

# Reproducing the paper: *Stochastic Gradient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo* by Tianqi Chen, Emily B. Fox and Carlos Guestrin

Sam Adam-Day, Alexander Goodall, Theo Lewy and Fanqi Xu

## Abstract

We reproduce the experiments contained in ‘Stochastic Gradient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo’ [CFG14] by Chen, Fox and Guestrin.

FiXme: Give  
more details in  
abstract

## List of Corrections

[Give more details in abstract](#) . . . . . 1

## 1 Introduction

- Overview of paper and its context.
- Which experiments replicated, and rationale for this choice.
- Target questions of paper.
- Experimental methodology.
- Implementation details.
  - Integration with Pyro.
  - Which parts are new, and which are from publicly available code?
  - Details about how key aspects were implemented.
- Link to repository.
- New aspects?

## 2 Background

Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (HMC) ([Dua+87; Nea11]) is a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling algorithm. Given a target probability distribution — in our case the posterior distribution of a set of variables  $\theta$  given independent observations  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  — it produces samples by carrying out a random walk over the parameter space using Hamiltonian dynamics.

To begin with prior distribution  $p(\theta)$  and likelihood  $p(x | \theta)$ . Using these we define the *potential energy* function  $U$ :

$$U := - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}} \log p(x | \theta) - \log p(\theta)$$

Note that, using Bayes' rule, we have that the posterior  $p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto \exp(-U)$ . Hamiltonian dynamics introduces an auxiliary set of momentum variables  $r$ . These dynamics have a physical interpretation in which an object moves about a landscape determined by  $U$ . We let this object have *mass matrix*  $M$ . Then  $U(\theta)$  represents the potential energy of the object, and its kinetic energy is given by  $\frac{1}{2}r^\top M^{-1}r$ . The total energy of the system is a quantity known as the *Hamiltonian function*:

$$H(\theta, r) = U(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}r^\top M^{-1}r$$

The development of the system is governed by the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &= M^{-1}r \, dt \\ dr &= -\nabla U(\theta) \, dt \end{aligned}$$

To simulate these continuous dynamics in practice, we must use a discretised version of these equations. To correct for the inaccuracies introduced by doing so, it is necessary to make a *Metropolis-Hastings correction step*. A simple algorithm is given in Algorithm 1.

---

**Algorithm 1** A simple HMC algorithm

---

```

for  $t = 1, 2, \dots$  do
   $r \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  ▷ Resample momentum
   $(\theta_0, r_0) = (\theta, r)$ 
  for  $i = 1$  to  $m$  do
     $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \epsilon M^{-1}r$ 
     $r \leftarrow r - \epsilon \nabla U(\theta)$ 
  end for
   $u \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$ 
   $\rho = \exp(H(\theta, r) - H(\theta_0, r_0))$  ▷ Acceptance probability
  if  $u > \min(1, \rho)$  then ▷ Only accept new state with probability  $\rho$ 
     $\theta = \theta_0$ 
  end if
end for

```

---

In practice, the dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  may be large, and so running Algorithm 1 may be computationally expensive. One idea to combat this is to simulate the Hamiltonian system using only a subset of the data at a time, in analogy with stochastic gradient descent. Unfortunately, such a dynamical system can diverge quite rapidly from the true posterior distribution [Nea11], which necessitates frequent Metropolis-Hastings steps. Such steps must be carried out using the whole dataset.

The method ‘Stochastic Gradient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo’ proposed in [CFG14] addresses this shortcoming. The idea is to incorporate friction into the dynamical system, which works to counteract the noise introduced by selecting a subset of the data.

### 3 Implementation Details

- Describe choice made and how we implemented the algorithms

## 4 Experiments

- Describe experiments and compare with results in the paper.

### 4.1 Simulated examples

### 4.2 Bayesian Neural Networks for Classification

## 5 Conclusion

- Analysis and discussion of findings.
- Suggest what could have been done with more time.

## References

- [CFG14] Tianqi Chen, Emily Fox and Carlos Guestrin. ‘Stochastic Gradient Hamiltonian Monte Carlo’. In: *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning*. Ed. by Eric P Xing and Tony Jebara. Vol. 32. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research 2. Beijing, China: PMLR, June 2014, pp. 1683–1691. URL: <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v32/cheni14.html>.
- [Dua+87] Simon Duane, A.D. Kennedy, Brian J. Pendleton and Duncan Roweth. ‘Hybrid Monte Carlo’. In: *Physics Letters B* 195.2 (1987), pp. 216–222. ISSN: 0370-2693. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(87\)91197-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(87)91197-X). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/037026938791197X>.
- [Nea11] Radford M. Neal. ‘Handbook of Markov Chain Monte Carlo’. In: ed. by BySteve Brooks, Andrew Gelman, Galin Jones and Xiao-Li Meng. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2011. Chap. MCMC Using Hamiltonian Dynamics, pp. 113–162. ISBN: 9780429138508.