Loops and Addressing

Steven R. Bagley

Introduction

- Started to look at writing ARM Assembly Language
- Saw the structure of various commands
- Looked at how we can put those commands together to form control structures seen in highlevel languages (such as C)

```
B main
        DEFB "G51CSA Vending Machine...\n\0"
menu
        DEFB "Have a bottle of Coke\n\0"
coke
        DEFB "Incorrect option\n\0"
error
        ALIGN
main
        SWI 1
                        ; Read a character
        CMP R0, #49
        BNE skip
        ADR R0, coke
        SWI 3
        B end
        ADR R0, error
skip
        SWI 3
end
        SWI 2
```

This is problematic, because the error message will always be printed

```
B main
        DEFB "G51CSA Vending Machine...\n\0"
menu
        DEFB "Have a bottle of Coke\n\0"
coke
        DEFB "Incorrect option\n\0"
error
        ALIGN
main
        SWI 1
                        ; Read a character
        CMP R), #49
        BNE skip
        ADR RO, coke
        SWI 3
        B end
skip
        ADR R0, error
        SWI 3
        SWI 2
end
```

This is problematic, because the error message will always be printed

```
B main
        DEFB "G51CSA Vending Machine...\n\0"
menu
        DEFB "Have a bottle of Coke\n\0"
coke
        DEFB "Incorrect option\n\0"
error
        ALIGN
main
        SWI 1
                        ; Read a character
        CMP R), #49
        ENE skip
        ADR RO, coke
        SWI 3
        R and
       ADR R0, error
skip
        SWI 3
        SWI 2
end
```

This is problematic, because the error message will always be printed

Loops

- Important building blocks in programs
- while loops repeat a block of code whilst the condition holds
- But again, like if, ARM assembler does not provide a while loop
- Need to manufacture it out of simple components
- Already saw this early on in PRG...

Loops using goto

- We can translate our while loop to using gotos
- Bad programming practice normally
- But a good halfway stage between structured programming and assembler
- Remember though that a while loop can execute zero or more times...

Run through an example in C

Show that they both run and produce the same result.

while loop

Note how we've turned the structure of the while loop upside down

We've put the test at the end... There's reasons for this madness...

Can then convert this very easily into machine code

ASM version assumes i is in R1 and j is in R0

while loop

Note how we've turned the structure of the while loop upside down

We've put the test at the end... There's reasons for this madness...

Can then convert this very easily into machine code

ASM version assumes i is in R1 and j is in R0

Worked Example

- Going to work through an example bit of code now
- To calculate the Highest Common Factor (HCF)
- Use Euclid's algorithm

Euclid's HCF algorithm

- Euclid was a famous Greek mathematician (~300BC)
- Devised a simple algorithm for finding the Highest Common Factor of two integers

```
while(a != b)
{
    if(a > b)
        a = a - b;
    else
        b = b - a;
}
```

Let's convert this into assembler

Loops

- Firstly, we need to remove the while loop
- Don't have such luxuries in assembly
- Only have branches, the equivalent of C's goto
- Rewrite our C program to use ifs and goto instead

Eek — GOTO alert... Go and do this, show the program works

Registers

- Now we can start assigning the variables to registers
- Small number here, so we'll use R0 to be equivalent to a, and R1 to be equivalent to b
- Actual registers used doesn't matter (more or less)
- If you 'run out', you can always store the value in memory and the load it back into a register later

Okay now start developing an ARM version alongside the C version split screen then test in Komodo

For Loops

- Again, we can model a for loop in assembler
- Already seen how to do this in G51PRG
- Saw that there is an equivalent while loop for every for loop
- So when we want to use a for loop we can convert it to a while loop
- Then convert the while loop to assembler as before

```
for(expr1; expr2; expr3)
    statement;

expr1;
while(expr2)
{
    statement;
    expr3;
}
```

The equivalent while loop to any for loop

Go show how to rewrite the celsius program

```
for(expr1; expr2; expr3)
    statement;
expr1;
while(expr2)
{
    statement;
    expr3;
}
```

The equivalent while loop to any for loop

Go show how to rewrite the celsius program

while loop

Note how we've turned the structure of the while loop upside down

We've put the test at the end... There's reasons for this madness...

Can then convert this very easily into machine code

ASM version assumes i is in R1 and j is in R0 $\,$

while loop

Note how we've turned the structure of the while loop upside down

We've put the test at the end... There's reasons for this madness...

Can then convert this very easily into machine code

ASM version assumes i is in R1 and j is in R0

Addressing

 Already seen how we can load and store values from memory

```
LDR R0, _a STR R1, _b
```

- But this can only load from a fixed address, and the same address every time
- Okay for global variables, wouldn't work for an array
- This is known as Absolute Addressing

Or at least it would be on most systems...

Addressing on ARM

- ARM provides support for various ways to access memory
- At their heart, they are all just mechanisms for calculating the address to be accessed
- But provide very convenient mechanisms to handle it

Handling Large Addresses

- ARM encodes all instructions in one 32-bit word
- This encodes the instruction and also what it operates on (registers, immediate values, etc)
- Different to a CISC chip which will use multiple words to encode the data
- Much faster to hide numeric constants and data addresses in the instruction themselves

ARM	68000
ADR R0, label	lea label,a0
E24F0004	41F9 0023 3D56

Comparison of ARM (RISC) and 68000 (CISC) encoding for a standard instruction to load an address into R0/A0. ARM implements it in one instruction read, while the 68000 requires three instruction reads.

Addressing on ARM

- But the addresses are 32-bits wide...
- How does ARM hide them inside the the 32-bit instruction when there are only 8- or 12-bits spare?
- Uses a scheme that generates a 'useful subset' of all the 32-bit values
- Already seen this with immediate values in **mov** instructions amongst others

Immediate Value

- Encoded as an 8-bit value (0-255), and a 4-bit rotation value
- Rotation shifts the bits around the 32-bits of the register
- If value cannot be expressed using the above, we can always just load it from memory...

Pseudo-Instruction

• Assembler recognises a pseudo-instruction which will automatically select whether to use a Mov or to load from memory

LDR R1, =0x12345678

- Gets compiled to either a mov instruction (if it will fit), or loads it from memory using LDR
- Assemble designates part of the program a 'literal pool' to store the constants
- Literal pool must be within ±4KB of the PC

But assembler handles it (go demo)

Pseudo-instruction because it doesn't exist — assembler generates a real instruction based on the program's context

Using the PC to help

- Rotation isn't the only useful trick in generating a large constant (often intended as an address)
- Time to think about ADR in more detail
- Again, ADR is not an ARM instruction, but an assembler pseudo-instruction
- Assumes that the address to be loaded into a register is near the PC...

ADR instruction

- If address is 'in range', then destination register can be set using a single-instruction by adding/ subtracting an offset to the value in PC
- Offset must be expressible in 8-bits with rotation
- So ADR R0, one might become SUB R0, PC, #&18 Or ADD R0, PC, #&80
- Depends on the relationship between the label and the instruction's location

ADRL

- Not all address can be generated by this approach
- The offset must be expressible as a rotation of 8-bits
- Also have an ADRL pseudo-instruction
- This will use two (or more) instructions to generate the address...

Again usually ADD or SUB instructions

Given an example — use origin to demo it

ADRL in aasm

- With aasm, ADRL will only generate a single instruction if possible
- Otherwise it may need two *or more* instructions
- Other assemblers may always generate two instructions
- The combination of PC-relative addressing with rotated constants is very powerful...

Can be important when writing code to know exactly how many instructions are executed...

alpha	ORIGIN 0x0100 DEFW 20
beta	ORIGIN 0x1000 DEFW 30
	ORIGIN 0x1010 ENTRY
	ADRL R0, alpha 00001010 E24F0FC6 SUB R0 PC, #&318 00001014 E2400B03 SUB R0, R0, #&C00
	ADRL R0, beta 00001018 E24F0020 SUB R0, PC, #&20 ADRL R0, gamma 0000101C E28F0EED ADD R0, PC, #&ED0 00001020 E2800A02 ADD R0, R0, #&2000
gamma	ORIGIN 0x3EF4 DEFW 40

Various examples of ADRL in action in aasm

Position Independence

- One other advantage of writing code like this is it is position-independent
- That is, providing all the access to addresses are relative to the PC then it doesn't matter where it is loaded in memory
- As soon as you hard-code an address (e.g. in a variable, or literal pool) then this breaks...

Doesn't mean the code cannot be loaded anywhere but the thing loading it will need to 'relocate' it to the new memory address...