

Uniwersytet Wrocławski  
Wydział Matematyki i Informatyki  
Instytut Matematyczny  
*specjalność: teoretyczna*

*Bartosz Sójka*

## Two dimensional orbifolds' volumes' spectrum

Praca magisterska  
napisana pod kierunkiem  
prof. dr hab. Tadeusza Januszkiewicza

Wrocław 2021



*dla Wujka*



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1	Motivations . . . . .	8
1.2	Questions asked . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Definition, characteristics, classification and properties of the orbifolds</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Definition . . . . .	9
2.2	Euler orbicharacteristic . . . . .	10
2.2.1	Classification of orbifolds with non-negative Euler orbicharacteristic . . . . .	10
2.2.2	Extended Euler orbicharacteristic . . . . .	10
2.3	Uniformisation theorem (formulation) . . . . .	10
2.4	Surgeries, modifications and constructions on orbifolds . . . . .	10
2.5	Notation . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Order type and topology</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	Reductions of cases . . . . .	12
3.2	Order type and topology of $\sigma(D^2)$ . . . . .	13
3.2.1	Definition and properties of order of accumulation points . . . . .	14
3.2.2	Analysis of locations of accumulation points of $\sigma(D^2)$ with respect to their order . . . . .	14
3.2.3	Proof that $\sigma(D^2)$ is well ordered . . . . .	16
3.2.4	Proof that order structure and topology of $\sigma(D^2)$ are those of $\omega^\omega$ . . . . .	18
3.3	Order type and topology of $\sigma(M)$ . . . . .	19
3.4	Order type and topology of $\sigma$ . . . . .	19
3.5	Order type and topology of some subsets of $\sigma$ and $\sigma(M)$ . . . . .	20
3.6	More about how this $\omega^\omega$ lies in $\mathbb{R}$ . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Algorithms for searching the spectrum</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	Decidability . . . . .	21
4.1.1	The first approach to the searching algorithm . . . . .	21
4.1.2	Why this works . . . . .	24
4.1.3	Improvements . . . . .	24
4.1.4	Implementation . . . . .	25

<b>5</b>	<b>Counting occurrences</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1	Finitenes . . . . .	26
5.2	$\sigma(D^2)$ and $\sigma(S^2)$ . . . . .	27
5.2.1	$-\frac{1}{84}$ and $-\frac{1}{42}$ . . . . .	28
5.2.2	Accumulation points of the $\sigma(S^2)$ . . . . .	28
5.3	Translating questions to ones about Egyptian fractions . . . . .	28
5.4	Estimations of the number of occurrences . . . . .	28
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Further directions</b>	<b>30</b>
7.1	Asked, but unanswered questions . . . . .	30
7.2	Unasked and unanswered questions . . . . .	30
7.3	Power series and generating functions . . . . .	30
7.4	Seifert manifolds . . . . .	30

## Abstract

Orbifoldy

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivations

### 1.2 Questions asked



## Chapter 2

# Definition, characteristics, classification and properties of the orbifolds

### 2.1 Definition

## TO DO: jak sie juz wszystko zbierze co ma tu być, to to dopisać

The definition of the orbifold is taken from Thurston [6] (chapter 13). We briefly recall the concept, but for full discussion we refer to [6].

An orbifold is a generalisation of a manifold. One allows more variety of local behaviour. On a manifold a map is a homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and some open set on a manifold. On an orbifold a map is a homeomorphism between a quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by some finite group and some open set on an orbifold. In addition to that, the orbifold structure consist the informations about that finite group and a quotient map for any such open set.

Above definition says that an orbifold is locally homeomorphic do the quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by some finite group.

When an orbifold as a whole is quotient of some finite group acting on a manifold we say, that it is 'good'. Otherwise we say, that it is 'bad'.

We are also adopting notation from [6].

In two dimentions there are only four types of bad orbifolds, namely:

- $S^2(n)$
- $D^2(; n)$
- $S^2(n_1, n_2)$  for  $n_1 < n_2$
- $D^2(; n_1, n_2)$  for  $n_1 < n_2$ .

All other orbifolds are good. As manifolds are special case of orbifolds with all ...

We differ from Thurston in the terms of naming points with maps with non-trivial groups. We call them orbipoints. If the group acts as the group of rotations (so a cyclic group) we call them rotational points. If the group is a dihedral group we call them dihedral points. And if it is point on the boundry that stabilises reflection it is a reflection point.

## 2.2 Euler orbicharacteristic

we will treat as we will treat manifolds as orbifolds we will always refer we will

### 2.2.1 Classification of orbifolds with non-negative Euler orbicharacteristic

The list of all orbifolds with non-negative Euler orbicharacteristic Powiedzieć coś o tym, że orbicharakterystyka odpowiada polom (Gauss Bonnet itd.)

### 2.2.2 Extended Euler orbicharacteristic

(with cusps) Write about cusp as a limit.

Write about isomorphism of all spectra

## 2.3 Uniformisation theorem (formulation)

# TO DO: twierdzenie o klasyfikacji powierzchni

## 2.4 Surgeries, modifications and constructions on orbifolds

Write about the general surgeries we are interested in i.e. taking any number of features (handles cross caps, parts of boundry components with orbipoints on it, orbipoints in the interior) and replacing it by any other features (Some preserve the area) Write about surgeries necessary for reduction of cases

## 2.5 Notation

We will regard parts of that notation not only as features on an orbifold but also as an operations on orbifolds transforming one to another by adding particular feature. We will denote the difference in Euler characteristic which is made by modifying an orbifold by such a feature as  $\Delta(modification)$ .

**TO DO: rozwinąć**  
dopisać, że w Conwayowej  $\geq 2$

# Chapter 3

## Order type and topology

In this chapter we will discuss that both the order type and the topology of the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics of two-dimensional orbifolds are that of  $\omega^\omega$ . We will call this set  $\sigma$ .

### Disclaimer

For now, until Chapter 5 named "Counting occurrences", we will not pay attention to how many orbifolds have the same Euler orbicharacteristic.

### 3.1 Reductions of cases

Now we want to make some reductions to limit number of cases that we will be dealing with.

We aim to find a minimal set  $B$  of base manifolds that such that any for any  $x \in \sigma$  there is an orbifold  $O$  with a base manifold from  $B$  such that  $\chi^{orb}(O) = x$ . It will turn out it can be done such that we are left with  $B = \{S^2, D^2\}$  and there is no futher reduction possible.

Given an orbifold  $O_1$ , we want to perform some surgeries on it such that the resulting orbifold  $O_2$  will have the same Euler orbicharactristic, but the base manifold of  $O_2$  would have as big Euler characteristic as possible.

The Euler orbicharacteristic of base manifold depends only on the number of handles, cross caps and boundry components. And, as stated in ?? it is:

For ever such a manifold feature we want to find an orbifold features with the same Euler orbicharacteristic delta.

One of the ways to do that is by observing that:

$$\Delta(\circ) = -2 = \Delta(*(*2)^4) \quad (3.1.0.0.1)$$

$$\Delta(*) = -1 = \Delta((*)^4) \quad (3.1.0.0.2)$$

$$\Delta(\times) = -1 = \Delta((*)^4) \quad (3.1.0.0.3)$$

So we see that from any orbifold we can eradicate handles ....

$$\Delta(n) = \frac{n-1}{n} = \Delta((*)^n) \quad (3.1.0.0.4)$$

From this we can conclude that every Euler orbicharacteristic can be obtained by an orbifold with base manifold  $S^2$  or  $D^2$ . Examples of rational numbers from  $\sigma(S^2) \setminus \sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(D^2) \setminus \sigma(S^2)$  are: We will provide examples Further examination of connections between  $\sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2)$  is performed in ??

In the terms of set relations:

**Observation 3.1.0.1.** *If two-dimentional manifold  $M$  has no boundry, then*

$$\sigma(M) \subseteq \sigma(S^2) \quad (3.1.0.1.1)$$

*If, in addition,  $M \neq S^2$ , then*

$$\sigma(M) \subseteq \sigma(D^2). \quad (3.1.0.1.2)$$

**Observation 3.1.0.2.** *If two-dimentional manifold  $M$  has a boundry, then*

$$\sigma(M) \subseteq \sigma(D^2) \quad (3.1.0.2.1)$$

In the terms of arithmetical expressions:

**Observation 3.1.0.3.** *From above reductions we can concluded that our problem boiles down to the analysis of all the possible values of the expressions:*

$$2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I_i - 1}{I_i} \quad (3.1.0.3.1)$$

*and*

$$1 - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{b_j - 1}{2b_j}, \quad (3.1.0.3.2)$$

with  $I_i$  and  $b_j$  ranging over  $\mathbb{N}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

As stated in ?? we can perform futher reductions to have an orbifold with particular orbicharacteristic without cusps (if needed) and then (after these reductions) we can analyse only expressions with  $I_i$  and  $b_j$  ranging over  $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and they will still give us full spectrum.

However, as stated later, it will be more convenient to us to include orbifolds with cusps so we are stating above remark only for readers information.

The fact that it agrees with the definition of the Euler orbicharacteristic on the geometrical terms was addressed in 2.2.2.

## 3.2 Order type and topology of $\sigma(D^2)$

To determine order type and topology of  $\sigma$  we will first study how  $\sigma(D^2)$  looks like. Then, remembering that  $\sigma = \sigma(S^2) \cup \sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2) = 2\sigma(D^2)$  we will make an argument for  $\sigma$ .

In this section we will also describe precisely where accumulation points of  $\sigma(D^2)$  lie and of which order (see below 3.2.1) they are. Analysis of locations of those accumulation points, as interesting as it is alone will also be necessary for providing our argument about order type and topology of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

### 3.2.1 Definition and properties of order of accumulation points

We start with definition of being "at least of order  $n$ " that will be almost what we want and then, there will be the definition of being "order", which is the definition that we need.

For a given set we define as follows:

**Definition 3.2.1.1.** (*Inductive*). We say that the point is an accumulation point of a set of order at least 0, when it belongs to a set. We say that the point is an accumulation point of a set of order at least  $n+1$ , when it is an accumulation point (in the usual sense) of the accumulation points each of order at least  $n$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.2.** We say that the point is an accumulation point of order  $n$  iff it is an accumulation point of order at least  $n$  and it is not an accumulation point of order at least  $n+1$ . If the point is an accumulation point of order at least  $n$  for an arbitrary large  $n$  we say that the point is an accumulation point of order  $\omega$ .

When we will say that a point is an accumulation point of some set without specifying an order then we will mean being an accumulation point in the usual sense; from the point of view of above definitions, that is, an accumulation point of order at least one.

### 3.2.2 Analysis of locations of accumulation points of $\sigma(D^2)$ with respect to their order

We want to determine where exactly are accumulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  with respect to their orders.

For this we will use a handful of observations and lemmas.

**Observation 3.2.2.1.** Let us observe, that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(*n) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . From that, we see, that for every point  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ , the point  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point. Let us observe, that also, for every point  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ , we have that  $x - \frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$ , because  $\Delta(*\infty) = -\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.2.2.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  and  $x \in (-\infty, 1]$  there are only finitely many Euler orbicharacteristics in the interval  $[x, 1] \cap \sigma(D^2)$  of orbifolds that have points of order equal at most  $n$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $x \in (-\infty, 1]$ . There can be at most  $\lfloor 4(1-x) \rfloor$  orbipoints on the  $D^2$  orbifold with an Euler orbicharacteristic  $y \in [x, 1]$  since each orbipoint decreases an Euler orbicharacteristic by at least  $\frac{1}{4}$  and the Euler characteristic of  $D^2$  is 1.

There are only  $(n-1)^{\lfloor 4(1-x) \rfloor}$  possible sets of  $\lfloor 4(1-x) \rfloor$  orbipoints' orders that are less or equal than  $n$ . Hence, there are only at most  $(n-1)^{\lfloor 4(1-x) \rfloor}$  possible Euler orbicharacteristics.

**Lemma 3.2.2.3.** If  $x$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n$ , then  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n+1$ .

**Proof.**

Inductive.

- $n = 0$ : If  $x$  is an isolated point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ , then  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ . From that, we have, that points  $x - \frac{k-1}{2k}$  are in  $\sigma(D^2)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , from that, that  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .
- inductive step: Let  $x$  be an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of an order  $n > 0$ . Let  $a_k$  be a sequence of accumulation points of order  $n - 1$  convergent to  $x$ . From the inductive assumption, we have, that  $a_k - \frac{1}{2}$  is a sequence of accumulation points of order at least  $n$ . From the basic sequence arithmetic it is convergent to  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ . From that, we have that  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.2.4.** *If  $x$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n$ , then  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n - 1$ .*

**Proof.**

Inductive

- $n = 1$ : We assume, that  $x$  is an accumulation point of isolated points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . From 3.2.2.2 we know, that for all  $m$  there are only finitely many Euler orbicharacteristics in the interval  $[x, 1]$  of orbifolds that have dihedral points of order equal at most  $m$ .

From that, for arbitrary small neighborhood  $U \ni x$  and arbitrary large  $m$  there exist an orbifold that has a dihedral point of period greater than  $m$ , whose Euler orbicharacteristic lies in  $U$ . Let us take a sequence of such Euler orbicharacteristics  $a_k$  convergent to  $x$ , such that we can choose a sequence divergent to infinity of periods of dihedral points  $b_k$  of orbifolds of Euler orbicharacteristics equal  $a_k$ .

**To do: picture**

Let us observe, that for all  $k$ , the number  $a_k + \frac{b_k-1}{2b_k}$  is in  $\sigma(D^2)$ . It is so, because  $a_k$  is an Euler orbicharacteristic of an orbifold that have a dihedral point of period  $b_k$ , so identical orbifold, only without this dihedral point, has an Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $a_k + \frac{b_k-1}{2b_k}$ . The sequence  $a_k + \frac{b_k-1}{2b_k}$  converge to  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ . From that we have, that  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least 0.

- inductive step: Let  $x$  be an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n > 1$ . Let  $a_k$  be a sequence of accumulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n - 1$  convergent to  $x$ . From the inductive assumption the sequence  $a_k + \frac{1}{2}$  is a sequence of an accumulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n - 2$  convergent to  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ . From that  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n - 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.2.5.** *If  $x$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n + 1$ , then*

*$x - \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n + 2$  and  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n$ .*

**Proof.**

Let  $x$  be an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n + 1$ . From the lemma 3.2.2.3 we know, that  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n + 2$ . Now let us assume (for a contradiction), that  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation

point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $k > n + 2$ . But then from the lemma 3.2.2.4 we have that  $x$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n + 2$  and that is a contradiction.

Analogously, from the lemma 3.2.2.4 we know, that  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n$ . Let us assume (for a contradiction), that  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $k > n$ . But then from the lemma 3.2.2.3 we have that  $x$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least  $n + 2$  and that is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.2.6.** *For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  all accumulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n$  are in  $\sigma(D^2)$ .*

**Proof.**

Inductive

- $n = 0$ : Clear, as they are isolated points of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .
- inductive step: Let  $x$  be a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n > 0$ . From the lemma 3.2.2.5 point  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n - 1$ . From the inductive assumption  $x + \frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$ . Then, from 3.2.2.1, we have that  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.2.7.** *The greatest accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n$  is  $1 - \frac{n}{2}$ .*

**Proof.**

Inductive

- $n = 0$ : We know, that  $1 \in \sigma(D^2)$  and 1 is the greatest element of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .
- an inductive step: From the inductive assumption we know that  $1 - \frac{n}{2}$  is the greatest accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n$ . From the lemma 3.2.2.5 we have then that  $1 - \frac{n+1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n + 1$ . Let us assume (for a contradiction), that there exist a bigger accumulation point of order  $n + 1$  equal to  $y > 1 - \frac{n+1}{2}$ . But then, from lemma 3.2.2.5, point  $y + \frac{1}{2}$  would be an accumulation point of order  $n$ , what gives a contradiction, because  $y + \frac{1}{2} > 1 - \frac{n}{2}$ .  $\square$

### 3.2.3 Proof that $\sigma(D^2)$ is well ordered

**Definition 3.2.3.1.** *Let  $B_0 = \{1\}$ . For an  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ , let  $B_n$  be the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristic realised by orbifolds of type  $*b_1, \dots, b_n$ . For a given  $n$  these are  $D^2$  orbifolds with precisely  $n$  non trivial orbipoits on their boundry.*

**Observation 3.2.3.2.** *There is a recursive relation, that  $B_{n+1} = B_n + \{-\frac{n-1}{2n} \mid n \geq 2\}$*

**Proof.**

It is so, because every orbifold with  $n + 1$  orbipoits can be obtained by adding one point to an orbifold with  $n$  orbipoits and the set  $\{-\frac{n-1}{2n} \mid n \geq 2\} = \{\Delta(*b) \mid b \geq 2\}$ .  $\square$

**Observation 3.2.3.3.** *Observe that, as any orbifold has only finitely many orbipoits, we have that  $\sigma(D^2) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$ . We defined  $\sigma(D^2)$  as a set of all possible Euler*



orbicharacteristic of disk orbifolds, so  $\sigma(D^2) \supseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$ . From this we have that  $\sigma(D^2) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$ .

**Lemma 3.2.3.4.** *For any given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $B_n$  is a subset of the interval  $[1 - \frac{n}{2}, 1 - \frac{n}{4}]$ .*

**Proof.**

**Lemma 3.2.3.5.** *If  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  have no infinite strictly ascending sequences, then set  $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$  also have no infinite strictly ascending sequences.*

**Proof.**

Let  $A, B$  have no infinite strictly ascending sequences. Let  $c_n \in A + B$  are elements of some sequence. With a sequence  $c_n$  there are two associated sequences  $a_n, b_n$ , such that, for all  $n$ , we have  $a_n \in A, b_n \in B$  and  $a_n + b_n = c_n$ . Assume (for contradiction), that  $c_n$  is an infinite strictly ascending sequence. Then  $\forall_n a_{n+1} > a_n \vee b_{n+1} > b_n$ . From the assumption  $a_n$  has no infinite ascending sequence, so  $a_n$  has a weakly decreasing subsequence  $a_{n_k}$ . But then subsequence  $b_{n_k}$  must be strictly increasing, as  $c_{n_k}$  is strictly increasing, what gives us a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.3.6.** *If  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  have no infinite strictly ascending sequences, then set  $A \cup B$  also have no infinite strictly ascending sequences.*

**Proof.**

Let  $A, B$  have no infinite strictly ascending sequences. For the sake of contradiction, lets assume, that  $A \cup B$  has an infinite strictly ascending sequence  $c_n$ . Let  $c_{n_k}, c_{n_l}$  be subsequences of  $c_n$  consisting of elements from, respectively  $A$  and  $B$ . At least one of them must be infinite and strictly increasing, which gives us a contradiction.  $\square$

**Observation 3.2.3.7.** *From 3.2.3.2 and 3.2.3.5, we have that  $B_n$  do not have infinite ascending sequence for all  $n$ .*

Further, from 3.2.3.6 we conclude, that  $\bigcup_{n=0}^N B_n$  do not have infinite ascending sequence for all  $N$ .

**Theorem 3.2.3.8.** *In  $\sigma(D^2)$  there are no infinite strictly ascending sequences, hence, it is well ordered.*

**Proof.**

For the sake of contradiction lets assume that  $c_n$  is an infinite strictly ascending sequence in  $\sigma(D^2)$ . As  $c_n$  is bounded from below by  $c_0$  and whole  $\sigma(D^2)$  is bounded from above by 1, all elements of  $c_n$  are in the interval  $[c_0, 1]$ . From 3.2.3.3 we have, that  $\sigma(D^2) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n$ .

Lemma 3.2.3.4 says that for all  $n$  we have  $B_n \subset [1 - \frac{n}{2}, 1 - \frac{n}{4}]$ . From this, we know, that for any  $n$  such that  $1 - \frac{n}{4} < c_0$  we have, that  $B_n \cap [c_0, 1] = \emptyset$ . Let  $n_0$  be such that  $1 - \frac{n_0}{4} = c_0$  (so  $n_0 = 4(1 - c_0)$ ). Then for all  $n > n_0$  we have  $1 - \frac{n}{4} > c_0$ , meaning, that for all  $n > n_0$  we have  $B_n \cap [c_0, 1] = \emptyset$ , so all elements of  $c_n$  are in  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{n_0} B_n$ . But this contradicts 3.2.3.7.  $\square$

### 3.2.4 Proof that order structure and topology of $\sigma(D^2)$ are those of $\omega^\omega$

**Theorem 3.2.4.1.** *Order type and topology of  $\sigma(D^2)$  are those of  $\omega^\omega$ .*

#### Proof

We will prove it by inductively constructing an order preserving homeomorphism  $f$  between  $\omega^\omega$  and  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

For simplicity, we will take reverse (decreasing) order on  $\sigma(D^2)$  i.e. 1 will be the smallest element (so for example  $0 > 1$  in this order and in general  $x < y$  in the usual order iff  $x > y$  in the reverse order).

We will inductively construct the family of order preserving homeomorphisms  $f_\mu$  indexed by ordinal numbers less than  $\omega^\omega$  each prefix of  $\omega^\omega$  homeomorphic to  $\nu + 1$  (so on all ordinals less or equal to  $\nu$ ) and some prefix of  $\sigma(D^2)$ . We will construct them in such a way, that for any  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \omega^\omega$  function  $f_{\mu_2}$  restricted to the ordinals less or equal to  $\mu_1$  coincides with  $f_{\mu_1}$ . Then we will take  $f := \bigcup_{0 \leq \mu < \omega^\omega} f_\mu$  (so  $f(\mu) := f_\mu(\mu)$ ).

Our inductive assumption for a given  $\mu$  will be that for all  $\nu < \mu$  function  $f_\nu$  will be an order preserving homeomorphism between prefix of  $\omega^\omega$  homeomorphic to  $\nu + 1$  (so on all ordinals less or equal to  $\nu$ ) and some prefix of  $\sigma(D^2)$  and that for every  $\nu_1 < \nu_2 < \mu$  function  $f_{\nu_2}$  restricted to the ordinals less or equal than  $\nu_1$  coincides with  $f_{\nu_1}$ .

- $\mu = 0$ : We take  $f_0$  as a function on  $\{0\}$  taking value 1. Both 0 and 1 are the smallest elements of, respectively,  $\omega^\omega$  and  $\sigma(D^2)$  so  $f_0$  is defined between prefix of  $\omega^\omega$  of all ordinals less or equal to 0, and some prefix of  $\sigma(D^2)$ . Function  $f_0$  also preserves order on one element set. Function  $f_0$  is an homeomorphism between one element sets, both with discrete topology.

- $\mu$  is a successor ordinal less than  $\omega^\omega$ : From an inductive assumption we have an order preserving homeomorphism  $f_{\mu-1}$  between all ordinals less or equal to  $\mu - 1$  and some prefix of  $\sigma(D^2)$ . We define  $f_\mu$  on all numbers less or equal to  $\mu - 1$  to be equal  $f_{\mu-1}$ .

It remains to define  $f_\mu(\mu)$ . As  $\sigma(D^2)$  is well ordered it is well defined to take successor of an element of  $\sigma(D^2)$ . We define  $f_\mu(\mu)$  to be a successor of  $f_{\mu-1}(\mu - 1)$  in  $\sigma(D^2)$ . As such (and from inductive assumption) it is indeed defined as a function between prefix of  $\omega^\omega$  of all ordinals less or equal to  $\mu$ , and some prefix of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

Now we want to prove, that  $f_\mu$  preserve the order. From the inductive assumption it preserves the order up to  $\mu - 1$ . As  $\mu$  is the successor of  $\mu - 1$  and  $f_\mu(\mu)$  is a successor of  $f_{\mu-1}(\mu - 1)$ , we have that  $f_\mu$  is indeed an order preserving function.

Now we want to prove that  $f_\mu$  is a homeomorphism. As from inductive assumption we know, that  $f_{\mu-1}$  was a homeomorphism it is sufficient to show that preimages of open sets containing  $f_\mu(\mu)$  and images of open sets containing  $\mu$  are open.

Lets take

## TO DO: finish

- $\mu$  is a limit ordinal less than  $\omega^\omega$ : From the inductive assumption, for each  $\nu < \mu$  we have an order preserving homeomorphism  $f_\nu$  on the ordinals less or equal to  $\nu$  and those functions pairwise coincide on the intersections of their domains. For

every ordinal  $\nu < \mu$  we define  $f_\mu(\nu) := f_\nu(\nu)$ . It remains to define  $f_\mu(\mu)$ .

We consider a net  $\phi_\nu := \{f_\nu(\nu)\}_{\nu < \mu} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . From the inductive assumption we know that the domain of the net  $\phi_\nu$ , as well as its image is well ordered and that the net  $\phi_\nu$  is an order preserving homeomorphism. Now we will show that the net  $\phi_\nu$  has a limit in  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

First we will show, that  $\phi_\nu$  has a limit in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For this, we will show that  $\phi_\nu$  is bounded. Order type of the image of  $\phi_\nu$  is equal to  $\mu$  and it is a prefix of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

As we have 3.2.2.6 As  $\mathbb{R}$  is Hausdorff, from [5] (chapter 2, theorem 3, page 67) we know, that .

Firstly we will determine the order type of  $\sigma(D^2)$ . From the lemma 3.2.3.8 we know, that  $\sigma(D^2)$  is well ordered, so it has order type of some ordinal number. From this and from the theorem 3.2.2.7 we know, that for the point  $1 - \frac{n}{2}$  there exist a neighborhood  $U = (1 - \frac{n}{2} - \varepsilon, 1 - \frac{n}{2} + \varepsilon)$  such that  $U \cap \sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic to  $\omega^n$ . From this, and again from theorem 3.2.2.7 we have that  $\sigma(D^2) \cap [1, 1 - \frac{n}{2})$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^n$ . From this  $\sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^\omega$ .

From the above discussion we can also formulate following corollaries that will be useful later:

**Corollary 3.2.4.2.** *Let  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ . Then:*

- *there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x + \frac{n_1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$  but  $x + \frac{n_1+1}{2} \notin \sigma(D^2)$ .  
In other words, there exist  $y \in \sigma(D^2)$  and  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y + \frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma(D^2)$  and such that  $x = y - \frac{n_1}{2}$ ;*
- *there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n_2$*

and  $n_1 = n_2$ .

### 3.3 Order type and topology of $\sigma(M)$

Ok, all isomorphic with  $\sigma(D^2)$

here write about it

Tell me about it!

### 3.4 Order type and topology of $\sigma$

**Theorem 3.4.0.1.** *The order type of the set of possible Euler orbicharacteristics of two-dimensional orbifolds  $\sigma$  is  $\omega^\omega$ .*

## TO DO: tutaj też dopisać dowód

Provide some argument about being homeomorphic **Proof.**

From 3.2.4.1 we know, that  $\sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^\omega$ . From 3.3, we know, that  $\sigma(S^2)$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^\omega$ .

$\sigma(S^2) = 2\sigma(D^2)$ , so for all  $n \in -\mathbb{N}$  set  $\sigma(S^2) \cap [2, n)$  has a lower order type then

$\sigma(D^2) \cap [2, n)$ . From this, we have that  $\sigma(S^2) \cup \sigma(D^2) \cong \omega^\omega$ .  $\square$

### 3.5 Order type and topology of some subsets of $\sigma$ and $\sigma(M)$

$\sigma_n$

taking limit points is the order type of  $\omega^\omega$  but not homeomorphic anymore.

### 3.6 More about how this $\omega^\omega$ lies in $\mathbb{R}$

**Observation 3.6.0.1.** *The first (greatest) negative accumulation point of the set of  $\sigma$  is  $-\frac{1}{12}$ . It is the accumulation point of order 1.*

**Proof.**

We will show, that  $-\frac{1}{12}$  is the greatest negative accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . From this we will obtain the thesis, as the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics of two-dimensional orbifolds is equal to  $\sigma(S^2) \cup \sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2) = 2\sigma(D^2)$ , so the greatest negative point of the set  $\sigma(S^2)$  is smaller than the greatest negative accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

- $-\frac{1}{12} = \chi^{orb}((2, 3)) - \frac{1}{2}$ , from this we have that  $-\frac{1}{12}$  an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least 1.

- Let us assume (for a contradiction), that there exist bigger, negative accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least 1. Let us denote it by  $x$ .

However, then, from the lemma 3.2.2.5 point  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is the accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . What is more, since  $x \in (0, -\frac{1}{12})$ , then  $x + \frac{1}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{12})$ . From the lemma 3.2.2.6 we have that  $x$  is in  $\sigma(D^2)$ . But orbifolds of the type  $*b_1$  can have Euler orbicharacteristic only greater or equal  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Orbifolds of the type  $*b_1b_2$  can only have Euler orbicharacteristic  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{12}$  and some smaller. Orbifolds of the type  $*b_1b_2b_3 \dots$  can have Euler orbicharacteristic only lower than  $\frac{1}{4}$ . This analysis of the cases leads us to the conclusion, that  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{12}) \cap \sigma(D^2) = \emptyset$  and to the contradiction.

- Above analysis of the cases leads us also to the conclusion, that  $\frac{5}{12}$  is an isolated point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ , from this  $-\frac{1}{12}$  is an accumulation point of order 1 of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ .  $\square$

# Chapter 4

## Algorithms for searching the spectrum

### 4.1 Decidability

#### TO DO: oj dokończyć

Here we will show the proof that the problem of "deciding whether a given rational number is in an Euler orbicharacteristic's spectrum or not" is decidable by showing algorithm for doing this. Later, our algorithm will have a bonus property of determining of which order of condensation is given point if it is in fact in  $\sigma$ .

#### To do: Może od razu postawić pełny problem

First stated algorithm is also very inefficient and is presented, because the idea is the most clear in it. Right after it there is stated an algorithm with two enhancements:

- determining an accumulation point of which order is a given point, if it is in fact in the spectrum (this enhancement gives also a performance boost)
- faster searching, because some cases do not need to be checked.

We start with  $\frac{p}{q}$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ .

We want to determine whether there exists  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$ , such that  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1 \dots b_k) = \frac{p}{q}$ .

In the case that  $\frac{p}{q}$  is of the form  $l\frac{1}{4}$ , for some whole  $l$  we can give the answer right away. For  $l > 4$  we have that  $l\frac{1}{4}$  is not in the set and for  $l \leq 4$  it is. Moreover for an even  $l$  it is a condensation point of order  $\frac{4-l}{2}$  (see ??) and for an odd  $l$  it is a condensation point of order  $\frac{3-l}{2}$  (see 3.2.4.2).

Now we will consider only cases when  $\frac{p}{q}$  is not of the form  $l\frac{1}{4}$ .

#### 4.1.1 The first approach to the searching algorithm

We use:

- $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$  counters  $b_1 b_2 \dots$  with values ranging from 1, through all natural numbers, to infinity (with infinity included). Each counter correspond to one cone point on the boundary of the disk of period equal to the value of the counter (with the note, that if counter is set to 1 it means a trivial cone point - namely a none cone point, a normal point).
- a pivot pointing to some counter at any time
- a flag that can be set to "Greater" or "Less" corresponding to what was the outcome of comparing Euler orbicharacteristic of the orbifold corresponding to counters' state and  $\frac{p}{q}$ .

We start with:

- all counters set to 1.
- pivot pointing at the first counter
- flag set to "Greater"

We will do our computation such that:

- every state of the counters during runtime of the algorithm will have only finitely many counters with value non-1.
- every state in the rutime of the algorithm will have values on consecutive counters ordered in weakly decreasing order.

From now we will consider only such states.

The state of the counters  $b_1 b_2 \dots$  correspond to the orbifold of Euler orbicharacteristic equal  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1 b_2 \dots)$  (where the trailing 1 are trunkated).

When the algorithm is in the state:

- counters:  $b_1 b_2 \dots$
- pivot: on the counter  $c$
- flag: set to the value  $flag\_value$ ,

we procced as follows :

```

1 In the case , the flag is set to:
2 {
3     "Less", then
4     {
5         We increase the counter  $c$  by one ( $b_c := b_c + 1$ ).
6         If  $b_c = 2$  and the values of all the counters
7         on the left are also equal 2 then
8         {
9             We end the whole algorithm with the answer "no".
10        }

```

```

11      We set the value of all counters on the left to  $b_c$ 
12      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) = \frac{p}{q}$  then
13      {
14          We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
15          algorithm with answer "yes,  $*b_1b_2\dots$ ".
16      }
17      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) > \frac{p}{q}$  then
18      {
19          We set the flag to "Greater".
20          We put the pivot on the first counter.
21          We go to the line 1..
22      }
23      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) < \frac{p}{q}$  then
24      {
25          We set the flag to "Less".
26          We put pivot to the  $c+1$  counter.
27          We go to the line 1..
28      }
29  }
30
31  "Greater", then
32  {
33      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1\dots b_{c-1}\infty b_{c+1}\dots) = \frac{p}{q}$  then
34      {
35          We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
36          algorithm with answer "yes,  $*b_1\dots b_{c-1}\infty b_{c+1}\dots$ ".
37      }
38      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1\dots b_{c-1}\infty b_{c+1}\dots) > \frac{p}{q}$  then
39      {
40          We set  $b_c$  to  $\infty$ .
41          We set the flag to "Greater".
42          We move pivot to the  $c+1$  counter.
43          We go to the line 1..
44      }
45      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1\dots b_{c-1}\infty b_{c+1}\dots) < \frac{p}{q}$  then
46      {
47          We search for value  $b'_c$  of the  $c$  counter
48          such that  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1\dots b_{c-1}b'_cb_{c+1}\dots) \leq \frac{p}{q}$ 
49          and  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1\dots b_{c-1}(b'_c-1)b_{c+1}\dots) > \frac{p}{q}$ .
50          More on how we search for it will be told later, for now
51          we can think that we search one by one starting
52          from  $b_c$  and going up till  $b'_c$ .
53          We set  $b_c$  to  $b'_c$ .
54          if  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) = \frac{p}{q}$  then

```

```

55      {
56          We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
57          algorithm with answer "yes ,  $*b_1b_2\dots$ ".
58      }
59      We set all the counters to the left to value  $b_c$ .
60      if  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) = \frac{p}{q}$  then
61      {
62          We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
63          algorithm with answer "yes ,  $*b_1b_2\dots$ ".
64      }
65      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) < \frac{p}{q}$  then
66      {
67          We set flag to "Less".
68          We move the pivot to the column  $c+1$ .
69          We go to the line 1..
70      }
71      If  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) > \frac{p}{q}$  then
72      {
73          We set the flag to "Greater".
74          We move the pivot to the first counter.
75          We go to the line 1..
76      }
77  }
78  }
79 }

```

### 4.1.2 Why this works

### 4.1.3 Improvements

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\frac{p}{q} \in (1 - \frac{m}{2}, 1 - \frac{m+1}{2})$  Let us denote by  $r := \frac{p}{q} - (1 - \frac{m}{2})$ .

We will searching in  $\sigma$  as such:

If  $\frac{p}{q} \in \sigma$ , then, from the corollary 3.2.4.2 we know, that there exist some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\frac{p}{q} + \frac{n}{2} \in \sigma$  but  $\frac{p}{q} + \frac{n}{2} \notin \sigma$ .

We will be consequently checking points from  $1 + r$ , through  $1 + r - \frac{l}{2}$ , for  $0 \leq l \leq m$ , to the  $\frac{p}{q}$ . We stop at the first found point. If one of these point is in the spectrum, then all smaller (so also  $\frac{p}{q}$ ) are in the spectrum and  $\frac{p}{q}$  is the accumulation point of the spectrum of order  $m - l$  (from this, we can see some heuristic, that the points that have smaller order will be generally harder to find in some sense). If none of this points are in in the spectrum, then  $\frac{p}{q}$  is not.



Searching for all occurrences

#### **4.1.4 Implementation**

As an appendix, there is a source of a program with implementation of this algorithm with full enhancements described in this chapter. It is written in Rust.

# Chapter 5

## Counting occurrences

Our ultimate goal is to give the answer to the questions such as:

- For a given  $x \in \sigma$ , how many orbifolds have  $x$  as their Euler orbicharacteristic?
- Why? Is there some underlying geometrical reason for that?
- Can we characterise points  $x \in \sigma$  that has the most orbifolds corresponding to them?
- Is there any reasonable normalisation to counter the effect that there are 'more' points as we go to lesser values. (What we mean by 'more' was stated in)

The first equation we can tackle is stemming from the chapter 3 and it is – Do  $\sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2)$  coincide? It is easy to answer that  $\sigma(D^2) \neq \sigma(S^2)$  (and we will do that along some harder questions in the moment), but do they coincide starting from a sufficiently distant point? Or maybe, for every denominator, do they coincide from a sufficiently distant point? (Yes.)

## TO DO: przenieść (przekopiować?) część może do further direction

write about cyclic order

### 5.1 Finiteness

**Observation 5.1.0.1.** *For any  $x \in \sigma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are only finitely many orbifolds with the Euler orbicharacteristic greater or equal to  $x$  and all orbipoints of order at most  $n$ .*

**Proof:**

**Theorem 5.1.0.2.** *For any  $x \in \sigma$  there are only finitely many orbifolds with the Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $x$ .*

**Proof:**

Let  $x$  be a rational number. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the set of all orbifolds with an Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $x$ . Those orbifolds can have different base manifolds. However, the set of base manifolds of orbifolds from  $\mathcal{O}$  is finite, as there are only finitely many

two dimensional manifolds with an Euler characteristic greater or equal to  $x$  and an orbifold always has an Euler orbicharacteristic less or equal to the Euler characteristic of its underlying manifold.

It remains to proof, that for any base manifold  $M$ , the number of  $M$  orbifolds with Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $x$  is finite. Let  $M$  be a two dimensional manifold. For the sake of contradiction, assume, that there exists an infinite set of  $M$  orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_M \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_M = \{O\}_{i \in I}$ .

For each  $i$ , let  $s_i = (I_i^0, \dots, I_i^{k_i}; b_i^0, \dots, b_i^{l_i})$  be the signature of  $O_i$  written with decreasing orders of rotational orbipoints and decreasing orders of dihedral points. So for each  $i$  we have, that  $I_i^0$  is the order of the orbipoint with the highest order of all the rotational orbipoints of  $O_i$  and  $b_i^0$  is the order of the orbipoint with the highest order of all the dihedral orbipoints of  $O_i$ . By 5.1.0.1 we know that if the set  $\{I_i^0\}_{i \in I} \cup \{b_i^0\}_{i \in I}$  would be bounded by some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it would mean, that  $\mathcal{O}_M$  would be finite. As (from the assumption) this is not a case, we know that the set  $\{I_i^0\}_{i \in I} \cup \{b_i^0\}_{i \in I}$  is unbounded. Let  $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq I$  be a sequence of indeces such that  $\{(I_{i_n}^0, b_{i_n}^0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is strictly increasing on one coordinate and non-decreasing on the other. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence such that  $x_n = \Delta(I_{i_n}^0, b_{i_n}^0)$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be the sequence such that  $y_n = \Delta(I_{i_n}^1, \dots, I_{i_n}^{k_{i_n}}, b_{i_n}^1, \dots, b_{i_n}^{l_{i_n}})$ . So for every  $n$  we know  $\chi^{orb}(O_n) = \chi(M) + a_n + b_n$ . As  $\{(I_{i_n}^0, b_{i_n}^0)\}$  is strictly increasing on one coordinate and non-decreasing on the other, we know that  $x_n$  is strictly decreasing, so  $y_n$  must be strictly increasing, because  $\chi^{orb}(O_n)$  is constant for all  $n$  (all  $O_n$  are from the family with Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $x$ ).

But  $\{y_n\} \subseteq \sigma(M) - \chi(M)$ . From 3.2.3.8 and ?? we know that  $\sigma(M)$  has no infinite strongly increasing sequences, so  $\sigma(M) - \chi(M)$  has no infinite strongly increasing sequences. That gives us a contradiction.  $\square$

## 5.2 $\sigma(D^2)$ and $\sigma(S^2)$

In this section we would like to answer some questions about relations between  $\sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2)$ .

The first, stated in ?? is that  $2\sigma(D^2) = \sigma(S^2)$ . This tells us all about similarities of their topological structures – namely, they are the same, but it does not directly answers questions about how they lie in  $\mathbb{R}$ , relative to each other.

**TO DO: dopisać trochę inną motywację**

We now state some observations that will be usefull in this section.

**Observation 5.2.0.1.** *If an Euler orbicharacteristic is an accumulation point of order  $n$  in  $\sigma(D^2)$  (respectively  $\sigma(S^2)$ ), there exist an  $D^2$  (resp.  $S^2$ ) orbifold with  $n$  dihedral (resp. rotational) points of that Euler orbicharacteristic.*

prrof. from chapter 3. (todo: dopisać)

**Observation 5.2.0.2.** *If  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$  (respectively  $\sigma(S^2)$ ), then  $1 - x$  (resp.  $2 - x$ ) is a difference in Euler orbicharacteristic resulting from some set of dihedral (resp. rotational) points. From that  $1 - n(1 - x) \in \sigma(D^2)$  (resp.  $2 - n(2 - x) \in \sigma(S^2)$ ) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**5.2.1**  $-\frac{1}{84}$  and  $-\frac{1}{42}$

//Why it is how it is//

## 5.2.2 Accumulation points of the $\sigma(S^2)$

**Theorem 5.2.2.1.** *All accumulation points of the  $\sigma(S^2)$  are in  $\sigma(D^2)$ .*

There are two proofs of this theorem showing nice correspondence – one arithmetical and one geometrical.

### Proof I. Arithmetical reason

We assume that  $x \in \sigma(S^2)$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(S^2)$ .

By ?? we have, that  $\frac{x}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . From 3.2.2.5 we have that  $\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$ . From that, from 5.2.0.2 we have, that  $1 -$

$\underbrace{\underbrace{n}_{\text{"n" from 5.2.0.2}}}_{\text{"1-x" from 5.2.0.2}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \in \sigma(D^2)$ . But  $1 - 2(1 - (\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2})) = x$ , so  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ .  $\square$

### Proof II. Geometrical reason

We assume that  $x \in \sigma(S^2)$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(S^2)$ .

From 3.2.4.2 we know, that  $x$  can be expressed as  $y - 1$  for some  $y \in \sigma(S^2)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an orbifold with the base manifold  $S^2$ , such that  $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}) = y$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_c$  be the orbifold created from  $\mathcal{O}$  by adding one cusp. Then  $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_c) = y - 1 = x$ . Topologically  $\mathcal{O}_c$  with the cusp point removed (which do not change an orbicharacteristic) is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We can compactify it with  $S^1$ . This will not change an Euler orbicharacteristic since  $\chi^{orb}(S^1) = 0$  and Euler orbicharacteristic is additive. What we get is an orbifold  $\mathcal{O}_D$  with the base manifold  $D^2$  and the same orbipoints as  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since orbipoints of  $\mathcal{O}$  create a difference in Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $2 - y$ , we have that  $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_D) = 1 - (2 - y) = y - 1 = x$ . We can then move all orbipoints from the interior of  $\mathcal{O}_D$  to its boundry by doubling them, so  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ .  $\square$

## 5.3 Translating questions to ones about Egyptian fractions

## 5.4 Estimations of the number of occurences

TO DO: dac jakieś źródła i ok

## Chapter 6

## Conclusions

# Chapter 7

## Further directions

- 7.1 Asked, but unanswered questions
- 7.2 Unasked and unanswered questions
- 7.3 Power series and generating functions
- 7.4 Seifert manifolds

# Bibliography

- [1]
- [2] T. D. Browning and C. Elsholtz, *The number of representations of rationals as a sum of unit fractions*, Illinois J. Math. **55** (2011), no. 2, 685–696 (2012). MR3020702
- [3] John Conway and Daniel Huson, *The orbifold notation for two-dimensional groups*, Structural Chemistry **13** (200208).
- [4] Chaim Goodman-Strauss John H. Conway Heidi Burgiel, *The symmetries of things*, 1st ed., A K Peters, 2008.
- [5] John L. Kelley, *General topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 27, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1975. Reprint of the 1955 edition [Van Nostrand, Toronto, Ont.] MR0370454
- [6] William P Thurston, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*, s.n, 1979.