

Gametheory and Cybersecurity: a study Fliplt and multiple resources

Sophie Marien

Thesis voorgedragen tot het behalen
van de graad van Master of Science
in de ingenieurswetenschappen:
computerwetenschappen,
hoofdspecialisatie Veilige software

Promotor:

Prof. dr. ir. Tom Holvoet

Assessoren:

Ir. W. Eetveel

W. Eetrest

Begeleider:

Ir. Jonathan Merlevede, Ir. Kristof
Coninx

© Copyright KU Leuven

Zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van zowel de promotor als de auteur is overnemen, kopiëren, gebruiken of realiseren van deze uitgave of gedeelten ervan verboden. Voor aanvragen tot of informatie i.v.m. het overnemen en/of gebruik en/of realisatie van gedeelten uit deze publicatie, wend u tot het Departement Computerwetenschappen, Celestijnenlaan 200A bus 2402, B-3001 Heverlee, +32-16-327700 of via e-mail info@cs.kuleuven.be.

Voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de promotor is eveneens vereist voor het aanwenden van de in deze masterproef beschreven (originele) methoden, producten, schakelingen en programma's voor industrieel of commercieel nut en voor de inzending van deze publicatie ter deelname aan wetenschappelijke prijzen of wedstrijden.

Voorwoord

I would like to thank everybody who kept me busy the last year, especially my promotor and my assistants. I would also like to thank the jury for reading the text. My sincere gratitude also goes to my wife and the rest of my family.

Sophie Marien

Inhoudsopgave

Voorwoord	i
Samenvatting	v
Samenvatting	vi
Lijst van figuren en tabellen	vii
List of Abbreviations and Symbols	viii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 introduction number 2	2
2 The FlipIt game	5
2.1 The FlipIt game	5
3 FlipIt game with virus propagation	9
3.1 Formal definition Game	11
3.2 Conclusion	13
3.3 Extensions on FlipIt	14
4 Related work	15
4.1 Related work	15
4.2 Conclusion	16
4.3 Why Game Theory to model security problem	16
4.4	16
5 APT	17
5.1 Advanced Persistent Threats	17
6 FlipIt with virus propagation	19
6.1 FlipIt vs FlipIt with virus propagation	19
6.2 Gain formula for a FlipIt game without virus propagation	20
6.3 Gain and benefit formula for a FlipIt game with virus propagation	25
7 Formula	29
7.1 Explaining difference between FlipIt with and without virus propagation	29
7.2 Benefit for FlipIt game with virus propagation	30
7.3 something	31
8 The Final Chapter	35

8.1 chap	35
9 Conclusion	37
9.1 trala	37
A The First Appendix	41
A.1 More Lorem	41
B The Last Appendix	43
B.1 Lorem 20-24	43

bib referenties in orde brengen	1
iets tussen nog	1
verwijzing naar report	1
verwijzing naar FlipIT	1
security report van pwc	2
withepaper toevoegen	2
iets tussen nog	3
verwijzing naar report	3
verwijzen naar de figuur 2.1	6
nog redenen zoeken	7
voorbeeld geven van zo een worm	9
feit uit security rapport symantec	10
waarom geen patch, wormen kunnen veranderen gaandeweg	10
andere mogelijkheid:	10
aanvullen	11
deze variabele nodig ja of nee ? JA	11
beter uitleggen	11
er kan nog steeds tegelijk geflipt zijn maar dan hebben ze wel geflipt	12
nu gain van een resource, moet voor verschillende resources zijn	13
citatie needed voor Are We Compromised?	14
verder aanvullen	14
nog uitbreiden, toevoegen that attackers will stay unnoticed for as long as possible or leave unnoticed with sensitive information	17
check reff	19
tekening met voorbeeld	22
aanvullen	23
referentie	27
nog mooie tekst schrijven in engels: hier essentie	28

Samenvatting

There are many possible ways to attack a company network. Everyday they suffer from multiple attacks and stealthy attacks. We will make use of a gamemodel FlipIt to find out what the best strategies are for a network manager to defend his network. A worm or a virus will propagate through the network and will cause nodes to be infected. By flipping it the network manager can keep his network clean. In this thesis I present a work of gametheory merged with cybersecurity. The **abstract** environment contains a more extensive overview of the work. But it should be limited to one page.

Samenvatting

In dit **abstract** environment wordt een al dan niet uitgebreide Nederlandse samenvatting van het werk gegeven. Wanneer de tekst voor een Nederlandstalige master in het Engels wordt geschreven, wordt hier normaal een uitgebreide samenvatting verwacht, bijvoorbeeld een tiental bladzijden.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Lijst van figuren en tabellen

Lijst van figuren

2.1	A representation of a FlipIt game where both players are playing periodically and discrete. Every move or "flip" is indicated by a blue or orange circle. The blue and orange rectangles represent the amount of time one of the players is in control of the resource.	6
6.1	Graphic representation of some of the notations	21
6.2	Taking the modulo of a negative number	22
6.3	Example for calculating the control unit in interval 3 for a FlipIt game with period defender = 1 and period attacker = π	23
6.4	Difference in a FlipIt game between delay caused by a virus and a phase bigger than zero for the Attacker	26

Lijst van tabellen

2.1	Classes of strategies in FlipIt	7
-----	---	---

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

Abbreviations

LoG	Laplacian-of-Gaussian
MSE	Mean Square error
PSNR	Peak Signal-to-Noise ratio

Hoofdstuk 1

Introduction

The first contains a general introduction to the work. The goals are defined and the modus operandi is explained.

bib referenties
in orde brengen

1.1 Introduction

Security is an important asset in Computer Science. Defending a network of a company is not an easy job. To prevent intruders it can make use of firewalls, routers, IDS systems, virus scans, and other defence mechanisms. Unfortunately technology is growing fast and attacks are getting more sophisticated and the causes of these attacks can be very different. Companies are often the victim of targeted attacks. In a security report of 2014, states that 80% of the companies are the victims of targeted attacks. Many companies don't see themselves as a target, but sometimes they might be collateral, the target on the way to the real target. This means that everybody can be a target. Corporate networks should continuously defend themselves against outside invaders such as viruses and worms. By doing so the network administrator can keep the network as malware-free as possible. If there is an intruder managed to penetrate the network then the network manager this intruder trying to get out as quickly as possible. This is not always easy. Especially when the intruders secretly sneak and then spread rapidly. In this paper we will work further on the work made by Marten van Dijk, Ari Juels, Alina Oprea and Ronals L. Rivest who wrote a report on the Game FlipIt. FlipIt is a the game of "Stealthy Takeovers". It models a game by means of two players, the attacker and the defender. Both can gain control over a single shared resource by flipping it. The most important property of the game is that the flipping happens stealthy. This means that the players have no clue about when the other player moves. The goal of the game is to maximise the time the player controls the resource minus the average cost of the flipping.

iets tussen nog

verwijzing naar
report

verwijzing naar
FlipIT

1.1.1 Motivation of the game

1.1.2 Contributions and results

1.1.3 Conclusions

The "I love you" virus is an example of a virus that spreads quickly. This virus propagates via mail systems. If someone opens an email with "I love you" virus in annex this virus spreads itself by sending a mail itself to everyone in your contact list. So the virus can multiply rapidly and eventually a business network shut down by the heavy traffic. In this example, there is a need human interaction to spread the virus to do. If no one opens the virus can not spread the mail. Unfortunately, there are viruses that can spread without human interaction. These viruses are referred to as worms. A worm is also a computer program that replicates itself to spread to other computers so. Via a computer network, copies of the worm forwarded without an intermediary is used for. The worm will use vulnerabilities to infect other computers. Most worms are designed to spread out and just try not to make any changes to the systems that they pass. These worms can still inflict damage by increased network traffic they generate. Worms that contain Harm damage a program to install a backdoor or a rootkit on the infected computers. Backdoors and rootkits ensure that future use can be made of the infected computers. The Stuxnetworm is a very famous worm. Initially this worm spread via infected USB sticks and from then it could spread through the Internet to other computers. The purpose of the Stuxnetworm was broken to run the centrifuges in nuclear reactors. Many reactors have been infected. From the standpoint of the defender, it is very important to respond as quickly as possible so that the worm can not spread quickly.

1.2 introduction number 2

(We live in an era) In this era where digitalization becomes prominent in every aspect of our lives, where technology is growing fast and where business are always under attack, security becomes an issue of increasing complexity. Since 2009, the number of reported security attacks has increased 66%, year over year. . These numbers only represent the attacks that are detected. In 2014 117,339 attacks where coming in daily. Many of those attacks have a different cause. Some of them can be benign, others can be harmful. Many companies are unaware of all the attacks. Some of them think that they are not a target, but they might be a target on the way to a real target. Recently there where some high profiled targeted attacks which have been revealed. (Belgacom). Targeted attacks are ... The *Kill Chain* is a concept by Lockheed Martin Corporation, explained in the whitepaper . It explains the different phases of a typical attack from the view of an attacker. It also outlines the typical attacker activities on the right. This model is very useful to define the different moments of the life cycle of an attack and when a company should act to defend itself. In this paper we would like to prevent the viruses of spreading into the network system of a company. This means that we have to act in phase Installation, Command and Control and Action on Objectives of the kill chain.

security report
van pwc

withepaper toe-
voegen

Security is an important asset in Computer Science. Defending a network of a company is not an easy job. Malicious people will try to To prevent intruders it can make use of firewalls, routers, IDS systems, virus scans, and other defence mechanisms. Unfortunately technology is growing fast and attacks are getting more sophisticated and the causes of these attacks can be very different. Companies are often the victim of targeted attacks. In a security report of 2014, , states that 80% of the companies are the victims of targeted attacks. Many companies don't see themselves as a target, but sometimes they might be collateral, the target on the way to the real target. This means that everybody can be a target. Corporate networks should continuously defend themselves against outside invaders and targeted attacks. Researchers have already investigated the situations through the FlipIt game in which a system is continuously compromised by an attacker through targeted attacks. FlipIt is a the game of "Stealthy Takeovers". It models a game by means of two players, the attacker and the defender. Both can gain control over a single shared resource by flipping it. The most important property of the game is that the flipping happens stealthy. This means that the players have no clue about when the other player moves and has control over the shared resource. The goal of the game is to maximise the time the player controls the resource minus the average cost of the number of flipping. In this paper we model a company network through multiple shared resources and a flip from the attacker that drops a virus that will spread itself autonomously. We show that ...

iets tussen nog

verwijzing naar
report

Hoofdstuk 2

The FlipIt game

In this chapter, we introduce the game FlipIt [?]. FlipIt is a game introduced by .. et al. First we explain the framework of FlipIt and it's important results. In the next section the formulas and assumptions are made that will be used throughout the paper. To understand how to model a FlipIt game with virus propagation it is important to get familiar with the concepts of the normal FlipIt game and it's notations.

2.1 The FlipIt game


FlipIt is a two-players game with a shared (single) resource that the players want to control as long as possible. The shared resource can be a password, a network or a secret key depending on the setting being modelled. In the rest of the paper we will call the two players the attacker, denoted by the subscript A and the defender, denoted by subscript D .

The game begins at $t = 0$ and continuous indefinitely ($t \rightarrow \infty$). The time in the game can be viewed as being continuous, but a discrete time can also be viewed. To get control over the resource, the players i can flip the resource at any given time. A flip will be regarded as a move from a player i . Each move will imply a certain cost k_i and the cost can vary for each player. Both players will try to minimize their cost. By adding a cost, it will prevent players to move to frequently.

The unique feature of FlipIt is that every move will happen in a stealthy way, meaning that the player has no clue that (his adversary) the other player has flipped the resource. For instance, the defender will not find out if the resource has already been compromised by the attacker, but he can only potentially know it after he flips the resource himself. The goal of the player is to maximize the time that he or she has control over the resource while minimizing total cost of the moves. A move can also result in a "wasted move", called a flop. It may happen that the resource was already under control by the defender. If the defender moves when he or she has already control over the resource, he or she would have wasted a move since it does

2. THE FLIPIT GAME

not result in a change of ownership and a cost is involved.



FIGUUR 2.1: A representation of a FlipIt game where both players are playing periodically and discrete. Every move or "flip" is indicated by a blue or orange circle. The blue and orange rectangles represent the amount of time one of the players is in control of the resource.

verwijzen naar
de figuur 2.1

The state of the resource is denoted as a time independent variable $C = C_i(t)$. $C_D(t)$ is either 1 if the game is under control by the defender and 0 if the game is under control by the attacker. For $C^A(t)$ it is visa versa, $C^A(t) = 1 - C^D(t)$.

The game starts with defender being in control of the game, $C_D(0) = 1$.

The players receive a benefit equal to the time of units that they were in possession of the resource minus the cost of making their moves. The cost of a player i is denoted by k_i . The total gain of player i is equal to the total amount of time that a player i has owned the resource from the beginning of the game up to time t . It is expressed as follows:

$$G_i(t) = \text{integraal}[0][t]C_i(x)dx. \quad (2.1)$$

The average gain of player i is defined as:

$$\gamma_i(t) = G_i(t)/t. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\beta_i(t)$ denote player's i average benefit upto time t :

$$\beta_i(t) = \gamma_i(t) - k_i\alpha_i. \quad (2.3)$$

This is equal to the fraction of time the resource has been owned by player i , minus the cost of making the moves. α_i defines the average move rate by player i up to time t .

Because the players move in a stealthy way, there are different types of feedback that a player can get while moving:

- Non-adaptive (NA): The player does not receive any feedback during the game while flipping.
- Last move (LM): When a player flips it will find out the exact time that the opponent played the last time.

Categories	Classes of Strategies
Non-adaptive (NA)	Exponential
	Periodic
	Renewal
	General non-adaptive
Adaptive (AD)	Last move (LM)
	Full History (FH)

TABLE 2.1: Classes of strategies in FlipIt

- Full History (FH): When a player flips it will find out the whole history of the opponents move.

The game can be extended by the amount of information that a player receives. It can also be possible for a player to get information at the start of the game. Both interesting cases are:

- Rate-of-play (RP): The player finds out the exact rate of play of the opponent.
- Knowledge-of-strategy (KS): The player finds out the complete information of the strategy that the opponent is playing.

In our analyses of the FlipIt game with a virus propagation in section [], we assume the strategy of both players to be non-adaptive. None of the players has information of the strategy of the opponent. The defender will never know when the attacker will attack the network with a virus. Conversely, the attacker does not know how often the defender defends his network.

2.1.1 Strategies

In this subsection we elaborate about the strategy of FlipIt . For the other strategies of FlipIt we refer the reader to [the paper of FlipIt]

There are two different kinds of strategies, the *non-adaptive strategies* and the *renewal strategies*. If there is no need for feedback for both of the players, we say that we have a non-adaptive strategy. Because the player does not receive any feedback during the game it will play in the same manner against every opponent. They are not dependent on the opponents movements. This means that they can already generate the time sequence for all the moves in advance. But they can depend on some randomness because the non-adaptive strategies can be randomised. In this paper we will focus in the beginning on the non-adaptive strategies. Reasons behind this is that a player (defender or attacker) rarely knows what the strategies are of his opponent. [If the attacker wants to move stealthily, it might have limited attack options FLIPTHEM].

A renewal strategy is a non-adaptive strategy where the time intervals between two consecutive moves are generated by a renewal process.

nog redenen
zoeken

2. THE FLIPIT GAME

Periodic

Non-Arithmetic Renewal

Exponential

Hoofdstuk 3

FlipIt game with virus propagation

3.0.2 Actions of the attacker

A virus has different kind of ways of making his way through a company network. We will describe the different ways of how the virus can propagate. For start we will say that the virus or worm will be dropped on Node i and that it has k numbers of neighbours.

1. Node i is infected and will spread the virus or worm to every k neighbours and will stop infecting the neighbours in the next step
2. Node i is infected and will spread the virus or worm to every k neighbours and will keep on spreading the virus to the same neighbours in every next step
3. Node i is infected and will spread the virus to only one of the k neighbours and will stop infecting another neighbour in the next step
4. Node i is infected and will spread the virus to only one of the k neighbours and in the next step it will infect another one of the k neighbours

In the game that will be modelled in the paper we will use the settings of the first spreading method. We will not use method 2 because this kind of propagation will float the network. Because we use the settings of a mail system and contact in a mailing list the method of 3 and 4 are not used.

In the first method the node that has been infected can be again infected. If one of the neighbours infects the node again the node will infect his neighbours again. By using this spreading method we have three distinct states in which a node can be situated. An *infected state*, a *clean state* and a *spreading state*. An infected state means that the node is infected and will not spread the virus to its neighbours, a clean state means that the node is not infected on that moment and a spreading state means that the node is infected and that it will spread the virus or worm to its neighbours in the next step. We can argument this kind of propagation through a mail worm.

voorbeeld geven van zo een worm

The Attacker itself has two different ways of attacking the company network. It will only infected one node of the network and will wait for the virus to spread itself through the network. We will model two ways of attacks of an Attacker:

1. The attacker drops the virus on a random node on the network
2. The attacker drops the virus on a targeted node on the network

The attacker in this game will put a virus or worm on one of the nodes in the network. (This will happen at random.) The attacker does not know on which node the virus will be dropped. We will use this randomness because most viruses are spread via a usb stick or a shared resource. If we use this spreading method where we have a targeted attack the attacker will have more information about the network.

feit uit security
rapport syman-
tec

The attacker can choose at which rate it will drop a virus on one of the nodes on the network. The cost of dropping a virus will be the same. It will not increase. If it will increase this means that the attacker will eventually drop out of the game because it becomes to expensive.

The attacker is in control over the game if it manages to infect a subset of all the resources of the company network.

3.0.3 Actions of the defender

The attacker wants to protect all the nodes of his network. It can do so by getting back control over the resources. We will assume that the defender of the network has knowledge over his own network. Which is convenient in the real world because a company has to know how his infrastructure looks like.

The defender has two possible ways of defending its network:

1. The defender flips all the nodes of his network
2. The defender will flip a subset of the nodes of his network

The cost of flipping all the nodes of the network will be greater than the cost of flipping a subset of nodes. We make this assumption because otherwise it will be beneficial for the defender to always flip all the nodes in the network.

We will also make the assumption that as a defender flips a node the node can get infected again. A flip will not be correlated to a patch but to a clean-up. Another setting of the game can be that the flip of the defender is equal to a patch and that the resource cannot be infected any more. But with this case we deviate from the flipIt game, because the attacker cannot flip the resource any more. Unless we work with different virusses every time the attacker flips. We start with the less complex game of flipping is equal to a clean-up.

waarom geen
patch, wormen
kunnen verande-
ren gaandeweg

andere mogelijk-
heid:

3.0.4 Strategies of both players

We explained what the actions of each player are.

3.1 Formal definition Game

In this section we provide a formal definition of the game and the notation that we will use throughout the paper.

Players There are two players in the game, one is the defender and the other one is the attacker. They are respectively identified by 0 and 1.

Time The game starts at $t = 0$ and continuous indefinitely as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The game is a continuous game.

Graph We represent the company network as a Graph $G = \langle V, E \rangle$. G is an ordered pair where V denotes the set of resources or nodes in the network and E denotes the set of connections or links, which are a two-element subset of V . We use the notations resources and nodes interleaving in this paper.

We have N resources in the network. $N \in \mathbb{N}$. This means we can denote the resources by:

aanvullen

$$V \in V_0, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_N$$

The set E of connections indicates if there is a link between two resources. We see the links as bidirectional so the total graph is undirected. If there is a link between resource V_n and V_{n+1} then there is also a link between V_{n+1} and V_n .

Game State There is also a time-dependent variable that represents the state of the game. $C = C(t)$ is either 0 if the game is under control by the defender and 1 if the Game is under control by the attacker.

We start at $t = 0$ with the defender who has control over the game. We do this because we assume that the defender will only put the network online without having a virus or worm in it. The Attacker can gain control over the game when it compromises a subset s of the resources. The subset s is a minimum of 1 resource and a maximum of all the resources N .

We can also define the state of each resource by C_N^A and C_N^D . If $C_N^A = 1$ then this means that the attacker has control over the resource, and 0 otherwise. For C_N^D it is visa versa, $C_N^D = 1 - C_N^A$.

deze variabele
nodig ja of nee
? JA

Moves Both players can make a move in the game. Moves done in a finite numbers of time in any finite time interval. Both players can play at any time they want, they can also play at the same time. If this happens the one that has control over the resource will keep having control over the resource. This makes the game fully symmetric. The sequence of move times are denoted by the following infinite sequence:

beter uitleggen

$$t = t_1, t_2, t_3, ..$$

Two move times can be the same because we allow players to move at the same time. We can also denote the infinite sequence of times when player i moves. We write this as :

$$t = t_{i,1}, t_{i,2}, t_{i,3}, .. \text{ with } i \in \{0, 1\}$$

The sequences t_1 and t_0 are disjoint subsets of the sequent t . We can also denote who made the k th move by defining a sequence p that denotes the sequence of who played:

$$p = p_1, p_2, p_3, .. \text{ with } p_k \in \{0, 1\}$$

Number of moves $n_i(t)$ denotes the number of moves made by player i up to and including time t . This means that

$$n(t) = n_1(t) + n_0(t)$$

is the sum of the number of moves made by the defender and the attacker up to and including time t .

Average move rate We denote $\alpha_i(t)$ as the average move rate by player i :

$$\alpha_i(t) = n_i(t)/t \text{ with } t > 0 \text{ and } i \in \{0, 1\}$$

Period We can also define the period in terms of the average move rate:

$$\delta_i = 1/\alpha_i$$

Who played last We know who played last by taking the modulo with the period.

Z_i represents the time since the last flip of player i . We can also denote the time since the last flip of player i on resource r by Z_i^N . For a non adaptive game, period deterministic: At time $t = n$ is $Z_i = n \bmod \delta_i$.

Cost The cost is an important property of the game. In FlipIt for every player the cost of a move is denoted by k_i . These costs can be very different for every player. In this game we denote the players flipping cost for resource V_N by $c_i^{V_N}$.

For the defender the cost will be either the cost of flipping every resource or the cost of flipping a subgroup of the resources.

For the attacker the cost will be the cost of dropping a virus on a node. The spreading of the virus will not imply an extra cost.

er kan nog
steeds tege-
lijk geflipt zijn
maar dan heb-
ben ze wel ge-
flipt

gain van een
urce, moet
verschil-
e resources

Utility In FlipIt the Gain definition is the utility function. The Gain denotes the total time a player i has gained control over a resource. The Gain G_i denotes players i total gain of a game, which is the total time the player has gained control over a subset of resources thus controlling the game. This is denoted by the following:

$$G_i(t) = \int_0^t C_i(x) dx$$

If we sum up the total Gain of the attacker and the defender we end up with the time:

$$G_1(t) + G_0(t) = t$$

Average gain rate The average gain rate for player i is defined as

$$\gamma_i(t) = G_i(t)/t$$

3.1.1 Formal definition

Graph Matrix We represent the graph of the network through a matrix $A = |V| \times |V|$. The (i,j) -entry of the matrix A will have a 1 if there is a connection between node V_i and node V_j . If we are working with an undirected graph the matrix will be symmetric.

Attack Vector We denote $X = 1 \times |V|$ as the attack vector. It will be a vector with only zeros. The attacker will place a virus on a node V . This will be denoted by the V th entry in the vector that is changed by a 1.

Reset vector The reset vector will make sure that the right entries in the matrix become zero. If the defender flips every node every time it flips then the attack vector will be 0.

Cummulative Matrix This matrix will keep record of the propagation of the virus through the network.

State Matrix The State matrix $T(t) = 1 \times |V|$ will keep at every time t the state of the game and denote which node at time t is infected with the virus. At time $t = 0$ the State Matrix will be the null matrix.

De eerste infectie is de attack vector * Graph matrix .

3.2 Conclusion

The final section of the chapter gives an overview of the important results of this chapter. This implies that the introductory chapter and the concluding chapter don't need a conclusion.

Hoofdstuk 4

Related work

4.1 Related work

Difference with FlipThem: sub part of nodes for control and strategy difference: dependant of grade of the nodes, instead of just periodic. - Literatuurstudie - Flipit - Game Motivation - Formal definition

4.2 Extensions on FlipIt

There are various possible ways to extend FlipIt. For instance Laszka et al. extended the basic FlipIt game to multiple resources. The incentive is that for compromising a system in a real case it needs more than just taking over one resource. An example is gaining access to a system and breaking the password. The model is called FlipThem [?]. Two ways of flipping the resources are used: the AND and the OR control model. In the AND model the attacker only controls the system if he controls all the resources of the system, whereas in the OR model the attacker only needs to compromise one resource to be in control of the entire system. The difference with FlipThem and this paper is that we introduce a Graph Model in the beginning. Another extension on FlipIt is done by Pham[?]. Beside the action Flip there is another action Test. The basic idea is to test with an extra action if the resource has been compromised or not. This action involves also an extra cost. This model is useful if somebody wants to know for example if his password has been compromised or wants to assess the periodic security of a system. In [?] [?] Laszka et al. they also consider non targeted attacks by non-strategic players and .

citatie needed
voor Are We
Compromised?

verder aanvul-
len

Distributed Worm Simulation with a Realistic Internet 2005

Modelling of congestions of network through worm propagation. Mathematical model focussing on the underlying network infrastructure.(diff no game theory)

Of threats and Costs: A Game-theoretic approach to security risk management 2013

Model network security of networks with a non-cooperative node through game

theory. Attacker knows the defence strategies and the defender has knowledge of the possible attacks. Each actor considers the actions of the other before deciding to strive to optimize their own utility. (diff not stealthy)

Game theory meet network security and privacy (2013)

Chapter 3 addresses several games in game theory for modelling network security.

Game theoretic approach for cost-benefit analysis of malware proliferation prevention (..) Introduces SIS and SIR together with 'patch', 'removal' and 'patch and removal'.

4.2.1 What can be done in further research

- Looking for the dynamics of the spread of the virus/worm limited by the bandwidth of the network links, BPG routing failure with high volume scan traffic

4.3 Conclusion

4.4 Why Game Theory to model security problem

Actors in a security protocol must follow the systems and some arbitrarily actors that are malicious and do not follow the protocol. [Bridging Game Theory and Cryptography]. Game theoretic approach proposes a model where all the actors act with self-interest.

4.5 ..

Flip-it. Some authors have written other papers about flipit. One of them is the [Game theoretic approach for cost-benefit analysis of malware proliferation prevention].

Company networks are targeted. It costs a lot. They want to defend their company networks. No loss of data, integrity and confidentiality. Many ways to attack a company. Viruses, Trojans, worms, DOS, .. Hard to protect against every attacker.

Hoofdstuk 5


APT

5.1 Advanced Persistent Threats

A targeted attack follows most of the time a serie of stages to attack its victim. This pattern of stages is also know as the Kill Chain, first mentioned by .. []. An APT will not always follow exact each step of this chain but it will give a good guideline of how an APT works.

1. **Reconnaissance:** During the first step of the Kill Chain an attacker will look for information to find an interesting victim. This information can be emailaddresses, IP addresses, conference information, anything that is available about the victim.
2. **Weaponization:** In the second stage the attacker will use an exploit and add a malicious payload to be send to the victim.
3. **Delivery:** The attacker will deliver his malicious code to the victim through different kins of intrusion methods. This can include email, usb stick, cd's, web, applications or other means.
4. **Exploitation:**The attacker executes the exploit, which is only relevant if the attacker uses an exploit.
5. **Installation:** The malware will be installed on the asset. This is only relevant if the attacker uses malware as a part of the attack.
6. **Command and Control:** The attacker will set up a command and control channel for remote manipulation of the victim.
7. **Actions on Objectives:** With "hands on keyboard" access, intruders accomplish their original goal.

ATP Cyber Kill Chain



Hoofdstuk 6

FlipIt with virus propagation

6.1 FlipIt vs FlipIt with virus propagation

This chapter explains how to model a FlipIt game with a virus that propagates and infects the nodes in a network. First, this section explains the difference between FlipIt with and without a virus. Then, section 6.2 derives the formula to calculate the gain for a FlipIt game without a virus. After that section 6.3 introduces a modification to this formula to achieve an adapted gain formula for a FlipIt game with virus propagation. This allows us to derive the benefit formula.

In chapter 2 the FlipIt game was explained. This chapter starts from the specific case of a non-adaptive continuous FlipIt game where both players play a periodic strategy with a random phase. This choice is motivated by the assumption that in the practical situation of most organisations, the defence strategy is to periodically defend the network. This corresponds to a periodic defender strategy. To simplify the analysis in a first time, a periodic attacker strategy is assumed as well. Further research can investigate the effect of relaxing this assumption.

check reff

A FlipIt game consists of a single resource. To represent the security problem, the game now defines its single resource as a computer network with multiple nodes. One of the players, the defender, will try to defend his network. The defender will do this by flipping all the nodes of the network (i.e. the entire resource) in every move he plays. The attacker, the other player, will try to infect all the nodes in the network. The attacker will do this by flipping the node in the graph that can infect all the nodes in the shortest time possible. After dropping a virus on the first node, it takes a while for the virus to infect the entire network. However, since the original FlipIt game works with a single resource that is always flipped entirely, the assumption is made that the attacker is considered to have gained the control over the resource only when all the nodes of the network have been infected, i.e. the entire resource has been flipped.

6.2 Gain formula for a FlipIt game without virus propagation

In their FlipIt paper [], Marten van Dijk et al. , give a definition of the gain of a player i . This definition is however, in the best of our knowledge, not easy to adapt to the situation with virus propagation. Therefore, this section presents an alternative formula that defines a game by quantifying the amount of time each player has control.

The following notations will be used throughout the formal definition (see figure 6.1 for a graphic representation of some of the notations):

δ_D : This is the period of the defender. This denotes the length of the interval between two consecutive moves of the defender ($\delta_D > 0$).

δ_A : This is the period of the attacker. This denotes the length of the interval between two consecutive moves of the attacker ($\delta_A > 0$).

T_D : This denotes the phase of the defender that was chosen randomly and uniformly over the interval $[0, \delta_D]$.

T_A : This denotes the phase of the attacker that was chosen randomly and uniformly over the interval $[0, \delta_A]$.

Unit of control: Defined as the period between gaining (full) control and losing control over the resource.

n_D : The n 'th interval of the defender, starting from interval 0.

n_A : The n 'th interval of the attacker, starting from interval 0.

$\Delta Unit_D(n)$: This is a function that denotes the length of a unit of control of the defender in the n 'th interval of the attacker or the defender depending on who is playing faster.

$\Delta Unit_A(n)$: This is a function that denotes the length of a unit of control of the attacker in the n 'th interval of the attacker or the defender depending on who is playing faster.

$lcm(a, b)$: The least common multiple of a and b .


$gcd(a, b)$: The greatest common divider of a and b .

$G_i(t)$: Gain of player i at time t . The gain of a player is defined as the total amount of time that a player has owned the resource since the start of the game up to time t . In the context of a FlipIt game with virus propagation, the whole network is seen as one resource. This resource is owned by the attacker if he has control over the entire network.

Average Gain: $\gamma_i(t) = G_i(t)/t$ is the average gain rate of player i which is defined as the fraction of time that player i has control over the resource up to time t .

Benefit: The average benefit of a player i is denoted by $\beta_i(t) = \gamma_i(t) - k_i/\delta_i$, which is equal to the fraction of time that the resource has been owned by player i , minus the cost rate for moving. For now we consider the cost rate equal to 0. In the rest of the paper the 'benefit' of the game for player i will be used as shorthand for 'average benefit'.

FIGUUR 6.1: Graphic representation of some of the notations



To compute the gain of a player in a periodic game without phases, two cases are considered: case 1 where the defender moves at least as fast as the attacker and case 2 where the attacker plays at least as fast as the defender. Next, the formula is enriched by introducing the phases.

Computing the gain for an attacker of a normal FlipIt game

Consider a game without phases, so in which both players start with a phase T_D and phase T_A equal to zero. Both players start their first move at $t = 0$. As previously stated (in the formal definition of the game and the introduction of different notations used throughout the paper), the defender has control in the beginning of the game at $t = 0$. For the remainder of the game, if the two players move at the same time during the game, the moves cancel each other out and no change of state happens.

Case 1:

$\delta_A \geq \delta_D$ (The defender moves at least as fast as the attacker.)

To compute the gain formula for the attacker, the amount of time that the attacker has control over the resource from the start of the game up to time t has to be calculated. This can be done by computing the sum of all the units of control of the attacker up to time t .

To calculate a single unit of control of the attacker, the time line of the FlipIt game is divided into intervals of size δ_A . Every time the attacker moves we have the start of a new interval, with the attacker being in control, unless there is a simultaneous move with the defender. Considering that the defender moves at least as fast as the attacker, he or she will at least move one time during the interval of the attacker. Because the attacker only moves at the start of his or her interval we can say that the defender will always end as being in control of the resource at the end of an attacker's interval. .


tekening met
voorbeeld

To calculate how long the unit of control of the attacker is in the n 'th interval, we only need to know how long the attacker has control over the resource before the defender moves in that interval. The start time of the n 'th interval will be a multiple of the period of the attacker. Once the attacker has played, the time he can stay in control until the next move of the defender, depends on the time elapsed since the last time the defender played in the previous interval (the $(n-1)$ th interval).

The time at which the defender plays in an interval is a multiple of its period. Once the defender has played for the last time in the $(n-1)$ 'th interval, the remaining time until the attacker will play can be calculated as $n \cdot \delta_a \text{ modulo } \delta_D$ which is equal to the remainder of $n \cdot \delta_A$ divided by δ_D . [referentie naar matworks: [http : //nl.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/mod.html](http://nl.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/mod.html)]The time the attacker will stay in control is then $\delta_D - n \cdot \delta_A \text{ modulo } \delta_D$, which can also be calculated as $(-n \cdot \delta_A) \text{ modulo } \delta_D$.

Figure 6.2 illustrates this graphically, for $\delta_A = 4$, $\delta_D = 3$ and the $n = 2$ interval. We see that in interval 1, the defender will stay $8 \text{ modulo } 3 = 2$ in control, and so, in interval 2, the attacker will stay $1 = 3 - 2 = -(2 * 4) \text{ modulo } 3$ in control.

FIGUUR 6.2: Taking the modulo of a negative number



This brings us to the next formula to calculate the length of a unit of control in the n 'th interval of the attacker.

6.2. Gain formula for a FlipIt game without virus propagation

For every positive and non zero real δ_A and $\delta_D \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (including 0 in the set of natural numbers) :

$$\Delta Unit_A(n_A) = [(-n_A) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D \quad (6.1)$$

where n_A is the number of the n 'th interval of the attacker starting from interval 0 where the length of the unit of control of the attacker is calculated.


The length of a unit of control of the defender is the remainder of the interval after the attacker loses control over the resource when the defender plays. This can be defines as follows for the n 'th interval of the attacker:

$$\Delta Unit_D(n_A) = \delta_A - [(-n_A) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D \quad (6.2)$$

An example: Figure 6.2 shows a FlipIt game were the period of the attacker is π and the period of the defender is 1. ... On figure 6.3

aanvullen

FIGUUR 6.3: Example for calculating the control unit in interval 3 for a FlipIt game with period defender = 1 and period attacker = π



The gain formula can be calculated by taking all the units of control of the player up to an amount of p intervals of the attacker. The gain formula for the attacker is stated as follows:

$$Gain_A = \sum_{i=0}^p \{ [(-i) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D \} \quad (6.3)$$

where p is the number of units of control that have to be summed.

The gain of the defender is the sum of the units of control of the defender up to the same amount of p intervals of the attacker:

$$Gain_D = \sum_{i=0}^p \{ \delta_A - [(-i) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D \} \quad (6.4)$$

$$Gain_D = \sum_{i=0}^p \{\delta_A \cdot i\} - \sum_{i=0}^p \{[(-i) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D\} \quad (6.5)$$

$$Gain_D = \delta_A \cdot p - \sum_{i=0}^p \{[(-i) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D\} \quad (6.6)$$

Note: The gain formula is not in function of time t but the amount of p intervals of the attacker. This approach is chosen because it will result in whole units of control. It is possible to make a gain formula using the time, but this will result in a much more complicated function.

For phases ..

Case 2:

$\delta_D \geq \delta_A$ (The attacker moves at least as fast as the defender.)

For this case we use the same approach as in case 1 but with a small difference. To compute the unit of control of both players we divide the time line of the FlipIt game into intervals of size δ_D . The defender moves at the start of each interval, the end of the interval is the beginning of the next interval. Considering that the attacker will move at least as fast as the defender, he or she will move at least one time during the interval of the defender. Because the defender only moves in the beginning of each interval, the attacker will end as being in control of the resource.

If the unit of control of the defender need to be calculated, we only need to know how long it takes for the attacker to move in the interval. This can be done in the same way as in case 1 by taking the modulo of the negative of the beginning of the interval. The big difference with case 1 is when the length of the unit of control in the 0'th interval is calculated. Because the defender always has control in the beginning of the game, the first interval is computed in a different way. The unit of control of the defender in the 0'th interval is equal to the length of the period of the attacker, since from that moment the attacker takes control.

For every positive and non zero real δ_A and $\delta_D \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (including 0 in the set of natural numbers) :

for $n_A = 0$

$$\Delta Unit_D(n_D) = \delta_A \quad (6.7)$$

for $n_A > 0$

$$\Delta Unit_D(n_D) = [(-n_D) \cdot \delta_D] \bmod \delta_A \quad (6.8)$$

where n_D is the number of the n 'th interval of the defender starting from interval 0 where the length of the unit of control of the defender is calculated.

The length of a unit of control of the attacker is the remainder of the interval after the defender loses control over the resource when the attacker plays. This can be defined as follows for the n 'th interval of the defender:

$$\Delta Unit_A(n_D) = \delta_D - \Delta Unit_D(n_D) \quad (6.9)$$

The gain formula for $\delta_D \geq \delta_A$ is calculated in the same manner as case 1:

The gain of the defender is the sum of the units of control of the defender up to the same amount of p intervals of the attacker:

$$Gain_D = \sum_{i=1}^p \{[(-i) \cdot \delta_D] \bmod \delta_A\} \text{ with } i = 0 \quad Gain_D = \delta_A \quad (6.10)$$

and for the attacker:

$$Gain_A = \delta_D \cdot p - \sum_{i=1}^p \{[(-i) \cdot \delta_D] \bmod \delta_A\} \text{ with } i = 0 \quad Gain_A = \delta_D - \delta_A \quad (6.11)$$

6.3 Gain and benefit formula for a FlipIt game with virus propagation

The formulas from the previous section can now be adapted to calculate the gain of both players in a FlipIt game with virus propagation. As mentioned before, the attacker will try to infect all the nodes in the network. He will do this by flipping the node in the graph that can infect all the nodes in the shortest time possible. After dropping a virus on the first node, it takes a while for the virus to infect the entire network. The time that it takes for the virus to infect every node will be denoted as parameter d . If we want to measure how long it takes for the virus to infect all the nodes in the network, we have to calculate the shortest path from the first infected node to the farthest node. This can be measured by a method explained in section [matrix berekeningen]. Assume that an attacker attacks at time t , then only at time $t + d$ he gains control over the entire network. If the defender flips the network before the period d has elapsed (so, somewhere between t and $t + d$), then the attacker will never gain control over the entire network. Using this parameter d , a FlipIt game with virus propagation can be modelled.

The previous section defined a formula to calculate each unit of control of the attacker and the defender for two cases. If the virus propagation takes d time before every resource is infected then this d has to be subtracted from each unit of control. (see figure 7.4 6.4). It may happen that the unit of control is less than d . In that case, the result of the subtraction will be a negative number, meaning that the defender has flipped all the resources before the attacker could gain control over all the resources. To calculate the gain only the units of control bigger than 0 have to be summed. So the formula becomes:

For $\delta_A \geq \delta_D$:

$$Gain_A = \sum_{i=0}^p \{[(-i) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D - d\} > 0\} \quad (6.12)$$

where p is the number of units of control that have to be summed.

The gain of the defender is equal to the amount of time that the attacker is not in control of the resource. So the formula for the defender becomes:

$$Gain_D = p \cdot \delta_A - \sum_{i=0}^p \{ [(-i) \cdot \delta_A] \bmod \delta_D - d \} > 0 \} \quad (6.13)$$

For $\delta_D \geq \delta_A$:

$$Gain_A = \delta_D \cdot p - \sum_{i=1}^p \{ [(-i) \cdot \delta_D] \bmod \delta_A - d \} > 0 \} \quad (6.14a)$$

$$\text{with } i = 0 \quad Gain_A = \delta_D - (\delta_A - d) > 0 \quad (6.14b)$$

$$(6.14c)$$


and for the defender:

$$Gain_D = \sum_{i=1}^p \{ [(-i) \cdot \delta_D] \bmod \delta_A - d \} > 0 \} \quad (6.15a)$$

$$\text{with } i = 0 \quad Gain_D = \delta_A - d > 0 \quad (6.15b)$$

$$(6.15c)$$

FIGUUR 6.4: Difference in a FlipIt game between delay caused by a virus and a phase bigger than zero for the Attacker



Computing the benefit of a FlipIt game with virus propagation

Calculating the benefit of both players, requires calculating the average gain rate of both players. To compute the benefit the value of parameter p needs to be determined. Two cases can be considered: one case where δ_D and $\delta_A \in \mathbb{Q}$ and the other one where δ_D and $\delta_A \in \mathbb{I}$. In both cases we first calculate the benefit of the attacker in case that the defender moves at least as fast as the attacker. The benefit of the defender will be $\text{BenD} = 1 - \text{BenA}$. The benefit of both players for the case where the defender moves at least as fast as the defender is done in a similar way.

Rational numbers (\mathbb{Q}): When δ_D and δ_A are rational numbers, after a number of intervals (namely their least common multiple), the same pattern of intervals will be repeated over and over again. Why? A rational number is a number that can be expressed as the fraction p/q with p and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ (integers), with the denominator q not equal to zero, it is possible to find the lcm of δ_D and δ_A . The lcm is defined for all rational numbers as: $lcm(\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}) = \frac{lcm(a, c)}{gcd(b, d)}$ with \square . When t is equal to the lcm of δ_D and δ_A , both players will move again at the same time and this can be mapped to the beginning of the game. Because we stated that at the end of the interval of the attacker, the defender is in control and because if two players move at the same time the moves cancel each other out, we can map this to the beginning of the game. Since the game goes on infinitely, to calculate the average gain of the attacker, it is sufficient to calculate the average gain of the attacker only during a period of time equal to the lcm of δ_D and δ_A . Since lcm is a multiple of δ_D and δ_A , there is a number p so that $lcm = p \cdot \delta_A$, meaning that the attacker will have played p times. p can be defined as follows:

referentie

$$p = \frac{lcm(\delta_D, \delta_A)}{\delta_A} \quad (6.16)$$

This results in the following formula for the benefit of the attacker with a cost rate equal to zero:

$$\beta_A = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p [((-i) \cdot \delta_A) \bmod \delta_D - d] > 0}{lcm(\delta_D, \delta_A)} \quad (6.17)$$

As stated before \square , the benefit of the attacker and the benefit of the defender add up to 1 ($\beta_A + \beta_B = 1$). The benefit of the defender can be written as follows:

$$\beta_D = 1 - \beta_A \quad (6.18)$$

Irrational numbers (\mathbb{I}): If δ_D and/or $\delta_A \in \mathbb{I}$: An irrational number $i \neq \frac{a}{b}$ with $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Two cases can be distinguished. (A) $\frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A}$ is a rational number a/b with $a \leq b$. In that case, after b intervals, the pattern will repeat itself.

(B) If either δ_D and δ_A cannot be written as a fraction, and they are no multiple of each other, the least common multiplier cannot be calculated. Moreover, there

will be no repeating pattern. If both players move at one point in the game at the same moment, this point of time has to be a multiple of the period of the attacker and a multiple of the period of the defender. But because there is no least common multiple, no such point of time exists during the game. If both players never play at the same moment, it is not possible to have a repeated pattern because no mapping to the beginning of the game can occur. Additionally two unit of controls with the same length cannot exist. This would mean that the game has a repeated pattern, which is not possible.

The game will go on forever, if no repeating pattern occurs and it would keep on generating units of control with different lengths. This implies that if the game goes on forever, every length between 0 and the smallest interval (which is δ_D) will be generated. To calculate the benefit we want to summarize the unit of controls up to a number of interval p . Considering that the game goes on forever without repetition we cannot rely on the fact that the benefit can also be calculated only during the repetition. Calculating the benefit of a game without repetition would imply that all the unit of control to infinite have to be calculated. This implicates that all the numbers between 0 and δ_D have to be summed but this is impossible. *The reals are uncountable; that is: while both the set of all natural numbers and the set of all real numbers are infinite sets, there can be no one-to-one function from the real numbers to the natural numbers* [Wikipedia: real numbers] If they are uncountable that means that we cannot calculate the sum of all the numbers between 0 and the biggest interval. This is proved by the Cantor diagonalisation argument. Uncountable does not mean that we cannot order it. The Field of the real numbers is ordered.

nog mooie tekst
schrijven in en-
gels: hier essen-
tie

We kunnen de benefit wel benaderen door een zo groot mogelijke som te nemen van de unit of controls. Uit deze benadering is af te leiden waar de verhouding naartoe zou gaan als de limiet zou genomen worden. -> laten zien met een voorbeeld van Pi en 1.

Hoofdstuk 7

Formula

Playing periodically with virus propagation

This chapter explains how to model a FlipIt game with a virus propagation that infects a network. The first section explains the difference between a normal FlipIt game and a FlipIt game with virus propagation. The next section derives a formula to calculate the benefit for a FlipIt game with a virus propagation. In the last section we calculate the Nash equilibrium for the benefit formula.

7.1 Explaining difference between FlipIt with and without virus propagation

zelfde als in vorige chapter:

In chapter 2 the FlipIt game was explained. This chapter starts from the specific case of a non-adaptive continuous FlipIt game where both players play a periodic strategy with a random phase. This choice is motivated by the assumption that in the practical situation of most organisations, the defence strategy is to periodically defend the network. This corresponds to a periodic defender strategy. To simplify the analysis in a first time, a periodic attacker strategy is assumed as well. Further research can investigate the effect of relaxing this assumption.

A FlipIt game consists of a single resource. To represent the security problem, the game now defines its single resource as a computer network with multiple nodes. One of the players, the defender, will try to defend his network. The defender will do this by flipping all the nodes of the network (i.e. the entire resource) in every move he plays. The attacker, the other player, will try to infect all the nodes in the network. The attacker will do this by flipping the node in the graph that can infect all the nodes in the shortest time possible. After dropping a virus on the first node, it takes a while for the virus to infect the entire network. However, since the original FlipIt game works with a single resource that is always flipped entirely, the assumption is made that the attacker is considered to have gained the control over

the resource only when all the nodes of the network have been infected, i.e. the entire resource has been flipped.

After dropping a virus on the first resource, it takes a while for the virus to infect the entire network. The time that it takes for the virus to infect every node will be denoted as parameter d . If we want to measure how long it takes for the virus to infect all the nodes in the network, we have to calculate the shortest path from the first infected node to the farthest node. This can be measured by a method that we will explain in section []. Assume that an attacker attacks at time t , then only at time $t + d$ he gains control over the entire network. If the defender flips the network before the period d has elapsed (so, somewhere between t and $t + d$), then the attacker will never gain control over the entire network. Using this parameter d , a FlipIt game with virus propagation can be modelled.

7.2 Benefit for FlipIt game with virus propagation

Periodic Game with delay for the attacker:

Case 1: $\delta_D \leq \delta_A$ (The defender plays at least as fast as the attacker.)

Let $r = \frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A}$. The intervals between two consecutive defender's moves have length δ_D . Consider a given defender move interval. The probability over the attacker's phase selection that the attacker moves in this interval is r . Given that the attacker moves within the interval, he moves exactly once within the interval (since $\delta_D \leq \delta_A$) and his move is distributed uniformly at random.

The expected period of attacker control within the interval would be $r/2$, without considering the delay.

However, because of the delay, the maximal time of control is reduced to $\delta_D - d$. There is a probability of r that the attacker will move in the interval of the defender. The attacker has to play soon enough to gain control, meaning that the attacker has to play during the period of $\delta_D - d$ during the interval of the defender. There is $\frac{\delta_D - d}{\delta_D}$ probability that the attacker will move soon enough which gives the attacker a gain of $\frac{\delta_D - d}{2}$. If the attacker moves after the period of $\delta_D - d$, the gain of the attacker will be zero. The average gain rate of the attacker can be expressed as follows if we look at one interval of the defender:

$$\beta_A(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{1}{\delta_D} \left[\frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A} \cdot \frac{\delta_D - d}{\delta_D} \cdot \frac{\delta_D - d}{2} + \frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A} \cdot \frac{d}{\delta_D} \cdot 0 \right] \quad (7.1)$$

To complete the formula to derive the benefit function, the cost of moving is added. In the second formula we can see the formula of the original FlipIt game.

$$\beta_A(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{(\delta_D - d)^2}{2 \cdot \delta_D \delta_A} - k_A \alpha_A \quad (7.2)$$

$$\beta_A(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{\delta_D}{2 \cdot \delta_A} - k_A \alpha_A + \frac{d}{\delta_A} + \frac{d^2}{2 \cdot \delta_A \delta_D} \quad (7.3)$$

The benefit of the defender is expressed as follows:

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = 1 - \frac{(\delta_D - d)^2}{2 \cdot \delta_D \delta_A} - k_D \alpha_D \quad (7.4)$$

Case 2: $\delta_A \leq \delta_D$ (The attacker plays at least as fast as the defender.)

Let $r = \frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A}$. The intervals between two consecutive attacker's moves have length δ_A . Consider a given attacker's move interval. The probability over the attacker's phase selection that the defender moves in this interval is $\frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A} = (1/r)$. Given that the defender moves within the interval, he moves exactly once within the interval (since $\delta_A \leq \delta_D$) and his move is distributed uniformly at random.

7.3 something

Periodic Game with delay for the attacker:

Case 1: $\delta_D \leq \delta_A$ (The defender plays at least as fast as the attacker.)

Let $r = \frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A}$. The intervals between two consecutive defender's moves have length δ_D . Consider a given defender move interval. The probability over the attacker's phase selection that the attacker moves in this interval is r . Given that the attacker moves within the interval, he moves exactly once within the interval (since $\delta_D \leq \delta_A$) and his move is distributed uniformly at random.

The expected period of attacker control within the interval would be $r/2$, without considering the delay.

However, because of the delay, the maximal time of control is reduced to $\delta_D - d$. If we consider a duration of $\delta_D \cdot \delta_A$ the attacker will play δ_D times. If the attacker plays soon enough it will get a gain of $\frac{\delta_D - d}{2}$ in $\delta_D - d$ of the cases. In d cases it will receive a gain of zero. This is the case where the duration of the delay causes the defender to play before the attacker can get control over the resource. So the gain of the attacker can be expressed as follows:

$$Gain = \frac{\delta_D - d}{2} \cdot (\delta_D - d) + 0 \cdot d = \frac{\delta_D - d}{2} \cdot (\delta_D - d) \quad (7.5)$$

The benefit of the attacker can be expressed as follows

$$\beta_A(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{(\delta_D - d)^2}{2 \cdot \delta_D \delta_A} + k_A \cdot \alpha_A \quad (7.6)$$

$$\beta_A(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{\delta_D}{2 \cdot \delta_A} + k_A \cdot \alpha_A + \frac{d}{\delta_A} + \frac{d^2}{2 \cdot \delta_A \delta_D} \quad (7.7)$$

The benefit of the defender is then:

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = 1 - \frac{(\delta_D - d)^2}{2 \cdot \delta_D \cdot \delta_A} + k_D \cdot \alpha_D \quad (7.8)$$

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = 1 - \frac{\delta_D}{2 \cdot \delta_A} + k_D \cdot \alpha_D - \frac{d}{\delta_A} - \frac{d^2}{2 \cdot \delta_A \delta_D} \quad (7.9)$$

Case 2: $\delta_A \leq \delta_D$ (The attacker plays at least as fast as the defender.)

Let $r = \frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A}$. The intervals between two consecutive attacker's moves have length δ_A . Consider a given attacker's move interval. The probability over the attacker's phase selection that the defender moves in this interval is $\frac{\delta_D}{\delta_A} = (1/r)$. Given that the defender moves within the interval, he moves exactly once within the interval (since $\delta_A \leq \delta_D$) and his move is distributed uniformly at random.

If we consider a duration of $\delta_A \cdot \delta_D$ there is a probability of $\frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D}$ that the defender moves within the interval of the attacker. The defender will then receive an average gain of $\frac{\delta_A}{2}$. There is $1 - \frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D}$ probability that the defender will not move in the interval of the attacker and so the defender will receive no gain. The benefit can be expressed as follows when the defender plays δ_D times during a duration of $\delta_A \cdot \delta_D$:

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{1}{\delta_A \delta_D} \cdot \delta_D \cdot \left[\frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D} \cdot \frac{\delta_A}{2} + \left[1 - \frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D} \right] \cdot 0 \right] + k_D \cdot \alpha_D \quad (7.10)$$

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{\delta_A}{2 \cdot \delta_D} + k_D \cdot \alpha_D$$

same as the FlipIt solution

$$(7.11)$$

However, because of the delay, the maximal time of control of the defender is increased by d . In other words, the defender has some benefit time of d before the attacker really gains control over the resource, meaning that the attacker gains control only after $\delta_A + d$ instead of after δ_A . So, when the defender plays, with a probability of $\frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D}$, the expected gain of the defender's control in this interval would be more than half of the period δ_A : it is $\frac{\delta_A + d}{2}$. There is $1 - \frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D}$ probability that the defender will not move in the interval of the attacker but because of the delay the defender will receive a gain of d . So the benefit of the defender can be expressed as:

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{1}{\delta_A \delta_D} \cdot \delta_D \cdot \left[\frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D} \cdot \frac{\delta_A + d}{2} + \left[1 - \frac{\delta_A}{\delta_D} \right] \cdot d \right] + k_D \cdot \alpha_D \quad (7.12)$$

$$\beta_D(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = \frac{\delta_A - d}{2 \cdot \delta_D} + \frac{d}{\delta_A} + k_D \cdot \alpha_D \quad (7.13)$$

The benefit of the attacker is expressed as follows:

$$\beta_A(\alpha_D, \alpha_A) = 1 - \left[\frac{\delta_A - d}{2 \cdot \delta_D} + \frac{d}{\delta_A} \right] + k_A \cdot \alpha_A \quad (7.14)$$

Hoofdstuk 8

The Final Chapter

8.1 chap

Hoofdstuk 9

Conclusion

The final chapter contains the overall conclusion. It also contains suggestions for future work and industrial applications.

9.1 trala

Bijlagen

Bijlage A

The First Appendix

Appendices hold useful data which is not essential to understand the work done in the master thesis. An example is a (program) source. An appendix can also have sections as well as figures and references[?].

A.1 More Lorem

Bijlage B

The Last Appendix

Appendices are numbered with letters, but the sections and subsections use arabic numerals, as can be seen below.

B.1 Lorem 20-24

Fiche masterproef

Student: Sophie Marien

Titel: Gametheory and Cybersecurity: a study FlipIt and multiple resources

Engelse titel: Beste masterproef ooit al geschreven

UDC: 621.3

Korte inhoud:

Hier komt een heel bondig abstract van hooguit 500 woorden. \LaTeX commando's mogen hier gebruikt worden. Blanco lijnen (of het commando `\par`) zijn wel niet toegelaten!

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Thesis voorgedragen tot het behalen van de graad van Master of Science in de ingenieurswetenschappen: computerwetenschappen, hoofdspecialisatie Veilige software

Promotor: Prof. dr. ir. Tom Holvoet

Assessoren: Ir. W. Eetveel
W. Eetrest

Begeleider: Ir. Jonathan Merlevede, Ir. Kristof Coninx