CSE110A: Compilers

April 29, 2024

Topics:

- Last day of module 2
- Syntactic Analysis continued
 - Recursive decent parsing revisited
 - Symbol tables

```
int main() {
  printf("");
  return 0;
}
```

Announcements

• HW 2 is due on **Tonight** by midnight

• For part 3: you only need follow sets for rules that have "" in them

- For help
 - 1 Office hour before midnight
 - Ask on Piazza
 - No guaranteed help over the weekend or off business hours

Announcements

- Autograder should be working well now
 - Thanks to those who have worked with us

- We've added a few test cases to grade scope
 - However designing test cases, asking questions about the grammar is part of compilers
 - Design your own test cases and try them out
 - Fine to discuss individual test cases on piazza or with classmates

Homework questions?

Midterm study guide (so far)

Any of the following are fair game. Anything not listed below but in the lectures are fair game. Any combination of topics is fair game. This is only meant to be an overview of what we have discussed so far.

Midterm study guide (so far)

- Regular expressions
 - Operators, how to specify, how match vs full match works
- Scanners
 - What the API is, how strings are tokenized, how to specify tokens, token actions
- Grammars
 - How to specify a grammar, how to identify/avoid ambiguous grammars, how to show a derivation for match, parse trees
 - How to re-write grammars not to be left recursive, how to identify first+ sets
 - How the top down parsing algorithm works, how a recursive decent parser works
- Symbol tables
 - How scope can be tracked and manage during parse time, symbol table specification and implementation
- First 2 classes of module 3

Is the following grammar backtrack free (as written)?

```
a \rightarrow b A
```

$$b\to D\ A\ B$$

$$c \to C \; b$$

First sets

$$a \rightarrow b A$$
 {}
 $b \rightarrow D A B$ {}
 $c \rightarrow C b$ {}
 $a \rightarrow b A$ {}

First sets

$$a \rightarrow b A$$
 {D,C}

$$b \to D A B \qquad \qquad \{D\}$$

$$c \rightarrow C p$$
 {C}

Is the following grammar backtrack free?

```
a \rightarrow b A
```

$$b \rightarrow D A B$$

$$c \rightarrow C b$$

$$\mathsf{d}\to\mathsf{D}\;\mathsf{b}$$

First sets

$$a \rightarrow b A$$
 {}
$$b \rightarrow D A B$$
 {}
$$c \rightarrow C b$$
 {}
$$d \rightarrow D b$$
 {}

First sets

{D}

$a \rightarrow b A$	{C,D}
$b\to D\ A\ B$	{D}
c B	{C,D}
$c \rightarrow C b$	{C}
d	{D}

 $\mathsf{d}\to\mathsf{D}\;\mathsf{b}$

in a recursive descent parser, you make a function for each or what?

O production option
O CFG
O non-terminal
O terminal

How do we parse an Expr?

How do we parse an Expr?
We parse a Unit followed by an Expr2

How do we parse an Expr?
We parse a Unit followed by an Expr2

We can just write exactly that!

```
def parse_Expr(self):
          self.parse_Unit();
          self.parse_Expr2();
          return
```

How do we parse an Expr2?

```
3: ""
4: Unit ::= '(' Expr ')'
5:
              ID
6: Op ::= '+'
First+ sets:
1: {'(', ID}
2: { '+', '*'}
3: {None, ')'}
4: { '(')
5: {ID}
6: { '+'}
7: { '*'}
```

1: Expr ::= Unit Expr2

2: Expr2 ::= Op Unit Expr2

How do we parse an Expr2?

```
1: Expr ::= Unit Expr2
                                                         How do we parse an Expr2?
2: Expr2 ::= Op Unit Expr2
            | \\ //
3:
4: Unit ::= '(' Expr ')'
5:
                  ID
6: Op ::= '+'
                              def parse Expr2(self):
7:
                 \ * /
                                  token id = get token id(self.to match)
                                  # Expr2 ::= Op Unit Expr2
                                  if token id in ["PLUS", "MULT"]:
                                     self.parse Op()
First+ sets:
                                     self.parse Unit()
1: { '(', ID}
                                     self.parse_Expr2()
                                     return
2: { '+', '*'}
                                     # Expr2 ::= ""
3: {None, ')'}
                                  if token_id in [None, "RPAR"]:
4: { '(')
                                     return
5: {ID}
                                  raise ParserException(-1,
                                                                              # line number (for you to do)
6: { \+'}
                                                     self.to_match,
                                                                              # observed token
                                                      ["PLUS", "MULT", "RPAR"])
7: { \*/ }
                                                                              # expected token
```

How do we parse a Unit?

```
First+ sets:
1: {'(', ID}
2: {'+', '*'}
3: {None, ')'}
4: {'(')}
5: {ID}
6: {'+'}
7: {'*'}
```

```
1: Expr ::= Unit Expr2
                                                         How do we parse a Unit?
2: Expr2 ::= Op Unit Expr2
3:
              \\ //
4: Unit ::= '(' Expr ')'
5:
                                     def parse Unit(self):
6: Op
7:
                 1 * /
                                         token id = get token id(self.to match)
                                         # Unit ::= '(' Expr ')'
                                         if token id == "LPAR":
                                            self.eat("LPAR")
                                            self.parse Expr()
First+ sets:
                                            self.eat("RPAR")
1: { '(', ID}
                                            return
2: { '+', '*'}
                                        # Unit :: = ID
                                         if token id == "ID":
3: {None, ')'}
                                            self.eat("ID")
4: { '(')
                                            return
5: {ID}
                                         raise ParserException(-1,
                                                                            # line number (for you to do)
6: { \+'}
                                                            self.to_match,
                                                                            # observed token
7: { \*/ }
                                                            ["LPAR", "ID"])
                                                                            # expected token
```

```
1: Expr ::= Unit Expr2
                                                           How do we parse a Unit?
2: Expr2 ::= Op Unit Expr2
3:
               \\ //
4: Unit ::= '(' Expr ')'
5:
                                     def parse Unit(self):
6: Op
7:
                  1 * /
                                         token id = get token id(self.to match)
                                         # Unit ::= '(' Expr ')'
                                                                         ensure that to_match has token ID of "LPAREN"
                                         if token id == "LPAR":
                                                                         and get the next token
                                            self.eat("LPAR")
                                             self.parse Expr()
First+ sets:
                                            self.eat("RPAR")
1: { '(', ID}
                                            return
2: { '+', '*'}
                                         # Unit :: = ID
                                         if token id == "ID":
3: {None, ')'}
                                             self.eat("ID")
4: { '(')
                                            return
5: {ID}
                                         raise ParserException(-1,
                                                                      # line number (for you to do)
6: { \+'}
                                                             self.to match, # observed token
7: { \*/ }
                                                              ["LPAR", "ID"])
                                                                             # expected token
```

An LL(1) grammar has a runtime proportional to:

- The number of non-terminals
- \bigcirc The length of the input string
- The number of tokens in the input string
- O How many times a backtrack might occur

New material

Scope

What is scope?

 Can it be determined at compile time? Can it be determined at runtime?

• C vs. Python

Anyone have any interesting scoping rules they know of?

One consideration: Scope

Lexical scope example

```
int x = 0;
int y = 0;
{
  int y = 0;
  x+=1;
  y+=1;
}
x+=1;
y+=1;
What are the final values in x and y?
```

Symbol table object

- two methods:
 - lookup(id): lookup an id in the symbol table.
 Returns None if the id is not in the symbol table.
 - insert(id,info): insert a new id (or overwrite an existing id) into the symbol table along with a set of information about the id.

a very simple programming language

```
ID = [a-z]+
INCREMENT = "\+\+"
INTYPE = "int"
LBRAC = "{"
RBRAC = "}"
SEMI = ";"
```

statements are either a declaration or an increment

a very simple programming language

```
ID = [a-z]+
INCREMENT = "\+\+"

TYPE = "int"

LBRAC = "{"

RBRAC = "}"

SEMI = ";"
int x;

int y;

x++;

y++;

y++;
```

statements are either a declaration or an increment

a very simple programming language

```
ID = [a-z]+
INCREMENT = "\+\+"

TYPE = "int"

LBRAC = "{"

RBRAC = "}"

SEMI = ";"

int x;

{
    int y;
    int y;
```

statements are either a declaration or an increment

• SymbolTable ST;

Say we are matched the statement: int x;

```
declare_statement ::= TYPE ID SEMI
{ }
```

lookup(id) : lookup an id in the symbol table. Returns None if the
id is not in the symbol table.

insert(id,info) : insert a new id (or overwrite an existing id) into
the symbol table along with a set of information about the id.

• SymbolTable ST;

Say we are matched the statement: int x;

```
declare statement ::= TYPE ID SEMI
  self.eat(TYPE)
  variable name = self.to match[1] # lexeme value
  self.eat(ID)
  ST.insert(variable name, None)
  self.eat(SEMI)
```

• SymbolTable ST;

Say we are matched string: x++;

```
inc_statement ::= ID INCREMENT SEMI
{ }
```

lookup(id) : lookup an id in the symbol table. Returns None if the
id is not in the symbol table.

insert(id,info) : insert a new id (or overwrite an existing id) into
the symbol table along with a set of information about the id.

```
• SymbolTable ST;
inc_statement ::= ID INCREMENT SEMI
  variable name = self.to match[1] # lexeme value
  if ST.lookup(variable name) is None:
      raise SymbolTableException(variable name)
  self.eat(ID)
  self.eat(INCREMENT)
  self.eat(SEMI)
```

Say we are matched string: x++;

• SymbolTable ST;

statement : LBRAC statement_list RBRAC

```
int x;
{
    int y;
    x++;
    y++;
}
```

How to track scope?

• SymbolTable ST;

statement : LBRAC statement_list RBRAC

start a new scope S

remove the scope S

```
int x;
{
    int y;
    x++;
    y++;
}
```

How to track scope?

- Symbol table
- four methods:
 - lookup(id) : lookup an id in the symbol table.

 Returns None if the id is not in the symbol table.
 - insert(id,info): insert a new id into the symbol table along with a set of information about the id.
 - push_scope() : push a new scope to the symbol table
 - pop_scope() : pop a scope from the symbol table

How to track scope?

• SymbolTable ST;

statement : LBRAC statement_list RBRAC

You will be adding the functions to push and pop scopes in your homework

- Thoughts? What data structures are good at mapping strings?
- Symbol table
- four methods:
 - lookup(id): lookup an id in the symbol table.
 Returns None if the id is not in the symbol table.
 - insert(id,info): insert a new id into the symbol table along with a set of information about the id.
 - push scope() : push a new scope to the symbol table
 - pop_scope() : pop a scope from the symbol table

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

base scope

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

push_scope()

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

adds a new Hash Table to the top of the stack

HT 1

push_scope()

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

HT 1

insert(id,data)

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

insert(id,data)

insert (id -> data) at
top hash table

HT 1

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

HT 1

lookup(id)

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

check here first

HT 1

lookup(id)

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

lookup (id)
then check here

HT 0

Stack of hash tables

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

HT 1

pop_scope()

HT 0

Many ways to implement:

A good way is a stack of hash tables:

HT 0

• Example

```
int x = 0;
int y = 0;
{
  int y = 0;
  x++;
  y++;
}
x++;
y++;
```

HT 0

Parser actions

Parser actions

• Like token actions: perform an action each time a production option is matched.

Typically performed after the entire production action is matched

- Useful for:
 - tracking state

Example

```
Say we are matched the statement:
• SymbolTable ST;
                                int x;
declare statement ::= TYPE ID SEMI
  self.eat(TYPE)
  variable name = self.to match[1] # lexeme value
  self.eat(ID)
  ST.insert(variable name, None)
  self.eat(SEMI)
```

If we wrote our own recursive descent parser we can implement our own actions inlined

Example

Say we are matched the statement: int x;

```
• SymbolTable ST; Parser actions would be written like this

$1 $2 $3

declare_statement ::= TYPE ID SEMI

{

ST.insert($2, None);
}

always some way to refer to symbol value, e.g. an array
```

Each production rule

needs to return something

building a calculator

building a calculator

Shortcomings of parser actions

Difficult to perform actions in the middle of a production

• SymbolTable ST;

statement : LBRAC statement_list RBRAC

```
int x;
{
    int y;
    x++;
    y++;
}
```

start a new scope S

remove the scope S

Example