

# Computational Statistics & Machine Learning

## Lecture 13

### Langevin Markov chain Monte Carlo II - Applications

Mark Girolami

`mag92@cam.ac.uk`

Department of Engineering

University of Cambridge

April 26, 2022

- ▶ Langevin MCMC for Bayesian inference
- ▶ Modern large-scale Bayesian ML Applications

# Langevin schemes for Bayesian inference

4M24

M. Girolami

Lecture Outline

Langevin MCMC  
for Bayesian  
inference

Assume that our target distribution is

$$\pi(x) \propto p(x) \prod_{i=1}^n L(y_i|x),$$

where  $p(x)$  is the prior distribution over  $x$  and  $L(y_i|x)$  is the likelihood for data vector  $y_i$ .

- ▶ Large scale probabilistic models
- ▶ Bayesian neural networks

As we have seen, we can implement the Langevin schemes with the gradient

$$\nabla \log \pi(x) := \nabla \log p(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \log L(y_i|x).$$

But what if  $n \gg 1$  as in modern applications?

Using the recursion

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + \gamma \left( \nabla \log p(X_k) + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \log L(y_i|X_k) \right) + \sqrt{2\gamma} Z_k$$

will provide us samples from our target  $\pi$ . We can use

- ▶ MALA
- ▶ ULA

Given the availability of automatic differentiation, one can handle very complex likelihoods  $L$  automatically.

- ▶ This enables the use Bayesian neural networks.

But what if  $n \gg 1$ ?

# Langevin schemes for scalable Bayesian inference

## Problems with MALA in modern ML

4M24

M.Girolami

Lecture Outline

Langevin MCMC  
for Bayesian  
inference

If we wanted to apply MALA, we run into two problems:

- ▶ The gradient computation for the proposal is too costly

$$\nabla \log \pi(x) := \nabla \log p(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \log L(y_i|x),$$

as for large  $n$ , the large sum has to be recomputed every iteration.

- ▶  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  complexity every iteration.

# Langevin schemes for scalable Bayesian inference

## Problems with MALA in modern ML

4M24

M.Girolami

Lecture Outline

Langevin MCMC  
for Bayesian  
inference

- ▶ Another issue specific to MALA is the computation of acceptance probabilities:

$$\alpha := \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi(\bar{X}_{k+1})q(X_k|\bar{X}_{k+1})}{\pi(X_k)q(\bar{X}_{k+1}|X_k)} \right\},$$

where  $\pi(x) \propto p(x) \prod_{i=1}^n L(y_i|x)$ , an unnormalised evaluation is enough, but still needs  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  computations.

- ▶ While we can avoid  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  acceptance probability cost (see next slide), we cannot avoid  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  gradient computation.

How can we avoid  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  gradient computation?

- ▶ This would enable us to employ ULA-like Metropolis free schemes.

Recall

$$\nabla \log \pi(x) := \nabla \log p(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \log L(y_i|x).$$

Since the bottleneck in this gradient is the sum term

- ▶ Can we estimate the sum cheaply?
- ▶ What kind of property of the estimator would preserve the same properties as the ULA?
  - ▶ **Unbiasedness.**

# Langevin schemes for scalable Bayesian inference

4M24

M. Girolami

Lecture Outline

Langevin MCMC  
for Bayesian  
inference

An unbiased gradient can be computed if we *subsample* data, i.e., on a mini-batch chosen at random.

- ▶ At iteration  $k$ , choose an index set  $\mathcal{I}_k \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- ▶ Approximate the gradient at  $X_k$  (the state of the chain)

$$\nabla \widehat{\log \pi}(X_k) = \nabla \log p(X_k) + \frac{n}{|\mathcal{I}_k|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_k} \nabla \log L(y_i | X_k).$$

where  $|\mathcal{I}_k|$  is the size of the mini-batch.

One can check the **unbiasedness**

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \nabla \widehat{\log \pi}(x) \right] = \nabla \log \pi(x).$$



# Langevin schemes for scalable Bayesian inference

Run a ULA chain with  $\nabla \widehat{\log \pi}(X_k)$ ?

- ▶ The scheme is called stochastic gradient Langevin dynamics (SGLD)

$$X_{k+1} = X_k + \gamma \nabla \widehat{\log \pi}(X_k) + \sqrt{2\gamma} W_{k+1},$$

where  $\mathbb{E}[\nabla \widehat{\log \pi}(X_k)] = \nabla \log \pi(X_k)$ .

- ▶ Variants of the SGLD are de facto choice to train Bayesian NNs.
- ▶ Each iteration costs the size of the mini-batch  $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{I}_k|)$ .
- ▶ Similar convergence guarantees as ULA, i.e.

$$W_2(\pi_k, \pi) \leq \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma mk} + \gamma^{1/2}).$$

for  $m$ -strongly log-concave  $\pi$ .

But the variance of the gradient estimates should be controlled!

# Langevin schemes for scalable Bayesian inference

4M24

M.Girolami

Lecture Outline

Langevin MCMC  
for Bayesian  
inference

The idea of using stochastic (mini-batch) gradients in gradient-based MCMC is general.