

Covariance between relatives: A reminder

Daniel Sorensen*

April 4, 2023

1 Covariance between relatives

The covariance between relatives for a purely additive genetic model with two loci in LD is briefly sketched out. The development involves covariance terms between individuals at the same locus, and covariance terms between individuals at different loci. The term for covariances at the same locus is derived first.

1.1 Covariance at a single locus

An example motivates the general case. Imagine a locus denoted A . The genotype of a father is A_1A_2 and of a mother A_3A_4 . Consider two offspring from these parents, and the possible number of alleles shared identical by descent (IBD) between the two. There are 16 possible genotype combinations for the two offspring genotypes (arranged in a 4×4 table, where the columns are the possible genotypes for offspring 1, and the rows the possible genotypes for offspring 2). The number of alleles shared IBD between the two offspring i and j , N_{ij} , can take the following values

- $N_{ij} = 2$ (4 cases out of 16)
- $N_{ij} = 1$ (8 cases out of 16)
- $N_{ij} = 0$ (4 cases out of 16)

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E(N_{ij}) &= 0 \Pr(N_{ij} = 0) + 1 \Pr(N_{ij} = 1) + 2 \Pr(N_{ij} = 2) \\ &= 1 \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{1}{4} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

*Center for Quantitative Genetics and Genomics, Aarhus University, C F Møllers Alle 3, bygning 1130, 8000 Aarhus Denmark

and the expected proportion of alleles shared IBD is

$$\frac{E(N_{ij})}{2} = a_{ij} \quad (1)$$

where a_{ij} is also known as the expected additive genetic relationship between i and j , which is the element in the i th row and j th column of the additive genetic relationship matrix A . In the present example the expected proportion is $a_{ij} = 0.5$, the expected number is 1, but the two full-sibs can share 0, 1 or 2 alleles IBD, with probabilities 1/4, 1/2 and 1/4, respectively. The expected additive genetic relationship a_{ij} is also the correlation between the additive genetic values of individuals i and j .

Denote the additive genetic value or breeding value of individual j

$$g_j = \alpha z_j$$

where α is the additive genetic effect for a locus (or additive effect of a gene substitution), and z_j is the centred genotypic code (centred allele content of the genotype) for the locus. Due to the centring of z

$$E(g_j|\alpha) = \alpha E(z_j) = 0.$$

The additive genetic variance in the population contributed by the locus is

$$V_g = E(g_j^2|\alpha) = \alpha^2 \text{Var}(z_j).$$

Consider the covariance between offspring i and j , conditional on N_{ij} . There are three possible outcomes

- $N_{ij} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(g_i, g_j|N_{ij} = 0) &= E(g_i g_j|N_{ij} = 0) - E(g_i|N_{ij} = 0) E(g_j|N_{ij} = 0) \\ &= E(g_i|N_{ij} = 0) E(g_j|N_{ij} = 0) - E(g_i|N_{ij} = 0) E(g_j|N_{ij} = 0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because if individuals do not share alleles IBD, the g 's are independent.

- $N_{ij} = 1$,

$$\text{Cov}(g_i, g_j|N_{ij} = 1) = \frac{1}{2}V_g,$$

the gametic variance.

- $N_{ij} = 2$,

$$\text{Cov}(g_i, g_j|N_{ij} = 2) = V_g,$$

the additive genetic variance at the locus. These three cases can be written compactly as

$$\text{Cov}(g_i, g_j|N_{ij}) = \frac{N_{ij}}{2}V_g, \quad N_{ij} = 0, 1, 2.$$

Then, marginally with respect to N_{ij} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}(g_i, g_j) &= \text{E}[\text{Cov}(g_i, g_j | N_{ij})] + \text{Cov}[\text{E}(g_i | N_{ij}), \text{E}(g_j | N_{ij})] \\
&= \text{E}[\text{Cov}(g_i, g_j | N_{ij})] \\
&= \frac{\text{E}(N_{ij})}{2} Vg \\
&= a_{ij} Vg
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the last line uses (1).

1.1.1 An alternative derivation

The traditional derivation of the covariance between relatives uses the concept of identity by descent (IBD). Two genes are IBD if they are biochemical replicates produced without mutation from a common ancestral gene. The probability that genes A_x and A_y at locus A are IBD is denoted $\Pr(A_x = A_y)$. If genes A_x and A_y belong in the same individual Z , $\Pr(A_x = A_y) = F_Z$, the inbreeding coefficient of individual Z .

The coefficient of parentage between i and j is the probability that a gene drawn at random from a particular locus in i is IBD with a gene drawn at random from the same locus in j . The probability of drawing a paternal or maternal gene from individual i (or from individual j) is $1/2$. Therefore the probability of drawing any of the four possible combinations of maternal or paternal genes from i and j is equal to $1/4$, the product of these independent events. If the two individuals i and j have genotypes at locus k , $A_{ikm}A_{ikp}$ and $A_{jkm}A_{jkp}$, where m and p stand for the maternally and paternally inherited gametes, then the coefficient of parentage between i and j is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{ij} &= \frac{1}{4}(\Pr(A_{ikm} = A_{jkm}) + \Pr(A_{ikm} = A_{jkp}) + \Pr(A_{ikp} = A_{jkm}) + \Pr(A_{ikp} = A_{jkp})) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(\Theta_{ikm,jkm} + \Theta_{ikm,jkp} + \Theta_{ikp,jkm} + \Theta_{ikp,jkp}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The expected additive genetic relationship a_{ij} between individuals i and j is twice the coefficient of parentage:

$$a_{ij} = 2\Theta_{ij}. \tag{4}$$

New notation is introduced that will be useful for the next section. Let z_{ik}^* denote the allele content of individual i at locus k that can take values $z_{ik}^* = 0, 1, 2$. The allele content is the result of independent contributions from the two gametes inherited by i :

$$z_{ik}^* = z_{ikm}^* + z_{ikp}^*,$$

where each gametic contribution $z_{ikx}^* = 0, 1$, $x = m, p$, is a binary random variable with expected value $\text{E}(z_{ikx}^*) = \Pr(z_{ikx}^* = 1) = p_k$ and variance $\text{Var}(z_{ikx}^*) = p_k(1 - p_k)$ (not to confuse the expected value p_k with the subscript p indicating a gamete from paternal origin). From now on the gametic contributions z_{ikx}^* are centred, so that $z_{ikx} = z_{ikx}^* - p_k$, and therefore $\text{E}(z_{ikx}) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(z_{ikx}) = p_k(1 - p_k)$.

The additive genetic value of individual i at locus k is

$$\alpha_k z_{ik} = \alpha_k (z_{ikm} + z_{ikp})$$

and the additive genetic variance contributed by locus k in the large population maintained by random mating (ensuring that z_{ikm} and z_{ikp} are independent) is

$$\text{Var}(\alpha_k z_{ik} | \alpha_k) = \alpha_k^2 2p_k(1 - p_k). \quad (5)$$

Consider two individuals i and j with additive genetic values $\alpha_k z_{ik}$ and $\alpha_k z_{jk}$. The covariance between the additive genetic values of i and j is

$$\text{Cov}(\alpha_k z_{ik}, \alpha_k z_{jk} | \alpha_k) = \alpha_k^2 \text{Cov}(z_{ik}, z_{jk}). \quad (6)$$

The covariance term is

$$\text{Cov}(z_{ik}, z_{jk}) = \text{Cov}(z_{ikm} + z_{ikp}, z_{jkm} + z_{jkp}). \quad (7)$$

There are four terms contributing to this covariance and in view of the centring each is of the form

$$\text{Cov}(z_{ikm}, z_{jkm}) = \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm}). \quad (8)$$

Let W be a binary random variable that takes the value 1 if A_{ikx} is IBD with A_{jxx} , $x = m, p$, and 0 otherwise. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm}) &= \text{E}_w(\text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm} | W)) \\ &= \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm} | W = 1) \text{Pr}(W = 1) + \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm} | W = 0) \text{Pr}(W = 0) \\ &= \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm} | W = 1) \text{Pr}(W = 1). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The second term drops out because if A_{ikm} and A_{jkm} are not IBD the two alleles are independent, $\text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm} | W = 0) = \text{E}(z_{ikm} | W = 0) \text{E}(z_{jkm} | W = 0) = \text{E}(z_{ikm}) \text{E}(z_{jkm}) = 0$. If A_{ikm} and A_{jkm} are IBD they are the same allele and $\text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jkm} | W = 1) = \text{E}(z_{ikm}^2) = p_k(1 - p_k)$. On the basis of these results, expression (7) is

$$\text{Cov}(z_{ik}, z_{jk}) = 4\Theta_{ij} p_k(1 - p_k),$$

where Θ_{ij} is defined in (3). From (6) the additive genetic covariance between i and j is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\alpha_k z_{ik}, \alpha_k z_{jk} | \alpha_k) &= 4\Theta_{ij} \alpha_k^2 p_k(1 - p_k) \\ &= 2a_{ij} \alpha_k^2 p_k(1 - p_k). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The equality in the second line follows from (4).

1.2 Covariance involving different loci

Let $\tilde{\Theta}_{ikm,jlm}$ denote the probability that an allele drawn from locus k in the maternal gamete of individual i and an allele drawn from locus l in the maternal gamete of individual j are copies of genes that originate from the gamete of a common ancestor. More generally, the property that two alleles from different loci taken from two individuals i and j are copies of genes that originate from the gamete of a common ancestor is known as *equivalence by descent*, $\tilde{\Theta}_{ij}$ (EBD, Weir and Cockerham, 1974).

The centred allele contents of individuals i and j at loci k and l , respectively are

$$\begin{aligned} z_{ik} &= z_{ikm} + z_{ikp}, \\ z_{jl} &= z_{jlm} + z_{jlp}. \end{aligned}$$

The covariance between z_{ik} and z_{jl} is

$$\text{Cov}(z_{ik}, z_{jl}) = \text{Cov}(z_{ikm} + z_{ikp}, z_{jlm} + z_{jlp}). \quad (11)$$

Let the binary random variable W take the value 1, if a randomly drawn allele from i at locus k and an allele from j at locus l are EBD. There are 4 terms contributing to (11) that have the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(z_{ikm}, z_{jlm}) &= \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jlm}) \\ &= \text{E}_W[\text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jlm} | W)] \\ &= \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jlm} | W = 1) \text{Pr}(W = 1) + \text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jlm} | W = 0) \text{Pr}(W = 0) \\ &= D_{kl} \tilde{\Theta}_{ikm,jlm}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where D_{kl} , the linkage disequilibrium parameter between loci k and l is here the covariance between the maternal allele at locus k and the maternal allele at locus l in the gametes of the common ancestor's generation. The equality in the first line holds because terms like $\text{E}(z_{ikm})$ are equal to zero. The second term in the third line vanishes when $W = 0$, because if the alleles are not EBD, they originate from different independent gametes from the common ancestor. Therefore, $\text{E}(z_{ikm} | W = 0) = \text{E}(z_{ikm}) = 0$ and $\text{E}(z_{ikm} z_{jlm} | W = 0) = \text{E}(z_{ikm}) \text{E}(z_{jlm}) = 0$. Summing over all 4 terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(z_{ik}, z_{jl}) &= D_{kl} (\tilde{\Theta}_{ikm,jlm} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ikm,jlp} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ikp,jlm} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ikp,jlp}) \\ &= 2\tilde{a}_{ij} D_{kl}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where \tilde{a}_{ij} is the expected additive genetic relationship between i and j , since

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = 2\tilde{\Theta}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\Theta}_{ikm,jlm} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ikm,jlp} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ikp,jlm} + \tilde{\Theta}_{ikp,jlp}).$$

The covariance between additive genetic values of locus k of individual i and locus l of individual j is

$$\text{Cov}(\alpha_k z_{ik}, \alpha_l z_{jl} | \alpha_k, \alpha_l) = 2\tilde{a}_{ij} \alpha_k \alpha_l D_{kl}. \quad (14)$$

In (13) and (14) \tilde{a}_{ij} is used to distinguish it from a_{ij} in (2). The latter involves the probability of IBD for alleles of the same locus, whereas the former considers the probability of EBD of alleles from different loci. The example below illustrates that under random mating, the probability of EBD between individuals i and j involving two alleles of different loci is equal to their probability of IBD for single loci, provided that i and j are traced to the most recent common ancestor. In this case there is no need to use different notation for \tilde{a}_{ij} and a_{ij} (see also Lynch and Walsh (1998), page 151).

1.2.1 Example

Imagine a sire that at two linked loci A and B has genotype $A_p A_m / B_p B_m$, where m and p stand for the maternal and the paternal haplotype of the sire. Thus, the maternal haplotype carries alleles $A_m B_m$ and the paternal haplotype $A_p B_p$. This sire produces four possible gametes; two non-recombinant types with probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(A_p B_p) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - c), \\ \Pr(A_m B_m) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - c)\end{aligned}$$

and two recombinant types

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(A_p B_m) &= \frac{1}{2}c, \\ \Pr(A_m B_p) &= \frac{1}{2}c,\end{aligned}$$

where $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is the probability of recombination between loci A and B . The marginal probability of transmission of any of the two alleles at each locus is $\frac{1}{2}$. The purpose of this example is first, to compute the expected additive genetic relationship between two half-sibs from this sire involving locus A only and secondly, the expected additive genetic relationship involving both loci A and B .

- Consider locus A only. The probability that one of the half-sibs (X , say) receives allele A_p from the sire is $\frac{1}{2}$. In an independent event, the probability that the other half-sib (Y , say) receives allele A_p from the sire is $\frac{1}{2}$. The probability that both X and Y receive allele A_p from the sire is the product of these independent events equal to $\frac{1}{4}$. This is also equal to the proportion of alleles shared identical by descent by the two half-sibs and therefore $a_{XY} = \frac{1}{4}$.
- Interest now focuses on the probability that half-sib X receives allele A_p and that, in an independent event, half-sib Y receives allele B_p . Arguing as above, each event occurs independently with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and therefore the joint probability that X receives allele A_p and Y receives allele B_p is $\frac{1}{4}$, as for the single locus case. This is also the expected proportion of alleles equivalent by descent for alleles at different loci in the haplotypes of the two half-sibs X and Y , equal to $a_{XY} = \frac{1}{4}$, indicating that the probabilities involving a single locus or two-loci are the same when these probabilities are calculated tracing the related individuals to their most recent common ancestor.

1.3 Remarks

The covariance between relatives in multiloci systems is part of a subject of difficult entry. An exact general treatment involving only pairs of loci constitutes a formidable challenge leading to unwieldy expressions, as shown by Weir and Cockerham (1977). The curious reader may wish to glance with awe at formula (6) for the genetic variance in their article, that is almost two pages long! Results assuming lack of inbreeding, epistasis and assortative mating, but accounting for dominance, linkage, and for the dynamics of the linkage disequilibrium parameter over generations, lead to simpler expressions and are given by Weir et al. (1980).

References

- Lynch, M. and B. Walsh (1998). *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits*. Sinauer Associates.
- Weir, B. and C. C. Cockerham (1974). Behavior of pairs of loci in finite monoecious populations. *Theoretical Population Biology* 6, 323–354.
- Weir, B., C. C. Cockerham, and J. Reynolds (1980). The effects of linkage and linkage disequilibrium on the covariance of noninbred relatives. *Heredity* 45, 351–359.
- Weir, B. S. and C. C. Cockerham (1977). Two-locus theory in quantitative genetics. In E. Pollak, O. Kempthorne, and T. B. Bailey (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Quantitative Genetics*, pp. 247–269. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.