



## 书面作业2.2 参考解答或提示

### 第1部分 基础

无

### 第2部分 理论

无

### 第3部分 综合应用 (T2 可选做)

T1. 形式化并证明下列自然语言推理是有效的. 个体域均为全总域.

- (1) 所有的玫瑰和蔷薇都是芳香带刺的, 因此, 所有的玫瑰都是带刺的.
- (2) 三角函数都是周期函数; 一些三角函数是连续函数; 所以, 一些周期函数是连续函数.
- (3) 所有图灵奖获得者都是计算机科学家, 一些图灵奖获得者大学本科时主修数学专业. 所以, 一些计算机科学家在大学本科时主修数学专业.
- (4) 每个科学工作者都是勤奋的, 每个既勤奋又聪明的人在他的事业中都将获得成功, 王大志是科学工作者并且是聪明的, 所以, 王大志在他的事业中将获得成功.
- (5) 如果一个人长期吸烟或酗酒, 那么他身体绝不会健康; 如果一个人身体不健康, 那么他就不能参加体育比赛; 有人参加了体育比赛. 所以, 有人不长期酗酒.
- (6) 小王是一年级生理科生; 每个非文科的一年级生都有辅导员; 凡小王的辅导员都是理科生; 所有的理科生都不是文科生. 所以, 至少有一个不是文科生的辅导员.

(1) 设 $S(x)$ : $x$ 是三角函数;  $T(x)$ : $x$ 是周期函数;  $P(x)$ : $x$ 是连续函数, 则推理可以形式化为:

$$\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow T(x)), \exists x(S(x) \wedge P(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x(T(x) \wedge P(x)).$$

证明:

- (1)  $\exists x(S(x) \wedge P(x))$  P
- (2)  $S(c) \wedge P(c)$  ES(1)
- (3)  $\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow T(x))$  P
- (4)  $S(c) \rightarrow T(c)$  US(3)
- (5)  $S(c)$  T,I(2)
- (6)  $T(c)$  T,I(4)(5)
- (7)  $P(c)$  T,I(2)
- (8)  $T(c) \wedge P(c)$  T,I(6)(7)
- (9)  $\exists x(T(x) \wedge P(x))$  EG(8)

(2) 设 $P(x)$ : $x$ 是玫瑰;  $Q(x)$ : $x$ 是蔷薇;  $R(x)$ : $x$ 是芳香的;  $S(x)$ : $x$ 是带刺的, 则推理可以形式化为:



$$\forall x((P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow (R(x) \wedge S(x))) \Rightarrow \forall x(P(x) \rightarrow S(x))$$

证明：可以直接证明，也可以用CP规则，以及反证法。

直接法

- (1)  $\forall x((P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow (R(x) \wedge S(x)))$  P
- (2)  $P(x) \vee Q(x) \rightarrow R(x) \wedge S(x)$  US(1)
- (3)  $(\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)) \vee (R(x) \wedge S(x))$  T,I(2)
- (4)  $(\neg P(x) \vee S(x)) \wedge (\neg P(x) \vee R(x)) \wedge (\neg Q(x) \vee S(x)) \wedge (\neg Q(x) \vee R(x))$  T,I(3)
- (5)  $\neg P(x) \vee S(x)$  T,I(4)
- (6)  $P(x) \rightarrow S(x)$  T,I(5)

$$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow S(x)) \text{ UG}, 5$$

CP规则

- (1)  $\forall x((P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow (R(x) \wedge S(x)))$  P
- (2)  $P(x) \vee Q(x) \rightarrow R(x) \wedge S(x)$  US(1)
- (3)  $P(x)$  P(附加, for:  $P(x) \rightarrow S(x)$ )
- (4)  $P(x) \vee Q(x)$  T,I(3)
- (5)  $R(x) \wedge S(x)$  T,I(2)(4)
- (6)  $S(x)$  T,I(5)
- (7)  $P(x) \rightarrow S(x)$  CP(3)(6)
- (8)  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow S(x))$  UG(7)

反证法(略)。

(3) 根据题意，论域应为全总域，现设  $S(x)$ : $x$  是图灵奖获得者;  $T(x)$ : $x$  是计算机科学家;  $P(x)$ : $x$  大学时主修数学，则推理可以形式化为：

$$\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow T(x)), \exists x(S(x) \wedge P(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x(T(x) \wedge P(x)).$$

证明：

- (1)  $\exists x(S(x) \wedge P(x))$  P
- (2)  $S(c) \wedge P(c)$  ES(1)
- (3)  $\forall x(S(x) \rightarrow T(x))$  P
- (4)  $S(c) \rightarrow T(c)$  US(3)
- (5)  $S(c)$  T,I(2)
- (6)  $T(c)$  T,I(4)(5)
- (7)  $P(c)$  T,I(2)
- (8)  $T(c) \wedge P(c)$  T,I(6)(7)
- (9)  $\exists x(T(x) \wedge P(x))$  EG(8)



(4) 令  $M(x)$ :  $x$ 是人;  $K(x)$ :  $x$ 是科学工作者;  $Q(x)$ :  $x$ 勤奋;  $T(x)$ :  $x$ 聪明;  $S(x)$ :  $x$ 将获得成功;  $a$ : 王大志, 则推理可以形式化为:

$$\forall x((M(x) \wedge K(x)) \rightarrow Q(x)), \forall x((M(x) \wedge Q(x) \wedge T(x)) \rightarrow S(x)), M(a) \wedge K(a) \wedge T(a) \Rightarrow S(a)$$

证明:

- |  |             |
|--|-------------|
| (1) $M(a) \wedge K(a) \wedge T(a)$                               | P           |
| (2) $\forall x((M(x) \wedge K(x)) \rightarrow Q(x))$             | P           |
| (3) $(M(a) \wedge K(a)) \rightarrow Q(a)$                        | US,(2)      |
| (4) $M(a) \wedge K(a)$   | T,I,(1)     |
| (5) $Q(a)$   | T,I,(2),(4) |
| (6) $M(a) \wedge T(a)$   | T,I,(1)     |
| (7) $M(a) \wedge Q(a) \wedge T(a)$                               | T,I,(5),(6) |
| (8) $\forall x((M(x) \wedge Q(x) \wedge T(x)) \rightarrow S(x))$ | P           |
| (9) $(M(a) \wedge Q(a) \wedge T(a)) \rightarrow S(a)$            | US,(8)      |
| 10) $S(a)$   | T,I,(7),(9) |

(5) 令  $M(x)$ :  $x$ 是人;  $C(x)$ :  $x$ 长期吸烟;  $K(x)$ :  $x$ 长期酗酒;  $J(x)$ :  $x$ 身体健康;  $P(x)$ :  $x$ 能参加体育比赛, 则推理可以形式化为:

$$\forall x((M(x) \wedge (C(x) \vee K(x))) \rightarrow \neg J(x)), \forall x((M(x) \wedge \neg J(x)) \rightarrow \neg P(x)), \exists x(M(x) \wedge P(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x(M(x) \wedge \neg K(x))$$

证明:

- |   |              |
|---|--------------|
| 1) $\exists x(M(x) \wedge P(x))$                                      | P            |
| 2) $M(c) \wedge P(c)$   | ES,(1)       |
| 3) $\forall x((M(x) \wedge \neg J(x)) \rightarrow \neg P(x))$         | P            |
| 4) $(M(c) \wedge \neg J(c)) \rightarrow \neg P(c)$                    | US,(3)       |
| 5) $P(c)$   | T,I,(2)      |
| 6) $\neg(M(c) \wedge \neg J(c))$                                      | T,I,(4),(5)  |
| 7) $\neg M(c) \vee J(c)$  | R,E,(6)      |
| 8) $M(c)$   | T,I,(2)      |
| 9) $J(c)$   | T,I,(7),(8)  |
| 10) $\forall x((M(x) \wedge (C(x) \vee K(x))) \rightarrow \neg J(x))$ | P            |
| 11) $(M(c) \wedge (C(c) \vee K(c))) \rightarrow \neg J(c)$            | US,(10)      |
| 12) $\neg(M(c) \wedge (C(c) \vee K(c)))$                              | T,I,(9),(11) |
| 13) $\neg M(c) \vee (\neg C(c) \wedge \neg K(c))$                     | R,E,(12)     |
| 14) $\neg C(c) \wedge \neg K(c)$                                      | T,I,(8),(13) |
| 15) $\neg K(c)$   | T,I,(14)     |
| 16) $M(c) \wedge \neg K(c)$   | T,I,(8),(15) |

17)  $\exists x(M(x) \wedge \neg K(x))$  EG,(16)

(6) 令 $a$ : 小王;  $S(x)$ :  $x$ 是一年级生;  $L(x)$ :  $x$ 是理科生;  $W(x)$ :  $x$ 是文科生;  $F(x,y)$ :  $x$ 是 $y$ 的辅导员, 则推理可以形式化为:

$$\forall x(S(x) \wedge \neg W(x) \rightarrow \exists y F(y,x)), S(a), L(a), \forall x(F(x, a) \rightarrow L(x)), \forall x(L(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y(\neg W(x) \wedge F(x, y)).$$

证明:

- |   |               |
|---|---------------|
| (1) $\forall x(L(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x))$                         | P             |
| (2) $L(a) \rightarrow \neg W(a)$                                    | US,(1)        |
| (3) $L(a)$  | P             |
| (4) $\neg W(a)$   | T,I,(2),(3)   |
| (5) $S(a)$  | P             |
| (6) $S(a) \wedge \neg W(a)$   | T,I,(4),(5)   |
| (7) $\forall x(S(x) \wedge \neg W(x) \rightarrow \exists y F(y,x))$ | P             |
| (8) $S(a) \wedge \neg W(a) \rightarrow \exists y F(y, a)$           | US,(7)        |
| (9) $\exists y F(y, a)$   | T,I,(6),(8)   |
| (10) $F(c, a)$  | ES,(9)        |
| (11) $\forall x(F(x, a) \rightarrow L(x))$                          | P             |
| (12) $F(c, a) \rightarrow L(c)$                                     | US,(11)       |
| (13) $L(c)$   | T,I,(10),(12) |
| (14) $L(c) \rightarrow \neg W(c)$                                   | US,(1)        |
| (15) $\neg W(c)$  | T,I,(13),(14) |
| (16) $\neg W(c) \wedge F(c, a)$                                     | T,I,(10),(15) |
| (17) $\exists y(\neg W(c) \wedge F(c, y))$                          | EG,(16)       |
| (18) $\exists x \exists y(\neg W(x) \wedge F(x, y))$                | EG,(17)       |

因此, 该推理是有效的.

**T2.** Consider the following problem. We know that horses are faster than dogs and that there is a greyhound that is faster than every rabbit. We know that Harry is a horse and that Ralph is a rabbit. Our job is to derive the fact that Harry is faster than Ralph (可以应用直接证明方法与归结法. 注意要增加两个公认的事实: Greyhound是Dog, 速度可以传递比较的).

Problem translated in FOPL:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \forall y ((\text{Horse}(x) \wedge \text{Dog}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(x,y)) \\ &\exists y (\text{Greyhound}(y) \wedge \forall z (\text{Rabbit}(z) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(y,z))) \end{aligned}$$

 $\text{Horse}(\text{Harry})$  $\text{Rabbit}(\text{Ralph})$ 

Derive the following fact:

 $\text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Ralph})$ 

Added axioms to represent commonsense knowledge:

$$\forall y (\text{Greyhound}(y) \rightarrow \text{Dog}(y))$$



$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((\text{Faster}(x,y) \wedge \text{Faster}(y,z)) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(x,z))$

Proving using Proof Theory and a set of inference rules

1.  $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Horse}(x) \wedge \text{Dog}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(x, y))$  Premise
2.  $\exists y (\text{Greyhound}(y) \wedge \forall z (\text{Rabbit}(z) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(y, z)))$  Premise
3.  $\forall y (\text{Greyhound}(y) \rightarrow \text{Dog}(y))$  Premise
4.  $\forall x \forall y \forall z (\text{Faster}(x, y) \wedge \text{Faster}(y, z) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(x, z))$  Premise
5.  $\text{Horse}(\text{Harry})$  Premise
6.  $\text{Rabbit}(\text{Ralph})$  Premise
7.  $\text{Greyhound}(\text{Greg}) \wedge \forall z (\text{Rabbit}(z) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(\text{Greg}, z))$  ES (2)
8.  $\text{Greyhound}(\text{Greg})$  T, I (7)
9.  $\forall z (\text{Rabbit}(z) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(\text{Greg}, z))$  T, I (7)
10.  $\text{Rabbit}(\text{Ralph}) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(\text{Greg}, \text{Ralph})$  US (9)
11.  $\text{Faster}(\text{Greg}, \text{Ralph})$  T, I (6) (10)
12.  $\text{Greyhound}(\text{Greg}) \rightarrow \text{Dog}(\text{Greg})$  US (3)
13.  $\text{Dog}(\text{Greg})$  T, I (12) (8)
14.  $\text{Horse}(\text{Harry}) \wedge \text{Dog}(\text{Greg}) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Greg})$  US (1)
15.  $\text{Horse}(\text{Harry}) \wedge \text{Dog}(\text{Greg})$  T, I (5) (13)
16.  $\text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Greg})$  T, I (14) (15)
17.  $\text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Greg}) \wedge \text{Faster}(\text{Greg}, \text{Ralph}) \rightarrow \text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Ralph})$  US (4)
18.  $\text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Greg}) \wedge \text{Faster}(\text{Greg}, \text{Ralph})$  T, I (11) (16)
19.  $\text{Faster}(\text{Harry}, \text{Ralph})$  T, I (17) (19)

QED.

