Map-Reduce and the New Software Stack

Mining of Massive Datasets
http://www.mmds.org

MapReduce

- **Large scale computing** for **data mining**
- **Challenges:**
  - How to distribute computation?
  - Distributed/parallel programming is hard
- **Map-reduce** addresses all of the above
  - Google's computational/data manipulation model
  - Elegant way to work with big data

Single Node Architecture



Machine Learning, Statistics

"Classical" Data Mining

Motivation: Google Example

- 20+ billion web pages x 20KB = 400+ TB
- 1 computer reads 30-35 MB/sec from disk
  - ~4 months to read the web
- ~1,000 hard drives to store the web
- Takes even more to **do** something useful with the data!
- **Today, a standard architecture for such problems is emerging:**
  - Cluster of commodity Linux nodes
  - Commodity network (ethernet) to connect them

Cluster Architecture



In 2011 it was guestimated that Google had 1M machines, http://bit.ly/Shh0RO

Large-scale Computing

- **Large-scale computing** for **data mining** problems on **commodity hardware**
- **Challenges:**
  - **How do you distribute computation?**
  - **How can we make it easy to write distributed programs?**
  - **Machines fail:**
    - One server may stay up 3 years (1,000 days)
    - If you have 1,000 servers, expect to loose 1/day
    - People estimated Google had ~1M machines in 2011
      - 1,000 machines fail every day!

Idea and Solution

- **Issue: Copying data over a network takes time**
- **Idea:**
  - Bring computation close to the data
  - Store files multiple times for reliability
- **Map-reduce** addresses these problems
  - Google's computational/data manipulation model
  - Elegant way to work with big data
  - **Storage Infrastructure – File system**
    - Google: GFS. Hadoop: HDFS
  - **Programming model**
    - Map-Reduce

Storage Infrastructure

- **Problem:**
  - If nodes fail, how to store data persistently?
- **Answer:**
  - **Distributed File System:**
    - Provides global file namespace
    - Google GFS; Hadoop HDFS;
- **Typical usage pattern**
  - Huge files (100s of GB to TB)
  - Data is rarely updated in place
  - Reads and appends are common

Distributed File System

- **Chunk servers**
  - File is split into contiguous chunks
  - Typically each chunk is 16-64MB
  - Each chunk replicated (usually 2x or 3x)
  - Try to keep replicas in different racks
- **Master node**
  - a.k.a. Name Node in Hadoop's HDFS
  - Stores metadata about where files are stored
  - Might be replicated
- **Client library for file access**
  - Talks to master to find chunk servers
  - Connects directly to chunk servers to access data

Distributed File System

- **Reliable distributed file system**
- Data kept in "chunks" spread across machines
- Each chunk replicated on different machines
  - Seamless recovery from disk or machine failure



Bring computation directly to the data!

Chunk servers also serve as compute servers

Programming Model: MapReduce

Warm-up task:

- We have a huge text document
- Count the number of times each distinct word appears in the file
- **Sample application:**
  - Analyze web server logs to find popular URLs

Task: Word Count

Case 1:
- File too large for memory, but all <word, count> pairs fit in memory

Case 2:
- Count occurrences of words:
  - `words(doc.txt) | sort | uniq -c`
    - where `words` takes a file and outputs the words in it, one per a line
- Case 2 captures the essence of **MapReduce**
  - Great thing is that it is naturally parallelizable

MapReduce: Overview

- Sequentially read a lot of data
- **Map:**
  - Extract something you care about
- **Group by key:** Sort and Shuffle
- **Reduce:**
  - Aggregate, summarize, filter or transform
- Write the result

Outline stays the same, **Map** and **Reduce** change to fit the problem

MapReduce: The Map Step



MapReduce: The Reduce Step



More Specifically

- **Input:** a set of key-value pairs
- Programmer specifies two methods:
  - **Map(k, v)** → <k', v'>*
    - Takes a key-value pair and outputs a set of key-value pairs
      - E.g., key is the filename, value is a single line in the file
    - There is one Map call for every *(k,v)* pair
  - **Reduce(k', <v'>*)** → <k', v''>*
    - **All values *v'* with same key *k'* are reduced together and processed in *v'* order**
    - There is one Reduce function call per unique key *k'*

MapReduce: Word Counting



Word Count Using MapReduce

```
map(key, value):
// key: document name; value: text of the document
  for each word w in value:
     emit(w, 1)


reduce(key, values):
// key: a word; value: an iterator over counts
     result = 0
     for each count v in values:
           result += v
     emit(key, result)
```

Map-Reduce: Environment

Map-Reduce environment takes care of:

- Partitioning the input data
- Scheduling the program's execution across a set of machines
- Performing the **group by key** step
- Handling machine failures
- Managing required inter-machine communication

Map-Reduce: A diagram



Map-Reduce: In Parallel



All phases are distributed with many tasks doing the work

Map-Reduce

- Programmer specifies:
  - Map and Reduce and input files
- **Workflow:**
  - Read inputs as a set of key-value-pairs
  - Map transforms input kv-pairs into a new set of k'v'-pairs
  - Sorts & Shuffles the k'v'-pairs to output nodes
  - All k'v'-pairs with a given k' are sent to the same reduce
  - Reduce processes all k'v'-pairs grouped by key into new k''v''-pairs
  - Write the resulting pairs to files
- All phases are distributed with many tasks doing the work



Data Flow

- **Input and final output are stored on a distributed file system (FS):**
  - Scheduler tries to schedule map tasks "close" to physical storage location of input data
- **Intermediate results are stored on local FS of Map and Reduce workers**
- **Output is often input to another MapReduce task**

Coordination: Master

- Master node takes care of coordination:
  - **Task status:** (idle, in-progress, completed)
  - **Idle tasks** get scheduled as workers become available
  - When a map task completes, it sends the master the location and sizes of its *R* intermediate files, one for each reducer
  - Master pushes this info to reducers
- Master pings workers periodically to detect failures

Dealing with Failures

- Map worker failure
  - Map tasks completed or in-progress at worker are reset to idle
  - Reduce workers are notified when task is rescheduled on another worker
- Reduce worker failure
  - Only in-progress tasks are reset to idle
  - Reduce task is restarted
- Master failure
  - MapReduce task is aborted and client is notified

How many Map and Reduce jobs?

- *M* map tasks, *R* reduce tasks
- Rule of a thumb:
  - Make *M* much larger than the number of nodes in the cluster
  - One DFS chunk per map is common
  - Improves dynamic load balancing and speeds up recovery from worker failures
- **Usually *R* is smaller than *M***
  - Because output is spread across *R* files

Task Granularity & Pipelining

- Fine granularity tasks: map tasks >> machines
  - Minimizes time for fault recovery
  - Can do pipeline shuffling with map execution
  - Better dynamic load balancing



Refinements: Backup Tasks

- **Problem**
  - Slow workers significantly lengthen the job completion time:
    - Other jobs on the machine
    - Bad disks
    - Weird things
- **Solution**
  - Near end of phase, spawn backup copies of tasks
    - Whichever one finishes first "wins"
- **Effect**
  - Dramatically shortens job completion time

Refinement: Combiners

- Often a Map task will produce many pairs of the form $(k,v_1), (k,v_2), \dots$ for the same key k
  - E.g., popular words in the word count example
- **Can save network time by pre-aggregating values in the mapper:**
  - combine(k, list(v_1)) → v_2
  - Combiner is usually same as the reduce function
- Works only if reduce function is commutative and associative



Refinement: Combiners

- **Back to our word counting example:**
  - Combiner combines the values of all keys of a single mapper (single machine):



  - Much less data needs to be copied and shuffled!

Refinement: Partition Function

- **Want to control how keys get partitioned**
  - Inputs to map tasks are created by contiguous splits of input file
  - Reduce needs to ensure that records with the same intermediate key end up at the same worker
- **System uses a default partition function:**
  - **hash(key) mod *R***
- **Sometimes useful to override the hash function:**
  - E.g., **hash(hostname(URL)) mod *R*** ensures URLs from a host end up in the same output file

Problems Suited for Map-Reduce

Example: Host size

- **Suppose we have a large web corpus**
- Look at the metadata file
  - Lines of the form: (URL, size, date, …)
- **For each host, find the total number of bytes**
  - That is, the sum of the page sizes for all URLs from that particular host
- **Other examples:**
  - Link analysis and graph processing
  - Machine Learning algorithms

Example: Language Model

- **Statistical machine translation:**
  - Need to count number of times every 5-word sequence occurs in a large corpus of documents
- **Very easy with MapReduce:**
  - **Map:**
    - Extract (5-word sequence, count) from document
  - **Reduce:**
    - Combine the counts

Example: Join By Map-Reduce

- **Compute the natural join *R(A,B)* ⋈ *S(B,C)***
- *R* and *S* are each stored in files
- Tuples are pairs *(a,b)* or *(b,c)*

A	B
a_1	b_1
a_2	b_1
a_3	b_2
a_4	b_3

R

⋈

B	C
b_2	c_1
b_2	c_2
b_3	c_3

S

=

A	C
a_3	c_1
a_3	c_2
a_4	c_3

Map-Reduce Join

- **Use a hash function *h* from B-values to *1...k***
- **A Map process turns:**
  - Each input tuple *R(a,b)* into key-value pair *(b,(a,R))*
  - Each input tuple *S(b,c)* into *(b,(c,S))*
- **Map processes** send each key-value pair with key *b* to Reduce process *h(b)*
  - Hadoop does this automatically; just tell it what *k* is.
- Each **Reduce process** matches all the pairs *(b,(a,R))* with all *(b,(c,S))* and outputs *(a,b,c)*.

37

Cost Measures for Algorithms

- **In MapReduce we quantify the cost of an algorithm using**

1. *Communication cost* = total I/O of all processes
2. *Elapsed communication cost* = max of I/O along any path
3. (*Elapsed*) *computation cost* analogous, but count only running time of processes

Note that here the big-O notation is not the most useful (adding more machines is always an option)

38

Example: Cost Measures

- **For a map-reduce algorithm:**
  - **Communication cost =** input file size + 2 × (sum of the sizes of all files passed from Map processes to Reduce processes) + the sum of the output sizes of the Reduce processes.
  - **Elapsed communication cost** is the sum of the largest input + output for any map process, plus the same for any reduce process

39

What Cost Measures Mean

- Either the I/O (communication) or processing (computation) cost dominates
  - Ignore one or the other
- Total cost tells what you pay in rent from your friendly neighborhood cloud
- Elapsed cost is wall-clock time using parallelism

40

Cost of Map-Reduce Join

- **Total communication cost** $= O(|R|+|S|+|R \bowtie S|)$
- **Elapsed communication cost** = O(s)
  - We're going to pick ***k*** and the number of Map processes so that the I/O limit ***s*** is respected
  - We put a limit ***s*** on the amount of input or output that any one process can have. ***s* could be:**
    - What fits in main memory
    - What fits on local disk
- With proper indexes, computation cost is linear in the input + output size
  - So computation cost is like comm. cost

41

Pointers and Further Reading

Implementations

- Google
  - Not available outside Google
- Hadoop
  - An open-source implementation in Java
  - Uses HDFS for stable storage
  - Download: http://lucene.apache.org/hadoop/
- Aster Data
  - Cluster-optimized SQL Database that also implements MapReduce

43

Cloud Computing

- Ability to rent computing by the hour
  - Additional services e.g., persistent storage
- Amazon's "Elastic Compute Cloud" (EC2)
- Aster Data and Hadoop can both be run on EC2

44

Reading

- Jeffrey Dean and Sanjay Ghemawat: MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters
  - https://research.google.com/archive/mapreduce-osdi04.pdf
- Sanjay Ghemawat, Howard Gobioff, and Shun-Tak Leung: The Google File System
  - https://research.google.com/archive/gfs.html

Resources

- Hadoop Wiki
  - Introduction
    - http://hadoop.apache.org/
    - https://wiki.apache.org/hadoop/DistributedLucene
      - http://www.sourceforge.net/projects/katta
      - http://www.mail-archive.com/general@lucene.apache.org/msg00338.html
      - http://www.elasticsearch.org
  - Getting Started
  - Map/Reduce Overview
  - Eclipse Environment
- Javadoc

Resources

- Releases from Apache download mirrors
  - http://hadoop.apache.org/releases.html
- Nightly builds of source
  - https://people.apache.org/
- Source code from subversion
  - http://hadoop.apache.org/version_control.html

Further Reading

- Programming model inspired by functional language primitives
- Partitioning/shuffling similar to many large-scale sorting systems
  - NOW-Sort ['97]
- Re-execution for fault tolerance
  - BAD-FS ['04] and TACC ['97]
- Locality optimization has parallels with Active Disks/Diamond work
  - Active Disks ['01], Diamond ['04]
- Backup tasks similar to Eager Scheduling in Charlotte system
  - Charlotte ['96]
- Dynamic load balancing solves similar problem as River's distributed queues
  - River ['99]