## Portfolio Theory Solutions to Tutorial 8

1. (a) All efficient portfolios lie on a straight line of the form

$$E[R_e] = a + b\sigma_e$$

The risk-free asset is an efficient portfolio with expected return 1.04 and variance 0%%, hence

$$a = 1.04$$

Asset A must also lie on the capital market line since it is efficient. Asset A has expected return 1.13 and  $standard\ deviation$  of 10%. Hence

$$1.13 = 1.04 + b \times 0.10$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $b = 0.9$ 

(b) The market portfolio is also an efficient portfolio and so must satisfy the capital market line. We are given that the expected return on the market is 1.09, hence

$$1.09 = 1.04 + 0.9\sigma_M \Rightarrow \sigma_M = 5.56\%$$

Hence the variance of the market is 30.9 %.

2. The security market line for any portfolio, P, is given by

$$E[R_P] = R_F + \beta_P(\mu_M - R_F).$$

All assets should lie on this line. Therefore by considering assets A and B we can obtain two simultaneous equations:

$$1.07 = R_F + 0.8(E[R_M] - R_F)$$
  
$$1.10 = R_F + 1.2(E[R_M] - R_F).$$

If we multiply the first equation by 1.5 and subtract the second equation we obtain

$$0.505 = 0.5R_F$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $R_F = 1.01$ 

Substituting into the first equation yields

$$1.07 = 1.01 + 0.8(E[R_M] - 1.01)$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $E[R_M] = \mu_M = 1.085$ 

- 3. All the assets should have a positive market capitalization. For a market portfolio, the weight of all the component assets should be non-negative. As the market portfolio is efficient, it must be on the efficient frontier and can be formed by the two portfolios X and Y. Let M be the market portfolio and  $M = \pi X + (1 \pi)Y$ .
  - (a) We have

$$0.6\pi + 0.8(1-\pi) \ge 0$$
 and  $0.2\pi - 0.2(1-\pi) \ge 0$  and  $0.2\pi + 0.4(1-\pi) \ge 0$ .

Solve the system of inequalities and obtain

$$\begin{cases} 4 & \geq \pi \\ \pi & \geq 1/2 \\ 2 & \geq \pi \end{cases} \Rightarrow 2 \geq \pi \geq 1/2.$$

E(X) = 11% > E(Y) = 9%, hence,

the minimum possible expected return of M = 1/2(11) + 1/2(9) = 10(%), the maximum possible expected return of M = 2(11) - 1(9) = 13(%).

- (b) If X is the minimum variance portfolio, it should also the efficient portfolio with the lowest expected return. Therefore, the minimum possible expected return of M should be 11%. The maximum value does not change.
- 4. (a) Let the returns of asset i and the market portfolio M be  $R_i$  and  $R_M$ , respectively. We have

$$R_M = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i R_i.$$

Using the fact that the return of different assets are mutually independent, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(R_M) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \sigma_i^2$$
$$\operatorname{Cov}(R_j, R_M) = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Cov}(R_j, w_i R_i) = w_j \sigma_j^2.$$

By the definition of  $\beta_j$ , we have  $\beta_j = \text{Cov}(R_j, R_M)/\text{Var}(R_M) = w_j \sigma_j^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \sigma_i^2$ .

(b) We know that  $\beta$  of a portfolio equals to the weighted average  $\beta_i's$  of the component assets. For the market portfolio,

$$\beta_M = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \beta_j = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \frac{w_j \sigma_j^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \sigma_i^2} = 1.$$

- 5. (a)  $X_i(T) = R(i, 1) \times ... \times R(i, T)$ 
  - (b) Choose the strategy i=1 or 2 that maximises  $E[\log R(i,t)]$ Strategy 1:  $E[\log R(1,t)] = (\log 0.9 + \log 1.1 + \log 1.3)/3 = 0.0841$ Strategy 2:  $E[\log R(2,t)] = (\log 0.85 + \log 1.05 + \log 1.25 + \log 1.45)/4 = 0.1202$ Strategy 2 is higher, so choose strategy 2 under the Kelly criterion.

(c)

maximise over 
$$i$$
  $E[u(X_i(T))] = E[\frac{1}{\gamma} \prod_{t=1}^T R(i,t)^{\gamma}]$   

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma} \prod_{t=1}^T E[R(i,t)^{\gamma}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma} E[R(i,t)^{\gamma}]^T$$

If  $\gamma > 0$  this means choose the *i* that maximises  $E[R(i,t)^{\gamma}]$ .

If  $\gamma < 0$  this means choose the *i* that minimises  $E[R(i,t)^{\gamma}]$ .

Strategy 1:  $E[R(i,t)^{\gamma}] = 1.046 \ (\gamma = 0.5) \ 0.8613 \ (\gamma = -5)$ 

Strategy 2:  $E[R(i,t)^{\gamma}] = 1.067 \ (\gamma = 0.5) \ 0.8802 \ (\gamma = -5)$ 

So Strategy 2 is best for  $\gamma = 0.5$  ( $\gamma > 0$  so choose the maximum) and Strategy 1 is best for  $\gamma = -5$  ( $\gamma < 0$  so choose the minimum).

Intuition: There is no first or second order dominance. Strategy 2 has a higher mean but also a higher variance. The higher means that strategy 2 will be preferred by investors with low risk aversion. But as risk aversion increases ( $\gamma$  decreases) the higher variance (and the lower, worst outcome of 0.85) will cause very risk averse investors to select Strategy 1.