



SCS flavor-naming

<https://input.scs.community/Why-Standardized-Flavors-And-Names>

Suggestion 1

agree

Suggestion 2

agree

Suggestion 3

26/28 are to much
it is hard to fit all use cases. so a set of flavors with 2 and 4 CPU could be mandatory and more are recommandations

Suggestion 4

speaking names instead of cryptic names
both schemes need an explanation but speaking names could be a better first user experience (die first user is often a manager instead of an administrator)
for more details please have a look to the metadata

must have

Prefix-	Optimization-	Size-	CPUtype-		Disctype	Storage (GB)-	
SCS	standard	tiny	C		n	possible range (50 - 500)	
	highcpu	small	T		h	X	
	highmem	medium	V		s		
	GPU	large	L		p		
		extralarge					

Examples (must have):

- SCS-standard-medium-V-
- SCS-highcpu-large-C-n (CPU / highcpu / cpuperf only network shared storage (ceph/cinder))
- SCS-highmem-small-V-

no fixed Disk size or only 1 mandatory (100gb)

Optimization	CPU:RAM
base / normal / standard	1:4
CPU / highcpu / cpuperf	1:2
RAM / highmem / memperf	1:8
GPU	1:4+X*GPU

Size	CPUs
tiny	1
small	2
medium	4
large	8
extralarge	16



Disctype	Meaning
n	network shared storage (ceph/cinder)
h	local disk (HDD: SATA/SAS class)
s	local SSD disk
p	local high-perf NVMe

CPUtype	Meaning
C	dedicated Core
T	dedicated Thread (SMT)
V	vCPU (oversubscribed)
L	vCPU (heavily oversubscribed)

Note 2

good idea for the mandatory flavours and at the end all other flavours come along with the csp customers

Suggestion 5

agree with a look to note 2

Suggestion 6

agree

Suggestion 7

disk size only as recommendation

Suggestion 8

agree

Suggestion 9

waiting for v3

Suggestion 10

we will have a much better and widely welcome v3 scheme

Migration Pain with Co-existence option

Suggestion 11

longer duality

Suggestion 12