Using Algebraic Geometry

With 0 Figures

Anakin Dey

Last Edited on 7/28/24 at 09:06

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Polynomials and Ideals	1

Preface

At the time of writing this, I am starting my PhD at The Ohio State University. Currently a large part of my interests in algebra are about algorithms as they relate to polynomials and algebraic geometry. I've been doing a bunch of problems from *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms* [CLO15]. However, it seems that *Using Algebraic Geometry* [CLO05] moves through the material faster as it assumes you know more algebra. So I've moved onto working through this book as well as trying to comprehend Sturmfel's *Algorithms in Invariant Theory* [Str08].

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Polynomials and Ideals

Exercise 1.1 (CLO05 1.1.1):

- (a) Show that $x^2 \in \langle x y^2, xy \rangle$ in k[x, y].
- (b) Show that $\langle x y^2, xy, y^2 \rangle = \langle x, y^2 \rangle$.
- (c) Is $\langle x y^2, xy \rangle = \langle x^2, xy \rangle$? Why or why not?

Proof:

- (a) We have that $x(x-y^2) + y(xy) = x^2 xy^2 + xy^2 = x^2$.
- (b) It suffices to check for generators. We have that $x + (-1)(y^2) = x y^2$, y(x) = xy, and $y^2 = y^2$ showing that $\langle x y^2, xy, y^2 \rangle \subseteq \langle x, y^2 \rangle$. Then $x y^2 + y^2 = x$ and $y^2 = y^2$ shows the reverse containment and overall the ideals are equal.
- (c) We already know from 1. that x^2 lives in $\langle x-y^2, xy \rangle$. Since xy=xy, we overall have that $\langle x^2, xy \rangle \subseteq \langle x-y^2, xy \rangle$. It remains to check if $x-y^2 \in \langle x^2, xy \rangle$. However, notice that every element of $\langle x^2, xy \rangle$ is divisible by x while $x-y^2$ is clearly not divisible by x. Thus $x-y^2 \notin \langle x^2, xy \rangle$ and the two ideals are not equal.

Exercise 1.2 (CLO05 1.1.2):

Show that $\langle f_1, ..., f_s \rangle$ is closed under sums in $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$. Also show that if $f \in \langle f_1, ..., f_s \rangle$ and $p \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ then $p \cdot f \in \langle f_1, ..., f_s \rangle$.

Proof:

Let $f,g \in \langle f_1,\ldots,f_s \rangle$. Then $\exists p_1,\ldots,p_s,q_1,\ldots,q_s$ such that $f=\sum_{i=1}^s p_i \cdot f_i$ and $g=\sum_{i=1}^s q_i \cdot f_i$. Thus $f+g=\sum_{i=1}^s (p_i+q_i) \cdot f_i$ which shows that $f+g\in \langle f_1,\ldots,f_s \rangle$. Then let $p\in k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. We have that $p\cdot f=p\sum_{i=1}^s p_i f_i=\sum_{i=1}^s (p\cdot p_i) \cdot f_i$ which shows that $\langle f_1,\ldots,f_s \rangle$ is an ideal.

Exercise 1.3 (CLO05 1.1.3):

Show that $\langle f_1, ..., f_s \rangle$ is the smallest ideal containing $\{f_1, ..., f_s\}$.

Proof:

We already know that $\langle f_1,\ldots,f_s\rangle$ is an ideal by Exercise 1.2. Now suppose that J is an ideal containing $\{f_1,\ldots,f_s\}$. Then, since ideals are closed under addition and scaling, we have that for all $p_1,\ldots,p_s\in k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ that $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i\cdot f_i\in J$. Thus, $\langle f_1,\ldots,f_s\rangle\subseteq J$.

Exercise 1.4 (CLO05 1.1.4):

Using Exercise 1.3, formulate and prove a general criterion for the equality of $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$ and $J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_t \rangle$.

Proof:

We claim that $\langle f_1,\ldots,f_s\rangle=\langle g_1,\ldots,g_t\rangle$ if and only if $\{g_1,\ldots,g_t\}\subseteq I$ and $\{f_1,\ldots,f_s\}\subseteq J$. The forward implication is immediate. Then by Exercise 1.3, if $\{g_1,\ldots,g_t\}\subseteq I$ then $J\subseteq I$. Similarly, $\{f_1,\ldots,f_s\}\subseteq J\Longrightarrow I\subseteq J$ and overall I=J. This fact was used in Exercise 1.1 (b).

Exercise 1.5 (CLO05 1.1.5):

Show that $\langle y - x^2, z - x^3 \rangle = \langle y - x^2, z - xy \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$.

Proof:

It suffices to show that $z-x^3 \in \langle y-x^2, z-xy \rangle$ and and $z-xy \in \langle x-y^2, z-x^3 \rangle$. Indeed we have that $(z-xy)+x(y-x^2)=z-x^3$ which also yields that $z-xy=z-x^3-x(y-x^2)$.

Exercise 1.6 (CLO05 1.1.6):

Show that every ideal $I \subseteq k[x]$ is generated by a single polynomial.

Proof:

If $I = \{0\}$ then $I = \langle 0 \rangle$. So suppose $I \neq 0$. Let $d \in I$ be of minimal degree. $\langle d = \gcd(I) \text{ but I need} \}$ infinite Bezout. \rangle Then we claim that $\langle d \rangle = I$. Since $d \in I$, we have that $\langle d \rangle \subseteq I$. Now let $f \in I$. By Euclidean division, there exists $q, r \in k[x]$ such that f = qd + r where either r = 0 or $0 \leq \deg(r) \leq \deg(d) - 1$. If r = 0 then $f \in \langle d \rangle$ and we are done. So suppose $r \neq 0$. Then $f, qd \in I \implies r = f - qd \in I$. Thus, $r \in I$ is of degree strictly less than d, contradicting the minimality of the degree of d. So we must have that r = 0 and overall $\langle d \rangle = I$.

Exercise 1.7 (CLO05 1.1.7):

- (a) Show that $\sqrt{\langle x^n \rangle} = \langle x \rangle$ in k[x].
- (b) If $p(x) = (x a_1)^{e_1} \cdots (x a_m)^{e_m}$, find $\sqrt{\langle p(x) \rangle}$.
- (c) Let $k = \mathbb{C}$. What are the radical ideals in $\sqrt{\mathbb{C}[x]}$?

Proof:

- (a) Suppose $f(x) \in \langle x \rangle$. Then $f(x)^m \in \langle x^n \rangle$ so $f(x) \in \sqrt{\langle x^n \rangle}$ Now suppose that $f(x) \in \sqrt{\langle x^n \rangle}$. Then $\exists k$ such that $f(x)^k \in \langle x^n \rangle$. Thus $f(x)^k$ is a multiple of x^n . This implies that $f(x)^k$ is a multiple of x. Then notice that the unique factorization of $f(x)^k$ into irreducibles is the kth power of the factorization of f(x) into irreducibles. Thus x must be a factor of f(x) and so $f(x) \in \langle x \rangle$. Note, this heavily uses the fact that k[x] is a unique factorization domain for all fields k.
- (b) We claim that $\sqrt{\langle p(x)\rangle} = \langle (x-a_1)\cdots(x-a_m)\rangle = I$. Suppose $f(x) \in I$. Let $k = \max e_1, \dots, e_n$. Then $p(x) \mid f(x)^k$ so $f(x) \in \sqrt{\langle p(x)\rangle}$. Now suppose that $f(x) \in \sqrt{\langle p(x)\rangle}$. Then $\exists k$ such that $f(x)^k \in \langle p(x)\rangle$. Thus $f(x)^k$ is a multiple of each $(x-a_i)$. Then notice that the unique factorization of $f(x)^k$ into irreducibles is the kth power of the factorization of f(x) into irreducibles. Thus f(x) is a multiple of each $(x-a_i)$ and so $f(x) \in I$.
- (c) Radical ideals are the ideals I such that $\sqrt{I} = I$. Notice that $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is a principal ideal domain and so any such I must be generated by a single polynomial. Since every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ splits into linear factors, (b) immediately implies that the only radical ideals of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ are the ones which are of the form $\langle (x-a_1)\cdots(x-a_m)\rangle$ for $a_1,\ldots,a_m\in\mathbb{C}$.

Bibliography

- [CLO05] D.A. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea. *Using Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 2005. ISBN: 0387207066. DOI: 10.1007/b138611. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/b138611.
- [CLO15] D.A. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea. *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN: 9783319167213. URL: https://books.google.com/books?id=yL7yCAAAQBAJ.
- [Str08] Bernd Strumfels. *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*. Springer Vienna, 2008. ISBN: 9783211774175. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-211-77417-5. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-211-77417-5.