What does a nonabelian group sound like?

Matthew Corley (Computer Science); William DeMeo (Math; mentor), Reg Bain (Music; co-mentor)

Short term goals/plans. (wjd: December 15, 2013)

It seems a functional programming language like Scala would be ideal for expressing the math that we want to implement, so I suggest we start with that as our primary language and use Java as a fallback.

One of our primary goals is to write a program to do convolution of signals defined on finite nonabelian groups, so we need to incorporate finite groups into our programs. Of course, we don't want to implement everything from scratch. I suggest the following strategy:

- 1. Use the GAP software for exploring various finite groups to identifying some groups we want to consider.
- 2. Use my GAP program gap2uacalc to covert the groups into general algebras, and write them to XML files. (More details about this will appear below.)
- 3. To read in the resulting XML files into our Scala or Java programs, we can make use of UACalc Java classes, like AlgebraIO (see the UACalc javadoc). (The gap2uacalc program writes groups to a file in UACalc's XML format.)

The first function we will code up is convolution. Below are some thoughts on how to do this in Scala, but first, here are some notes about convolution and the best way to view this operation mathematically (which happens to align well with how we can model it in a functional programming language like Scala).

Let \mathbb{C}^G denote the set of complex valued functions defined on the group G. That is $\mathbb{C}^G = \{f : G \to \mathbb{C}\}$. In the books by Tolimieri and An ([6], [7]) this set is also denoted by $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

Given two functions f and g in \mathbb{C}^G , the convolution of f and g, denoted, f * g, is also function in \mathbb{C}^G and is defined by the values it takes at each $x \in G$ as follows:

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{y \in G} f(y)g(y^{-1}x). \tag{1}$$

Note that this can be thought of as a weighted sum of translations of g. Indeed, let $\mathsf{T}_y:\mathbb{C}^G\to\mathbb{C}^G$ denote the translation by g operator—that is, T_y maps a function $g\in\mathbb{C}^G$ to a translated version of itself, $\mathsf{T}_y(g)$, which is defined at each $g\in G$ by $\mathsf{T}_y(g)(g)=g(g^{-1}g)$. Then (1) can be written as follows:

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{y \in G} f(y)\mathsf{T}_y(g)(x). \tag{2}$$

This is a sum of weighted translations of g where the coefficients f(y) are the weights, and $\mathsf{T}_y(g)$ is the function g "shifted" by g. (When G is the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with addition modulo n, we have $\mathsf{T}_y(g)(x) = g(y^{-1}x) = g(x-y)$, so in this case $\mathsf{T}_y(g)$ is literally g shifted by g units to the right.)

Equation (2) defines the convolution, f * g, by giving this function's value at each $x \in G$. Using the translation operator, however, we can define convolution "functionally," instead of element-wise, as follows:

$$f * g = \sum_{y \in G} f(y) \mathsf{T}_y(g) \tag{3}$$

(Pause to look at the right hand side of (3), and let it sink in that this is a function that takes arguments $x \in G$. Compare with the right hand side of (2).)

This is fine, but it would also be nice to think of (3) as f acting on g somehow. Indeed, on the right hand side of (3) we have the operator $\sum_{y \in G} f(y) \mathsf{T}_y$ that maps the function g to the function f * g. But on the left hand side we have a binary operation f * g, written in infix notation, which doesn't jibe well with the functional interpretation. So, instead of saying "the convolution of f and g", and writing f * g, we will say "the convolution by f of g," and write $\mathsf{C}(f)(g)$. In this way, we have the *convolution by* f operator:

$$C(f) = \sum_{y \in G} f(y) \mathsf{T}_y,\tag{4}$$

which is a weighted sum of translation operators.

The functional types of the objects, as we will code them, are as follows:

$$\mathsf{C}:\mathbb{C}^G o(\mathbb{C}^G)^{\mathbb{C}^G}$$

Given $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$,

$$\mathsf{C}(f):\mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^G$$

Given $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}^G$,

$$\mathsf{C}(f)(g):G\to\mathbb{C}$$

Or, in the notational style of a functional programming language (e.g., Scala):

$$\mathsf{C}:(G\Rightarrow\mathbb{C})\Rightarrow((G\Rightarrow\mathbb{C})\Rightarrow(G\Rightarrow\mathbb{C}))$$

Given $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$,

$$\mathsf{C}(f):(G\Rightarrow\mathbb{C})\Rightarrow(G\Rightarrow\mathbb{C})$$

Given $f \in \mathbb{C}^G$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}^G$,

$$\mathsf{C}(f)(g):(G\Rightarrow\mathbb{C})$$

Initial thoughts on implementing this in Scala.

Consider functions with multiple parameter lists. If we have, say, 4 argument lists, then in Scala we can write

where E is some expression involving the arguments in the lists. This is equivalent to

```
def f(args1)(args2)(args3) = (args4 \Rightarrow E)
```

because f(args1)(args2)(args3) can be thought of as a function that takes as input the last argument list, args4, and returns E. Another way to write this is

```
def f = (args1 => (args2 => (args3 => (args4 => E) ) ) )
```

This is called "Currying" (named after one of its first proponents, Haskell Curry). Here's what the interface to a convolution function might look like in Scala:

```
def convolution(f: Int => Double)(g: Int => Double)(x: Int): Double = {
    // insert appropriate code for convolution here
}
```

This enables us to expresses the mathematical view of convolution described above. Given some function f, define convolution by f as

```
def Cf = convolution(f)_
```

and then, given another function g, we can do this:

$$def fg = Cf(g)$$

Thus, we have convolution by f of g defined functionally, and we can evaluate this function at various points: fg(x).

An alternative to the above approach, which might make more sense, would be to define the "group algebra" class GA and define the binary operator * for this class to be convolution of two GA objects. This can also be done very simply and elegantly in Scala.

A Excerpts from Original Proposal

Abstract. Underlying many digital signal processing (DSP) algorithms, in particular those used for digital audio filters, is the convolution operation. This operation acts on a signal, f(x), and can be viewed as a weighted sum of translations, f(x-y). Most classical results of DSP are easily and elegantly derived if we define our functions on \mathbb{Z}/n , the abelian (or commutative) group of integers modulo n (see [6]). The term abelian here refers to the fact that the basic group operation is addition (modulo n) which is a commutative operation (i.e., x + y = y + x).

If we replace this "index set" (the set on which functions are defined) with a nonabelian group—where the group operation is now multiplication, xy—then instead of the usual translation, f(x-y), we have a generalized translation, $f(xy^{-1})$. If we carry out convolution using this generalized translation, the resulting audio filters will naturally produce different effects than those obtained with ordinary (abelian group) convolution.

Dr. DeMeo, initiated research based on these ideas in 2004 and presented some preliminary findings at the International Symposium on Musical Acoustics (see [4], which received a "best paper" award). Similar ideas have been successfully applied to two dimensional image data as well as to other areas of engineering (see [1] and [7]). However, to date the application of nonabelian groups to audio signal processing seems relatively unexplored, and there are a number of fundamental open questions in this area that we hope to answer.

Research question. If the underlying index set of a digital audio filtering algorithm is modified to use various nonabelian groups (instead of the commonly used abelian group), how does this change the behavior of the filter and the resulting audio output?

Project timeline.

October 2013–December 2013: Become more familiar with music analysis/synthesis and DSP algorithms, and gain further knowledge of group theory and its role in classical DSP implementations.

January 2014–April 2014: Write code to implement algorithms for general nonabelian group DSP. Identify specific characteristics of groups that make them more (or less) useful as an index set on which to define DSP operations like convolution.

May 2014-October 2014: Gather and analyze results, and write up reports. Submit manuscript to an academic journal. Prepare for and attend conferences.

Project goals and objectives. We propose to explore the idea of using the underlying finite group (i.e., the index set) as an adjustable parameter of a digital audio filter. By listening to samples produced using various nonabelian groups, we hope to get a sense of the "acoustical characters" of finite groups. We will attempt to associate these acoustical features with various mathematical properties of the groups, and develop a classification scheme that might be useful to practitioners in audio signal processing and computer music composition.

- Goals: Develop the mathematical theory necessary to provide sonic characterizations of nonabelian groups. Discover which mathematical features of a group can be used to describe how a given DSP algorithm based on that group will behave. Produce computer software that allows users to process and manipulate musical signals using nonabelian group filters.
- Objective 1: Develop an understanding of the basic math underlying signal processing algorithms in general and convolution in particular and show mathematically what effects the use of a nonabelian group index set will have on the convolution operation.
- Objective 2: Find a short list of nonabelian groups that are useful for nonabelian group audio filters and effects processors, prove their effectiveness both mathematically and experimentally, and document these discoveries.
- Objective 3: Implement a software program that takes an audio signal as input and allows the user to apply filters corresponding to specific nonabelian groups to achieve different effects.

Methodology. We will conduct controlled experiments with very simple sound signals at first (sine waves and linear chirps), and filter these signals using standard convolution. Then we will filter the original signals using a generalized (nonabelian) convolution, substituting the underlying index set with various groups from the wide variety of nonabelian groups available in the SmallGroups library of GAP [5].

When we replace the index set \mathbb{Z}/n with various finite nonabelian groups, in the beginning, the simplest examples of nonabelian groups (such as semidirect product groups), will be constructed "by hand" using GAP's SemidirectProduct function. Groups with a more complicated structure will be selected from GAP's vast SmallGroups library using various selection criteria. For each of the groups tested, we will implement the convolution function using the generalized (nonabelian) translation $f(xy^{-1})$ in place of ordinary translation f(x-y) used in classical convolution.

After completing these controlled experiments, we will analyze the results to compare the effects of the choice of group on the resulting convolution filter. Finally, we will attempt to make a connection between the mathematical properties of the group and the acoustical properties of the resulting convolution.

Both GAP and Matlab will be used for much of the initial prototyping and testing. Matlab provides easy methods for constructing wav files "from scratch" with its wavwrite() function. Additionally, Myoung An (a colleague of Dr. DeMeo) has provided us with the Matlab code that she and Richard Tolimieri developed for their work in image processing, where they applied nonabelian group filters to the processing of 2D digital images. This code will be a valuable resource as we seek to apply similar ideas to audio signal processing.

As the project progresses, we will likely use the JavaSound library and implement our generalized DSP algorithms in Java. JavaSound provides methods for reading and altering wav files frequencies and sound intensity levels, which will prove useful when we apply our generalized DSP algorithms to more complex sounds.

Anticipated results, final products, and dissemination. By the end of the Spring 2014 semester, I expect to have written Matlab programs to test the results of the modified DSP implementations described above. I also expect to have developed a Java software program which allows easy application of nonabelian group filters through a graphical user interface. I hope that the results will prove interesting and have practical applications for computer music composition.

The abstract for this project has already been accepted for presentation at the Joint Mathematics Meetings in Baltimore in 2014. In addition, I will submit the work to the International Computer Music Conference (ICMC), the International Symposium on Musical Acoustics (ISMA), and the 14th International Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression (NIME). Previous work on topics related to this proposal by my faculty mentors have been accepted at both ICMC and ISMA, so we have high expectations for this project. I will write up a formal article describing the research and results and submit the manuscript to at least one scholarly journal in mathematics or music. Finally, if my project proposal is accepted and I become a Magellan Scholar, I will be honored to present the work at Discovery Day 2014.

List of Acronyms

GAP Group, Algorithms, and Programming

XML Extensible Markup Language

ICMC International Computer Music Conference

ISMA International Symposium on Musical Acoustics

NIME New Interfaces for Musical Expression

DSP digital signal processing

References

[1] Gregory S. Chirikjian and Alexander B. Kyatkin. Engineering Applications of Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis: With Emphasis on Rotation and Motion Groups. CRC Press, 2002.

- [2] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, R. A. Wilson, S. P. Norton, and R. A. Parker. *ATLAS of Finite Groups*. Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [3] William DeMeo. Characterizing musical signals with Wigner-Ville interferences. In *Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference*. ICMC, 2002. Available from: http://math.hawaii.edu/~williamdemeo/ICMC2002.pdf.
- [4] William DeMeo. Topics in nonabelian harmonic analysis and DSP applications. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Musical Acoustics*. ISMA, 2004. Available from: http://math.hawaii.edu/~williamdemeo/ISMA2004.pdf.
- [5] The GAP Group. GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Ver. 4.4.12, 2008. Available from: http://www.gap-system.org.
- [6] Richard Tolimieri and Myoung An. Time-Frequency Representations. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [7] Richard Tolimieri and Myoung An. *Group Filters and Image Processing*. Kluwer Acad., 2004. Available from: http://prometheus-us.com/asi/algebra2003/papers/tolimieri.pdf.