

Relational algebra

Relational algebra is a formal query language associated with the relational model

Queries in algebra are composed using a collection of operators.

A fundamental property is that every operator in the algebra accepts (one or two) relation instances as arguments and returns a relation instance as the result. This property makes it easy to compose operators to form a complex query—a relational algebra expression is recursively defined to be a relation, a unary algebra operator applied to a single expression, or a binary algebra operator applied to two expressions.

basic operators of the algebra are

(selection, projection, union, cross-product, and difference)

Each relational query describes a step-by-step procedure for computing the desired answer, based on the order in which operators are applied in the query

Selection and Projection

Relational algebra includes operators to select rows from a relation (σ) and to project columns (π).

Consider the instance of the Sailors relation S2 shown on the right,

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

We can retrieve rows corresponding to expert sailors by using the σ operator

$\sigma_{rating > 8}(S2)$

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

All these comparison operators can come in to picture $<$, \leq , $=$, \neq , \geq , or $>$

The SELECT operator is **unary**; that is, it is applied to a single relation

Notice that the SELECT operation is **commutative**; that is,

$$\sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_1 \rangle}(\sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_2 \rangle}(R)) = \sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_2 \rangle}(\sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_1 \rangle}(R))$$

Hence, a sequence of SELECTs can be applied in any order. In addition, we can always combine a **cascade** (or sequence) of SELECT operations into a single SELECT operation with a conjunctive (AND) condition; that is,

$$\sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_1 \rangle}(\sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_2 \rangle}(\dots (\sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_n \rangle}(R)) \dots)) = \sigma_{\langle \text{cond}_1 \rangle \text{ AND } \langle \text{cond}_2 \rangle \text{ AND } \dots \text{ AND } \langle \text{cond}_n \rangle}(R)$$

In SQL, the SELECT condition is typically specified in the *WHERE clause* of a query. For example, the following operation:

$\sigma_{Dno=4 \text{ AND } Salary > 25000}(\text{EMPLOYEE})$

would correspond to the following SQL query:

```
SELECT      *
FROM        EMPLOYEE
WHERE       Dno=4 AND Salary>25000;
```

- **(cond1 AND cond2)** is TRUE if both **(cond1)** and **(cond2)** are TRUE; otherwise, it is FALSE.
- **(cond1 OR cond2)** is TRUE if either **(cond1)** or **(cond2)** or both are TRUE; otherwise, it is FALSE.
- **(NOT cond)** is TRUE if **cond** is FALSE; otherwise, it is FALSE.

The projection operator π allows us to extract columns from a relation; for example, we can find out all sailor names and ratings by using π .

$\pi_{sname, rating}(S2)$

<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>
yuppy	9
Lubber	8
guppy	5
Rusty	10

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Suppose that we wanted to find out only the ages of sailors, what is the expression you will write.

$\pi_{age}(S2)$

<i>age</i>
35.0
55.5

The important point to note is that, although three sailors are aged 35, a single tuple with age=35.0 is the result of the projection. In our discussion of relational algebra assumes that duplicate elimination is always done so that relations are always sets of tuples.

This follows from the definition of a relation as a set of tuples.

is also noteworthy that commutativity *does not* hold on PROJECT.

the PROJECT attribute list is specified in the *SELECT clause* of a query.

$$\pi_{sname, rating}(\sigma_{rating > 8}(S2))$$

the names and ratings of highly rated

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>
yuppy	9
Rusty	10

Set Operations

The following standard operations on sets are also available in relational algebra: union (\cup), intersection (\cap), set-difference ($-$), and cross-product (\times).

Union: $R \cup S$ returns a relation instance containing all tuples that occur in either relation instance R or relation instance S (or both).

R and S must be union-compatible, and the schema of the result is defined to be identical to the schema of R .

Two relation instances are said to be union-compatible if the following conditions hold:

- they have the same number of the fields, and
- corresponding fields, taken in order from left to right, have the same domains.

Note that field names are not used in defining union-compatibility. For convenience, we will assume that the fields of $R \cup S$ inherit names from R , if the fields of R have names.

Intersection: $R \cap S$ returns a relation instance containing all tuples that occur in both R and S. The relations R and S must be union-compatible, and the schema of the result is defined to be identical to the schema of R.

Set-difference: $R - S$ returns a relation instance containing all tuples that occur in R but not in S. The relations R and S must be union-compatible, and the schema of the result is defined to be identical to the schema of R.

Cross-product: $R \times S$ returns a relation instance whose schema contains all the fields of R (in the same order as they appear in R) followed by all the fields of S (in the same order as they appear in S). The result of $R \times S$ contains one tuple $\langle r, s \rangle$ (the concatenation of tuples r and s) for each pair of tuples $r \in R, s \in S$. The cross-product operation is sometimes called Cartesian product.

We use the convention that the fields of $R \times S$ inherit names from the corresponding fields of R and S. It is possible for both R and S to contain one or more fields having the same name; this situation creates a naming conflict. The corresponding fields in $R \times S$ are unnamed and are referred to solely by position.

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.1 Instance S_1 of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.2 Instance S_2 of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0
28	yuppy	9	35.0
44	guppy	5	35.0

Figure 4.8 $S_1 \cup S_2$

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.1 Instance S_1 of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.2 Instance S_2 of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.9 $S_1 \cap S_2$

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.1 Instance S_1 of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.2 Instance S_2 of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0

Figure 4.10 $S_1 - S_2$

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.1 Instance *S1* of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>bid</i>	<i>day</i>
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

Figure 4.3 Instance *R1* of Reserves

(<i>sid</i>)	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>	(<i>sid</i>)	<i>bid</i>	<i>day</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	Dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	Lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	Lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	Rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	Rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96

Figure 4.11 *S1 × R1*

In general, for most queries, we need to apply several relational algebra operations one after the other. Either we can write the operations as a single **relational algebra expression** by nesting the operations, or we can apply one operation at a time and create intermediate result relations. In the latter case, we must give names to the relations that hold the intermediate results. For example, to retrieve the first name, last name, and salary of all employees who work in department number 5, we must apply a SELECT and a PROJECT operation. We can write a single relational algebra expression, also known as an **in-line expression**, as follows:

$$\pi_{\text{Fname}, \text{Lname}, \text{Salary}}(\sigma_{\text{Dno}=5}(\text{EMPLOYEE}))$$

Or use the assignment operation

$$\begin{aligned}\text{DEP5_EMPS} &\leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Dno}=5}(\text{EMPLOYEE}) \\ \text{RESULT} &\leftarrow \pi_{\text{Fname}, \text{Lname}, \text{Salary}}(\text{DEP5_EMPS})\end{aligned}$$

Rename: We have been careful to adopt field name conventions that ensure that the result of a relational algebra expression inherits field names from its argument (input) relation instances in a natural way whenever possible. However, name conflicts can arise in some cases; for example, in $S_1 \times R_1$. It is therefore convenient to be able to give names explicitly to the fields of a relation instance that is defined by a relational algebra expression.

We can also define a formal **RENAME** operation—which can rename either the relation name or the attribute names, or both—as a unary operator. The general RENAME operation when applied to a relation R of degree n is denoted by any of the following three forms:

$$\rho_{S(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)}(R) \text{ or } \rho_S(R) \text{ or } \rho_{(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)}(R)$$

where the symbol ρ (rho) is used to denote the RENAME operator, S is the new relation name, and B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n are the new attribute names. The first expression renames both the relation and its attributes, the second renames the relation only, and the third renames the attributes only. If the attributes of R are (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) in that order, then each A_i is renamed as B_i .

To retrieve the Social Security numbers of all employees who either work in department 5 or directly supervise an employee who works in department 5, we can use the UNION operation as follows.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	<u>Ssn</u>	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

To retrieve the Social Security numbers of all employees who either work in department 5 or directly supervise an employee who works in department 5, we can use the UNION operation as follows.

```
DEP5_EMPS ← σDno=5(EMPLOYEE)
RESULT1 ← πSsn(DEP5_EMPS)
RESULT2 ← πSuper_ssn(DEP5_EMPS)
RESULT ← RESULT1 ∪ RESULT2
```

or

$$\pi_{Ssn} (\sigma_{Dno=5} (\text{EMPLOYEE})) \cup \pi_{\text{Super_ssn}} (\sigma_{Dno=5} (\text{EMPLOYEE})).$$

Condition Join

The general form of a JOIN operation on two relations⁵ $R(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ and $S(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m)$ is

$$R \bowtie_{\text{join condition}} S$$

$$R \bowtie_c S = \sigma_c(R \times S)$$

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.1 Instance $S1$ of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>bid</i>	<i>day</i>
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

Figure 4.3 Instance $R1$ of Reserves

(<i>sid</i>)	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>	(<i>sid</i>)	<i>bid</i>	<i>day</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	Lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96

Figure 4.12 $S1 \bowtie_{S1.\text{sid} < R1.\text{sid}} R1$

The reference to an attribute of a relation, say, R , can be by position (of the form $R.i$) or by name (of the form $R.\text{name}$).

A general join condition is of the form

Dr A V Prajeesh, SAS, VIT Vellore

<condition> AND <condition> AND ... AND <condition>

Equijoin

A common special case of the join operation $R \bowtie S$ is when the *join condition* consists solely of equalities (connected by \wedge) of the form $R.name1 = S.name2$, that is, equalities between two fields in R and S . In this case, obviously, there is some redundancy in retaining both attributes in the result. For join conditions that contain only such equalities, the join operation is refined by doing an additional projection in which $S.name2$ is dropped. The join operation with this refinement is called **equijoin**.

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0
31	Lubber	8	55.5
58	Rusty	10	35.0

Figure 4.1 Instance $S1$ of Sailors

<i>sid</i>	<i>bid</i>	<i>day</i>
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

Figure 4.3 Instance $R1$ of Reserves

<i>sid</i>	<i>sname</i>	<i>rating</i>	<i>age</i>	<i>bid</i>	<i>day</i>
22	Dustin	7	45.0	101	10/10/96
58	Rusty	10	35.0	103	11/12/96

Figure 4.13 $S1 \bowtie_{R.sid = S.sid} R1$
Dr A V Prajeesh, SAS, VIT Vellore

Natural join

A further special case of the join operation $R \bowtie S$ is an equijoin in which equalities are specified on *all* fields having the same name in R and S . In this case, we can simply omit the join condition; the default is that the join condition is a collection of equalities on all common fields. We call this special case a *natural join*, and it has the nice property that the result is guaranteed not to have two fields with the same name.]

The standard definition of NATURAL JOIN requires that the two join attributes (or each pair of join attributes) have the same name in both relations. If this is not the case, a renaming operation is applied first.

$\text{PROJ_DEPT} \leftarrow \text{PROJECT} * \rho_{(\text{Dname}, \text{Dnum}, \text{Mgr_ssn}, \text{Mgr_start_date})}(\text{DEPARTMENT})$

DEPARTMENT

Dname	<u>Dnumber</u>	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

PROJECT

Pname	<u>Pnumber</u>	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

$\text{PROJ_DEPT} \leftarrow \text{PROJECT} * \rho_{(\text{Dname}, \text{Dnum}, \text{Mgr_ssn}, \text{Mgr_start_date})}(\text{DEPARTMENT})$

PROJ_DEPT

Pname	<u>Pnumber</u>	Plocation	Dnum	Dname	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5	Research	333445555	1988-05-22
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5	Research	333445555	1988-05-22
ProductZ	3	Houston	5	Research	333445555	1988-05-22
Computerization	10	Stafford	4	Administration	987654321	1995-01-01
Reorganization	20	Houston	1	Headquarters	888665555	1981-06-19
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4	Administration	987654321	1995-01-01

The attribute Dnum is called the **join attribute** for the NATURAL JOIN operation, because it is the only attribute with the same name in both relations.

DEPT_LOCATIONS

<u>Dnumber</u>	<u>Dlocation</u>
1	Houston
4	Stafford
5	Bellaire
5	Sugarland
5	Houston

DEPARTMENT

<u>Dname</u>	<u>Dnumber</u>	<u>Mgr_ssn</u>	<u>Mgr_start_date</u>
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

DEPT_LOCS \leftarrow DEPARTMENT * DEPT_LOCATIONS

DEPT_LOCS

<u>Dname</u>	<u>Dnumber</u>	<u>Mgr_ssn</u>	<u>Mgr_start_date</u>	<u>DLocation</u>
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19	Houston
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01	Stafford
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22	Bellaire
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22	Sugarland
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22	Houston

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

WORKS_ON

Essn	Pno	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

PROJECT

Pname	Pnumber	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

Notice that if no combination of tuples satisfies the join condition, the result of a JOIN is an empty relation with zero tuples

The NATURAL JOIN or EQUIJOIN

operation can also be specified among multiple tables, leading to an *n-way join*. For example, consider the following three-way join:

$$((\text{PROJECT} \bowtie_{Dnum=Dnumber} \text{DEPARTMENT}) \bowtie_{Mgr_ssn=Ssn} \text{EMPLOYEE})$$

The JOIN operations described earlier match tuples that satisfy the join condition. For example, for a NATURAL JOIN operation $R * S$, only tuples from R that have matching tuples in S —and vice versa—appear in the result. Hence, tuples without a *matching* (or *related*) tuple are eliminated from the JOIN result. This type of join, where tuples with no match are eliminated, is known as an **inner join**.

A set of operations, called **outer joins**, were developed for the case where the user wants to keep all the tuples in R , or all those in S , or all those in both relations in the result of the JOIN, regardless of whether or not they have matching tuples in the other relation. This satisfies the need of queries in which tuples from two tables are to be combined by matching corresponding rows, but without losing any tuples for lack of matching values

We can apply an operation **LEFT OUTER JOIN**, denoted by \bowtie , to retrieve the result as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TEMP} &\leftarrow (\text{EMPLOYEE} \bowtie_{\text{Ssn}=\text{Mgr_ssn}} \text{DEPARTMENT}) \\ \text{RESULT} &\leftarrow \pi_{\text{Fname}, \text{Minit}, \text{Lname}, \text{Dname}}(\text{TEMP}) \end{aligned}$$

The **LEFT OUTER JOIN** operation keeps every tuple in the *first*, or *left*, relation R in $R \bowtie S$; if no matching tuple is found in S , then the attributes of S in the join result are filled or *padded* with **NULL** values. The result of these operations is shown in Figure 8.12.

A similar operation, **RIGHT OUTER JOIN**, denoted by \bowtie , keeps every tuple in the *second*, or *right*, relation S in the result of $R \bowtie S$. A third operation, **FULL OUTER JOIN**, denoted by \bowtie , keeps all tuples in both the left and the right relations when no matching tuples are found, padding them with **NULL** values as needed.

The DIVISION Operation

The DIVISION operation, denoted by \div , is useful for a special kind of query that sometimes occurs in database applications. An example is *Retrieve the names of employees who work on all the projects that 'John Smith' works on.* To express this query using the DIVISION operation, proceed as follows. First, retrieve the

The division operator is used for queries which involve the 'all'.

$R1 \div R2 =$ tuples of $R1$ associated with all tuples of $R2$

list of project numbers that 'John Smith' works on in the intermediate relation **SMITH_PNOS**:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SMITH} &\leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Fname}=\text{'John'} \text{ AND } \text{Lname}=\text{'Smith'}}(\text{EMPLOYEE}) \\ \text{SMITH_PNOS} &\leftarrow \pi_{\text{Pno}}(\text{WORKS_ON} \bowtie_{\text{Essn}=\text{Ssn}} \text{SMITH}) \end{aligned}$$

Next, create a relation that includes a tuple $\langle \text{Pno}, \text{Essn} \rangle$ whenever the employee whose Ssn is Essn works on the project whose number is Pno in the intermediate relation **SSN_PNOS**:

$$\text{SSN_PNOS} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Essn, Pno}}(\text{WORKS_ON})$$

Finally, apply the DIVISION operation to the two relations, which gives the desired employees' Social Security numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSNS} &\leftarrow \text{SSN_PNOS} \div \text{SMITH_PNOS} \\ \text{RESULT} &\leftarrow \pi_{\text{Fname, Lname}}(\text{SSNS} * \text{EMPLOYEE}) \end{aligned}$$

(a)

SSN_PNOS

Essn	Pno
123456789	1
123456789	2
666884444	3
453453453	1
453453453	2
333445555	2
333445555	3
333445555	10
333445555	20
999887777	30
999887777	10
987987987	10
987987987	30
987654321	30
987654321	20
888665555	20

SMITH_PNOS

Pno
1
2

$R1 \div R2 = \text{tuples of } R1 \text{ associated with all tuples of } R2$

SSNS

Ssn
123456789
453453453

$SSNS \leftarrow SSN_PNOS \div SMITH_PNOS$

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

WORKS_ON

Essn	Pno	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

Aggregate Functions and Grouping

Common functions applied to collections of numeric values include SUM, AVERAGE, MAXIMUM, and MINIMUM etc.

<grouping attributes> Σ <function list> (R)

to retrieve each department number, the number of employees in the department, and their average salary, while renaming the resulting attributes as indicated below, we write

$\rho_{R(Dno, No_of_employees, Average_sal)} (\text{Dno} \Sigma \text{COUNT Ssn, AVERAGE Salary (EMPLOYEE)})$

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

WORKS_ON

Essn	Pno	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

PROJECT

Pname	Pnumber	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

Query 1. Retrieve the name and address of all employees who work for the ‘Research’ department.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

WORKS_ON

Essn	Pno	Hours
123456789	1	32.5
123456789	2	7.5
666884444	3	40.0
453453453	1	20.0
453453453	2	20.0
333445555	2	10.0
333445555	3	10.0
333445555	10	10.0
333445555	20	10.0
999887777	30	30.0
999887777	10	10.0
987987987	10	35.0
987987987	30	5.0
987654321	30	20.0
987654321	20	15.0
888665555	20	NULL

DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

PROJECT

Pname	Pnumber	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

Query 1. Retrieve the name and address of all employees who work for the 'Research' department.

$$\pi_{\text{Fname}, \text{Lname}, \text{Address}} (\sigma_{\text{Dname}=\text{'Research'}}(\text{DEPARTMENT} \bowtie_{\text{Dnumber}=\text{Dno}} \text{EMPLOYEE}))$$

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

Query 1. Retrieve the name and address of all employees who work for the ‘Research’ department.

$\text{RESEARCH_DEPT} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Dname}=\text{'Research'}}(\text{DEPARTMENT})$

$\text{RESEARCH_EMPS} \leftarrow (\text{RESEARCH_DEPT} \bowtie_{\text{Dnumber}=\text{Dno}} \text{EMPLOYEE})$

$\text{RESULT} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{Fname}, \text{Lname}, \text{Address}}(\text{RESEARCH_EMPS})$

As a single in-line expression, this query becomes:

$\pi_{\text{Fname}, \text{Lname}, \text{Address}} (\sigma_{\text{Dname}=\text{'Research'}}(\text{DEPARTMENT} \bowtie_{\text{Dnumber}=\text{Dno}} (\text{EMPLOYEE}))$

SQL to Relational Algebra

- SQL queries are translated into equivalent relational algebra expressions before optimization.
- A query is at first decomposed into smaller query blocks.
- These blocks are translated to equivalent relational algebra expressions.
- Optimization includes optimization of each block and then optimization of the query as a whole.

```
SELECT * FROM EMPLOYEE  
WHERE SALARY < (SELECT AVERAGE(SALARY) FROM EMPLOYEE) ;
```

This nested query can be broken down into two blocks:

Inner Block `SELECT AVERAGE(SALARY) FROM EMPLOYEE;`

If the result of this query is `AvgSal`, then the

Outer Block `SELECT * FROM EMPLOYEE WHERE SALARY < AvgSal;`

The inner block, which is to be evaluated first, can be translated into Relational algebra as:

$$\text{AvgSal} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{AVERAGE}(\text{Salary})} \text{EMPLOYEE}$$

The outer block is represented as:

$$\sigma_{\text{Salary} < \text{AvgSal}} \text{EMPLOYEE}$$

```
SELECT FNAME, LNAME FROM EMPLOYEE  
WHERE SALARY > (SELECT MAX(SALARY) FROM EMPLOYEE  
                  WHERE DNO=5);
```

Inner Block `SELECT MAX(SALARY) FROM EMPLOYEE WHERE DNO=5;`

If the result of this query is `MaxSal`, then the

Outer Block

```
SELECT FNAME, LNAME FROM EMPLOYEE  
WHERE SALARY > MaxSal;
```

Inner block: $\text{MaxSal} \leftarrow \exists_{\text{MAX}}(\text{Sal}) (\sigma_{DNO=5}(\text{EMPLOYEE}))$

Outer block: $\pi_{FNAME, LNAME}(\sigma_{\text{Sal} > \text{MaxSal}}(\text{EMPLOYEE}))$

```
SELECT PID, STATUS FROM PROJECT
WHERE PID=(SELECT FROM WORKS WHERE EMPID =
    (SELECT EMPID FROM EMPLOYEE
    WHERE NAME = 'ARUN KUMAR'));
```

The query contains two nested sub-queries:

```
SELECT EMPID FROM EMPLOYEE WHERE NAME = 'ARUN KUMAR';
SELECT PID FROM WORKS WHERE EMPID = ArunEmpID;
SELECT PID, STATUS FROM PROJECT WHERE PID = ArunPID;
```

Relational algebra expressions for the three blocks are:

$$ArunEmpID \leftarrow \pi_{EMPID}(\sigma_{Name="ArunKumar"}(EMPLOYEE))$$
$$ArunPID \leftarrow \pi_{PID}(\sigma_{EMPID="ArunEmpID"}(WORKS))$$
$$Result \leftarrow \pi_{PID, Status}(\sigma_{PID="ArunPID"}(PROJECT))$$

Query 2. For every project located in ‘Stafford’, list the project number, the controlling department number, and the department manager’s last name, address, and birth date.

Query 2. For every project located in ‘Stafford’, list the project number, the controlling department number, and the department manager’s last name, address, and birth date.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPARTMENT

Dname	Dnumber	Mgr_ssn	Mgr_start_date
Research	5	333445555	1988-05-22
Administration	4	987654321	1995-01-01
Headquarters	1	888665555	1981-06-19

PROJECT

Pname	Pnumber	Plocation	Dnum
ProductX	1	Bellaire	5
ProductY	2	Sugarland	5
ProductZ	3	Houston	5
Computerization	10	Stafford	4
Reorganization	20	Houston	1
Newbenefits	30	Stafford	4

Query 2. For every project located in ‘Stafford’, list the project number, the controlling department number, and the department manager’s last name, address, and birth date.

```
STAFFORD_PROJS ←  $\sigma_{Plocation='Stafford'}(PROJECT)$ 
CONTR_DEPTS ← (STAFFORD_PROJS  $\bowtie_{Dnum=Dnumber}$  DEPARTMENT)
PROJ_DEPT_MGRS ← (CONTR_DEPTS  $\bowtie_{Mgr_ssn=SsnE}$  EMPLOYEE)
RESULT ←  $\pi_{Pnumber, Dnum, Lname, Address, Bdate}(PROJ_DEPT_MGRS)$ 
```

Query 3. Find the names of employees who work on *all* the projects controlled by department number 5.

```
DEPT5_PROJS ← ρ(Pno)(πPnumber(σDnum=5(PROJECT)))  
EMP_PROJ ← ρ(Ssn, Pno)(πEssn, Pno(WORKS_ON))  
RESULT_EMP_SSNS ← EMP_PROJ ÷ DEPT5_PROJS  
RESULT ← πLname, Fname(RESULT_EMP_SSNS × EMPLOYEE)
```

Query 4. Make a list of project numbers for projects that involve an employee whose last name is ‘Smith’, either as a worker or as a manager of the department that controls the project.

```
SMITHS(Essn) ← πSsn(σLname='Smith'(EMPLOYEE))  
SMITH_WORKER_PROJS ← πPno(WORKS_ON × SMITHS)  
MGRS ← πLname, Dnumber(EMPLOYEE ⋈Ssn=Mgr_ssn DEPARTMENT)  
SMITH_MANAGED_DEPTS(Dnum) ← πDnumber(σLname='Smith'(MGRS))  
SMITH_MGR_PROJS(Pno) ← πPnumber(SMITH_MANAGED_DEPTS × PROJECT)|  
RESULT ← (SMITH_WORKER_PROJS ∪ SMITH_MGR_PROJS)
```

Query 5. List the names of all employees with two or more dependents.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

Query 5. List the names of all employees with two or more dependents.

$$\begin{aligned} T1(\text{Ssn}, \text{No_of_dependents}) &\leftarrow \text{Essn} \Sigma \text{COUNT Dependent_name(DEPENDENT)} \\ T2 &\leftarrow \sigma_{\text{No_of_dependents} > 2}(T1) \\ \text{RESULT} &\leftarrow \pi_{\text{Lname, Fname}}(T2 * \text{EMPLOYEE}) \end{aligned}$$

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

Query 6. Retrieve the names of employees who have no dependents.

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse

Query 6. Retrieve the names of employees who have no dependents.

ALL_EMPS $\leftarrow \pi_{Ssn}(\text{EMPLOYEE})$

EMPS_WITH_DEPS(Ssn) $\leftarrow \pi_{Essn}(\text{DEPENDENT})$

EMPS_WITHOUT_DEPS $\leftarrow (\text{ALL_EMPS} - \text{EMPS_WITH_DEPS})$

RESULT $\leftarrow \pi_{Lname, Fname}(\text{EMPS_WITHOUT_DEPS} * \text{EMPLOYEE})$

EMPLOYEE

Fname	Minit	Lname	Ssn	Bdate	Address	Sex	Salary	Super_ssn	Dno
John	B	Smith	123456789	1965-01-09	731 Fondren, Houston, TX	M	30000	333445555	5
Franklin	T	Wong	333445555	1955-12-08	638 Voss, Houston, TX	M	40000	888665555	5
Alicia	J	Zelaya	999887777	1968-01-19	3321 Castle, Spring, TX	F	25000	987654321	4
Jennifer	S	Wallace	987654321	1941-06-20	291 Berry, Bellaire, TX	F	43000	888665555	4
Ramesh	K	Narayan	666884444	1962-09-15	975 Fire Oak, Humble, TX	M	38000	333445555	5
Joyce	A	English	453453453	1972-07-31	5631 Rice, Houston, TX	F	25000	333445555	5
Ahmad	V	Jabbar	987987987	1969-03-29	980 Dallas, Houston, TX	M	25000	987654321	4
James	E	Borg	888665555	1937-11-10	450 Stone, Houston, TX	M	55000	NULL	1

DEPENDENT

Essn	Dependent_name	Sex	Bdate	Relationship
333445555	Alice	F	1986-04-05	Daughter
333445555	Theodore	M	1983-10-25	Son
333445555	Joy	F	1958-05-03	Spouse
987654321	Abner	M	1942-02-28	Spouse
123456789	Michael	M	1988-01-04	Son
123456789	Alice	F	1988-12-30	Daughter
123456789	Elizabeth	F	1967-05-05	Spouse