## Uniwersytet Wrocławski Wydział Matematyki i Informatyki Instytut Matematyczny specjalność: teoretyczna

## Bartosz Sójka

## Two dimentional orbifolds' volumes' spectrum

Praca magisterska napisana pod kierunkiem prof. dr hab. Tadeusza Januszkiewicza

# Contents

<b>2</b>	<b>ifol</b> o 2.1		
	2.1		9
		Definition	9
	2.2	Euler orbicharacteristic	10
		teristic	10
		2.2.2 Extended Euler orbicharacteristic	10
	2.3	Uniformisation theorem (formulation)	10
	2.4	Surgeries, modifications and constructions on orbifolds	10
	2.5	Notation	10
3	Ord	er type and topology	11
	3.1	Reductions of cases	11
	3.2	Order type and topology of $\sigma(D^2)$	13
		3.2.1 Definition of order of accumulation points	14
		3.2.2 Order type of $\sigma(D^2)$	14
	3.3	Order type and topology of $\sigma$	17
	3.4	Order type and topology of some subsets of $\sigma$	17
	3.5	Which points are in the $\sigma$ ?	17
	3.6	More about how this $\omega^{\omega}$ lies in $\mathbb{R}$	17
4	Alg	orithms for searching the spectrum	19
	4.1	Decidability	19
		4.1.1 The first approach to the searching algorithm	19
		4.1.2 Why this works	22
		4.1.3 Improvements	22
		4.1.4 Implementation	23
5	Cou	nting occurrences	24
	5.1	Finitenes	24
	5.2	Some connections between Euler orbicharacteristic and geometry of corresponding orbifolds	25

	5.3	$\sigma(D^2)$ and $\sigma(S^2)$	26			
		$5.3.1  -\frac{1}{84} \text{ and } -\frac{1}{42}  \dots $	26			
		5.3.2 Accumulation points of the $\sigma(S^2)$	26			
	5.4	Translating questions to ones about Egyptian fractions	27			
	5.5	Estimations of the number of occurences	27			
	5.6	Deformations on orbifolds?	27			
6	Con	Conclusions				
7	Further directions					
	7.1	Power series and generating functions	29			
	7.2	Seifert manifolds	29			

A .	144	
Α	bstract	,

Orbifoldy

# Introduction

- 1.1 Motivations
- 1.2 Questions asked

# Definition, characteristics, classification and properties of the orbifolds

#### 2.1 Definition

The definition of the orbifold is taken from Thurston [4] (chapter 13). We briefly recall the concept, but for full discussion we refer to [4].

An orbifold is a generalisation of a manifold. One allows more variety of local behaviour. On a manifold a map is a homeomorphism between  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and some open set on a manifold. On an orbifold a map is a homeomorphism between a quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by some finite group and some open set on an orbifold. In addition to that, the orbifold structure consist the informations about that finite group and a quotient map for any such open set.

Above definition says that an orbifold is locally homeomorphic do the quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by some finite group.

When an orbifold as a whole is quotient of some finite group acting on a manifold we say, that it is 'good'. Otherwise we say, that it is 'bad'.

We are also adopting notation from [4].

In two dimentions there are only four types of bad orbifolds, namely:

- $-S^{2}(n)$
- $-D^{2}(;n)$
- $S^2(n_1, n_2)$  for  $n_1 < n_2$
- $D^2(n_1, n_2)$  for  $n_1 < n_2$ .

All other orbifolds are good.

#### 2.2 Euler orbicharacteristic

#### 2.2.1 Classification of orbifolds with non-negative Euler orbicharacteristic

The list of all orbifolds with non-negative Euler orbicharacteristic Powiedzieć coś o tym, że orbicharatkeryttyka odpowiada polom (Gauss Bonett itd.)

#### 2.2.2 Extended Euler orbicharacteristic

(with cusps) Write about cusp as a limit.

## 2.3 Uniformisation theorem (formulation)

# 2.4 Surgeries, modifications and constructions on orbifolds

(Some preserve the area)

#### 2.5 Notation

We will regard parts of that notation not only as features on an orbifold but also as an operations on orbifolds transforming one to another by adding particular feature. We will denote the difference in Euler characteristic which is made by modifying an orbifold by such a feature as  $\Delta(modification)$ .

TO DO: rozwinąć

## Order type and topology

In this chapter we will discuss that both the order type and the topology of the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics of two-dimensional orbifolds are that of  $\omega^{\omega}$ . We will call this set  $\sigma$ .

We will see (in the observation 3.1.0.1) that the problem of determining this boils down to the analysis of all the possible values of the expressions:

$$2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_i - 1}{I_i} \tag{3.0.0.0.1}$$

and

$$1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{b_j - 1}{2b_j},\tag{3.0.0.0.2}$$

where  $I_i, b_j$  varies over  $\mathbb{N}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

As

$$2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_i - 1}{I_i} = 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{I_i}$$
 (3.0.0.0.3)

and

$$1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{b_j - 1}{2b_j} = 1 - m + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2b_j},$$
 (3.0.0.0.4)

some questions about the spectrum are equivalent to some regarding Egyptian fractions. More on this connection is discussed in 5.4.

#### Disclaimer

For now, until Chapter 5 named "Counting occurrences", we will not pay attention to how many orbifolds have the same Euler orbicharacteristic.

#### 3.1 Reductions of cases

Now we want to make some reductions to limit number of cases that we will be dealing with.

Let us observe, that:

$$\Delta(\circ) = -2 = \Delta(*(*2)^4) \qquad (3.1.0.0.1)$$

$$\Delta(*) = -1 = \Delta((*2)^4) \qquad (3.1.0.0.2)$$

$$\Delta(n) = \frac{n-1}{n} = \Delta((*n)^2) \qquad (3.1.0.0.3)$$

From this we can conclude, that every Euler orbicharacteristic can be obtained by an orbifold of signature of a type (n and m are arbitrary):

$$I_1I_2\dots I_n$$
 or  $*b_1b_2\dots b_m$ .

Let us denote the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics of orbifolds of the form  $I_1I_2...I_n$  by  $\sigma(S^2)$  and the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics of orbifolds of the form  $*b_1b_2...b_m$  as  $\sigma(D^2)$ . So we have that  $\sigma = \sigma(D^2) \cup \sigma(S^2)$ .

Let us also observe that the order type and topology of  $\sigma(S^2)$  and  $\sigma(D^2)$  are the same since

$$2\sigma(D^2) = \sigma(S^2) \tag{3.1.0.0.4}$$

and multiplying by 2 is the order preserving homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now we can make aforementioned observation:

**Observation 3.1.0.1.** From above reductions we can conclude that our problem boiles down to the analysis of all the possible values of the expressions:

$$2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_i - 1}{I_i} \tag{3.1.0.1.1}$$

and

$$1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{b_j - 1}{2b_j}. (3.1.0.1.2)$$

We also have shown that all possible Euler orbicharacteristics are achieved without using cusps. As such, we will use cusps, remembering, that we can always get rid of them, if needed. So above  $I_i$  and  $b_j$  are ranging over  $\mathbb{N}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}$ , where expressions for infinity are defined as a limits. The fact that it agrees with the definition of the Euler orbicharacteristic on the geometrical terms was addressed in 2.2.2.

Here is the notation that will be used:

 $\sigma$  - spectrum of all possible Euler orbicharacteristic of two-dimentional orbifolds  $\sigma(M)$  - spectrum of all possible Euler orbicharacteristic of M orbifolds

Now we state what we can already conclude.

**Observation 3.1.0.2.** If two-dimentional manifold M has no boundry, then:

$$\sigma(M) = \chi(M) - (\sigma(S^2) - 2) \tag{3.1.0.2.1}$$

and

$$\sigma(M) \subseteq \sigma(S^2) \tag{3.1.0.2.2}$$

If, in addition,  $M \neq S^2$ , then

$$\sigma(M) \subseteq \sigma(D^2). \tag{3.1.0.2.3}$$

#### Proof

Let M be a two-dimentional manifold with no boundry, then orbipoints can be only in the interior of M, so  $\sigma(M) = \sigma^{I}(M)$ . From ?? we have, that:

$$\sigma(M) = \{ \chi(M) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I_i - 1}{I_i} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \land \forall_i I_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty \}.$$
 (3.1.0.2.4)

And from  $\chi(M) - (\sigma(S^2) - 2)$ 

**Observation 3.1.0.3.** If two-dimentional manifold M has a boundry, then

$$\sigma(M) = \chi(M) + (\sigma(D^2) - 1) \tag{3.1.0.3.1}$$

and

$$\sigma(M) \subseteq \sigma(D^2) \tag{3.1.0.3.2}$$

Proof

$$\{\chi(M) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{b_j - 1}{2b_j} \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \land \forall_j b_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty\}$$
(3.1.0.3.3)

**Theorem 3.1.0.4.** For any two two-dimentional manifolds M, N spectras  $\sigma(M)$  and  $\sigma(N)$  have the same order type and topology.

Proof

## 3.2 Order type and topology of $\sigma(D^2)$

To determine order type and topology of  $\sigma$  we will first study how  $\sigma(D^2)$  looks like. Then, remembering that  $\sigma = \sigma(S^2) \cup \sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2) = 2\sigma(D^2)$  we will make an argument for  $\sigma$ .

In this section we will also describe precisely where accumulation points of  $\sigma(D^2)$  lie and of which order (see below 3.2.1) they are.

#### 3.2.1 Definition of order of accumulation points

We start with definition of being "at least of order n" that will be almost what we want and then, there will be the definition of being "order", which is the definition that we need.

For a given set we define as follows:

**Definition 3.2.1.1.** (Inductive). We say that the point is an acccumulation point of order at least 0, when it belongs to a set. We say that the point is an acccumulation point of order at least n + 1, when it is an acccumulation point (in the usual sense) of the accumulation points each of order at least n.

**Definition 3.2.1.2.** We say that the point is an acccumulation point of order n iff it is an acccumulation point of order at least n and it is not an acccumulation point of order at least n+1. If the point is an acccumulation point of order at least n for an arbitrary large n we say that the point is an acccumulation point of order  $\omega$ .

When we will say that a point is an accumulation point of some set without specifying an order then we will mean being an accumulation point in the usual sense; from the point of view of above definitions, that is, an accumulation point of order at least one.

#### 3.2.2 Order type of $\sigma(D^2)$

#### Some preliminary observations

Let us observe, that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta({}^*n) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . From that, we see, that for every point  $x\in\sigma(D^2)$ , the point  $x-\frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point. Let us observe, that also, for every point  $x\in\sigma(D^2)$ , we have that  $x-\frac{1}{2}\in\sigma(D^2)$ , because  $\Delta({}^*\infty)=-\frac{1}{2}$ .

Now we will show that the order type of  $\sigma(D^2)$  is  $\omega^{\omega}$  and where exactly are its accumulation points of which orders. For this we will use a handful of lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.2.1.** If x is an accomulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n, then  $x - \frac{1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n + 1.

#### Proof.

Inductive.

- n = 0: If x is an isolated point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ , then  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ . From that, we have, that points  $x \frac{k-1}{2k}$  are in  $\sigma(D^2)$ , from that, that  $x \frac{1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .
- inductive step: Let x be an accoumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of an order n>0. Let  $a_k$  be a sequence of accumulation points of order n-1 convergent to x. From the inductive assumption, we have, that  $a_k-\frac{1}{2}$  is a sequence of accumulation points of order at least n. From the basic sequence arithmetic it is convergent to  $x-\frac{1}{2}$ . From that, we have that  $x-\frac{1}{2}$  is an accoumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n+1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.2.2.** If x is an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n, then  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n - 1.

#### Proof.

Inductive

• n = 1: We assume, that x is an accommulation point of isolated points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . Let us observe, that for all m there are only finitely many Euler orbicharacteristics in the interval [1, x] of orbifolds that have cone points of period equal at most m.

From that, for arbitrary small neighborhood  $U \ni x$  and arbitrary large m there exist an orbifold that has a cone point of period grater than m, whose Euler orbicharacteristic lies in U. Let us take a sequence of such Euler orbicharacteristics  $a_k$  convergent to x, such that we can choose a sequence divergent to infinity of periods of cone points  $b_k$  of orbifolds of Euler orbicharacteristics equal  $a_k$ .

#### To do: picture

Let us observe, that for all k, the number  $a_k + \frac{b_k-1}{2b_k}$  is in  $\sigma(D^2)$ . It is so, because  $a_k$  is an Euler orbicharacteristic of an orbifold that have a cone point of period  $b_k$ , so identical orbifold, only without this cone point has an Euler orbicharacteristic equal to  $a_k + \frac{b_k-1}{2b_k}$ . The sequence  $a_k + \frac{b_k-1}{2b_k}$  converge to  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ . From that we have, that  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least 0.

• inductive step: Let x be an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n > 1. Let  $a_k$  be a sequence of accumulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n-1 convergent to x. From the inductive assumption the sequence  $a_k + \frac{1}{2}$  is a sequence of an accommulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n-2 convergent to  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ . From that  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n-1.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.2.2.3.** If x is an accommutation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n+1, then

 $x-\frac{1}{2}$  is an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n+2 and  $x+\frac{1}{2}$  is an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n.

#### Proof.

Let x be an accoumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n+1. From the lemma 3.2.2.1 we know, that  $x-\frac{1}{2}$  is an accoumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n+2. Now let us assume (for a contradiction), that  $x-\frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order k>n+2. But then from the lemma 3.2.2.2 we have that x is an accoumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n+2 and that is a contradiction.

Analogously, from the lemma 3.2.2.2 we know, that  $x+\frac{1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n. Let us assume (for a contradiction), that  $x+\frac{1}{2}$  is an acccumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order k>n. But then from the lemma 3.2.2.1 we have that x is an acccumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least n+2 and that is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.2.2.4.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  all accumulation points of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n are in  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

#### Proof.

Inductive

- n = 0: Clear, as they are isolated points of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .
- inductive step: Let x be a accumulation point of the set $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n > 0. From the lemma 3.2.2.3 point  $x + \frac{1}{2}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n 1. From the inductive assumption  $x + \frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$ . Then  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.2.5.** If  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  have no infinite ascending sequences, then set  $A+B := \{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$  also have no infinite ascending sequences.

#### Proof.

Let A, B have no infinite ascending sequences. Let  $c_n \in A + B$  are elements of some sequence. With a sequence  $c_n$  there are two associated sequences  $a_n, b_n$ , such that, for all n, we have  $a_n \in A$ ,  $b_n \in B$  and  $a_n + b_n = c_n$ . Assume (for contradiction), that  $c_n$  is an infinite ascending sequence. Then  $\forall_n \ a_{n+1} > a_n \lor b_{n+1} > b_n$ . From the assumption  $a_n$  has no infinite ascending sequence, so  $a_n$  has a weakly decreasing subsequence  $a_{n_k}$ . But then subsequence  $b_{n_k}$  must be strictly increasing, what gives us a contradiction.  $\mathcal{E}_{\square}$ 

**Lemma 3.2.2.6.** In  $\sigma(D^2)$  there are no infinite ascending sequences.

#### Proof.

Let us denote by  $A_n$  the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics realised by orbifolds of type  $*b_1, \ldots, b_n$ . Then  $A_0 = \{1\}$  and  $A_{n+1} = A_n + \{-\frac{n-1}{2n} \mid n \geqslant 2\}$ . From that, from the lemma 3.2.2.5, for all n, we have that  $A_n$  do not have infinite ascending sequence.  $\sigma(D^2) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ . Let us also observe, that for all n, we have  $A_n \subseteq [1-\frac{n}{4},1-\frac{n}{2}]$ . From that we have  $\sigma(D^2)$  do not have infinite ascending sequences.

**Theorem 3.2.2.7.** The biggest accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n is  $1 - \frac{n}{2}$ .

#### Proof.

Inductive

- n = 0:  $1 \in \sigma(D^2)$  and 1 is the biggest element of  $\sigma(D^2)$ .
- an inductive step: From the inductive assumption we know that  $1-\frac{n}{2}$  is the biggest accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n. From the lemma 3.2.2.3 we have then that  $1-\frac{n+1}{2}$  is a accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order n+1. Let us assume (for a contradiction), that there exist a bigger accumulation point of order n+1 equal to  $y>1-\frac{n+1}{2}$ . But then, from lemma 3.2.2.3, point  $y+\frac{1}{2}$  would be an accumulation point of order n, what gives a contradiction, because  $y+\frac{1}{2}>1-\frac{n}{2}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.2.8.** Order type and topological structure of  $\sigma(D^2)$  are those of  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

#### Proof

From the lemma 3.2.2.6 we know, that  $\sigma(D^2)$  is well ordered. From this and from the theorem 3.2.2.7 we know, that for the point  $1 - \frac{n}{2}$  there exist a neighborhood  $U = (1 - \frac{n}{2} - \varepsilon, 1 - \frac{n}{2} + \varepsilon)$  such that  $U \cap \sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic to  $\omega^n$ . From this,

and again from theorem 3.2.2.7 we have that  $\sigma(D^2) \cap [1, 1 - \frac{n}{2})$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^n$ . From this  $\sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

From the above discussion we can also formulate following corollaries that will be useful later:

#### Corollary 3.2.2.9. Let $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ . Then:

- there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x + \frac{n_1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$  but  $x + \frac{n_1+1}{2} \notin \sigma(D^2)$ . In other words, there exist  $y \in \sigma(D^2)$  and  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y + \frac{1}{2} \notin \sigma(D^2)$  and such that  $x = y - \frac{n_1}{2}$ ;
- there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that x is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order  $n_2$

and  $n_1 = n_2$ .

## 3.3 Order type and topology of $\sigma$

**Theorem 3.3.0.1.** The order type of the set of possible Euler orbicharacteristics of two-dimensional orbifolds  $\sigma$  is  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

#### Proof.

From the lemma 3.2.2.6 we know, that  $\sigma(D^2)$  is well ordered. From this and from the theorem 3.2.2.7 we know, that for the point  $1 - \frac{n}{2}$  there exist a neighborhood  $U = (1 - \frac{n}{2} - \varepsilon, 1 - \frac{n}{2} + \varepsilon)$  such that  $U \cap \sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic to  $\omega^n$ . From this, and again from theorem 3.2.2.7 we have that  $\sigma(D^2) \cap [1, 1 - \frac{n}{2})$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^n$ . From this  $\sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^\omega$ . From this  $\sigma(D^2)$  is homeomorphic with  $\omega^\omega$ .

 $\sigma(S^2) = 2\sigma(D^2)$ , so for all  $n \in -\mathbb{N}$  set  $\sigma(S^2) \cap [2, n)$  has a lower order type then  $\sigma(D^2) \cap [2, n)$ . From this, we have that  $\sigma(S^2) \cup \sigma(D^2) \cong \omega^{\omega}$ .

## 3.4 Order type and topology of some subsets of $\sigma$

## 3.5 Which points are in the $\sigma$ ?

Here we will try to understand better the conditions that let us determine wether the point lie in  $\sigma$  or not.

## 3.6 More about how this $\omega^{\omega}$ lies in $\mathbb{R}$

**Theorem 3.6.0.1.** The first (biggest) negative accumulation point of the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristic two-dimensional orbifolds is  $-\frac{1}{12}$ . It is the accumulation point of order 1.

#### Proof.

We will show, that  $-\frac{1}{12}$  is the biggest negative accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . From this we will obtain the thesis, as the set of all possible Euler orbicharacteristics of two-dimensional orbifolds is equal to  $\sigma(S^2) \cup \sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2) = 2\sigma(D^2)$ , so the biggest negative point of the set  $\sigma(S^2)$  is smaller than the biggest negative accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

- $-\frac{1}{12} = \chi^{orb}((2,3)) \frac{1}{2}$ , from this we have that  $-\frac{1}{12}$  an accommulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least 1.
- Let us assume (for a contradiction), that there exist bigger, negative accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$  of order at least 1. Let us denote it by x.

However, then, from the lemma 3.2.2.3 point  $x+\frac{1}{2}$  is the accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . What is more, since  $x \in (0, -\frac{1}{12})$ , then  $x+\frac{1}{2} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{12})$ . From the lemma 3.2.2.4 we have that x is in  $\sigma(D^2)$ . But orbifolds of the type  $*b_1$  can have Euler orbicharacteristiconly greater or equal  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Orbifolds of the type  $*b_1b_2$  can only have Euler orbicharacteristic  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{5}{12}$  and some smaller. Orbifolds of the type  $*b_1b_2b_3...$  can have Euler orbicharacteristiconly lower than  $\frac{1}{4}$ . This analysis of the cases leads us to the conclusion, that  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{12}) \cap \sigma(D^2) = \emptyset$  and to the contradiction.

• Above analysis of the cases leads us also to the conclusion, that  $\frac{5}{12}$  is an isolated point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ , from this  $-\frac{1}{12}$  is an acccumulation point of order 1 of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

# Algorithms for searching the spectrum

## 4.1 Decidability

Here we will show the proof that the problem of "deciding whether a given rational number is in an Euler orbicharacteristic's spectrum or not" is decidable by showing algorithm for doing this. Later, our algorithm will have a bonus property of determining of which order of condensation is given point if it is in fact in  $\sigma$ .

#### To do: Może od razu postawić pełny problem

First stated algorithm is also very inefficient and is presented, because the idea is the most clear in it. Right after it there is stated an algorithm with two enhancements:

- determining an accumulation point of which order is a given point, if it is in fact in the spectrum (this enhancement gives also a performance boost)
- faster searching, because some cases do not need to be checked.

We start with  $\frac{p}{q}$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ .

We want to determine whether there exists  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$ , such that  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1 \ldots b_k) = \frac{p}{q}$ .

In the case that  $\frac{p}{q}$  is of the form  $l\frac{1}{4}$ , for some whole l we can give the answer right away. For l>4 we have that  $l\frac{1}{4}$  is not in the set and for  $l\leqslant 4$  it is. Moreover for an even l it is a condensation point of order  $\frac{4-l}{2}$  (see 3.2.2.7) and for an odd l it is a condensation point of order  $\frac{3-l}{2}$  (see 3.2.2.9).

Now we will consider only cases when  $\frac{p}{q}$  is not of the form  $l\frac{1}{4}$ .

## 4.1.1 The first approach to the searching algorithm

We use:

- $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$  counters  $b_1b_2...$  with values ranging from 1, through all natural numbers, to infinity (with infinity included). Each counter correspond to one cone point on the boundry of the disk of period equal to the value of the counter (with the note, that if counter is set to 1 it means a trivial cone point namely a none cone point, a normal point).
- a pivot pointing to some counter at any time
- a flag that can be set to "Greater" or "Less" corresponding to what was the outcome of comparing Euler orbicharacteristic of the orbifold corresponding to counters' state and  $\frac{p}{a}$ .

We start with:

- all counters set to 1.
- pivot pointing at the first counter
- flag set to "Greater"

We will do our computation such that:

- every state of the counters during runtime of the algorithm will have only finitely many counters with value non-1.
- every state in the rutime of the algorithm will have values on consequtive counters ordered in weakly decreasing order.

From now we will consider only such states.

The state of the counters  $b_1b_2...$  correspond to the orbifold of Euler orbicharacteristic equal  $\chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2...)$  (where the trailing 1 are trunkated).

When the algorithm is in the state:

- counters:  $b_1b_2...$
- pivot: on the counter c
- flag: set to the value  $flag\_value$ ,

we proceed as follows:

```
1 In the case, the flag is set to:  2 \{ \\ 3 \quad \text{"Less", then} \\ 4 \quad \{ \\ 5 \quad \text{We increase the counter $c$ by one } (b_c \coloneqq b_c + 1). \\ 6 \quad \text{If } b_c = 2 \text{ and the values of all the counters} \\ 7 \quad \text{on the left are also equal $2$ then} \\ 8 \quad \{ \\ 9 \quad \text{We end the whole algorithm with the answer "no".} \\ 10 \quad \}
```

```
We set the value of all counters on the left to b_c
11
              If \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3...) = \frac{p}{q} then
12
13
                    We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
14
                    algorithm with answer "yes, *b_1b_2...".
15
16
              If \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) > \frac{p}{q} then
17
18
19
                    We set the flag to "Greater".
20
                    We put the pivot on the first counter.
                    We go to the line 1...
21
22
              If \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3...) < \frac{p}{q} then
23
24
                    We set the flag to "Less".
25
                    We put pivot to the c+1 counter.
26
                    We go to the line 1...
27
28
         }
29
30
         "Greater", then
31
32
               If \chi^{orb}(*b_1 \dots b_{c-1} \infty b_{c+1} \dots) = \frac{p}{q} then
33
34
                    We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
35
                    algorithm with answer "yes, *b_1 \dots b_{c-1} \infty b_{c+1} \dots".
36
37
               If \chi^{orb}(*b_1 \dots b_{c-1} \infty b_{c+1} \dots) > \frac{p}{q} then
38
39
                    We set b_c to \infty.
40
                    We set the flag to "Greater".
41
42
                    We move pivot to the c+1 counter.
                    We go to the line 1...
43
44
              If \chi^{orb}(*b_1 \dots b_{c-1} \infty b_{c+1} \dots) < \frac{p}{q} then
45
46
                    We search for value b'_c of the c counter
47
                    such that \chi^{orb}(*b_1 \dots b_{c-1}b'_c b_{c+1} \dots) \leqslant \frac{p}{a}
48
                    and \chi^{orb}(*b_1 \dots b_{c-1}(b'_c-1)b_{c+1}\dots) > \frac{p}{q}.
49
                    More on how we search for it will be told later, for now
50
                    we can think that we search one by one starting
51
52
                    from b_c and going up till b'_c.
                    We set b_c to b'_c.
53
                    if \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3...) = \frac{p}{q} then
54
```

```
{
55
                      We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
56
                       algorithm with answer "yes, *b_1b_2...".
57
58
                 We set all the counters to the left to value b_c.
59
                  if \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3...) = \frac{p}{q} then
60
61
                      We found an orbifold and we are ending the whole
62
                       algorithm with answer "yes, *b_1b_2...".
63
64
                  If \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3...) < \frac{p}{q} then
65
66
                      We set flag to "Less".
67
                      We move the pivot to the column c+1.
68
                      We go to the line 1...
69
70
                  If \chi^{orb}(*b_1b_2b_3\dots) > \frac{p}{q} then
71
72
                      We set the flag to "Greater".
73
                      We move the pivot to the first counter.
74
                      We go to the line 1...
75
76
                  }
             }
77
       }
78
79 }
```

#### 4.1.2 Why this works

## 4.1.3 Improvements

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\frac{p}{q} \in (1 - \frac{m}{2}, 1 - \frac{m+1}{2})$  Let us denote by  $r := \frac{p}{q} - (1 - \frac{m}{2})$ .

We will searching in  $\sigma$  as such:

If  $\frac{p}{q} \in \sigma$ , then, from the corollary 3.2.2.9 we know, that there exist some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\frac{p}{q} + \frac{n}{2} \in \sigma$  but  $\frac{p}{q} + \frac{n}{2} \notin \sigma$ .

We will be consequently checking points from 1+r, through  $1+r-\frac{l}{2}$ , for  $0 \le l \le m$ , to the  $\frac{p}{q}$ . We stop at the first found point. If one of these point is in the spectrum, then all smaller (so also  $\frac{p}{q}$ ) are in the spectrum and  $\frac{p}{q}$  is the accumulation point of the spectrum of order m-l (from this, we can see some heuristic, that the points that have smaller order will be generally harder to find in some sense). If none of this points are in in the spectrum, then  $\frac{p}{q}$  is not.

## 4.1.4 Implementation

As an appendix, there is a source of a program with implementation of this algorithm with full enhancments described in this chapter. It is written in Rust.

## Counting occurrences

Our ultimate goal is to give the answer to the questions such as:

- For a given  $x \in \sigma$ , how many orbifolds have x as their Euler orbicharacteristic?
- Why? Is there some underlying geometrical reason for that?
- Can we characterise points  $x \in \sigma$  that has the most orbifolds corresponding to them?
- Is there any reasonable normalisation to counter the effect that there are 'more' points as we go to lesser values. (What we mean by 'more' was sted in) The first equation we can tackle is steaming from the chapter 3 and it is Do  $\sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2)$  coincide? It is easy to answer that  $\sigma(D^2) \neq \sigma(S^2)$  (and we will do that along some harder questions in the moment), but do they coincide starting from a sufficiently distant point? Or maybe, for every denominator, do they coincide from a sufficiently distant point? (Yes.)

#### 5.1 Finitenes

Here we will show that for any  $x \in \sigma$ :

- for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are only finitely many orbifolds with the Euler orbicharacteristic greater or equal to x and all orbipoints of order at most n
- $\bullet$  there are finitely many orbifolds with an Euler orbicharacteristic equal to x.

**Theorem 5.1.0.1.** For any  $x \in \sigma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are only finitely many orbifolds with the Euler orbicharacteristic greater or equal to x and all orbipoints of order at most n.

#### **Proof:**

**Theorem 5.1.0.2.** For any  $x \in \sigma$  there are only finitely many orbifolds with the Euler orbicharacteristic equal to x.

#### **Proof:**

Let x be a rational number. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the set of all orbifolds with an Euler orbicharacteristic qual to x. Those orbifolds can have different base manifolds. However, the

set of base manifolds of orbifolds from  $\mathcal{O}$  is finite, as there are only finitely many two dimentional manifolds with an Euler characteristic greater or equal to x and an orbifold always has an Euler orbicharacteristic less or equal to the Euler characteristic of its underlying manifold.

We will now provide an argument that shows that for any base manifold M, the number of M orbifolds with Euler orbicharacteristic equal to x is finite. It will complete the proof of our theorem.

Let M be a two dimentional manifold.

For the sake of contradiction, assume, that there exists an infinite set of M orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_M \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_M = \{O\}_{i \in I}$ .

For each i, let  $s_i = (I_i^0, \ldots, I_i^{k_i}; b_i^0, \ldots, b_i^{l_i})$  be the signature of  $O_i$  written with decreasing orders of elliptic orbipoints and decreasing orders of corner reflection points. So for each i we have, that  $I_i^0$  is the order of the orbipoint with the highest order of all the elliptic orbipoints of  $\mathcal{O}_i$  and  $b_i^0$  is the order of the orbipoint with the highest order of all the corner reflection orbipoints of  $\mathcal{O}_i$ . By 5.1.0.1 we know that if the set  $\{I_i^0\}_{i\in I}\cup\{b_i^0\}_{i\in I}$  would be bounded by some  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  it would mean, that  $\mathcal{O}_M$  would be finite. As (from the assumption) this is not a case, we know that the set  $\{I_i^0\}_{i\in I}\cup\{b_i^0\}_{i\in I}$  is unbounded. Let  $\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq I$  be a sequence of indeces such that  $\{(I_{i_n}^0,b_{i_n}^0)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is strictly increasing on one cordinate and non-decreasing on the other. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence such that  $x_n=\Delta(I_{i_n}^0b_{i_n}^0)$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be the sequence such that  $y_n=\Delta(I_{i_n}^1,\ldots I_{i_n}^{k_{i_n}}b_{i_n}^1\ldots b_{i_n}^{l_{i_n}})$ . So for every n we know  $\chi^{orb}(O_n)=\chi(M)+a_n+b_n$ . As  $\{(I_{i_n}^0,b_{i_n}^0)\}$  is strictly increasing on one cordinate and non-decreasing on the other, we know that  $x_n$  is strictly decreasing, so  $y_n$  must be strictly increasing (\*), because  $\chi^{orb}(O_n)$  is constant for all n (all  $O_n$  are from the family with Euler orbicharacteristic equal to x).

But  $\{y_n\} \subseteq \sigma(M) - \chi(M)$ . From 3.2.2.6 and 3.1.0.0.4 we know that  $\sigma(M)$  has no infinite strongly increasing sequences, so  $\sigma(M) - \chi(M)$  has no infinite strongly increasing sequences. That gives us a contradiction with \*.  $\mathcal{I}_{\square}$ 

# 5.2 Some connections between Euler orbicharacteristic and geometry of corresponding orbifolds

Here we will state some observations and corollaries derived from previous chapters about ...

**Observation 5.2.0.1.** If an Euler orbicharacteristic is an accumulation point of order n in  $\sigma(D^2)$  // $\sigma(S^2)$ //, there exist an orbifold of the type ... //// with n cone //gyration// points of that Euler orbicharacteristic.

prrof. from chapter 3. (todo: dopisać)

**Observation 5.2.0.2.** If  $x \in \sigma(D^2) // \sigma(S^2) //$ , then 1 - x // 2 - x // is a difference in Euler orbicharacteristic resulting from some set of cone // gyration // points. From that  $1 - n(1 - x) \in \sigma(D^2) // 2 - n(2 - x) \in \sigma(S^2) //$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 5.3 $\sigma(D^2)$ and $\sigma(S^2)$

In this section we would like to develop the tools and answer some questions about relations between  $\sigma(D^2)$  and  $\sigma(S^2)$ .

The first, stated in 3 is that  $2\sigma(D^2) = \sigma(S^2)$ . This tells us all about simmilarities of their topological structures – namely, they are the same, but it does not directly answers questions about how they lie in  $\mathbb{R}$ , relative to each other.

5.3.1 
$$-\frac{1}{84}$$
 and  $-\frac{1}{42}$ 

//Why it is how it is//

## 5.3.2 Accumulation points of the $\sigma(S^2)$

**Theorem 5.3.2.1.** All accumulation points of the  $\sigma(S^2)$  are in  $\sigma(D^2)$ .

There are two proofs of this theorem showing nice correspondence – one arithmetical and one geometrical.

#### Proof I. Arithmetical reason

We assume that  $x \in \sigma(S^2)$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(S^2)$ .

By 3.1.0.0.4 we have, that  $\frac{x}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(D^2)$ . From 3.2.2.3 we have that  $\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \in \sigma(D^2)$ . From that, from 5.2.0.2 we have, that

$$1 - \underbrace{2}^{"n" \text{ from } 5.2.0.2} \left( \underbrace{1 - \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{"1-x" \text{ from } 5.2.0.2} \right) \in \sigma(D^2). \text{ But } 1 - 2\left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = x, \text{ so } x \in \sigma(D^2). \ \square$$

#### Proof II. Geometrical reason

We assume that  $x \in \sigma(S^2)$  is an accumulation point of the set  $\sigma(S^2)$ .

From 3.2.2.9 we know, that x can be expressed as y-1 for some  $y \in \sigma(S^2)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an orbifold with the base manifold  $S^2$ , such that  $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}) = y$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_c$  be the orbifold created from  $\mathcal{O}$  by adding one cusp. Then  $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_c) = y - 1 = x$ . Topologically  $\mathcal{O}_c$  with the cusp point removed (which do not change an orbicharacteristic) is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We can compactify it with  $S^1$ . This will not change an Euler orbicharacteristic since  $\chi^{orb}(S^1) = 0$  and Euler orbicharacteristic is additive. What we get is an orbifold  $\mathcal{O}_D$  with the base manifold  $D^2$  and the same orbipoints as  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since orbipoints of  $\mathcal{O}$  create a difference in Euler orbicharacteristic equal to 2 - y, we have that  $\chi^{orb}(\mathcal{O}_D) = 1 - (2 - y) = y - 1 = x$ . We can then move all orbipoints from the interior of  $\mathcal{O}_D$  to its boundry by doubling them, so  $x \in \sigma(D^2)$ .

- 5.4 Translating questions to ones about Egyptian fractions
- 5.5 Estimations of the number of occurences
- 5.6 Deformations on orbifolds?

Conclusions

## Further directions

- 7.1 Power series and generating functions
- 7.2 Seifert manifolds

# Bibliography

- [1] T. D. Browning and C. Elsholtz, The number of representations of rationals as a sum of unit fractions, Illinois J. Math. 55 (2011), no. 2, 685–696 (2012). MR3020702
- [2] John Conway and Daniel Huson, *The orbifold notation for two-dimensional groups*, Structural Chemistry **13** (200208).
- [3] Chaim Goodman-Strauss John H. Conway Heidi Burgiel, *The symmetries of things*, 1st ed., A K Peters, 2008.
- [4] William P Thurston, The geometry and topology of three-manifolds, s.n, 1979.