# PCML Cheat Sheet

### 1 Math Prerequisites

- Bayes rule

$$p(A, B) = \underbrace{p(A|B)}_{\text{Lik.}} \underbrace{p(B)}_{\text{Prior}} = \underbrace{p(B|A)}_{\text{Post}} \underbrace{p(A)}_{\text{Marg. Lik.}}$$

Gaussian distribution

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))$$

$$V(XY) = E(X^{2})E(Y^{2}) - [E(X)]^{2}[E(Y)]^{2}$$

Covariance matrix of a data vector x

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x}_n - E(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}_n - E(\mathbf{x})^T)$$

- A function is convex when a line joining two points never interects anywhere else.
- A function f(x) is convex, if for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and for any  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , we have :

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda)f(x_2)$$

- Strictly convex if the inequality is strict.
- A convex function has only one global minimum.
- Sums of convex functions are also convex
- The Hessian is related to the convexity: a twice differentiable function is convex i-o-if the Hessian is positive definite.
- The Hessian of a convex function is positive semi-definite and for a strictly-convex function it is positive definite.

$$\mathbf{H}_{i,j} = d^2 f/dx_i dx_j$$

# 1.2 Linear Algebra

- Column  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , rows  $\mathbf{x}^T$ , matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}$  is a scalar,  $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T$  is a matrix
- $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exist if  $\mathbf{A}$  is full rank  $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B})^T = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{A}^T$
- Condition number of a function measures how much the output value can change for a small change in the input. A matrix with a high condition number is said to be ill-conditioned. If **A** is normal  $(A^TA = AA^T)$  then

$$k(\mathbf{A}) = \left| \frac{\lambda_{max}(\mathbf{A})}{\lambda_{min}(\mathbf{A})} \right|$$

- A positive definite matrix is symmetric with all positive eigenvalues
- The real symmetric  $N \times N$  matrix **V** is said to be positive semidefinite if

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{a} \ge 0$$

for any real  $N \times 1$  vector a.

- positive definite if  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{V} \mathbf{a} > 0$
- Cost of matrix inversion:  $O(n^3) \rightarrow O(n^{2.372})$
- det(A) using LU decomposition: O(n3)

### 2 Cost functions

- Cost functions are used to learn parameters that explain the data well.
- It is essential to make sure that a global minimum exist → lower bounded

### Mean square error (MSE):

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - f(\mathbf{x}_n))^2$$

- MSE is convex thus it has only one global minumum value.
- MSE is not good when outliers are present.

## Mean Absolute Error (MAE):

$$MAE = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |y_n - f(\mathbf{x}_n)|$$

$$Huber = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} rac{1}{2}z^2 &, |z| \leq \delta \\ \delta|z| - rac{1}{2}\delta^2 &, |z| > \delta \end{array} 
ight.$$
 — Huber loss is convex, differentiable, and also

robust to outliers but hard to set  $\delta$ .

Tukey's bisquare loss

$$L(z) = \begin{cases} z(\delta^2 - z^2)^2 &, |z| < \delta \\ 0 &, |z| \ge \delta \end{cases}$$

Non-convex, non-diff., but robust to outliers. Hinge loss

 $Hinge = [1 - y_n f(\mathbf{x}_n)]_+ = \max(0, 1 - y_n f(\mathbf{x}_n))$ Logistic loss

$$Logistic = log(1 - exp(y_n f(\mathbf{x}_n)))$$

### 3 Regression

- Data consists of N pairs (y<sub>n</sub>, x<sub>n</sub>)
- y<sub>n</sub> the n'th output
   x<sub>n</sub> is a vector of D inputs
- Prediction: predict the ouput for a new input
- Interpretation: understand the effect of inputs on output
- Outliers are data that are far away from most of the other examples

### 3.1 Linear Regression

- Model that assume linear relationship between inputs and the ounut

$$y_n \equiv f(\mathbf{x}_n) := \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{n1} + \dots = \beta_0 + \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$$
 with  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  the parameters of the model.

Variance grows only linearly with dimensionality

### 3.2 Gradient Descent

- Gradient descent uses only first-order
- information and takes steps in the direction of the gradient
- Given a cost function  $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  we wish to find  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ that minimizes the cost-

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

### 3.2.1 Grid search

- Compute the cost over a grid of M points to find the minimum
- Exponential Complexity  $O(NDM^D)$
- Hard to find a good range of values

### 3.3 Batch Gradient Descent

- Take steps in the opposite direction of the

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} - \alpha \frac{d\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)})}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}}$$

- with  $\alpha > 0$  the learning rate.
- With  $\alpha$  too big, method might diverge. With  $\alpha$ too small, convergence is slow.

## 3.4 Gradients for MSE

$$\mathbf{\tilde{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix}$$

We define the error vector e:

$$e = v - \tilde{X}\beta$$

and MSE as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 = \frac{1}{2N} \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$$

- then the gradient is given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{N}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T\mathbf{e}$$

Optimality conditions

- 1. necessary: gradient equal zero:  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}} = 0$
- 2. sufficient: Hessian matrix is positive definite:

$$\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*) = \frac{d^2 \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)}{d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\boldsymbol{\beta}^T}$$

- Very sensitive to illconditioning. Therefore, always normalize your feature otherwise step-size selection is difficult since different directions might move at different speed.
- Complexity: O(NDI) with I the number of iterations

## 3.5 Least Squares

- In some cases, we can compute the minimum of the cost function analytically.
- use the first optimality conditions:

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\mathbf{a}} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{e} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T (\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = 0$$

- When  $\mathbf{\tilde{X}}^T\mathbf{\tilde{X}}$  is invertible, we have the closed-form expression

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^* = (\mathbf{\tilde{X}}^T\mathbf{\tilde{X}})^{-1}\mathbf{\tilde{X}}^T\mathbf{y}$$

thus we can predict values for a new x\*

$$y_* = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_*^{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_*^{\mathbf{T}} (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{y}$$

- The **Gram matrix**  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  is positive definite and is also invertible iff  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  has full column rank. Complexity:  $O(ND^2 + D^3) \equiv O(ND^2)$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  can be rank deficient when D > N or when the comlumns  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_d$  are nearly collinear. In this case, the matrix is ill-conditioned, leading to numerical issues

### 3.6 Maximum Likelihood

Let define our mistakes ε<sub>n</sub> ∼ N(0, σ<sup>2</sup>).

$$\rightarrow y_n = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_n$$

Another way of expressing this:

$$\begin{split} p(\mathbf{y}|\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(y_n|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(y_n|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) \end{split}$$

which defines the likelihood of observating y given  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ 

Define cost with log-likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}_{lik}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \log p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{\tilde{X}}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2 + cnst$$

Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) gives another way to design cost functions

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \, \mathcal{L}_{MSE}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \, \mathcal{L}_{lik}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

- MLE can also be interpreted as finding the model under which the observed data is most likely to have been generated from.
- With Laplace distribution

$$p(y_n | \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{2b} e^{-\frac{1}{b} |y_n - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}|}$$

$$\sum \log p(y_n | \mathbf{\tilde{x}}_n, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum |y_n - \mathbf{\tilde{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}| + cnst$$

# 3.7 Ridge Regression

 Linear models usually underfit. One way is to use nonlinear basis functions instead.

$$y_n = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_n) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- This model is linear in  $\beta$  but nonlinear in  $\mathbf{x}$ . Note that the dimensionality is now M, not D.

$$m{\phi}(x_n) = [1, x_n, x_n^2, ..., x_n^M]$$
 – The least square solution becomes

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{lse}^* = (\mathbf{\tilde{\Phi}}^T \mathbf{\tilde{\Phi}})^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{\Phi}}^T \mathbf{y}$ Complex models overfit easily. Thus we can choose simpler models by adding a regularization term which penalizes complex

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left( \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_j^2 \right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^* = \operatorname{argmin} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\beta} \right)$$

- Note that  $\beta_0$  is not penalized.
- By differentiating and setting to zero we get

$$oldsymbol{eta}_{ridge} = (\mathbf{ ilde{\Phi}}^T\mathbf{ ilde{\Phi}} + \mathbf{\Lambda})^{-1}\mathbf{ ilde{\Phi}}^T\mathbf{y}$$

- Ridge regression improves the condition number of the Gram matrix since the eigenvalues of  $(\tilde{\Phi}^T \tilde{\Phi} + \lambda I_m)$  are at least  $\lambda$
- Maximum-a-posteriori (MAP) estimator: - Maximizes the product of the likelihood and

$$\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{MAP} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left( p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) p(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \right) \end{array}$$

- Assume  $\beta_0 = 0$ 

$$m{eta}_{ridge} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{m{eta}} \left( \log \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(y_n | \mathbf{x}_n^T m{eta}, \mathbf{\Lambda}) \right] \right)$$

Lasso regularizer forces some  $\beta_i$  to be strictly 0 and therefore forces sparsity in the model.

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (y_n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \boldsymbol{\beta})^2, \quad \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^{M} |\beta_i| \le \tau \text{ when}$$

- We should choose λ to minimize the mistakes that will be made in the future
- We split the data into train and validation sets and we pretend that the validation set is the future data. We fit our model on the training set and compute a prediction-error on the validation set. This gives us an estimate of the generalization error.
- K-fold cross validation randomly partition the data into K groups. We train on K-1groups and test on the remaining group. We repeat this until we have tested on all K sets. We then average the results.
- Cross-validation returns an unbiased estimate of the generalization error and its variance.

# 3.9 Bias-Variance decomposition

- The expected test error can be expressed as the sum of two terms
- Squared bias: The average shift of the
- predictions - Variance: measure how data points vary around their average.

expected loss = 
$$(bias)^2 + variance + noise$$

- Both model bias and estimation bias are
- important Ridge regression increases estimation bias while
- reducing variance Increasing model complexity increases test error
- Small  $\lambda \to \text{low}$  bias but large variance Large  $\lambda \to \text{large}$  bias but low variance

Earge 
$$\lambda \rightarrow$$
 rarge bias but low variance
$$err = \sigma^2 + E[f_{lse} - E[f_{lse}]]^2 + [f_{true} - E[f_{lse}]]^2$$

# 3.10 Logistic Regression

- Classification relates input variables x to
- discrete output variable yBinary classifier: we use y = 0 for  $C_1$  and
- y = 1 for  $\mathbf{C}_2$ . - Can use least-squares to predict  $\hat{y}_*$

$$\hat{y} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}_1 & \hat{y}_* < 0.5 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \hat{y}_* > 0.5 \end{cases}$$

Logistic function

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{\exp(x)}{1 + \exp(x)}$$

$$p(y_n = \mathbf{C}_1 | \mathbf{x}_n) = \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^T \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

 $p(y_n = \mathbf{C}_2 | \mathbf{x}_n) = 1 - \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^T \boldsymbol{\beta})$ 

- The probabilistic model: 
$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n^T\boldsymbol{\beta})^{y_n} (1 - \sigma(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n^T\boldsymbol{\beta}))^{1-y_n}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{MLE}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( y_n \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta} - \log(1 + \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta})) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{MLE}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{n=1} \left( y_n \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta} - \log(1 + \mathbf{e}) \right)$$
 — We can use the fact that 
$$\frac{d}{dx} \log(1 + \exp(x)) = \sigma(x)$$
 — Gradient of the log-likelihood

$$\mathbf{g} = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathbf{\beta}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n} y_{n} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n} \sigma (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right)$$

$$= -\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T [\sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathbf{y}]$$
The negative of the log-likelihood  $-\mathcal{L}_{mle}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  is

- convex Hessian of the log-likelihood
  - We know that  $\frac{d\sigma(t)}{dt} = \sigma(t)(1 - \sigma(t))$

- Hessian is the derivative of the gradient

$$\beta_{ridge} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left( \log \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(y_n | \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \times \right] \right) \times \left[ \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\beta} | \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{I}) \right] + \left[ (\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\frac{d\mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}^T} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{d}{d\boldsymbol{\beta}^T} \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n \right] \times \mathbf{sso \ regularizer \ forces \ some \ \boldsymbol{\beta}_i \ to \ be \ strictly}$$
and therefore forces sparsity in the model.

where **S** is a 
$$N \times N$$
 diagonal matrix with

 $S_{nn} = \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n}^{T}\boldsymbol{\beta})(1 - \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n}^{T}\boldsymbol{\beta}))$  The negative of the log-likelihood is not. strictly convex.

### Newton's Method

 Uses second-order information and takes steps in the direction that minimizes a quadratic approximation

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) + \mathbf{g}_k^T (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)}) + (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)})^T \mathbf{H}_k (\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)})$$

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} - \alpha_k \mathbf{H}_1^{-1} \mathbf{g}_k$ 

where  $\mathbf{g}_k$  is the gradient and  $\alpha_k$  the - Complexity:  $O((ND^2 + D^3)I)$ 

and it's minimum is at

Penalized Logistic Regression 
$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \left( -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(y_n | \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \lambda \sum_{d=1}^{D} \beta_d^2 \right)$$

4 Generalized Linear Model

Exponential family distribution

ponential family distribution 
$$p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{h(y)}{Z} \exp(\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y}) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}))$$

- Bernoulli distribution

$$p(y|\mu) = \mu^{y} (1 - \mu)^{1 - y}$$

$$= \exp(y \log(\frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} + \log(1 - \mu)))$$

- there is a relationship between  $\eta$  and  $\mu$ 

throught the link function 
$$\eta = \log(\frac{\mu}{1-\mu}) \leftrightarrow \mu = \frac{e^{\eta}}{1+e^{\eta}}$$

 Note that μ is the mean parameter of y Relationship between the mean  $\mu$  and  $\eta$  is

defined using a link function 
$$g$$

 $\eta = g(\mu) \Leftrightarrow \mu = g^{-1}(\eta)$ First and second derivatives of A(η) are related

to the mean and the variance 
$$\frac{dA(\eta)}{d\eta}=E[\phi(\eta)], \ \, \frac{d^2A(\eta)}{d\eta^2}=Var[\phi(\eta)]$$

 A(η) is convex - The generalized maximum likelihood cost to

nize is 
$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log(p(y_n | \bar{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}))$$

 $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T [\mathbf{g}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{y})]$ 

where  $p(y_n | \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta})$  is an exponential family

distribution We obtain the solution

5 k-Nearest Neighbor (k-NN)

– The k-NN prediction for 
$${\bf x}$$
 is 
$$f({\bf x}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{{\bf x}_n \in nbh_k({\bf x})} y_n$$

where  $nbh_k(\mathbf{x})$  is the neightborhood of  $\mathbf{x}$ defined by the k closest points  $\mathbf{x}_n$  in the

- Curse of dimensionality: Generalizing correctly becomes exponentially harder as the dimensionality grows.
- Gathering more inputs variables may be a bad

### 6 Kernel Ridge Regression

- The following is true for ridge regression

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\beta} &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_D)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T + \lambda \mathbf{I}_N)^{-1} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha} \end{split}$$

- Complexity of computing  $\beta$ : (1)  $O(D^2N + D^3)$ . (2)  $O(DN^2 + N^3)$
- Thus we have

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \mathbf{x}_n, \quad \mathbf{y} = \sum_{d=1}^{D} \beta_d \bar{\mathbf{x}}_d$$

with  $\mathbf{x}_n$  the rows of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_d$  the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ . The representer theorem allows us to write an equivalent optimization problem n terms of  $\alpha$ .

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T + \lambda \mathbf{I}_N)^T \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{y} \right)$$

- $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$  is called the **kernel matrix** or Gram matrix.
- If K is positive definite, then it's called a
- Mercer Kernel.
- $\mathbf{K}_{i,j} = k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$
- If the kernel is Mercer, then there exists a function  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  s.t.

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x'}) = \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \phi(\mathbf{x'})$$

- We can work directly with K and never have to worry about  ${\bf X}$
- Replace (x, x') with k(x, x').
- Kernel function can be interpreted as a measure of similarity
- The evaluation of a kernel is usually faster with k than with  $\phi$
- Kernelized rigde regression might be
- computationally more efficient in some cases. Radial Basis function kernel (RBF)

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')^T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'))$$

- Properties of a kernel to ensure the existance of a corresponding φ:
- K should be symmetric:  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = k(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})$
- K should be positive semidefinite. Thus we get

$$\mathbf{y} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i)$$

### 7 Support Vector Machine

- Combination of the kernel trick plus a modified loss function (Hinge loss)
- Solution to the dual problem is sparse and non-zero entries will be our support vectors.
- Kernelised feature vector where  $\mu_k$  are centroids

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = [k(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1), ..., k(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_K)]$$

- In practice we'll take a subset of data points to be prototype -> sparse vector machine.
- Assume  $y_n \in \{-1, 1\}$
- SVM optimizes the following cost

$$g(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} [1 - y_n \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}]_+ + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \beta_j^2$$

- Minimum doesn't change with a rescaling of  $oldsymbol{eta}$
- choose the hyperplane so that the distance from it to the nearest data point on each side is maximized
- - Hard to minimize q(B) so we define

$$g(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} G(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$$

we use the property that

$$C[v_n]_+ = \max(0, Cv_n) = \max_{\alpha_n \in [0, C]} \alpha_n v_n$$

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in [0,C]^N} \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (1 - y_n \tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_n^T \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M \beta_j^2$$

- This is differentiable, convex in  $\beta$  and concave in  $\alpha$
- Minimax theorem:

$$\min_{\beta} \max_{\alpha} G(\beta, \alpha) = \max_{\alpha} \min_{\beta} G(\beta, \alpha)$$

because G is convex in  $\beta$  and concave in  $\alpha$ .

Derivative w.r.t. β:

$$\frac{dG}{d\beta} = -\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha y_n \tilde{\phi_n}\right) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_{1:M} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1:M}^* = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \phi_n = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^T \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{y}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

$$\mathbf{r}^T \mathbf{y} = 0$$

- Plugging  $\beta^*$  back in the dual problem

$$\max_{oldsymbol{lpha}} oldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2} oldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{Y} oldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{Y} oldsymbol{lpha}$$

- This is a differentiable least-squares problem. Optimization is easy using Sequential Minimal Optimization. It is also
- naturally kernelized with  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Phi}^T$  The solution α is sparse and is non-zero only for the training examples that are instrumental in determining the decision boundary.

## 8 K-means

- Unsupervised learning: Represent particular input patterns in a way that reflects the statistical structure of the overall collections of input partterns.
- Cluster are groups of points whose inter-point distances are small compared to the distances

$$\min_{\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N r_{nk} ||\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k||_2^2$$

- such that  $r_{n\,k} \in \{0,1\}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^K \, r_{n\,k} = 1$ K-means algorithm:
  - Initialize  $\mu_k$ , then iterate 1. For all n, compute  $\mathbf{r}_n$  given  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

$$r_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = \operatorname{argmin}_{j} ||\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}||_{2}^{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. For all k, compute  $\mu_k$  given  ${\bf r}$ 

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{nk} \mathbf{x}_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} r_{nk}}$$

- A good initialization procedure is to choose the prototypes to be equal to a random subset of K
- Probabilistic model

$$p(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \mathbf{I}) \right]^{r_{nk}}$$

Computation can be heavy, each example can belong to only on cluster and clusters have to be spherical.

### 9 Gaussian Mixture Models

Clusters can be spherical using a full covariance

$$p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{r}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[ \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}) \right]^{r_{nk}}$$

Soft-clustering: Points can belong to several cluster by defining  $r_n$  to be a random variable

$$p(r_{nk}=1) = \pi_k \text{ where } \pi_k > 0, \forall k, \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = 1$$

- Joint distribution of Gaussian mixture model

$$\begin{split} p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{r} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) &= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left[ p(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \mathbf{r}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) p(\mathbf{r}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\pi}) \right] \\ &= \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[ \left( \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}) \right)^{r} n k \right] \prod_{k=1}^{K} \right] \end{split}$$

- $r_n$  are called *latent* unobserved variables Unknown parameters are given by
- We get the marginal likelihood by

marginalizing  $r_n$  out from the likelihood

$$\begin{split} p(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_{k=1}^K p(\mathbf{x}_n, r_{nk} = 1|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K p(r_{nk} = 1|\boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}_n|r_{nk} = 1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \end{split}$$

- Without a latent variable model, number of parameters grow at rate O(N)
- After marginalization, the growth is reduced to  $O(D^2K)$
- To get maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$ , we

$$\max_{\pmb{\theta}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \pmb{\mu}_k, \pmb{\Sigma}_k)$$

# 10 Expectation Maximization Algorithm

- [ALGORITHM] Start with  $\theta^{(1)}$  and iterate
- 1. Expectation step: Compute a lower bound to the cost such that it is tight at the previous  $\theta^{(i)}$  with equality when,

$$\gamma(r_{nk}) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}$$

2. Maximization step: Update  $\theta$ 

$$\theta^{(i+1)} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(\theta, \theta^{(i)})$$

$$\mu_k^{(i+1)} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk}) \mathbf{x}_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk})}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}^{(i+1)} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk})}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk})(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{(i+1)})(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{(i+1)})T} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{U}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{S}^{2} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{S}^{2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}^{(i+1)} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk})(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{(i+1)})(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{(i+1)})T}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk})} - \text{Thus the columns of matrix } \mathbf{U} \text{ are called the principal components and they decorrelated the principal components and they decorredated the principal components and the principal components and the principal components are th$$

$$\pi_k^{(i+1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma^{(i)}(r_{nk})$$

If covariance is diagonal → K-means.

## 11 Matrix factorization

- We have D movies and N users
- ${\bf X}$  is a matrix  $D \times N$  with  $x_{dn}$  the rating of n'th user for d'th movie.
- We project data vectors  $\mathbf{x}_n$  to a smaller dimension  $\mathbf{z}_n \in \mathbb{R}^M$
- We have now 2 latent variables:
  - ${\bf Z}$  a N  $\times$  M matrix that gives features for the users
  - $\mathbf{W}$  a  $D \times M$  matrix that gives features for

$$x_{dn} \approx \mathbf{w}_d^T \mathbf{z}_n$$

We can add a regularizer and minimize the

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{Z}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{d=1}^{D} (x_{dn} - \mathbf{w}_{d}^{T} \mathbf{z}_{n})^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda_{w}}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{D} \mathbf{w}_{d}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{d} + \frac{\lambda_{z}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{z}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{z}_{n}$$

first minimizing w.r.t. Z given W and then minimizing W given Z. This is called Alternating least-squares (ALS):

$$\mathbf{z}^T \leftarrow (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \lambda_z \mathbf{I}_M)^{-1} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

$$\mathbf{w}^T \leftarrow (\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z} + \lambda_w \mathbf{I}_M)^{-1} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{x}^T$$

- Complexity:  $O(DNM^2 + NM^3) \rightarrow O(DNM^2)$ - Probabilistic model

$$= \prod_{n=1}^{K} [\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}))^{T} n^{k}] \prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{m=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{dn} | \mathbf{w}_{d}^{T} \mathbf{z}_{n}, I) \times \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}_{n} | 0, \frac{1}{\lambda_{z}} I)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{k=1}^{K} [(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{n} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}))^{T} n^{k}] \prod_{k=1}^{K} [\pi]^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{n}}^{R} \right] d \in O_{n}$$

$$\times \prod_{n=1}^{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{d} | 0, \frac{1}{\lambda_{z}} I)$$

$$\times \prod_{n=1}^{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{d} | 0, \frac{1}{\lambda_{z}} I)$$

$$\times \prod_{n=1}^{D} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}_{d} | 0, \frac{1}{\lambda_{z}} I)$$

Since many ratings are missing we cannot normalize the data. A solution is to add offset

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{d \in O_n} (x_{dn} - \mathbf{w}_d^T \mathbf{z}_n - w_{0d} - z_{0n} - \mu)^2$$

# 12 Singular Value Decomposition

Matrix factorization method

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{V}^T$$

- U is an D × D matrix
- V is an N × N matrix
- **S** is a non-negative diagonal matrix of size  $D \times N$  which are called singular values appearing in a descending order.
- Columns of U and V are the left and right singular vectors respectively.
- Assuming D < N we have

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{d=1}^{D} s_d \mathbf{u}_d \mathbf{v}_d^T$$

This tells you about the spectrum of X where higher singular vectors contain the low-frequency information and lower singular values contain the high-frequency information.

Let's now truncate these matrices

$$\mathbf{X} \approx \mathbf{U}_{tr} \mathbf{S}_{tr} \mathbf{V}_{tr}^*, \mathbf{T}_{tr} \approx \mathbf{U}_{tr} \mathbf{S}_{tr}, \mathbf{T}_{te} \approx \mathbf{X}_{te} \mathbf{V}_{tr}$$

with  $\mathbf{T}_{tr}$  the reduced feature set of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{te}$ the reduced feature set of  $\mathbf{X}_{te}$ 

# 13 Principal Componement Analysis

- PCA is a dimensionality reduction method and a method to decorrelate the data  $\mathbf{X} \approx \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{Z}^T$  such that columns of  $\mathbf{W}$  are

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T \Rightarrow \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{S}^2 \mathbf{U}^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{S}^2 \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{S}^2$$

- Thus the columns of matrix U are called the principal components and they decorrelate
- the covariance matrix. - Using SVD, we can compute the matrices in the following way

$$W = US^{1/2}, Z = VS^{1/2}$$

# 14 Belief Propagation

- the goal is to learn inference of the latent variables using belief propagation
- Given a directed acyclic graph G and parameters  $\theta$ , a Bayesian network defines the ioint distribution as follows

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} p_{\theta}(x_k | \text{parents}_k)$$

- Reduce complexity using bayesian rule Message Passing:
- Assign each φ<sub>k</sub> to a cluster C<sub>i</sub>
- 2. Initial potential  $\psi_i(\mathbf{C}_i) = \prod_{\phi_k \in \mathbf{C}_i} \phi_k$
- 3. Initial all messages to 1 4. Repeat: select and edge (i, j) and:  $m_{i \rightarrow j}(\mathbf{S}_{i,j}) = \sum_{\mathbf{C}_i - \mathbf{S}_{i,j}} \psi_i \times \prod_{k \in (\mathcal{N}_i - j)} m_{k \rightarrow i}$
- Belief: β<sub>i</sub>(C<sub>i</sub>) = ψ<sub>i</sub> × Π<sub>k∈N<sub>i</sub></sub> δ<sub>k→i</sub>

# 15 Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)

- There are nonlinear function classes, whose conveninent layered structure leads to efficient computation of gradients.
- Known as feed-forward neural network
- **z**(k) is the k'th hidden vector
- $-\mathbf{a}_{n}^{(k)}$  is the corresponding activation

There are a total of 
$$K$$
 layers
$$a_{mn}^{(k)} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_m^{(k)})^T \mathbf{z}_n^{(k-1)}, \quad z_{mn}^{(k)} = h(a_{mn}^{(k)})$$

For the first layer we have  $\mathbf{z}_n^{(0)} = \mathbf{x}_n$ For the last layer, we use a link function to map  $\mathbf{z}_n^{(K-1)}$  to the output  $\mathbf{y}_n$ A 1-layer MLP is simply a generalization of

linear/logistic regression  $\mathbf{B}^{(k)}$  a matrix with rows  $(\boldsymbol{\beta}_m^{(k)})^T$ 

$$\mathbf{a}_{n}^{(k)} = \mathbf{B}^{(k)} \mathbf{z}_{n}^{(k-1)}, \quad \mathbf{z}_{n}^{(k)} = h(\mathbf{a}_{n}^{(k)})$$

thus we have the input-output relationship

$$\hat{y}_n = g((\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(K-1)})^T * h(\mathbf{B}^{(K-2)}) * h(*...*h(\mathbf{B}^{(1)}) *$$
 with  $g()$  the link function

- We learn parameters B using stochastic gradient-descent
- Frequently used transfer function

$$g(a) = \tanh(a) = \frac{e^a - e^{-a}}{e^a + e^{-a}}$$

- Backpropagation: technic to compute the gradient in time linear in the number of training points and the number of weights and is nothing else than a chain rule of differential calculus.
- The key-idea is to express the derivatives in terms of activations and hidden variables.
  - 1. Forward: compute  $\mathbf{a}_n^{(k)}$  and  $\mathbf{z}_n^{(k)}$
  - 2. Backward: compute  $\delta_n^{(k)} = d\mathcal{L}/d\mathbf{a}_n^{(k)}$  using

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_n^{(k-1)} = diag[(h'(\mathbf{a}_n^{(k-1)})](\mathbf{B}^{(k)})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}_n^{(k)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_n^K = \mathbf{a}_n^K - t_i$$

3. Compute  $d\mathcal{L}/d\mathbf{B}^{(k)}$  using

$$rac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\mathbf{B}^{(k)}} = \sum_{n} \delta_{n}^{(k)} (\mathbf{z}_{n}^{(k)})^{T}$$

### 16 Gaussian Process (GP)

- GP are a family of statistical distributions in which time plays a role and for which any finite linear combination of samples has a joint Gaussian distribution.
- non-parametric method (rather uses latent variables) that compute a probability dist. over predictions
- They can be completely defined by their second-order statistics which means that if they have mean zero, then defining the covariance function completely defines the process
- behaviour. Let us place a probabilistic prior shape on the
- approximation of a function. A GP process defines a prior over function f

$$p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X))$$

K(X) defines shape and prior knowledge about

$$p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}_*, \mathbf{X}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu', \sigma')$$
$$\mu' = K(\mathbf{X}_*, \mathbf{X})[K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma_n^2 I]^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

 $\sigma' = K(\mathbf{X}_*, \mathbf{X}_*) - K(\mathbf{X}_*, \mathbf{X})[K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) + \sigma_n^2 I]K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})$ 

with  $\sigma_n$  the variance of the noise

Quadratic kernel 
$$k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = \left(1 + \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m\right)^2$$

- 17 Decision Trees (DT) - A decision tree is a structure in which each internal node represents a test on an attribute and each branch represents the outcome of the
- test and each leaf represents a class label. Fast to train and fast to make predictions
- Efficient for very high dimensional feature spaces and very large amounts of training data
- Lack of smoothness and high variance (overfitting) Goal: find a split  $(k, \tau)$  that minimizes an
- impurity measure at the leaves
- Find best feature to split on

# - Find best threshold

- 18 Random Forests (RF)
- RF correct the overfitting bad "habit" of DTs. Training: Learn M trees on different subsets (random) of training data

$$\begin{split} z_1 &= f_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z_M = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M f_i \\ V(z_1) &= \sigma^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad V(z_M) = \frac{1}{M} \sigma^2 + \rho \frac{M-1}{M} \sigma^2 \end{split}$$

Variance reduction ratio:

$$\frac{V(z_1)}{V(z_M)} = \frac{M}{1 + \rho(M-1)}$$

- 2 techniques for decorrelating trees
- Bagging: Randomize training data
- Randomized feature selection