CSE113: Parallel Programming

Homework 3: Concurrent Data Structures Assigned: Feb 4, 2022 Due: Feb 18, 2022

Preliminaries

- 1. This assignment requires the following programs: make, bash, and clang++. This software should all be available on the provided docker.
- 2. The background for this homework is in the class material for Module 3.
- 3. Find the assignment packet at https://sorensenucsc.github.io/CSE113-wi2022/homeworks/homework3_packet.zip. You might collect this from a a bash cli using wget. That is, you can run:

https://sorensenucsc.github.io/CSE113-wi2022/homeworks/homework3_packet.zip Download the packet and unzip it. But do not change the file structure.

- 4. This homework contains 2 parts. Each part is worth equal points.
- 5. If you need help, please visit office or mentoring hours. You can also ask questions on Piazza. You are allowed to ask your classmates questions about frameworks and languages (e.g. Docker and C++). You are not allowed to discuss technical homework solution details with them.
- 6. For each part, read the *what to submit* section. Add any additional content to the file structure. To submit, you will zip up your modified packet and submit it to canvas. If you have questions about the structure of your zip file, please ask!
- 7. Your submission will consist of two parts: a zipped directory of code and a pdf report. Upload both files to Canvas when you submit. The contents of the report for each part are detailed in each section. The structure of the zipped directory is described at the end of the document.
- 8. You should feel free to modify any part of the function that you are working on. In some cases I have left some loop structure in the code to guide you, but in some cases you may need to change loop bounds, increments, etc.
- The code contains empty checker.h files. These are stubs that we will fill in when grading. Do not remove them.

1 Producer-consumer Queues

In this part of the assignment you will implement several variants of a producer-consumer queue and optimize a simple concurrent program using your queues.

The set-up is as follows: You are programming a strange machine: This machine can execute three threads: a memory load thread, which can load memory; a trig thread, which can execute trigonometry functions; and a memory store thread, which can store to memory. The three threads can communicate with each other through producer-consumer queues.

The overall goal of the program is to take in an array of floating point values and compute the cosine of each value, and then store the value back. To do this, the array of floats is sent to the memory load thread. For each item in the array, the memory load thread loads the value, and sends it to the trig thread for computation. The trig thread performs the computation, and sends the value to the memory store thread. The memory store thread then stores the value back to memory.

There are two producer-consumer queues: memory_to_trig has the memory load thread as the producer and sends values to the trig thread (the consumer). The trig_to_memory queue sends values from the trig thread (the producer) to the memory store thread (the consumer).

While this scenario may seemed contrived, it is similar to how some accelerators are programmed. You have 3 parts of this assignment:

1.1 A synchronous producer-consumer queue

For this part, the program is given in main.cpp. Your job is to implement CQueueSync.h. The queue should be implemented in a synchronous way, i.e. as discussed in the Feb. 4 lecture. Every enqueue must wait for the corresponding dequeue, and vice versa. This program is built with the first compile line of the makefile and produces an executable called syncQueue.

If you are running on a machine with 2 cores, please consider adding yields to your spin-loops.

1.2 An asynchronous producer-consumer queue

Similar to the above, the program is given in main.cpp. Your job is to implement an asynchronous concurrent queue, as discussed in lecture. You will use a circular buffer of size CQUEUE_SIZE. You will implement this queue in CQueue.h. This program is compiled in the second line of the makefile and will be called asyncQueue.

1.3 Batching communication

In this final part, you will modify both the program and the queue to take advantage of batched data. For the queue, you will implement the functionality to enqueue and dequeue 8 items at a time. The API for these two new functions are given in the CQueue.h file. They are enq_8 and deq_8. The enqueue takes a point to a float array called a. It enqueues 8 floats starting at the initial location of the array, i.e. e[0] - e[7]. Dequeue is similar, it reads 8 values from the queue and stores them in e[0] - e[7].

Be sure to check that the queue has enough elements to dequeue, and equeue before executing these functions. As you should find, the size check is a little more tricky than we discussed in class!

Now modify main2.cpp to take advantage of these new API calls. That is, the program should be similar to that of main.cpp, however, instead of calling enqueue and dequeue for each element,

you can call it for batches of 8 elements. You can assume the size of the input array is divisible by 8.

The makefile will compile this into an executable called Queue8API.

1.4 What to run

You should time each of your executables. I also suggested that you check each program for correctness.

1.5 What to submit

The code component of your submission is the completed header files for the queues (SyncQueue.h and Queue.h), as well as main2.cpp. Although you did not need to modify them, please also include main.cpp, the Makefile and the checker.

The report component should include a report with a graph of your times and 1 paragraph explaining your implementation and 1 paragraph explaining your timing observations.

Your grade will be based on 4 criteria:

- Correctness: Do the pipelines that use your queues produce the right results? Do you batch your communication correctly?
- Conceptual: Do your queue implementations match what we discussed in lecture?
- Performance: Does your performance match what you think it should? If so can you explain why? If not, can you say what you expect and make a hypothesis why not?
- Explanation: do you explain your results and implementation accurately based on our lectures?

Challenge

If you are interested in exploring more, consider the following challenges (these are just for fun; no extra credit is given!)

- Generalize your queue implementation to be able to batch more elements (e.g. 2, 4 16, 32, etc.). Explore the performance around these numbers.
- Experiment with various queue sizes. Do they make a difference? How small can you make the queue before you start to see performance issues?

2 DOALL Loop Parallel Schedules

In lecture, we considered different ways to parallelize DOALL loops, also known as a *parallel schedule*. Static work partitioning works well for DOALL loops where iterations take roughly the same amount of time. When loop iterations have more variation, it helps to use dynamic scheduling, e.g. workstealing. These dynamic strategies can either use a global worklist, or local worklists. Furthermore, the granularity of the tasks can be tuned.

Your assignment is to generate a several parallel schedules for the following loop:

```
void function(float *result, int * mult, int size) {
  for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
    float base = result[i];
    for (int j = 0; j < mult[i] - 1; j++) {
      result[i] = result[i] + base;
    }
  }
}</pre>
```

Notice that the outermost loop is safe to parallelize because the inner loop only reads and writes to arrays at index i. Each outer loop i computes the mult[i]-th multiplication of result[i] (assuming a greater-than-zero values in mult). You are not allowed to use the multiplication operator: it will be computed with repeat additions. Depending on the values in mult, there is potentially load imbalance across loop iterations. We will consider a linear load imbalance, where the amount of work for index i grows linearly with i. Larger values of i will take considerably more work than smaller values of i.

You will have 4 parts to this homework:

2.1 Static schedule

In main1.cpp, implement parallel_mult using a static partitioning. That is, each thread should compute the same number of i indices. You should split the work up in a chunking style, i.e. where thread 0 computes indexes 0 through size/num_threads; thread 1 should compute index size/num_threads through 2*(size/num_threads). This may be similar to your solution to problem 1c in homework 1.

You are responsible for creating the threads and joining them at the end. I have provided you data to operate on. Please use results_parallel as your results array, the mult array as the mult argument, the SIZE constant as the size argument. Instantiate the thread id as we've seen previously for SPMD programs. Use the NUM_THREADS constant as the number of threads.

The makefile will compute an executable called: static to run this part of the homework.

2.2 Global worklist workstealing schedule

In main2.cpp you will find a similar program to main1.cpp. However, instead of statically partitioning, you will use an atomic global counter to distribute work across threads. That is, you write the parallel function to use an atomic increment to get an index to calculate. For more information, see the global worklist material in the workstealing lecture.

Like main1.cpp, you are responsible for launching and joining the threads. The arguments should be passed in similar to main1.cpp.

The makefile will compute an executable called: global to run this part of the homework.

2.3 Local worklists workstealing schedule

In main3.cpp you will implement a local worklists workstealing schedule. First you should implement IOQueue.h as an Input/Output Queue as discussed in lecture. These queues need only support parallel enqueues, or parallel dequeues, but not both. You do not need to implement dec_32 for

this part of the problem. The deq should return -1 if the queue has no more elements. The work items in this assignment are indexes to compute; i.e. they are integers between 0 and SIZE - 1.

In the main file, you should implement the parallel_mult function using a local workstealing schedule. The queues (one for each thread) are provided in the global variable Q. The function should provide the same results as the previous parallel_mult functions; I have deleted the initial code though because this implementation will be sufficiently different from the nested for loops.

You should first launch threads to initialize their local queues using the parallel_enq function. This function should be called in parallel in SPMD style. Each thread should enqueue an equal number of indices to compute. They should enqueue indices in a chunked style, similar to main1.cpp. Ensure the queues are initialized with enough space using the init function.

After the queues are initialized, join the threads in the main thread. Then call the parallel_mult function. Implement this function using a local worklists workstealing strategy.

The makefile will compute an executable called: stealing to run this part of the homework.

2.4 Task granularity

Your final implementation task is to increase the number of tasks (indexes) that are dequeued at a time. First, implement deq_32 in IOQueue.h. This function dequeues 32 elements at a time and stores them in the argument array. It returns 0 if successful, or -1 if there are not 32 elements in the queue to dequeue. You can assume that the program only operates on loop iterations that are multiples of 32 (i.e. you do not need to ever combine single deqs with 32 deqs).

The main function is the same as main3.cpp, with the exception that you should dequeue 32 elements at a time.

The makefile will compute an executable called: stealing32 to run this part of the homework.

2.5 What to run

Please run each of your executable. Record how long each program takes to run.

Change the number of threads to 1 in utils.h and run static to get a single threaded measurement of runtime.

Change the number of threads to match how many cores you have on your machine.

2.6 What to submit

The code component of your submission is the completed files: main1.cpp, main2.cpp, main3.cpp, main4.cpp and IOQueue.h. In the zip file, please also include the checker file and the Makefile.

The report component should include a graph of your runtimes. Explain your implementation in 1 paragraph. Explain your results in a another paragraph.

Your grade will be based on 4 criteria:

- Correctness: Do your schedules produce the right results.
- Conceptual: do your implementations use the concurrent queues in a way that balances work across the threads? Are your queues implemented correctly?
- Performance: Does your performance match what you think it should? If so can you explain why? If not, can you say what you expect and make a hypothesis why not?
- Explanation: do you explain your results and implementation based on our lectures.

Challenge

Similar to part 1, there are extra challenges in this assignment if you are interested in exploring further (although they are not worth credit!)

- Based on the linear load imbalance, can you determine a static schedule that improves load balance?
- can you change the granularity of the global worklist, i.e. change the number that it steals each time? Does this make a performance difference?

Zipped file directory structure

Please submit your code zipped up in the following structure:

- Submit your code constrained to exactly the files discussed in the section. Do not rename the files. Only modify the files you are asked to. You can add functions, but do not change the signature of the functions that are provided.
- from the directory where you originally unzipped the packet, run:

```
zip -r homework3.zip part1 part2
```

- This will create homework3.zip. Please submit this file.
- you can double check your file fits the format we are expecting by copying homework3.zip and check.sh to a new folder. You should be able to run:

```
unzip homework3.zip;
bash check.sh;
```

and not see any errors.

In addition to homework3.zip, please also submit a single pdf file including the report components of this assignment. Please submit this pdf as a separate file in canvas, and not as part of the zip.