



# BUKIDNON STATE UNIVERSITY

Malaybalay City, Bukidnon 8700

Tel (088) 813-5661 to 5663; TeleFax (088) 813-2717, [www.buksu.edu.ph](http://www.buksu.edu.ph)

## Evaluation Rubric for Capstone 1 Proposal Defense

Date	20/12/2024	Time	11:00AM	Venue	COT Conference Room, 3rd Floor of the COT Building, IT Faculty Room
Title of Capstone Project	VertiGrow: Vertical Farming using IoT and Solar Energy				
Name of Proponents					
1.	Joshua James G. Yosores				
2.	Feby Angela H. Felices				
3.	Keith Einlou J. Pogoy				
4.	Sern S. Ponce				
Name of Adviser	Louie Jay S. Labastida				

### Scoring Criteria:

- 1 – Needs Improvement:** Insufficient thought process; lacks clarity and coherence. Significant gaps or weaknesses are present, with substantial room for improvement.
- 2 – Adequate:** Reasonable thought process, but some gaps or inconsistencies exist. Shows a basic understanding, but improvements are necessary to strengthen the overall quality.
- 3 – Complete:** Well thought out and well-executed; minimal room for improvement. Demonstrates a solid understanding with clear and coherent presentation of ideas.

No.	Criteria	% Distribution	Panel / Client's Rating	Average Score
<b>PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION (15%)</b>				
1	Clear articulation of problem.	5%		
2	Demonstrates relevance and significance.	5%		
3	Provides justification for addressing the problem.	5%		
<b>OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY (15%)</b>				
4	Objectives are clearly stated and easy to understand.	5%		
5	Objectives align with the identified problem and purpose of the study.	5%		
6	Objectives are measurable and achievable within the scope of the project.	5%		
<b>REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE (15%)</b>				
7	Adequacy and relevance of sources.	5%		



# BUKIDNON STATE UNIVERSITY

Malaybalay City, Bukidnon 8700

Tel (088) 813-5661 to 5663; TeleFax (088) 813-2717, [www.buksu.edu.ph](http://www.buksu.edu.ph)

8	Thoroughness of review.	5%		
9	Organization and coherence of the review.	5%		
<b>METHODOLOGY (15)</b>				
10	Clearly explains research methods.	5%		
11	Appropriateness of data collection and analysis.	5%		
12	Feasibility of the methods proposed.	5%		
<b>INNOVATIVENESS AND ORIGINALITY (20%)</b>				
13	Demonstrates creativity and introduces new concepts or approaches.	6%		
14	Approach or solution addresses the problem in a novel or unique way.	6%		
15	Shows potential to contribute to the field or create a significant impact.	8%		
<b>PRESENTATION AND COMMUNICATION (10%)</b>				
16	Clarity of oral presentation.	3%		
17	Effectiveness in communicating ideas.	3%		
18	Ability to answer questions confidently.	4%		
<b>TECHNICAL WRITING (10%)</b>				
19	Follows prescribed format.	5%		
20	Clear and well-organized writing.	5%		
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>		<b>100%</b>		

Evaluated by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Panelist/Client over Printed Name

Date: \_\_\_\_\_