

1) [10 Pts] P.S. #1, Problem # 11

There are 36 equi-probable outcomes of rolling a single die twice. Of those, 4 of the outcomes produce a sum equal to 9. They are

First Roll	Second Roll
3	6
4	5
5	4
6	3

Thus, the condition that the sum equal 9 restricts us to consider only these 4 equi-probable outcomes. Only one of these outcomes has a first roll of 5. Therefore, the answer is  $\boxed{1/4}$

Alternate analysis  $A = \{\text{Sum} = 9\}$   $B = \{\text{1st roll is five}\}$ .  $P\{B|A\} = P\{A|B\}P\{B\}/P\{A\}$   
 $P\{B\} = 1/6$  - obviously.  $P\{A\} = 4/36$  from above analysis.  $P\{A|B\} = \{\text{Probability that 2nd roll} = 4\} = 1/6$ . Therefore, answer is

$$P\{B|A\} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) / \left(\frac{4}{36}\right) = \boxed{1/4}$$

2) [15pts] Problem 1-1 in Bar-Shalom

1-1.1 : The key word is "arbitrary".  
 This implies that the assertion is true only if it is true in every imaginable case. The assertion is

NOT TRUE

because one or more counter-examples can be constructed. Taking a hint from part 2 and modifying it slightly, let  $x \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $y \sim N(0, 1)$  be independent so that

$$p(x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \right)$$

but let  $z = x + y + w$  where  $w$  is  $w \sim N(0, 1)$  with  $w$  independent of  $x$  and  $y$ , but not independent of  $z$ . Then

$$p(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[x^2 + y^2 + \{z - x - y\}^2]}$$

$$p(x, y | z) = p(x, y, z) / p(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\frac{1}{2} [x^2 + y^2 + \{z-x-y\}^2]} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2} [2x^2 + z^2 - 2xz - \frac{1}{2}(z-x)^2]} * \\
 &\quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\frac{1}{2} [2(y - \frac{1}{2}(z-x))^2]}
 \end{aligned}$$

letting  $\eta = \sqrt{2} [y - \frac{1}{2}(z-x)]$

and  $d\eta = \sqrt{2} dy$  in the last integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{then } p(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2} [2x^2 + z^2 - 2xz - \frac{1}{2}(z-x)^2]} * \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta e^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} (2\pi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2} [2x^2 + z^2 - 2xz - \frac{1}{2}(z-x)^2]} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} (2\pi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2} [x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(z-x)^2]} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} (2\pi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{1}{2} [1.5(x - \frac{1}{3}z)^2 + \frac{1}{3}z^2]}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\text{Let } \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left( x - \frac{1}{3} z \right)$$

$$d\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } p(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} (2\pi)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^2} \right] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{3} z^2 \right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{3}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

which is a Gaussian distribution with a mean of zero and a standard deviation of  $\sigma_z = \sqrt{3}$ , as expected.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore p(x, y, z) &= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \{x^2 + y^2 + \{z - x - y\}^2\}}}{\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{3}} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{3}} \right)^2}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \{2x^2 + 2y^2 + \frac{2}{3} z^2 - 2xz - 2yz + 2xy\}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} z \right\}^T \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1/3 \\ -1/3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix} z \right\} \right\}} \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  conditional on  $z$   
 obeys a joint Gaussian distribution  
 with mean equal to  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} z$  and

with covariance equal to

$$E\left[\left\{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} z\right\} \left\{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} z\right\}^T / z\right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & -1/3 \\ -1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

The non-zero off-diagonal terms in this matrix prove that  $x$  and  $y$  are not independent when conditional on  $z$  and, therefore, that it is impossible to express  $p(x, y | z)$  in the form

$$p(x, y, z) = p(x | z) p(y | z)$$

$$1.1-2 \quad p(x, y, z) = p(x, y) \delta(z - x - y)$$

$$p(x, y | z) = \frac{p(x, y, z)}{p(z)}$$

$$p(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy p(x, y, z)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy p(x, y) \delta(z - x - y)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx p(x, z - x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy p(z - y, y) \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{alternate} \\ \text{equivalent} \\ \text{formulas} \end{array} \right]$$

therefore

$$p(x, y | z) = \frac{p(x, y) \delta(z - x - y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy p(y, z - y)}$$

$$\text{or} \quad p(x, y | z) = \frac{p(x, y) \delta(z - x - y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha p(z - \alpha, \alpha)}$$



$$p(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + (z-x)^2)}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 - 2xz + z^2)}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[2\left(x - \frac{1}{2}z\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2\right]}$$

letting  $y = \sqrt{2}\left(x - \frac{1}{2}z\right)$   
 $dy = \sqrt{2} dx$

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \right] e^{-\frac{1}{4}z^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2}$$

therefore

$$p(x, y|z) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)}}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2}} \delta(z - x - y)$$

$$\therefore p(x, y|z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{1}{4}z^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)} \delta(z - x - y)$$

or recognizing that it is nonzero only when  $z - x - y = 0$

$$p(x, y|z) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2 - \frac{1}{2}[(z-y)^2 + y^2]} \delta(z-x-y)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\{2[y - \frac{1}{2}z]^2\}} \delta(z-x-y)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\{2[x - \frac{1}{2}z]^2\}} \delta(z-x-y)$$

### 3) [10 pts] P.S. #2, Problem #2

Let  $\eta = x - \bar{x}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} C(x|\bar{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} \eta^T V_{xx} \eta + [V_{xz}(\bar{z} - \bar{\bar{z}})]^T \eta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{z} - \bar{\bar{z}})^T V_{zz} (\bar{z} - \bar{\bar{z}}) = J_{\text{new}}(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

This is like the function in P.S. #2, Problem #1, except  $\eta$  replaces  $x$ ,  $V_{xx}$  replaces  $P$ ,  $[V_{xz}(\bar{z} - \bar{\bar{z}})]^T$  replaces  $g$  and the constant  $\frac{1}{2} (\bar{z} - \bar{\bar{z}})^T V_{zz} (\bar{z} - \bar{\bar{z}})$  has been added to the function. This constant will not affect the values of any derivatives with respect



to  $\eta$ . Therefore

$$\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \underline{x}}\right)^T = \left(\frac{\partial J_{\text{new}}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \underline{x}}\right)^T \quad (\text{chain rule})$$

$$\text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial \underline{x}^2} = \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \underline{x}}\right)^T \frac{\partial^2 J_{\text{new}}}{\partial \eta^2} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \underline{x}}\right)$$

$$\text{but} \quad \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \underline{x}} = \underline{I}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J_{\text{new}}}{\partial \eta} &= \eta^T V_{xx} + (\underline{z} - \bar{\underline{z}})^T V_{xz}^T \\ &\quad (\text{from Problem \# 1 of P.S. 2}) \\ &= (\underline{x} - \bar{\underline{x}})^T V_{xx} + (\underline{z} - \bar{\underline{z}})^T V_{xz}^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 J_{\text{new}}}{\partial \eta^2} = V_{xx}$$

$$\text{Therefore} \quad \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial \underline{x}}\right)^T = V_{xx}(\underline{x} - \bar{\underline{x}}) + V_{xz}(\underline{z} - \bar{\underline{z}})$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial \underline{x}^2} = V_{xx}$$

4) [20 Pt.] P.S. #2, Problem #5

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n | H_0) = \frac{1}{2^n \sigma_A^n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\sigma_A} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right\}$$

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n | H_1) = \frac{1}{2^n \sigma_B^n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\sigma_B} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right\}$$

Optimal Test: Accept  $H_1$  if

$$\frac{p(x_1, \dots, x_n | H_1)}{p(x_1, \dots, x_n | H_0)} \geq \nu$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma_A^n}{\sigma_B^n} \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_A} - \frac{1}{\sigma_B} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right\} \geq \nu$$

$$\text{or } \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{\sigma_B - \sigma_A}{\sigma_A \sigma_B} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \right\} \geq \left( \frac{\sigma_B}{\sigma_A} \right)^n \nu$$

$$\text{or } \left( \frac{\sigma_B - \sigma_A}{\sigma_A \sigma_B} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \geq n \log \left( \frac{\sigma_B}{\sigma_A} \right) + \log \nu$$

$$\text{or } q = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \geq \left[ \frac{\sigma_A \sigma_B}{\sigma_B - \sigma_A} \right] \left\{ n \log \left( \frac{\sigma_B}{\sigma_A} \right) + \log \nu \right\}$$

or Accept  $H_1$  if

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \geq q_{\text{thresh}}$$

Otherwise accept  $H_0$ . Given that  $2g/\sigma_A = \eta$  is a sample from  $p_{\chi^2_{2n}}(\eta)$  - a degree- $2n$  chi-squared distribution if  $H_0$  is valid, we choose  $g_{\text{thresh}}$  so that

$$\int_{2g_{\text{thresh}}/\sigma_A}^{\infty} p_{\chi^2_{2n}}(\eta) d\eta = \alpha = \text{False alarm probability}$$

Using Matlab:  $\eta_{\text{THRESH}} = \text{chi2inv}(1-\alpha, 2*n)$   
and  $g_{\text{THRESH}} = (\sigma_A/2) \eta_{\text{THRESH}}$

Given that  $2g/\sigma_B = \beta$  is a sample from  $p_{\chi^2_{2n}}(\beta)$  if  $H_1$  is valid, the probability of a missed detection is then

$$P_{\text{MD}} = \int_0^{2g_{\text{THRESH}}/\sigma_B} p_{\chi^2_{2n}}(\beta) d\beta$$

In MATLAB  $P_{\text{MD}} = \text{chi2cdf}(2*g_{\text{THRESH}}/\sigma_B, 2*n)$

For  $n=10$  &  $\alpha=0.001$   $\eta_{\text{THRESH}} = 45.3147$

$$g_{\text{THRESH}} = 22.6574 \sigma_A$$

$$P_{\text{MD}} = \text{chi2cdf}\left(2*22.6574*\left(\frac{\sigma_A}{\sigma_B}\right), 20\right)$$

$$= \text{chi2cdf}\left(2*22.6574*\left(\frac{1}{4}\right), 20\right) = 0.0627508$$



5) (20 pts) P.S. #3, Problem #1 with modifications

Sheet 12 of 19

F & df/dx function evaluations:

```
function [f,dfdx] = fnewt02(x)
```

```
%
```

```
% Copyright (c) 2009 Mark L. Psiaki. All rights reserved.
```

```
%
```

```
%
```

```
% This function computes f(x) and its Jacobian for an example problem
```

```
% in applying Newton's method.
```

```
%
```

```
%
```

```
f = [(x(1) + x(2) + x(1)*x(2) + 5.1); (x(1)^2 + 2*x(2) - x(2)^2 - 1.9)];
```

```
dfdx = [(1 + x(2)), (1 + x(1)); (2*x(1)), (2 - 2*x(2))];
```

Script to execute Newton iterations from 3 different  
Initial guesses

```
% script_fnewt02.m
%
% Copyright (c) 2009 Mark L. Psiaki. All rights reserved.
%
%
% This script solves problem set 3, problem 1 with altered
% constant values in the equations.
%
%
%
clear
%
xghist_a = [[4;-4],zeros(2,6)];
for jj = 2:7
    [fjj,dfdxjj] = fnewt02(xghist_a(:,jj-1));
    xghist_a(:,jj) = xghist_a(:,jj-1) - dfdxjj\fjj;
end
fnormvec_a = zeros(1,7);
for jj = 1:7
    fjj = fnewt02(xghist_a(:,jj));
    fnormvec_a(1,jj) = norm(fjj);
end
%
xghist_b = [[6;0],zeros(2,6)];
for jj = 2:7
    [fjj,dfdxjj] = fnewt02(xghist_b(:,jj-1));
    xghist_b(:,jj) = xghist_b(:,jj-1) - dfdxjj\fjj;
end
fnormvec_b = zeros(1,7);
for jj = 1:7
    fjj = fnewt02(xghist_b(:,jj));
    fnormvec_b(1,jj) = norm(fjj);
end
%
xghist_c = [[-5;5],zeros(2,6)];
for jj = 2:7
    [fjj,dfdxjj] = fnewt02(xghist_c(:,jj-1));
    xghist_c(:,jj) = xghist_c(:,jj-1) - dfdxjj\fjj;
end
fnormvec_c = zeros(1,7);
for jj = 1:7
    fjj = fnewt02(xghist_c(:,jj));
    fnormvec_c(1,jj) = norm(fjj);
end
%
clear jj fjj dfdxjj
save fnewt02
```

10/28/09 8:49 AM

C:\Mlp\Mae676\fnwt02\_answers.m

## Case 1

```

Iteration:      0      1      2      3      4      5      6
x1:      4.000000000000000 3.150000000000000 3.124907435976550 3.137738510489899 3.137732910785439 3.137732910838087 3.137732910838087
x2:     -4.000000000000000 -2.330000000000000 -1.995993520518358 -1.990863527572901 -1.990880776576063 -1.990880776586799 -1.990880776586799
||f||:     14.724808997063425 2.506988075360555 0.111246846319897 0.000153183008289 0.000000000283153 0 0

```

## Case 2

```

Iteration:      0      1      2      3      4      5      6
x1:      6.000000000000000 3.359756097560976 3.031143295897127 3.139820847552099 3.1377336185222335 3.137732910838158 3.137732910838087
x2:      0 -1.208536585365854 -1.956137843100565 -1.991304200035350 -1.990880820683151 -1.990880776586790 -1.990880776586799
||f||:     35.861121008691299 6.367504252497271 0.513502091862765 0.011243597802120 0.000004269722873 0.000000000000501 0.000000000000000

```

## Case 3

```

Iteration:      0      1      2      3      4      5      6
x1:     -5.000000000000000 -2.822727272727273 -2.142728418502635 -2.068793385194184 -2.068294878539138 -2.068294995763746 -2.068294995763745
x2:      5.000000000000000 3.290909090909091 2.850171866304383 2.837011939305650 2.837891245221337 2.837891234404620 2.837891234404623
||f||:     21.485343841791313 4.142183008622919 0.402149892160641 0.005381887545047 0.00000683681539 0.000000000000015 0.000000000000000

```

Notice how the first 2 cases converged to the same solution for x1 and x2, but the 3rd case converged to a different solution.  
The different solution for Case 3 is equally valid as evidenced by the norm of f.

Newton Iteration Results

Sheet 14F19



6) [25pts] P.S. #3, Problem #5 w/different data

Sheet 15 of 19

% First Gauss-Newton Increment:

```
delxgn_first = [ 0.364793046765476;...  
                -0.204346732132889;...  
                0.622977370351628;...  
                -1.175524557233098;...  
                -0.994482780298112]
```

+w/ defined first guess

% Final Estimate of Gauss-Newton Procedure:

```
xesttgn_final = [ 3.223825160154675;...  
                 0.899792908774152;...  
                 1.496338379532975;...  
                 -0.803805936404100;...  
                 2.198857133678682]
```

% Initial and Final Weighted Nonlinear Least-Squares Costs

Jorig = 1.878158484193378e+005

Jopt = 23.993211198713063

```
% Note: given that there are  $31 \times 2 = 62$  measurements and  
% 5 estimated quantities, Jopt should be half the value  
% of a sample from a chi-squared distribution of degree  
%  $2 \times 31 - 5 = 57$ . Therefore, the expectation value of  
% Jopt is  $0.5 \times 57 = 28.5$ . It is lower than this. 95% of the  
% time Jopt should lie in the range from  $r1 = \text{chi2inv}(0.025, 57)/2 = \dots$   
%  $19.013370478108758$  to  $r2 = \text{chi2inv}(1-0.025, 57)/2 = \dots$   
%  $39.876096140145201$ . Clearly, it lies in this range.
```

```

function [hj,Hj] = hjcart(x,tj,lradara,lradarb,ilstdrv)
%
% Copyright (c) 2002 Mark L. Psiaki. All rights reserved.
%
% This function gives the measurement function h(x(j),tj) and its
% first derivatives with respect to x(j), Hj = dhj/dx.
% It is for use in the nonlinear least-squares problem
% that does tricycle cart tracking. The measurements are range
% to two different radars at two different known locations. The
% tricycle is moving at constant velocity along a circle.
%
%
% Inputs:
%
%   x      = [psi0;y10;y20;psidot;vrear], the vector of initial
%            conditions and rates of the tricycle cart at time 0. psi0
%            is the initial heading angle in rad, y10 is the initial
%            east position in meters, y20 is the initial north position
%            in meters, psidot is the turn rate in rad/sec, and vrear
%            is the speed of the midpoint between the two rear
%            wheels in m/sec.
%
%   tj      The time in seconds of the radar measurement.
%
%   lradara The east position of radar station a, in meters.
%           This radar station is assumed to be located at zero
%           north position.
%
%   lradarb The east position of radar station b, in meters.
%           This radar station is assumed to be located at zero
%           north position.
%
%   ilstdrv A flag that tells whether (ilstdrv = 1) or not
%           (ilstdrv = 0) Hj needs to get computed.
%
% Outputs:
%
%   hj      = [rhoa_j;rhob_j], the 2x1 radar output vector. rhoa_j
%            is the measured distance from radar a to the cart
%            at time tj given in meters. rhob_j is the measured
%            distance from radar b to the cart at time tj in meters.
%            These distances are to the mid point between the cart's
%            two rear wheels.
%
%   Hj      = dhj/dx. Hj is a 2x5 matrix Hj(1,i) is the derivative
%            of rhoa_j with respect to x(i). Hj(2,i) is the derivative
%            of rhob_j with respect to x(i). This output will
%            be needed to do Newton's method or to do the Gauss
%            Newton method.
%
%
%

```

```

% Set up output arrays as needed.
%
hj = zeros(2,1);
if ilstdrv == 1
    Hj = zeros(2,5);
else
    Hj = [];
end

%
% Compute the position of the cart relative to the two radars.
%
q = x(4)*tj*0.5;
[sa,dsadq,d2sadq2] = safunct(q);
pfac = x(5)*tj*sa;
gamma = x(1) + q;
cosgamma = cos(gamma);
singamma = sin(gamma);
y1 = x(2) + pfac*cosgamma;
y2 = x(3) + pfac*singamma;
dely1a = lradara - y1;
dely1b = lradarb - y1;
dely2 = y2;

%
% Compute the hj outputs.
%
delylasq = dely1a^2;
delylbsq = dely1b^2;
dely2sq = dely2^2;
hj(1,1) = sqrt(delylasq + dely2sq);
hj(2,1) = sqrt(delylbsq + dely2sq);

%
% Return if neither first derivatives nor second derivatives
% need to be calculated.
%
if ilstdrv == 0
    return
end

%
% Calculate the first derivatives. Use analytic formulas.
%
dsadx = dsadq*[0,0,0,(tj*0.5),0];
dpfacdx = x(5)*tj*dsadx + [0,0,0,0,(tj*sa)];
dcosgammadx = [(-singamma),0,0,(-singamma*tj*0.5),0];
dsingammadx = [(cosgamma),0,0,(cosgamma*tj*0.5),0];
dy1dx = [0,1,0,0,0] + dpfacdx*cosgamma + pfac*dcosgammadx;
dy2dx = [0,0,1,0,0] + dpfacdx*singamma + pfac*dsingammadx;
ddely1adx = -dy1dx;
ddely1bdx = -dy1dx;
ddely2dx = dy2dx;
one_over_hja = 1/hj(1,1);
Hj(1,:) = one_over_hja*(dely1a*ddely1adx + dely2*ddely2dx);
%

```



```
one_over_hjb = 1/hj(2,1);  
Hj(2,:) = one_over_hjb*(dely1b*ddely1bdx + dely2*ddely2dx);
```

Problem Set 3, Problem 5, Solution w/alternate data

