



(<https://profile.intra.42.fr>)

# (<https://profile.intra.42.fr/searches>) **SCALE FOR PROJECT FT\_PRINTF (/PROJECTS/FT\_PRINTF)**

You should evaluate 1 student in this team



Git repository

`vogsphere@vgs.42.us.org:intra/2018/activities/ft_printf/evjol`



## Introduction

Please respect the following rules:

- Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the correction process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the person (or the group) graded the eventual dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified.
- You must consider that there might be some difference in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Stay open minded to the vision of the other (is he/she right or wrong) and grade him as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

## Guidelines




- You must grade only what is in the student's or the group's GiT repository.
- Please double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that it is for the relevant project and finally check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases was used to fool you and make you evaluate something else than the content of the

official repository.

- To avoid any bad surprises, carefully check that both the correcting and the corrected students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading.
- If the correcting student has not yet completed that particular project, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence.
- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In that case, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However - with the exception of cheating - you are encouraged to continue to discuss the work done (or unfinished) in order to identify any issues that lead to that situation and avoid them for the next submit project.

---

## Attachments

-  Subject ([https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/20/ft\\_printf.fr.pdf](https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/20/ft_printf.fr.pdf))
-  Subject ([https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/883/ft\\_printf.en.pdf](https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/883/ft_printf.en.pdf))
-  Subject ([https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/637/ft\\_printf.ro.pdf](https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/637/ft_printf.ro.pdf))

## Preliminaries

---

### Preliminaries

REMEMBER THAT YOU CAN ONLY CORRECT WHAT IS ON THE REPOSITORY OF THE STUDENT. It's about making a "git clone" of the repository and correct what's in it. Please check:

- No submission (= nothing in the GiT repository)
- No author file
- Invalid author file
- Norm errors (using norminette)
- Cheating
- Forbidden functions (Unless justified in the bonuses)
- You have the libftprintf.a that was created

And of course, for the duration of the defence, no segfault, or other unexpected, premature or uncontrolled end of the program. If the preliminaries are ok, then you continue the evaluation.

# Features

## Simple Tests

- Check that the usual conversions %s, %p, et %d work fine
- Check that %% works

☒ Yes☐ No

## Less simples Tests

Check that you can put multiple conversions (and so multiple arguments) of the same type in a same printf.

☒ Yes☐ No

## Slightly complicated tests

Check that the less usual conversions %i, %o, %u, %x, %X and %c work fine.

☒ Yes☐ No

## Complicated tests

Check that multiple conversions and parameters of different sizes are all working properly and in different orders. (for ex. a char followed by an int then an int followed by a char).

☒ Yes☐ No

## More complicated tests

Check the flags: #, 0, -, +, whitespace and the field-width.

☒ Yes☐ No

## More stuff to test

Check that the flags %h, %hh, %l and %ll work with diouxX conversions.  
Check that the flags %l, %L work with f conversion.

☒ Yes☐ No

## And finally

Check the precision (flag ".")

☒ Yes

☐ No

## Bonus

The bonus section is only accessible if and only if the mandatory part is completely and perfectly done.

## Open bar

Depending on the additional adds-on proposed by the student (colors, conversions etc.).

Rate it from 0 (failed) through 5 (excellent)

5

## Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

☒ Ok

☐ ★ Outstanding project

☐ Empty work

☐ Incomplete work

☐ No author file

☐ Invalid compilation

☐ Norme

☐ Cheat

☐ Crash

☐ Leaks

☐ Forbidden function

## Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Great job!! hope we  
work together in the

Finish evaluation

General term of use of the site (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/6>)

Privacy policy (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/5>)

Legal notices (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/3>)