Reference review paper: PDG chapter 33. Passage of particles through matter

1 Bethe equation

z charge number of incident particle Z atomic number of absorber A atomic mass of absorber $g \text{ mol}^{-1}$ $K = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2$ $0.307\,075 \text{ MeV mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2$ (Coefficient for dE/dx) I mean excitation energy eV (Nota bene!) I density effect correction to ionization energy loss

The mean rate of energy loss by moderately relativistic charged heavy particles is well-described by the "Bethe equation,"

$$\left\langle -\frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = Kz^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 W_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta \gamma)}{2} \right] . \tag{33.5}$$

It describes the mean rate of energy loss in the region $0.1 \lesssim \beta \gamma \lesssim 1000$ for intermediate-Z materials with an accuracy of a few percent.

33.2.2. Maximum energy transfer in a single collision:

For a particle with mass M,

$$W_{\text{max}} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma m_e / M + (m_e / M)^2} .$$

For proton, 93.8 MeV≦p≦938 GeV

The density effect correction is usually computed using Sternheimer's parameterization [16]:

$$\delta(\beta\gamma) = \begin{cases} 2(\ln 10)x - \overline{C} & \text{if } x \geq x_1; \\ 2(\ln 10)x - \overline{C} + a(x_1 - x)^k & \text{if } x_0 \leq x < x_1; \\ 0 & \text{if } x < x_0 \text{ (nonconductors)}; \end{cases}$$
(27.4)
$$\delta_0 10^{2(x-x_0)} & \text{if } x < x_0 \text{ (conductors)} \end{cases}$$
 P10 is almost nonconductor.

Here $x = \log_{10} \eta = \log_{10}(p/Mc)$. \overline{C} (the negative of the C used in Ref. 16) is obtained by equating the high-energy case of Eq. (27.4) with the limit given in Eq. (27.3). The

Reference review paper: PDG chapter 33. Passage of particles through matter

dE/dx for mixture or compound

33.2.10. Energy loss in mixtures and compounds:

A mixture or compound can be thought of as made up of thin layers of pure elements in the right proportion (Bragg additivity). In this case,

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = \sum w_j \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_j ,$$
 (33.13)

where $dE/dx|_j$ is the mean rate of energy loss (in MeV g cm⁻²) in the jth element. Eq. (33.5) can be inserted into Eq. (33.13) to find expressions for $\langle Z/A \rangle$, $\langle I \rangle$, and $\langle \delta \rangle$; for example, $\langle Z/A \rangle = \sum w_j Z_j/A_j = \sum n_j Z_j/\sum n_j A_j$. However, $\langle I \rangle$ as defined this way is an underestimate, because in a compound electrons are more tightly bound than in the free elements, and $\langle \delta \rangle$ as calculated this way has little relevance, because it is the electron density that matters. If possible, one uses the tables given in Refs. 16 and 29, that include effective excitation energies and interpolation coefficients for calculating the density effect correction for the chemical elements and nearly 200 mixtures and compounds. Otherwise, use the recipe for δ given in Ref. 5 and 17, and calculate $\langle I \rangle$ following the discussion in Ref. 10. (Note the "13%" rule!)

P10 gas: mixture of Ar:CH4 = 9:1

According to the text marked by red line, we should use methane's I and δ , without calculating them by assuming CH4 = C + 4H. In Ref. 16, mean excitation energies and coefficients for calculating density effect for argon and methane are introduced.

From Ref.16: R.M. Sternheimer, S.M. Seltzer, and M.J. Berger, "The Density Effect for the Ionization

Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 30, 261 (1984)

Loss of Charged Particles in Various Substances," TABLE I. Density Effect Parameters for Elemental Substances See page 266 for Explanation of Tables

Material	Z	Z/A	I (ev)	Density, p _o (g/cm ³)	h∨p (e∀)	ρ	-C	Хo	X ₁	a	m	δ ₀	Δ _{max}
HYDROGEN, LIQUID HELIUM LITHIUM BERYLLIUM BORON CARBON (GRAPHITE, DENS 2.265)	1 2 3 4 5 6	0.99216 0.99216 0.49967 0.43221 0.44384 0.46254 0.49954	19.2 21.8 41.8 40.0 63.7 76.0 78.0	8.3748E-05 6.0000E-02 1.6632E-04 5.3400E-01 1.8480E+00 2.3700E+00 2.2650E+00	0.263 7.031 0.263 13.844 26.098 30.170 30.652	1.412 1.546 1.700 1.535 1.908 2.320 2.290	9.5835 3.2632 11.1393 3.1221 2.7847 2.8477 2.8680	1.8639 0.4759 2.2017 0.1304 0.0592 0.0305 -0.0178	3.2718 1.9215 3.6122 1.6397 1.6922 1.9688 2.3415	0.14092 0.13483 0.13443 0.95136 0.80392 0.56224 0.26142	5.7273 5.6249 5.8347 2.4993 2.4339 2.4512 2.8697	0.0 0.0 0.14 0.14 0.14	0.024 0.021 0.024 0.062 0.029 0.024 0.038
CARBON (GRAPHITE, DENS 2.0) CARBON (GRAPHITE, DENS 1.7) NITROGEN OXYGEN FLUORINE NEON SODIUM	6 7 8 9 10	0.49954 0.49954 0.49976 0.50002 0.47372 0.49556 0.47847	78.0 78.0 82.0 95.0 115.0 137.0	2.0000E+00 1.7000E+00 1.1653E-03 1.3315E-03 1.5803E-03 8.3851E-04 9.7100E-01	28.803 26.555 0.695 0.744 0.788 0.587 19.641	2.376 2.490 1.984 2.314 2.450 2.577 2.648	2.9925 3.1550 10.5400 10.7004 10.9653 11.9041 5.0526	-0.0351 0.0480 1.7378 1.7541 1.8433 2.0735 0.2880	2.4860 2.5387 4.1323 4.3213 4.4096 4.6421 3.1962	0.20240 0.20762 0.15349 0.11778 0.11083 0.08064 0.07772	3.0036 2.9532 3.2125 3.2913 3.2962 3.5771 3.6452	0.10 0.14 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.038 0.038 0.086 0.101 0.121 0.110 0.098
MAGNESIUM ALUMINUM SILICON PHOSPHORUS SULFUR CHLORINE ARGON	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	0.49373 0.48181 0.49848 0.48428 0.49906 0.47951 0.45059	156.0 166.0 173.0 173.0 180.0 174.0 188.0	1.7400E+00 2.6989E+00 2.3300E+00 2.2000E+00 2.0000E+00 2.9947E-03 1.6620E-03	26.708 32.860 31.055 29.743 28.789 1.092 0.789	2.331 2.180 2.103 2.056 2.131 1.734 1.753	4.5297 4.2395 4.4351 4.5214 4.6659 11.1421 11.9480	0.1499 0.1708 0.2014 0.1696 0.1580 1.5555	3.0668 3.0127 2.8715 2.7815 2.7159 4.2994 4.4855	0.08163 0.08024 0.14921 0.23610 0.33992 0.19849 0.19714	3.6166 3.6345 3.2546 2.9158 2.6456 2.9702 2.9618	0.08 0.12 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.0	0.073 0.061 0.059 0.057 0.059 0.041 0.037

TABLE II. Density Effect Parameters for Compounds and Mixtures See page 266 for Explanation of Tables

Material	Z/A	I (ev)	Density, po (g/cm³)	hν _p (eV)	ρ	-C	x _o	X ₁	a	m	Δ _{max}
LITHIUM TETRABORATE	0.48487	94.6	2.4400E+00	31.343	2.360	3.2093	0.0737	2.6502	0.11075	3.4389	0.048
LUNG (ICRP)	0.54965	75.3	1.0500E+00	21.891	2.184	3.4708	0.2261	2.8001	0.08588	3.5353	0.089
M3 WAX	0.55512	67.9	1.0500E+00	22.000	1.975	3.2540	0.1523	2.7529	0.07864	3.6412	0.044
MAGNESIUM CARBONATE	0.49814	118.0	2.9580E+00	34.979	2.388	3.4319	0.0860	2.7997	0.09219	3.5003	0.045
MAGNESIUM FLUORIDE	0.48153	134.3	3.0000E+00	34.634	2.330	3.7105	0.1369	2.8630	0.07934	3.6485	0.085
MAGNESIUM OXIDE MAGNESIUM TETRABORATE MERCURIC IODIDE METHANE METHANOL	0.49622	143.8	3.5800E+00	38.407	2.412	3.6404	0.0575	2.8580	0.08313	3.5968	0.055
	0.49014	108.3	2.5300E+00	32.089	2.430	3.4328	0.1147	2.7635	0.09703	3.4893	0.044
	0.40933	684.5	6.3600E+00	46.494	1.892	6.3787	0.1040	3.4728	0.21513	2.7264	0.047
	0.62334	41.7	6.6715E-04	0.588	1.662	9.5243	1.6263	3.9716	0.09253	3.6257	0.112
	0.56176	67.6	7.9140E-01	19.214	2.125	3.5160	0.2529	2.7639	0.08970	3.5477	0.080

Reference review paper: PDG chapter 33. Passage of particles through matter

2 Landau-Vavilov distribution

33.2.9. Fluctuations in energy loss:

For detectors of moderate thickness x (e.g. scintillators or LAr cells),* the energy loss probability distribution $f(\Delta; \beta\gamma, x)$ is adequately described by the highly-skewed Landau (or Landau-Vavilov) distribution [24,25]. The most probable energy loss is [26][†]

$$\Delta_p = \xi \left[\ln \frac{2mc^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{I} + \ln \frac{\xi}{I} + j - \beta^2 - \delta(\beta \gamma) \right] , \qquad (33.11)$$

where $\xi = (K/2) \langle Z/A \rangle z^2 (x/\beta^2)$ MeV for a detector with a thickness x in g cm⁻², and j = 0.200 [26]. [‡] While dE/dx is independent of thickness, Δ_p/x scales as $a \ln x + b$. The density correction $\delta(\beta\gamma)$ was not included in Landau's or Vavilov's work, but it was later included by Bichsel [26]. The high-energy behavior of $\delta(\beta\gamma)$ (Eq. (33.6)) is such that

$$\Delta_p \xrightarrow{\beta\gamma \gtrsim 100} \xi \left[\ln \frac{2mc^2 \xi}{(\hbar \omega_p)^2} + j \right] . \tag{33.12}$$

x: thickness in g/cm2, P10 gas density = 1.534 mg/cm3.

For our case, x~1.534*10^-3 g/cm2 (one pad ~ 10mm, track angle dependent)

 $\times \Delta_p$ is energy loss, not dE/dx.

Reference review paper: PDG chapter 33. Passage of particles through matter

dE/dx calculated by two methods; Bethe-Bloch and Landau-Vavilov. And plotted as a function of particle rigidity (100~3000 MeV/c/Z).

