt.title("mpg vs horsepower");
```



Question 1a: Construct $\mathbb X$ with an intercept term

Below, implement add_intercept, which creates a design matrix such that the first (left-most) column is all ones. The function has two lines: you are responsible for constructing the all-ones column bias_feature using the np.ones (documentation). This is then piped into a call to np.concatenate (documentation), which we've implemented for you.

Note: bias_feature should be a matrix of dimension (n,1), not a vector of dimension (n,).

```
return np.concatenate([bias_feature, X], axis=1)

# Note the [[ ]] brackets below: the argument needs to be
# a matrix (DataFrame), as opposed to a single array (Series).
X = add_intercept(vehicle_data[['horsepower']])
X.shape
```

Out[]: (392, 2)

Question 1b: Define the OLS Model

The predictions for all n points in our data are:

$$\hat{\mathbb{Y}} = \mathbb{X}\theta$$

where $\theta = [\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_p]$.

Below, implement the linear_model function to evaluate this product.

Hint: You can use np.dot (documentation), pd.DataFrame.dot (documentation), or the @ operator to multiply matrices/vectors. However, while the @ operator can be used to multiply NumPy arrays, it generally will not work between two pandas objects, so keep that in mind when computing matrix-vector products!

Question 1c: Least Squares Estimate, Analytically

We showed in lecture that when X^TX is invertible, the optimal estimate, $\hat{\theta}$, is given by the equation:

$$\hat{\theta} = (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Y}$$

Below, implement the analytic solution to $\hat{\theta}$ using np.linalg.inv (documentation) to compute the inverse of $\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X}$.

Hint 1: To compute the transpose of a matrix, you can use X.T or X.transpose() (documentation).

Note: You can also consider using np.linalg.solve (documentation) instead of np.linalg.inv because it is more robust (more on StackOverflow here).

```
In [ ]: # GPT is used to learn np.linalg.solve()
        def get_analytical_sol(X, y):
            Computes the analytical solution to our
            least squares problem
            Parameters
            X: a 2D DataFrame (or NumPy array) of numeric features.
            y: a 1D vector of outputs.
            Returns
            The estimate for theta (a 1D vector) computed using the
            equation mentioned above.
            .....
            X_t = X.T
            estimated_theta = np.linalg.solve(X_t @ X, X_t @ Y)
            return estimated_theta
        Y = vehicle_data['mpg']
        analytical_thetas = get_analytical_sol(X, Y)
        analytical_thetas
```


Out[]: array([39.93586102, -0.15784473])

Now, let's analyze our model's performance. Your task will be to interpret the model's performance using the two visualizations and one performance metric we've implemented below.

First, we run sns.lmplot, which will both provide a scatterplot of mpg vs horsepower and display the least-squares line of best fit. (If you'd like to verify the OLS fit you found above is the same line found through Seaborn, change include_OLS to True.)


```
In []: include_OLS = True # Change this flag to visualize OLS fit

sns.lmplot(data=vehicle_data, x='horsepower', y='mpg');
predicted_mpg_hp_only = linear_model(analytical_thetas, X)
if include_OLS:
    # if flag is on, add OLS fit as a dotted red line
    plt.plot(vehicle_data['horsepower'], predicted_mpg_hp_only, 'r---')
plt.title("mpg vs horsepower");
```



Next, we **plot the residuals.** While in Simple Linear Regression we have the option to plot residuals vs. the single input feature, in Multiple Linear Regression we often plot residuals vs. fitted values $\hat{\mathbb{Y}}$. In this lab, we opt for the latter.

```
In []: plt.scatter(predicted_mpg_hp_only, Y - predicted_mpg_hp_only)
    plt.axhline(0, c='black', linewidth=1)
    plt.xlabel(r'Fitted Values $\hat{\mathbb{Y}}$')
    plt.ylabel(r'Residuals $\mathbb{Y} - \hat{\mathbb{Y}}$');
    plt.title("Residual plot");
```



Finally, we compute the ${\it correlation r}$ and ${\it Multiple}\,R^2$ metric. As described in Lecture 12,

$$R^2 = rac{ ext{variance of fitted values}}{ ext{variance of true }y} = rac{\sigma_y^2}{\sigma_y^2}$$

 R^2 can be used in the multiple regression setting, whereas r (the correlation coefficient) is restricted to SLR since it depends on a single input feature. In SLR, r^2 and Multiple R^2 are equivalent; the proof is left to you.

Correlation, r, using only horsepower: -0.7784267838977761 Correlation squared, r^2, using only horsepower: 0.605948257889435 Multiple R^2 using only horsepower: 0.605948257889435

Question 1d


In the cell below, comment on the above visualization and performance metrics, and whether horsepower and mpg have a good linear fit.

since the correlation r is -0.778, this indicate that the mpg and hoursepower have negative linear relationship. The correlation is within 0.7 to 1.0 (or -0.7 to -1.0). Hence this indicate the it has strong relationship

Since the multiple R^2 using only horsepower is 0.606. This indicates that it has mederate to strong fit. 0.606 means that 60.6% of the variability in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variables in your regression model.

Question 2: Transform a Single Feature

The Tukey-Mosteller Bulge Diagram (shown below) tells us to transform our \mathbb{X} or \mathbb{Y} to find a linear fit.



Let's consider the following linear model:

predicted mpg =
$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 \sqrt{\text{horsepower}}$$

Question 2a

In the cell below, explain why we use the term "linear" to describe the model above, even though it incorporates a square root of horsepower as a feature.

The term "linear" in this context refers to the linear relationship between the transformed variables and the parameters of the model, not necessarily the original variables or their transformations. It is called "linear model" because the relationship between the transformed features and the parameters remains linear, allowing us to use linear regression techniques to fit the model.

Introduction to sklearn

1. Create object.

We first create a LinearRegression object. Here's the sklearn documentation. Note that by default, the object will include an intercept term when fitting.

Here, model is like a "blank slate" for a linear model.

2. fit the object to data.

Now, we need to tell model to "fit" itself to the data. Essentially, this is doing exactly what you did in the previous part of this lab (creating a risk function and finding the parameters that minimize that risk).

Note: X needs to be a matrix (or DataFrame), as opposed to a single array (or Series) when running model.fit . This is because sklearn.linear_model is robust enough to be used for multiple regression, which we will look at later in this lab. This is why we use the double square brackets around sqrt(hp) when passing in the argument for X.

```
In []: # 2. Run this cell to add sqrt(hp) column for each car in the dataset.
   vehicle_data['sqrt(hp)'] = np.sqrt(vehicle_data['horsepower'])
   vehicle_data.head()
```

Out[

[]:		mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	model_year
	19	26.0	4	97.0	46.0	1835	20.5	70
	102	26.0	4	97.0	46.0	1950	21.0	73
	326	43.4	4	90.0	48.0	2335	23.7	80
	325	44.3	4	90.0	48.0	2085	21.7	80
	244	43.1	4	90.0	48.0	1985	21.5	78

3. Analyze fit.

Now that the model exists, we can look at the $\hat{\theta}_0$ and $\hat{\theta}_1$ values it found, which are given in the attributes intercept and coef, respectively.

```
In []: model.intercept_
Out[]: 58.70517203721754

In []: model.coef_
Out[]: array([-3.50352375])
```

To use the sklearn linear regression model to make predictions, you can use the model.predict method.

Below, we find the estimated mpg for a single datapoint with a sqrt(hp) of 6.78 (i.e., horsepower 46). Unlike the linear algebra approach, we do not need to manually add an intercept term because our model (which was created with fit_intercept=True) will automatically add one.


Note: You may receive a user warning about missing feature names. This is due to the fact that we fitted on the feature DataFrame vehicle_data[['sqrt(hp)']] with feature names "sqrt(hp)" but only pass in a simple 2D arrays for prediction. To avoid this, we can convert our 2D array into a DataFrame with the matching feature name.

```
In []: # Needs to be a 2D array since the X in step 2 was 2-dimensional.
    single_datapoint = [[6.78]]
    # Uncomment the following to see the result of predicting on a DataFrame
    single_datapoint = pd.DataFrame([[6.78]], columns = ['sqrt(hp)']) #
    model.predict(single_datapoint)
Out[]: array([34.95128104])
```

Question 2b

Using the model defined above, which takes in <code>sqrt(hp)</code> as an input explanatory variable, predict the <code>mpg</code> for the full <code>vehicle_data</code> dataset. Assign the predictions to <code>predicted_mpg_hp_sqrt</code>. Running the cell will then compute the multiple R^2 value and create a linear regression plot for this new square root feature, overlaid on the original least squares estimate (used in Question 1c).

Multiple R^2 using sqrt(hp): 0.6437035832706492



The visualization shows a slight improvement, but the points on the scatter plot are still more "curved" than our prediction line. Let's try a quadratic feature instead!

Next, we use the power of OLS to add an additional feature. Questions 1 and 2 utilized simple linear regression, a special case of OLS where we have 1 feature (p=1). For the following questions, we'll utilize multiple linear regression, which are cases of OLS when we have more than 1 features (p>1).

Add an Additional Feature

Now, we move from SLR to multiple linear regression.

Until now, we have established relationships between one independent explanatory variable and one response variable. However, with real-world problems, you will often want to use **multiple features** to model and predict a response variable. Multiple linear regression attempts to model the relationship between two or more explanatory variables and a response variable by fitting a linear equation to the observed data.

We can consider including functions of existing features as **new features** to help improve the predictive power of our model. (This is something we will discuss in

further detail in the Feature Engineering lecture.)

The cell below adds a column that contains the square of the horsepower for each car in the dataset.

In []:	# Run this cell to add a column of horsepower squared, no further action												
	<pre>vehicle_data['hp^2'] = vehicle_data['horsepower'] ** 2</pre>												
	<pre>vehicle_data.head()</pre>												

Out[]:		mpg	cylinders	displacement	horsepower	weight	acceleration	model_year
	19	26.0	4	97.0	46.0	1835	20.5	70
	102	26.0	4	97.0	46.0	1950	21.0	73
	326	43.4	4	90.0	48.0	2335	23.7	80
	325	44.3	4	90.0	48.0	2085	21.7	80
	244	43.1	4	90.0	48.0	1985	21.5	78

Question 3

Question 3a

Using sklearn's LinearRegression, create and fit a model that tries to predict mpg from horsepower AND hp^2 using the DataFrame vehicle_data. Name your model model_multi.

Hint: It should follow a similar format as Question 2.

Note: You must create a new model again using LinearRegression(), otherwise the old model from Question 2 will be overwritten. If you do overwrite it, just restart your kernel and run your cells in order.

After fitting, we can see the coefficients and intercept. Note that there are now two elements in model_multi.coef_, since there are two features.

```
In []: model_multi.intercept_
Out[]: 56.90009970211304
In []: model_multi.coef_
Out[]: array([-0.46618963, 0.00123054])
```

Question 3b


Using the above values, write out the function that the model is using to predict mpg from horsepower and hp^2.

```
mpg = -0.46618063 \cdot \text{horsepower} + 0.001230541 \cdot (\text{horsepower})^2 + 56.90009970211304
```

The plot below shows the prediction of our model. It's much better!

```
In []: # Run this cell to show the prediction of our model.
    predicted_mpg_multi = model_multi.predict(vehicle_data[['horsepower', 'hp
    r2_multi = np.var(predicted_mpg_multi) / np.var(vehicle_data['mpg'])
    print('Multiple R^2 using both horsepower and horsepower squared: ', r2_m
    sns.scatterplot(x = 'horsepower', y = 'mpg', data = vehicle_data)
    plt.plot(vehicle_data['horsepower'], predicted_mpg_hp_only, label='hp on
    plt.plot(vehicle_data['horsepower'], predicted_mpg_hp_sqrt, color = 'r',
    plt.plot(vehicle_data['horsepower'], predicted_mpg_multi, color = 'gold'
    plt.title("mpg vs horsepower")
    plt.legend();
```

Multiple R^2 using both horsepower and horsepower squared: 0.687559030512 7552



By incorporating a squared feature, we are able to capture the curvature of the dataset. Our model is now a parabola centered on our data.

Question 3c

In the cell below, we assign the mean of the mpg column of the vehicle_data
DataFrame to mean_mpg. Given this information, what is the mean of the mean_predicted_mpg_hp_only, predicted_mpg_hp_sqrt, and predicted_mpg_multi arrays?

Hint: Your answer should be a function of mean_mpg provided, you should not have to call np.mean in your code.

```
In []: mean_mpg = np.mean(vehicle_data['mpg'])
    mean_predicted_mpg_hp_only = mean_mpg
    mean_predicted_mpg_hp_sqrt = mean_mpg
    mean_predicted_mpg_multi = mean_mpg
    print(mean_mpg)
    print(mean_predicted_mpg_hp_only)
    print(mean_predicted_mpg_hp_only)
    print(mean_predicted_mpg_hp_sqrt)
```

- 23.445918367346938
- 23.445918367346938
- 23.445918367346938
- 23.445918367346938

Comparing this model with previous models:

```
In []: # Compares q1, q2, q3, and overfit models (ignores redundant model)
    print('Multiple R^2 using only horsepower: ', r2_hp_only)
    print('Multiple R^2 using sqrt(hp): ', r2_hp_sqrt)
    print('Multiple R^2 using both hp and hp^2: ', r2_multi)
```

```
Multiple R^2 using only horsepower: 0.605948257889435
Multiple R^2 using sqrt(hp): 0.6437035832706492
Multiple R^2 using both hp and hp^2: 0.6875590305127552
```

Observe that the R^2 value of the last model is the highest. In fact, it can be proven that multiple R^2 will not decrease as we add more variables. You may be wondering, what will happen if we add more variables? We will discuss the limitations of adding too many variables in an upcoming lecture. Below, we consider an extreme case that we include a variable twice in the model.

You might also be wondering why we chose to use hp^2 as our additional feature, even though that transformation in the Tukey-Mosteller Bulge Diagram doesn't correspond to the bulge in our data. The Bulge diagram is a good starting point for transforming our data, but you may need to play around with different transformations to see which of them is able to capture the true relationship in our data and create a model with the best fit. This trial and error process is a very useful technique used all throughout data science!

Faulty Feature Engineering: Redundant Features

Suppose we used the following linear model:

$$mpg = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot horsepower + \theta_2 \cdot horsepower^2 + \theta_3 \cdot horsepower$$
 (1)

Notice that horsepower appears twice in our model!! We will explore how this redundant feature affects our modeling.

Question 4

Question 4a: Linear Algebra

Construct a matrix X_redundant that uses the vehicle_data DataFrame to encode the "three" features above, as well as a bias feature.

Hint: Use the add_intercept term you implemented in Question 1a.

```
X redundant.shape
```

```
Out[]: (392, 4)
```

Now, run the cell below to find the analytical OLS Estimate using the get_analytical_sol function you wrote in Question 1c.

Note: Depending on the machine that you run your code on, you should either **see a singular matrix error** or **end up with thetas that are nonsensical** (magnitudes greater than 10^{15}). In other words, if the cell below errors, that is by design, it is supposed to error.

Question 4b

In the cell below, explain why we got the error above when trying to calculate the analytical solution to predict mpg.

GPT is used to help understand the error and find the reason error occurs

In your X_redundant matrix, the features are likely linearly dependent or highly correlated. For instance, including both horsepower and its square (hp^2) could cause multicollinearity. Adding a bias term (intercept) manually while also letting the method inherently handle it might introduce redundancy.

Note: While we encountered errors when using the linear algebra approach, a model fitted with sklearn will not encounter matrix singularity errors since it uses numerical methods to find optimums.

```
In []: # sklearn finds optimal parameters despite redundant features
    model_redundant = LinearRegression(fit_intercept=False)
    model_redundant.fit(X = X_redundant, y = vehicle_data['mpg'])
    model_redundant.coef_
Out[]: array([ 5.69000997e+01, -2.33094815e-01, 1.23053610e-03, -2.33094815e-0
1])
```

Feature Engineering

To begin, let's load the tips dataset from the seaborn library. This dataset contains records of tips, total bill, and information about the person who paid the bill. As earlier, we'll be trying to predict tips from the other data.

```
In []: # Run this cell to load the tips dataset; no further action is needed.
    data = sns.load_dataset("tips")

print("Number of Records:", len(data))
    data.head()
```

Number of Records: 244

Out[]:		total_bill	tip	sex	smoker	day	time	size
	0	16.99	1.01	Female	No	Sun	Dinner	2
	1	10.34	1.66	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	3
	2	21.01	3.50	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	3
	3	23.68	3.31	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	2
	4	24.59	3.61	Female	No	Sun	Dinner	4

Defining the Model and Engineering Features

Now, let's make a more complicated model that utilizes other features in our dataset. You can imagine that we might want to use the features with an equation that looks as shown below:

$$Tip = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot total_bill + \theta_2 \cdot sex + \theta_3 \cdot smoker + \theta_4 \cdot day + \theta_5 \cdot time + \theta_6 \cdot size$$

Unfortunately, that's not possible because some of these features like "day" are not numbers, so it doesn't make sense to multiply by a numerical parameter. Let's start by converting some of these non-numerical values into numerical values.

Before we do this, let's separate out the tips and the features into two separate variables, and add a bias term using pd.insert (documentation).

```
In []: # Run this cell to create our design matrix X; no further action is neede
    tips = data['tip']
    X = data.drop(columns='tip')
    X.insert(0, 'bias', 1)
    X.head()
```

Out[]

:		bias	total_bill	sex	smoker	day	time	size
	0	1	16.99	Female	No	Sun	Dinner	2
	1	1	10.34	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	3
	2	1	21.01	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	3
	3	1	23.68	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	2
	4	1	24.59	Female	No	Sun	Dinner	4

Question 5: Feature Engineering

Let's use **one-hot encoding** to better represent the days! For example, we encode Sunday as the row vector [0 0 0 1] because our dataset only contains bills from Thursday through Sunday. This replaces the day feature with four boolean features indicating if the record occurred on Thursday, Friday, Saturday, or Sunday. One-hot encoding therefore assigns a more even weight across each category in non-numeric features.

Complete the code below to one-hot encode our dataset. This <code>DataFrame</code> holds our "featurized" data, which is also often denoted by ϕ .

0u

ut[]:		bias	total_bill	size	Male	Female	Yes	No	Thur	Fri	Sat	Sun	Lunch	Dinn
	0	1.0	16.99	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	,
	1	1.0	10.34	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	,
	2	1.0	21.01	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	,
	3	1.0	23.68	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	,
	4	1.0	24.59	4.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	,

Tutorial: fit()/predict()

Now that all of our data is numeric, we can begin to define our model function. Notice that after one-hot encoding our data, we now have 13 features instead of 7 (including bias). Therefore, our linear model is now similar to the below (note the order of thetas below does not necessarily match the order in the <code>DataFrame</code>):

$$Tip = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \cdot total_bill + \theta_2 \cdot size$$

$$+ \theta_3 \cdot sex_Female + \theta_4 \cdot sex_Male$$

$$+ \theta_5 \cdot smoker_No + \theta_6 \cdot smoker_Yes$$

$$+ \theta_7 \cdot day_Fri + \theta_8 \cdot day_Sat + \theta_9 \cdot day_Sun + \theta_{10} \cdot day_Thur$$

$$+ \theta_{11} \cdot time_Dinner + \theta_{12} \cdot time_Lunch$$
(6)

We can represent the linear combination above as a matrix-vector product. To practice using syntax similar to the sklearn pipeline, we introduce a toy example called MyZeroLinearModel.

The MyZeroLinearModel has two methods, predict and fit.

- fit: Compute parameters theta given data X and Y and the underlying model.
- predict : Compute estimate \hat{y} given X and the underlying model.

If you are unfamiliar with using Python objects, please review object-oriented programming.

Note: Practically speaking, this is a pretty bad model: it sets all of its parameters to 0 regardless of the data we fit it to! While this model doesn't really have any practical application, we're using it here to help you build intuition on how sklearn pipelines work!

```
In []: # Run this cell to create the MyZeroLinearModel class; no further action
    class MyZeroLinearModel():
        def __init__(self):
            self._thetas = None
        def fit(self, X, Y):
            number_of_features = X.shape[1]
            # For demonstration purposes in this tutorial, we set the values
        self._thetas = np.zeros(shape=(number_of_features, 1))
```

```
def predict(self, X):
                 return X @ self._thetas
         # Running the code below produces all-zero thetas
         model0 = MyZeroLinearModel()
         model0.fit(one_hot_X, tips)
         model0._thetas
Out[]: array([[0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.],
                 [0.]])
```

Question 6: Fitting a Linear Model Using Numerical Methods

The best-fit model is determined by our loss function. We can define multiple loss functions and found the optimal $\hat{\theta}$ using the scipy.optimize.minimize function.

In this question, we'll wrap this function into a method fit() in our class MyScipyLinearModel. To allow for different loss functions, we create a loss_function parameter where the model can be fit accordingly. Example loss functions are given as l1 and l2.

Note: Just like MyZeroLinearModel , the class MyScipyLinearModel is a toy example to help you understand how sklearn works behind the scenes. In practice, when using pre-made sklearn models, defining a class like this is unnecessary!

Question 6a: scipy

Complete the code below using <code>scipy.optimize.minimize</code> . Find and store the optimal $\hat{\theta}$ in the instance attribute <code>self._thetas</code> .

Hint:

• The starting_guess should be some arbitrary array (such as an array of all zeroes) of the correct length. You may find number_of_features helpful.

Notes:

11/17/24, 10:19 PM

- Notice that 11 and 12 return term-wise loss and only accept observed value y and predicted value \hat{y} . We added a lambda function to help convert them into the right format for scipy.optimize.minimize.
- Notice above that we extract the 'x' entry in the dictionary returned by minimize. This entry corresponds to the optimal $\hat{\theta}$ estimated by the function, and it is the format that minimize uses.

```
In [ ]: from scipy.optimize import minimize
        def l1(y, y_hat):
            return np.abs(y - y_hat)
        def l2(y, y_hat):
            return (y - y hat)**2
        class MyScipyLinearModel():
            def __init__(self):
                self._thetas = None
            def fit(self, loss_function, X, Y):
                Estimated optimal _thetas for the given loss function,
                feature matrix X, and observed values y. Store them in _thetas.
                Parameters
                loss_function: A function that takes in observed and predicted y,
                                and return the loss calculated for each data point
                X: A 2D DataFrame (or NumPy array) of numeric features.
                Y: A 1D NumPy array or Series of the dependent variable.
                Returns
                None
                1111111
                number_of_features = X.shape[1]
                starting_guess = np.zeros(number_of_features)
                self._thetas = minimize(lambda theta:
                                         np.mean(loss_function(Y, X @ theta))
                                         , x0 = starting_guess)['x']
            def predict(self, X):
                return X @ self._thetas
        # Create a new model and fit the data using l2 loss, it should produce so
        model = MyScipyLinearModel()
        model.fit(l2, one_hot_X, tips)
        print("L2 loss thetas:")
        print(model._thetas)
        # Create a new model and fit the data using l1 loss, it should produce so
        model_l1 = MyScipyLinearModel()
        model_l1.fit(l1, one_hot_X, tips)
        print("L1 loss thetas:")
        print(model._thetas)
```

```
L2 loss thetas:
[ 0.25497687  0.09448758  0.17597881  0.11127009  0.14370686  0.08427353  0.17070347 -0.02126837  0.14102196  0.01962849  0.11559518  0.16159492  0.09338215]
L1 loss thetas:
[ 0.25497687  0.09448758  0.17597881  0.11127009  0.14370686  0.08427353  0.17070347 -0.02126837  0.14102196  0.01962849  0.11559518  0.16159492  0.09338215]
```

The MSE and MAE for your model above should be just slightly larger than 1:

Question 6b: sklearn

Another way to fit a linear regression model is to use scikit-learn / sklearn

Question 6c: sklearn and fit_intercept

To avoid always explicitly building in a bias column into our design matrix, sklearn 's LinearRegression object also supports fit_intercept=True during instantiation.

Fill in the code below by first assigning one_hot_X_nobias to the one_hot_X design matrix with the bias column dropped, then fit a new LinearRegression model, with intercept.

```
In []: one_hot_X_nobias = one_hot_X.drop('bias', axis=1)
    sklearn_model_intercept = LinearRegression()
    sklearn_model_intercept.fit(one_hot_X_nobias, tips)
# Note that sklearn returns intercept (theta_0) and coefficients (other t
# We concatenate the intercept and other thetas before printing for easie
```

Question 7: Fitting the Model Using Analytic Methods

Let's also fit our model analytically for the L2 loss function. Recall from lecture that with a linear model, we are solving the following optimization problem for least squares:

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} ||\mathbb{Y} - \mathbb{X}\theta||^2$$

We showed in lecture that the optimal $\hat{\theta}$ when $\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}$ is invertible is given by the equation: $(\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})^{-1} \mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{Y}$

Question 7a: Analytic Solution Using Explicit Inverses

For this problem, implement the analytic solution above using <code>np.linalg.inv</code> to compute the inverse of $\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X}$. We provide a class <code>MyAnalyticallyFitOLSModel</code> with a <code>fit</code> method to wrap this functionality.

Hint: To compute the transpose of a matrix, you can use X.T or X.transpose().

Note: We want our thetas to always be a NumPy array object, even if Y is a Series . If you are using the @ NumPy operator, make sure you are correctly placing parentheses around expressions where needed to make this happen.

```
In []: class MyAnalyticallyFitOLSModel():
    def __init__(self):
        self._thetas = None

def fit(self, X, Y):
    """
    Sets _thetas using the analytical solution to the OLS problem.

Parameters
______
X: A 2D DataFrame (or NumPy array) of numeric features (one-hot e Y: A 1D NumPy array or Series of the dependent variable.

Returns
______
None
    """
# GPT is used to debug and learn to convert X & Y to float64 in o
```

11/17/24, 10:19 PM

```
X = np.asarray(X, dtype=np.float64)
Y = np.asarray(Y, dtype=np.float64)

X_transpose = X.T
self._thetas = np.linalg.inv(X_transpose @ X) @ X_transpose @ Y

def predict(self, X):
    return X @ self._thetas
```

Now, run the cell below to find the analytical solution for the tips dataset. Depending on the machine that you run your code on, you should either see a singular matrix error or end up with some theta values that are nonsensical (magnitudes greater than 10^{15}). This is not good!

Question 7b

In the cell below, explain why we got the error or nonsensical theta values above when trying to calculate the analytical solution for our one-hot encoded tips dataset.

GPT is used to help analyse the reason of getting nonsensical theta values reason

The nonsensical theta values indicate that one-hot encoding may have introduced redundancy. For instance, if you encode categorical variables like days of the week (e.g., Monday to Sunday) with all possible columns, the sum of these columns always equals one. This redundancy makes X^T*X singular.

Question 7c: Fixing Our One-Hot Encoding

11/17/24, 10:19 PM

Now, let's modify our one-hot encoding approach from earlier so we don't get the error we saw in the previous part. Complete the code below to one-hot-encode our dataset such that one_hot_X_revised has no redundant features.

Hint: To identify redundancies in one-hot-encoded features, consider the number of boolean values that are required to uniquely express each possible option. For example, we only need one column to express whether an individual it's Lunch or Dinner time: If the value is 0 in the Lunch column, it tells us it must be Dinner time.

```
In [ ]: #GPT is used to debug, applying astype(float)
        def one_hot_encode_revised(data):
            Return the one-hot encoded DataFrame of our input data, removing redu
            Parameters
            data: A DataFrame that may include non-numerical features.
            Returns
            A one-hot encoded DataFrame that only contains numeric features without
            .....
            # GPT is usd to learn to use get dummies(drop first) to encode datafr
            data = pd.get_dummies(data, drop_first=True).astype(float)
            return data
        one_hot_X_revised = one_hot_encode_revised(X)
        display(one_hot_X_revised.head())
        scipy model = MyScipyLinearModel()
        scipy_model.fit(l2, one_hot_X_revised, tips)
        analytical_model = MyAnalyticallyFitOLSModel()
        analytical_model.fit(one_hot_X_revised, tips)
        print("Our scipy numerical model's loss is: ", mean_squared_error(scipy_m
        print("Our analytical model's loss is: ", mean_squared_error(analytical_m
```

	bias	total_bill	size	sex_Female	smoker_No	day_Fri	day_Sat	day_Sun	time_[
0	1.0	16.99	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1	1.0	10.34	3.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
2	1.0	21.01	3.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
3	1.0	23.68	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
4	1.0	24.59	4.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	

Our scipy numerical model's loss is: 1.0103535612414998 Our analytical model's loss is: 1.010353561225785

We can check the rank of the matrix using the NumPy function np.linalg.matrix_rank. We have printed the rank of the data and number of columns for you below.