Discussion 4: Experiment: Comparation to SVMRanker

June 9, 2020

Experiment Result

Test cases are grabbed from SV-COMP. Number of cases: 134.

	2-Multiphase	5-Multiphase	SVMRanker	LassoRanker
FINITE	39	42	30	24
INFINITE	34	34	34	37
UNKNOWN	61	58	70	73

Our algorithm can solve more cases than SVMRanker and LassoRanker.

Time Result

Specifying Cases

- Multiphase with depth 2 solved & SVMRanker not solved: 2, 51, 66, 67, 72, 73, 144, 152, 161
- SVMRanker solved & Multiphase not solved: 159
- ► Multiphase with depth 5 solved: 7,155,126
- Advantage of Multiphase with depth 5 to LassoRanker: 1, 7, 58, 66, 67, 83, 144, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 155, 156, 157 158, 160, 161, 163, 164, 166, 167, 171
- LassoRanker's advantage to Multiphase: Termination: 146, 147, 129, 74, 71, 15Non-termination: 85, 25, 26

Multiphase to SVMRanker

We solve two types of new loops:

▶ Decreased by a variable: 2, 51, 72, 73, 144, 152, 161

```
procedure main() returns () {
    var x1, x2: int;
    while (x1 >= 0) {
        x1 := x1 + x2;
        x2 := x2 - 1;
}
}
```

▶ Flipping between negative and positive: 66,67

SVMRanker to Multiphase

159.bpl

Reason: we use the linear templates.

Multiphase with Depth 5

7,126

```
procedure main() returns (a: int, b: int, c: int, d: int)
{
    while (a >= 0 | | c >= 0) {
        a := a + b;
        b := b + c;
        c := c + d;
        d := d - 1;
}
```

Continue

155

```
procedure main() returns () {
    var x0, x1: real;
    while (x1*x1 >= x0*x0 - x0 + 1.0) {
    x0, x1 := x0*x0 + x1 + 1.0, -x1+1.0;
}
}
```

```
MULTIPHASE DEPTH: -3

LEARNING RESULT: -FINITE
------RANKING FUNCTIONS-------
--0.8**-x[0]^1 --0.4**-x[1]^1+3.0**1
--0.0246**-x[0]^1+0.033**-x[1]^1+1.0**1
0.4762**1--0.0132**-x[1]^1+0.4762**1
Time For .../Program_non/155.bpl Is---->-45915.733000 ms

Program is terminating
```

Not sure whether the result of this case is correct.

Termination: LassoRanker

Termination: 146, 147, 129, 74, 71, 15

- ▶ 146, 147: large scale cases, where the number of variables is 51. Our algorithm goes timeout.
- ▶ 129: Might be the problem of incompatible types or redundant varibles. To be investigated later.

```
procedure Waldkirch(c: int) returns (x: int)
{
    var y: int;
    while (x >= -1048) {
    v | x := x - 1;
    }
}
```

▶ 74