# Week 1 Introduction and language model

# — Introduction to NLP

#### what is NLP?

- computers using natural language as input (understanding) and/or output (generation)
- key applications: machine translation, information extraction, text summarzation, dialogue systems

## basic NLP problems

- tagging (part-of-speech tagging, named entity recognition)
- parsing

## why is NLP hard?

Ambiguity (acoustic level 声学、 semantic level语义、 syntactic level句法、 discourse level
语境)

#### what will this course be about?

- NLP sub-problems: part-speech tagging, parsing, word-sense disambiguation, etc.
- machine learning techniques: probabilistic context-free grammars, hidden markov models, estimation / smoothing techniques, the EM algorithm, log-liner models, etc.
- Applications: information extraction, machine translation, natural language interfaces.

# a syllabus教学大纲

- language modeling, smoothed estimation
- Tagging, hidden Markov models
- Statistical parsing
- machine learning
- Log-linear model, discriminative methods
- Semi-supervised and unsuprtvised learning for NLP

### books

- Comprehensive notes for course: <a href="http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~mcollins">http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~mcollins</a>
- Jurafsky and Martin: Speech and Language Processing (2nd edition)

# 二、The language modeling problem

## The language modeling problem

#### • traing set

 ${\cal V}$  : finite set of vocabulary

 $\mathcal{V}^{\dagger}$ : an infinite set of strings (quite large, may have hundreds of billions of words nowdays)

#### task

to learn a probability distribution p that satisfies

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger} p(x) = 1, p(x) \geq 0 \; for \; all \; x \in \mathcal{V}^\dagger$$

- Why do we need to do this:
  - Speech recognition was the original motivation. (Other applications: optical character recognition, handwriting recognition, machine translations)
  - The estimation techniques developed for this problem is VERY useful for other problems in NLP.

#### • A naive method

We have N training sentences, for any sentence  $x_1 cdots x_n$ ,  $c(x_1 cdots x_n)$  is the count of the sentence in training data, then a naive estimate:

$$p(x_1 \ldots x_n) = rac{c(x_1 \ldots x_n)}{N}$$

Deficiencies:

probability of sentences that not seen in training data will be 0.

has no ability to generate the probability of new sentences.

# **Markov process**

#### Definition

A sequence of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ , each random variables can take any value in a finite set  $\mathcal V$ , then to model

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

we can get  $|\mathcal{V}|^n$  different sequences in this model.

First-Order Markov process

$$egin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n) \ &= P(X_1 = x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n P(X_i = x_i | X_1 = x_1, \dots X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

the first-order Markov assumption: for any  $i \in \{2...n\}$  , and for any  $x_1 \ldots x_n$  ,

$$P(X_i = x_i | X_1 = x_1, \dots X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}) = P(X_i = x_i | X_{i-1} = x_{i-1})$$

then

$$egin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n) \ &= P(X_1 = x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n P(X_i = x_i | Xi - 1 = x_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Second-Order Markov process

$$egin{aligned} &P(X_1=x_1,X_2=x_2,\ldots,X_n=x_n)\ &=P(X_1=x_1) imes P(X_2=x_2|X_1=x_1)\ & imes \prod_{i=3}^n P(X_i=x_i|X_{i-2}=x_{i-2},X_{i-1}=x_{i-1})\ &=\prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i=x_i|X_{i-2}=x_{i-2},X_{i-1}=x_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $x_0=x_{-1}=*$  , where \* is a special "start" symbol. And define  $X_n=$  STOP where STOP is a special symbol.

# **Trigram models**

- A trigram language model consists of:
  - $\circ$  A finite set  ${\cal V}$
  - A parameter q(w|u,v) for each trigram u,v,w such that  $w\in\mathcal{V}\cup\{\mathsf{STOP}\}$  , and  $u,v\in\mathcal{V}\cup\{*\}$
- For any sentence  $x_1\cdots x_n$  where  $x_i\in \mathcal{V}$  for  $i=1\cdots (n-1)$ , and  $x_n=\mathsf{STOP}$ , the probability of the sentence under the trigram language model is

$$p(x_1\cdots x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n q(x_i|x_{i-2},x_{i-1})$$

where  $x_0 = x_{-1} = *$ .

# **Evaluating language models: perplexity**

ullet For test data  $s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m$  , define perplexity as

Perplexity 
$$= 2^{-l}$$
 where  $l = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^m \log p(s_i)$ 

where M is the total number of words in the test data, and the log base is 2.

The lower quantity of perplexity is, the better the model is.

ullet Intuition about perplexity Vocabulary is  ${\mathcal V}$  , and  $N=|{\mathcal V}|+1$  , and model predicts

$$q(w|u,v) = rac{1}{N}$$

for all  $w \in \mathcal{V} \cup \{\mathsf{STOP}\}$  , and all  $u, v \in \mathcal{V} \cup \{*\}$  , we can get perplexity equals to N.

## **Estimation techniques:**

Maximum likelihood estimate

$$q(w_i|w_{i-2},w_{i-1}) = rac{\mathsf{Count}(w_{i-2},w_{i-1},w_i)}{\mathsf{Count}(w_{i-2},w_{i-1})}$$

Deficiencies:

- $\circ$  Huge number of parameters: vocabulary size  $|\mathcal{V}|=N$  ,then there are  $N^3$  parameters in the model.
- Numerator and denominator may be 0, which will lead to estimates being unrealistically low or ill defined.
- Liner interpolation
  - Trigram maximum-likelihood estimate

$$q_{\mathsf{ML}}(w_i|w_{i-2},w_{i-1}) = rac{\mathsf{Count}(w_{i-2},w_{i-1},w_i)}{\mathsf{Count}(w_{i-2},w_{i-1})}$$

• Bigram maximum-likelihood estimate

$$q_{\mathsf{ML}}(w_i|w_{i-1}) = rac{\mathsf{Count}(w_{i-1},w_i)}{\mathsf{Count}(w_{i-1})}$$

Unigram maximun-likelihood estimate

$$q_{\mathsf{ML}}(w_i) = rac{\mathsf{Count}(w_i)}{\mathsf{Count}()}$$

o Then,

$$egin{aligned} q(w_i|w_{i-2},w_{i-1}) &= & \lambda_1 imes q_{\mathsf{ML}}(w_i|w_{i-2},w_{i-1}) \ &+ \lambda_2 imes q_{\mathsf{ML}}(w_i|w_{i-1}) \ &+ \lambda_3 imes q_{\mathsf{ML}}(w_i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$  , and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all i .

- Estimate the value of  $\lambda$ 
  - Hold out part of training data set as validation data
  - Define  $c'(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  to be the number of times the trigram  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$  is seen in validation set
  - Choose to maximize:
- discounting methods

# **Further reading:**

C. Shannon. Prediction and entropy of printed English. Bell Systems Technical Journal, 30:50–64, 1951.