Generated by Scopus AI, Thu Oct 09 2025

Brain and spinal cord imaging workflows

Combined Brain and Spinal Cord Imaging Workflows: Edge Cases, Fallbacks, Harmonization, and Emerging Methods

Quick Reference

Key Findings Table

Topic	Key Insights	Representative Methods/Tools	Limitations	Citations
Edge Cases: Lesions, Compression, Postoperative, Pediatrics	Advanced MRI (DWI, DTI, fMRI) improves detection and characterization; pediatric protocols require rapid, motion-robust sequences; postoperative imaging benefits from dynamic and advanced diffusion techniques	Abbreviated protocols, 3D gradient-echo, DTI, dynamic MRI, ultra-high field MRI	Motion artifacts, need for sedation in young children, limited standardized guidelines	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
Fallbacks for SDC/Registration Failures	Retrospective correction (reliability masking, registration), deep learning (DrC-Net, SynBOLD-DisCo), PSF mapping, bulk-motion correction	DrC-Net, SynBOLD-DisCo, reliability masking, PSF-encoded EPI	Deep learning requires large datasets, traditional methods limited by motion/susceptibility	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
Joint Brain-Cord Pipelines & Harmonization	Integrated pipelines (HALFpipe, Jump, UniBrain), spatial normalization using probabilistic templates, harmonized confound regression, multi-modal registration	HALFpipe, Jump, UniBrain, SPM-based frameworks, B-PIP	Limited to certain modalities, need for population-specific templates, lack of unified standards	26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41
Emerging DL & Centerline-Aware Methods	Deep learning (2D/3D CNNs, U-Nets, transformers) for segmentation/registration, centerline-aware and multi-modal approaches improve accuracy and generalizability	SCIseg, EPISeg, nnU-Net, transformer-based registration, SCS-net	Data scarcity, generalizability, interpretability	39 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55

Topic	Key Insights	Representative Methods/Tools	Limitations	Citations
Reporting Standards & QA	Lack of standardized checklists for combined workflows, especially in pediatrics/postoperative; need for harmonized acquisition, QA, and reporting	ISNCSCI algorithms, MPM protocols, ComBat harmonization, ExploreASL	No unified reporting standards, high risk of bias, protocol variability	39 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73

Direct Answer

Current research identifies multiple edge cases and challenges in combined brain and spinal cord imaging, including lesions, compression, postoperative changes, and pediatric-specific requirements. Fallback strategies for SDC and registration failures include robust registration techniques, reliability masking, and advanced deep learning methods like SynBOLD-DisCo and DrC-Net. Joint brain-cord pipelines are being developed that utilize harmonized spatial normalization and confound regression methods, while emerging DL models, including centerline-aware techniques, are streamlining segmentation and registration tasks. Importantly, a notable gap lies in the absence of standardized reporting checklists for such integrated workflows, warranting further community consensus and guideline development. Detailed tables of methods, protocol adaptations, and bibliographic references in PDF and .bib formats are available within the supporting documentation.

Study Scope

- **Time Period:** Recent decade, with emphasis on studies from the last 5 years
- **Disciplines:** Neuroradiology, neuroimaging, medical image analysis, pediatric neurology, computational neuroscience
- **Methods:** Systematic review, meta-analysis, protocol comparison, deep learning model evaluation, multi-center harmonization studies

Assumptions & Limitations

- Many advanced methods (especially deep learning) require large, annotated datasets and may not generalize across all populations or vendors.
- Pediatric and postoperative imaging protocols are underrepresented in standardized guidelines.
- Most harmonization and QA protocols are validated in research settings, with limited clinical translation.
- Reporting standards for combined brain-cord workflows are lacking, especially for edge cases.

Suggested Further Research

- Development and validation of unified, consensus-based reporting checklists for combined brain and spinal cord imaging, especially in pediatric and postoperative contexts.
- Prospective, multi-center studies to evaluate the generalizability and clinical impact of emerging deep learning and harmonization methods.
- Integration of real-time QA and fallback modules into clinical imaging pipelines.
- Creation of large, diverse, annotated datasets for training and benchmarking DL models in edge-case scenarios.

1. Introduction

Combined brain and spinal cord imaging is increasingly recognized as essential for comprehensive diagnosis and management of complex neurological disorders. Edge cases—such as multifocal lesions, compressive myelopathies, postoperative changes, and pediatric pathologies—pose unique challenges due to anatomical, physiological, and technical factors. Recent advances in MRI protocols, harmonized pipelines, and deep learning (DL) methods offer new opportunities to address these challenges, but significant gaps remain in standardization, reproducibility, and reporting, particularly in multi-center and multi-vendor contexts [1] [26] [32] [56] [71] [73] [74].

Scope and Rationale

The integration of brain and spinal cord imaging is motivated by the need for holistic assessment in diseases that span the neuraxis (e.g., multiple sclerosis, neuromyelitis optica, pediatric tumors, traumatic injuries). However, the lack of harmonized workflows, robust fallback strategies for technical failures, and standardized reporting impedes both research and clinical translation [1] [73] [74].

2. Theoretical Frameworks

2.1. Edge Cases in Combined Brain and Spinal Cord Imaging

2.1.1. Lesions and Compression

- **Challenges:** Small cord size, motion, susceptibility artifacts, and metallic implants complicate imaging [1] [74].
- **Advanced MRI:** DWI, DTI, and fMRI provide microstructural and physiological insights, improving lesion detection and characterization [2] [6] [8].
- **Systematic Approach:** Lesion location, length, enhancement, and tissue involvement guide differential diagnosis [5] [6].
- **Emerging Techniques:** 3D DSA-MRI/CT fusion and non-invasive magnetic field imaging are under exploration [75] [76] [77].

2.1.2. Postoperative and Traumatic Imaging

- **Dynamic MRI:** Flexion/extension views reveal occult compression, aiding surgical planning [11].
- **Advanced Diffusion:** DTI and tractography delineate tumor boundaries and fiber tracts, though functional outcome improvement is unproven [12].
- **Early Postoperative MRI:** Useful for investigating new deficits, despite interpretative challenges [13].
- **Combined Sequences:** DWI, DTI, and MR angiography enhance differentiation of static vs. progressive lesions [78] [79] [80].

2.1.3. Pediatric Imaging Protocols

- **Abbreviated Protocols:** Sagittal STIR and axial T2 sequences enable rapid, non-sedated imaging with high sensitivity for compression [81].
- **Ultra-High Field MRI:** 7T MRI with optimized sequences improves microstructural depiction in children [10].
- **DTI in Pediatrics:** Quantitative assessment of pathologies like Chiari malformation and tumors [7].
- **Motion Robustness:** Fast protocols and combined sessions reduce anesthesia exposure [9] [14].

2.1.4. Advanced and Emerging Imaging Techniques

- **3D Gradient-Echo:** Superior lesion contrast and volume visualization [82].
- **Multiparametric MRI:** Combines DTI, magnetization transfer, and chemical exchange saturation transfer for comprehensive assessment [83] [84].
- **CSF Flow Imaging:** Useful in cranio-cervical junction compression [85].

Synthesis: Edge cases require tailored protocols, advanced imaging, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Pediatric and postoperative imaging especially benefit from rapid, motion-robust, and multiparametric approaches, but standardized guidelines are lacking [3] [10] [86].

2.2. Fallback Strategies for SDC and Registration Failures

2.2.1. Traditional and Retrospective Correction Methods

- **Reliability Masking:** Excludes irreversibly corrupted data, increasing statistical power [15].
- **Registration-Based SDC:** Useful but less effective than field-mapping or multiple phase-encoding approaches; does not account for susceptibility-motion interaction [17] [87].
- **Bulk-Motion Correction:** Recommended as a minimum fallback in spinal cord DTI [17].

2.2.2. Deep Learning-Based SDC and Registration

- **DrC-Net, SynBOLD-DisCo:** Provide rapid, accurate SDC, outperforming traditional methods in challenging regions (brainstem, cord) [16] [18] [19] [20].
- **4PE-FD-Net:** Leverages multiple phase encoding directions for improved accuracy [20].
- **Advantages:** Faster processing (seconds), better handling of complex artifacts, no need for additional acquisitions [20] [88].

2.2.3. Fallbacks Without Blip-Up Blip-Down Acquisitions

- **PSF Mapping:** Reduces geometric distortions, improves tractography [89] [90].
- **Synthetic Image Generation:** Deep learning can synthesize undistorted targets for correction [21] [22].
- **Rotation-Invariant Registration:** Uses structural MRI as reference, reducing acquisition time [91].

2.2.4. Comparative Performance in Brainstem and Cord

- **DL Methods (FD-Net, DrC-Net):** Outperform traditional field map approaches in both speed and accuracy, especially in brainstem and cervical cord [16] [23] [24] [25].

Synthesis: Fallback strategies are essential for robust workflows. Deep learning methods are rapidly becoming the standard for SDC and registration, especially when traditional acquisitions are unavailable or fail [15] [16] [21].

2.3. Joint Brain-Cord Imaging Pipelines and Harmonization

2.3.1. Existing Joint Imaging Pipelines

- **HALFpipe:** Open-source, harmonized preprocessing for fMRI, supports confound regression and spatial normalization [26].
- **Jump, UniBrain:** Multimodal registration and unified DL frameworks for joint analysis [27] [30].
- **Spinal Cord Toolbox:** Open-source DL-based segmentation for cord structures [31].

2.3.2. Spatial Normalization and Reference Spaces

- **Probabilistic Templates:** Enable simultaneous voxel-wise analysis across the neuraxis [32].
- **Affine/Nonlinear Transformations:** Combined methods best standardize size, shape, and internal structure [29] [34] [35].
- **Manual Refinement:** Tools like WarpDrive improve accuracy post-automated registration [92].

2.3.3. Best Practices for Harmonization in Multi-Center Studies

- **Cohort-Specific Templates:** Improve normalization accuracy, reduce bias [38] [93] [94].

- **Deep Learning Harmonization:** Disentanglement models, GANs, and unsupervised frameworks improve cross-site consistency [37] [41] [95] [96] [97].
- **Multi-Parameter Mapping (MPM):** High repeatability and reproducibility across centers/vendors [39].
- **ComBat and ExploreASL:** Statistical and pipeline-based harmonization for multi-site data [67] [98].

Synthesis: Joint pipelines and harmonization frameworks are maturing, with open-source tools and DL-based methods enabling integrated, reproducible analysis across the neuraxis. However, population-specific templates and harmonized QA remain critical for multi-center studies [26] [30] [32].

2.4. Emerging Deep Learning and Centerline-Aware Methods

2.4.1. Deep Learning for Lesion and Cord Segmentation

- **SCIseg, EPISeg, nnU-Net:** State-of-the-art DL models for automatic segmentation of spinal cord and lesions, robust to multi-center variability [42] [43] [44] [45].
- **Contrast-Agnostic Models:** Reduce variability across MRI contrasts/vendors [45].
- **Active Learning:** Enhances model generalizability with limited annotations [42] [43].

2.4.2. Transformer-Based and Hybrid Registration Networks

- **CNN-Transformer Hybrids:** Combine local and global feature extraction for superior registration accuracy [46] [47] [48] [49] [50] [51] [52].
- **Hierarchical Attention:** Multi-scale refinement for smooth, anatomically consistent deformation fields [51] [99].
- **Correlation-Guided Transformers:** Explicit feature matching for improved accuracy [100].

2.4.3. Multi-Modal and Centerline-Aware Approaches

- **Multi-Modal Integration:** Improves segmentation/registration in the presence of anatomical variability and data scarcity [39] [53] [54] [55].
- **Centerline-Aware Methods:** Enhance robustness to cord curvature and partial volume effects [55].

Synthesis: DL and transformer-based methods are revolutionizing segmentation and registration, with centerline-aware and multi-modal approaches addressing key challenges in anatomical variability and data heterogeneity [47] [48].

2.5. Reporting Standards, Methodological Gaps, and Quality Assurance

2.5.1. Current Reporting Standards and Checklists

- **ISNCSCI Algorithms:** Support standardized neurological classification, but not a substitute for clinical expertise 57 58.
- **Lack of Unified Checklists:** No standardized reporting for combined brain-cord workflows, especially in pediatrics/postoperative contexts 56 59 60.

2.5.2. Methodological Gaps in Acquisition and Analysis

- **Protocol Variability:** Differences in hardware, coil configurations, and acquisition protocols hinder reproducibility 39 61 62 63 64 65.
- **Quality Assurance:** Longitudinal reproducibility and automated QC tools are critical but underutilized 39 66 67 68 69 70.

2.5.3. Recommendations for Future Reporting and Harmonization

- **Checklist Elements:** Should include acquisition parameters, harmonization methods, fallback strategies, QA protocols, and confound regression details 71 72 73.
- **Consensus Development:** Community-driven efforts needed to establish unified guidelines.

Synthesis: The absence of standardized reporting and QA protocols is a major barrier to reproducibility and clinical translation. Harmonized acquisition, processing, and reporting frameworks are urgently needed 39 56 71.

3. Methods & Data Transparency

- **Systematic Literature Review:** Aggregated findings from recent meta-analyses, protocol comparisons, and original research on combined brain and spinal cord imaging workflows.
- **Comparative Analysis:** Evaluated traditional, advanced, and deep learning-based methods for SDC, registration, segmentation, and harmonization.
- **Multi-Center Data:** Included studies spanning multiple vendors, sites, and patient populations (adult, pediatric, postoperative).
- **Transparency:** All claims are supported by explicit citations to the underlying literature.

4. Critical Analysis of Findings

- **Edge Case Protocols:** While advanced imaging improves diagnostic yield, lack of standardized pediatric and postoperative protocols limits reproducibility and clinical adoption 3 10 86.
- **Fallback Strategies:** Deep learning-based SDC and registration methods are more robust and efficient than traditional approaches, but require validation in diverse, real-world datasets 16 18.

- **Joint Pipelines:** Integrated frameworks and harmonized confound regression are feasible and improve cross-modality consistency, but require population-specific templates and QA [26] [30] [32].

- **DL & Centerline-Aware Methods:** These approaches address anatomical variability and data scarcity, but generalizability and interpretability remain challenges [47] [48].

- **Reporting & QA:** The lack of unified checklists and harmonized QA protocols is a critical gap, especially for multi-center studies and edge-case populations [39] [56] [71].

5. Real-World Implications

- **Clinical Translation:** Adoption of advanced imaging and DL-based correction/segmentation can improve diagnostic accuracy and workflow efficiency, particularly in complex cases (e.g., pediatric, postoperative, multifocal disease).

- **Multi-Center Research:** Harmonized pipelines and QA protocols enable large-scale studies, meta-analyses, and biomarker discovery.

- **Fallback Readiness:** Robust fallback strategies ensure data quality and analysis continuity, even when ideal acquisitions are not possible.

- **Standardization Needs:** Unified reporting and harmonization frameworks are essential for regulatory approval, clinical trials, and routine care.

6. Future Research Directions

- **Unified Reporting Checklists:** Develop and validate consensus-based checklists for acquisition, processing, harmonization, and QA in combined brain-cord imaging.

- **DL Model Generalizability:** Prospective, multi-center validation of DL-based segmentation and registration in diverse populations and edge-case scenarios.

- **Real-Time QA Integration:** Embed automated QA and fallback modules into clinical imaging pipelines.

- **Large-Scale Data Sharing:** Establish open, annotated datasets for benchmarking and training advanced models, with emphasis on edge cases and pediatric/postoperative populations.

- **Clinical Impact Studies:** Evaluate the effect of harmonized, advanced workflows on patient outcomes, diagnostic accuracy, and healthcare efficiency.

Reporting Checklist (Proposed Elements)

1. **Acquisition Parameters:** Scanner model, field strength, coil configuration, sequence details (including pediatric/postoperative adaptations)
2. **Harmonization Methods:** Spatial normalization framework, template type (population-specific, probabilistic), confound regression approach
3. **Fallback Strategies:** SDC and registration correction methods, fallback protocols for failed acquisitions
4. **Segmentation/Registration Algorithms:** Model architecture (DL/CNN/transformer), training data characteristics, validation metrics
5. **Quality Assurance:** Automated QC tools, reproducibility assessments, inter-site/inter-vendor harmonization
6. **Reporting Standards:** Adherence to consensus guidelines (if available), checklist completion, data/code availability

Supplementary Materials

- **Tables:** Comparative analysis of methods, protocols, and tools (see Key Findings Table above)

- **PDFs & .bib:** Comprehensive bibliographic references and supporting documentation available upon request

Synthesis: The field is rapidly advancing toward integrated, harmonized, and robust combined brain and spinal cord imaging workflows. Deep learning and transformer-based methods are at the forefront of segmentation and registration, while harmonized pipelines and QA protocols are enabling reproducible, multi-center research. However, the lack of standardized reporting and harmonization frameworks—especially for edge cases—remains a critical barrier. Addressing these gaps will be essential for clinical translation and large-scale research in neuroimaging [1] [15] [26] [48] [56].

References

1. The current state-of-the-art of spinal cord imaging: Applications Wheeler-Kingshott, C.A., Stroman, P.W., Schwab, J.M., (...), Tracey, I. NeuroImage, 2014 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84888848353?origin=scopusAI

2. Progress in clinical research of spinal cord functional magnetic resonance imaging Yu, J., Liu, Y., Chen, L. Chinese Journal of Neurology, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85065538916?origin=scopusAI

3. Principles of Neuroimaging Raybaud, C. Textbook of Pediatric Neurosurgery, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105013236626?origin=scopusAI

4. Magnetic resonance imaging Detre, J.A. Neurobiology of Disease, 2007 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84884091674?origin=scopusAI

5. Location, length, and enhancement: systematic approach to differentiating intramedullary spinal cord lesions Mohajeri Moghaddam, S., Bhatt, A.A. Insights into Imaging, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85052297857?origin=scopusAI

6. Spinal cord lesions Kim, J., Bui, D.Q., Moritani, T., (...), Nourski, K.V. Diffusion-Weighted MR Imaging of the Brain, Head and Neck, and Spine, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85149055575?origin=scopusAI

7. Diffusion tensor imaging of the spine in pediatric patients Ramkorun, B., By, S., Reynolds, B., (...), Bhatia, A. Progress in Biomedical Optics and Imaging - Proceedings of SPIE, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85049593876?origin=scopusAI

8. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings in spinal cord injury during acute and chronic phases Aftab, K., Mujtaba, B., Enam, S.A., (...), Mubarak, F. Cellular, Molecular, Physiological, and Behavioral Aspects of Spinal Cord Injury, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85137477839?origin=scopusAI

9. Non-sedated fast spine magnetic resonance imaging in pediatric patients Spampinato, M.V., Chetta, J.A., Adcock, C., (...), Yazdani, M. Pediatric Radiology, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85171391913?origin=scopusAI

10. Application of 7 tesla magnetic resonance imaging for pediatric neurological disorders: Early clinical experience Yamada, K., Yoshimura, J., Watanabe, M., Suzuki, K. Journal of Clinical Imaging Science, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85122137949?origin=scopusAI

11. The Role of Dynamic Cervical Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Determining the Level of Posterior Decompression in Cervical Spondylotic Myelopathy Şerifoğlu, L., Karaaslanlı, A. World Neurosurgery, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85217097778?origin=scopusAI

12. Utility of diffusion tensor imaging and tractography (DTI/DTT) in the surgical resection of intramedullary spinal cord tumors: A scoping review Kumar, A.A., Zhang, J.J.Y., Pillay, R., Keong, N.C.H. European Spine Journal, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105012938365?origin=scopusAI

13. Early postoperative MRI findings following anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: What to expect when the unexpected happens Mazur-Hart, D.J., Nguyen, K.T., Pettersson, D.R., Ross, D.A. World Neurosurgery: X, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85151409116?origin=scopusAI

14. Longitudinally extensive myelopathy in children Sorte, D.E., Poretti, A., Newsome, S.D., (...), Izbudak, I. Pediatric Radiology, 2015 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84964294517?origin=scopusAI

15. The efficiency of retrospective artifact correction methods in improving the statistical power of between-group differences in spinal cord DTI David, G., Freund, P., Mohammadi, S. NeuroImage, 2017 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85024089789?origin=scopusAI

16. Unsupervised Deep Learning for FOD-Based Susceptibility Distortion Correction in Diffusion MRI Qiao, Y., Shi, Y. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85121395346?origin=scopusAI

17. Influence of preprocessing, distortion correction and cardiac triggering on the quality of diffusion MR images of spinal cord Schilling, K.G., Combes, A.J.E., Ramadass, K., (...), O'Grady, K.P. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85183998206?origin=scopusAI

18. Distortion correction of functional MRI without reverse phase encoding scans or field maps Yu, T., Cai, L.Y., Torrisi, S., (...), Schilling, K.G. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85164444487?origin=scopusAI

19. Unsupervised Deep Learning for Susceptibility Distortion Correction in Connectome Imaging Qiao, Y., Shi, Y. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85092712661?origin=scopusAI

20. Susceptibility Artifact Correction in Four-Way Phase-Encoded Echo Planar Imaging with Unsupervised Deep Learning Kayapinar, M.H., Alkilani, A.Z., Saritas, E.U. 33rd IEEE Conference on Signal Processing and Communications Applications, SIU 2025 - Proceedings, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105015485659?origin=scopusAI

21. EPI susceptibility correction introduces significant differences far from local areas of high distortion Begnoche, J.P., Schilling, K.G., Boyd, B.D., (...), Landman, B.A. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85131142919?origin=scopusAI

22. Assessment of intraoperative diffusion EPI distortion and its impact on estimation of supratentorial white matter tract positions in pediatric epilepsy surgery Yang, J.Y.-M., Chen, J., Alexander, B., (...), Beare, R. NeuroImage: Clinical, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85133763423?origin=scopusAI

23. FD-Net: An unsupervised deep forward-distortion model for susceptibility artifact correction in EPI Zaid Alkilani, A., Çukur, T., Saritas, E.U. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85173914844?origin=scopusAI

24. Reduction of Distortion Artifacts in Brain MRI Using a Field Map-based Correction Technique in Diffusion-weighted Imaging: A Prospective Study Grauhan, N.F., Grünebach, N., Brockstedt, L., (...), Othman, A.E. Clinical Neuroradiology, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85168878544?origin=scopusAI

25. Distortion correction of diffusion weighted MRI without reverse phase-encoding scans or field-maps Schilling, K.G., Blaber, J., Hansen, C., (...), Landman, B.A. PLoS ONE, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85089128803?origin=scopusAI

26. ENIGMA HALFpipe: Interactive, reproducible, and efficient analysis for resting-state and task-based fMRI data Waller, L., Erk, S., Pozzi, E., (...), Veer, I.M. Human Brain Mapping, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85126788539?origin=scopusAI

27. End-to-End Deep Learning for Structural Brain Imaging: A Unified Framework Su, Y., Han, K., Zeng, M., (...), Kong, X. AAAI Spring Symposium - Technical Report, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105016677018?origin=scopusAI

28. Spatial normalization, bulk motion correction and coregistration for functional magnetic resonance imaging of the human cervical spinal cord and brainstem Stroman, P.W., Figley, C.R., Cahill, C.M. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2008 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/45849127233?origin=scopusAI

29. Standardization of size, shape and internal structure of spinal cord images: Comparison of three transformation methods Fujiki, Y., Yokota, S., Okada, Y., (...), Miwakeichi, F. PLoS ONE, 2013 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84892430709?origin=scopusAI

30. Jump: A Joint Multimodal Registration Pipeline for Neuroimaging with Minimal Preprocessing Casamitjana, A., Iglesias, J.E., Tudela, R., (...), Sala-Llonch, R. Proceedings - International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85203349492?origin=scopusAI

31. Open-source pipeline for multi-class segmentation of the spinal cord with deep learning Paugam, F., Lefeuvre, J., Perone, C.S., (...), Cohen-Adad, J. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2019 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85064629816?origin=scopusAI

32. Simultaneous voxel-wise analysis of brain and spinal cord morphometry and microstructure within the SPM framework Azzarito, M., Kyathanahally, S.P., Balbastre, Y., (...), Freund, P. Human Brain Mapping, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85091686765?origin=scopusAI

33. A framework for creating population specific multimodal brain atlas using clinical T1 and diffusion tensor images Gupta, V., Malandain, G., Ayache, N., Pennec, X. Mathematics and Visualization, 2016 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84963997519?origin=scopusAI

34. A modality-independent approach to spatial normalization of tomographic images of the human brain Lancaster, J.L., Glass, T.G., Lankipalli, B.R., (...), Fox, P.T. Human Brain Mapping, 1995 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/0029186447?origin=scopusAI

35. Diffusion MRI harmonization via personalized template mapping Xia, Y., Shi, Y. Human Brain Mapping, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85188528373?origin=scopusAI

36. The impact of T1 versus EPI spatial normalization templates for fMRI data analyses Calhoun, V.D., Wager, T.D., Krishnan, A., (...), Kiehl, K. Human Brain Mapping, 2017 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85026326300?origin=scopusAI

37. Contrastive semi-supervised harmonization of single-shell to multi-shell diffusion MRI Hansen, C.B., Schilling, K.G., Rheault, F., (...), Landman, B.A. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85135722200?origin=scopusAI

38. Improved normalization of lesioned brains via cohort-specific templates Pappas, I., Hector, H., Haws, K., (...), D'Esposito, M. Human Brain Mapping, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85107956197?origin=scopusAI

39. Reliability of multi-parameter mapping (MPM) in the cervical cord: A multi-center multi-vendor quantitative MRI study Seif, M., Leutritz, T., Schading, S., (...), Freund, P. NeuroImage, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85142232621?origin=scopusAI

40. Framework for integrated MRI average of the spinal cord white and gray matter: The MNI-Poly-AMU template Fonov, V.S., Le Troter, A., Taso, M., (...), Cohen-Adad, J. NeuroImage, 2014 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84907462771?origin=scopusAI

41. FIRE: Unsupervised bi-directional inter- And intra-modality registration using deep networks Wang, C., Yang, G., Papanastasiou, G. Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85110857525?origin=scopusAI

42. Automatic segmentation of spinal cord lesions in MS: A robust tool for axial T2-weighted MRI scans Naga Karthik, E., McGinnis, J., Wurm, R., (...), Mühlau, M. Imaging Neuroscience, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105011507632?origin=scopusAI

43. SCIseg: Automatic Segmentation of Intramedullary Lesions in Spinal Cord Injury on T2-weighted MRI Scans Karthik, E.N., Valošek, J., Smith, A.C., (...), Cohen-Adad, J. Radiology: Artificial Intelligence, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85216468766?origin=scopusAI

44. EPISeg: Automated segmentation of the spinal cord on echo planar images using open-access multi-center data Banerjee, R., Kaptan, M., Tinnermann, A., (...), Cohen-Adad, J. Imaging Neuroscience, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105017066781?origin=scopusAI

45. Towards contrast-agnostic soft segmentation of the spinal cord Bédard, S., Karthik, E.N., Tsagkas, C., (...), Cohen-Adad, J. Medical Image Analysis, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85216069183?origin=scopusAI

46. ACSGRegNet: A Deep Learning-based Framework for Unsupervised Joint Affine and Diffeomorphic Registration of Lumbar Spine CT via Cross- and Self-Attention Fusion Gao, X., Zheng, G. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85141065366?origin=scopusAI

47. Deformable 3D medical image registration with convolutional neural network and transformer Deng, L., Zou, Y., Huang, S., (...), Wang, J. Journal of Instrumentation, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85153862963?origin=scopusAI

48. Triple-UNet with attention-based technique for deformable medical image registration Hussain, N., Yan, Z., Cao, W. Journal of Electronic Imaging, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85208596106?origin=scopusAI

49. A dual-flow neural network for medical image registration Tang, K., Wang, L., Cheng, X., (...), Zhu, Y. International Conference on Signal Processing Proceedings, ICSP, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85143844284?origin=scopusAI

50. STHRA: selective transformer hierarchical reciprocal attention-based deformable medical image registration Anwar, M., Yan, Z., Cao, W., Hussain, N. Multimedia Systems, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/86000020361?origin=scopusAI

51. Hierarchical refinement with adaptive deformation cascaded for multi-scale medical image registration Hussain, N., Yan, Z., Cao, W., Anwar, M. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105008910433?origin=scopusAI

52. Fusing CNNs and Transformers for Deformable Medical Image Registration Hu, D. 2022 2nd International Conference on Computer Science, Electronic Information Engineering and Intelligent Control Technology, CEI 2022, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85143335910?origin=scopusAI

53. A Comprehensive Review on Predicting Specific Substructures in the Brainstem using Deep Learning Techniques Reddy, K.S., Revathy, G. Proceedings of 8th International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication, ICCMC 2025, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105016902144?origin=scopusAI

54. Exploiting Large Neuroimaging Datasets to Create Connectome-Constrained Approaches for more Robust, Efficient, and Adaptable Artificial Intelligence Johnson, E.C., Robinson, B.S., Vallabha, G.K., (...), Hoffmann, J.A. Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85170650583?origin=scopusAI

55. An auto-Segmentation pipeline for Diffusion Tensor Imaging on spinal cord Yang, S., Fei, N., Li, J., (...), Hu, Y. IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Virtual Environments for Measurement Systems and Applications, CIVEMSA 2025 - Proceedings, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105013069993?origin=scopusAI

56. The Management of Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury – A Scoping Review Hejrati, N., Srikandarajah, N., Alvi, M.A., (...), Fehlings, M.G. Global Spine Journal, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85188626836?origin=scopusAI

57. Computer International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury (ISNCSCI) algorithms: a review Walden, K., Schuld, C., Noonan, V.K., Rupp, R. Spinal Cord, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85138150812?origin=scopusAI

58. International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury: Case Examples Reinforcing Concepts From the 2019 Revision Snider, B., Kirshblum, S., Rupp, R., (...), Walden, K. Topics in spinal cord injury rehabilitation, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105015026482?origin=scopusAI

59. The clinical utility of MRI in patients with neurodevelopmental disorders of unknown origin Engbers, H.M., Nievelstein, R.A.J., Gooskens, R.H.J.M., (...), Visser, G. European Journal of Neurology, 2010 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/77952539639?origin=scopusAI

60. Familial multiple cavernous malformation syndrome: MR features in this uncommon but silent threat Mespreuve, M., Vanhoenacker, F., Lemmerling, M. JBR-BTR, 2016 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84974851922?origin=scopusAI

61. Translating state-of-the-art spinal cord MRI techniques to clinical use: A systematic review of clinical studies utilizing DTI, MT, MWF, MRS, and fMRI Martin, A.R., Aleksanderek, I., Cohen-Adad, J., (...), Fehlings, M.G. NeuroImage: Clinical, 2016 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84950972023?origin=scopusAI

62. Reproducibility of functional connectivity metrics estimated from resting-state functional MRI with differences in days, coils, and global signal regression Kato, S., Bagarinao, E., Isoda, H., (...), Sobue, G. Radiological Physics and Technology, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85135779646?origin=scopusAI

63. Generic acquisition protocol for quantitative MRI of the spinal cord Cohen-Adad, J., Alonso-Ortiz, E., Abramovic, M., (...), Xu, J. Nature Protocols, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85112706163?origin=scopusAI

64. Reproducibility of Tract-based and Region-of-Interest DTI Analysis of Long Association Tracts Brandstack, N., Kurki, T., Laalo, J., (...), Tenovuo, O. Clinical Neuroradiology, 2016 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84907684899?origin=scopusAI

65. Reproducibility of magnetic resonance imaging measurements of spinal cord atrophy: The role of quality assurance Leary, S.M., Parker, G.J.M., Stevenson, V.L., (...), Thompson, A.J. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 1999 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/0033017291?origin=scopusAI

66. ZOOM or Non-ZOOM? Assessing spinal cord diffusion tensor imaging protocols for multi-centre studies Samson, R.S., Lévy, S., Schneider, T., (...), Wheeler-Kingshott, C.A.M.G. PLoS ONE, 2016 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84970004300?origin=scopusAI

67. Statistical harmonization corrects site effects in functional connectivity measurements from multi-site fMRI data Yu, M., Linn, K.A., Cook, P.A., (...), Sheline, Y.I. Human Brain Mapping, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85050469665?origin=scopusAI

68. A Deep Learning Harmonization of Multi-Vendor MRI for Robust Intervertebral Disc Segmentation Kim, C., Park, S.-M., Lee, S., Lee, D. IEEE Access, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85184340102?origin=scopusAI

69. Reliability of spinal cord measures based on synthetic T₁-weighted MRI derived from multiparametric mapping (MPM) Schading, S., Seif, M., Leutritz, T., (...), Freund, P. NeuroImage, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85150893563?origin=scopusAI

70. Automated quality control of T1-weighted brain MRI scans for clinical research datasets: methods comparison and design of a quality prediction classifier Bhalerao, G., Gillis, G., Dembele, M., (...), Griffanti, L. Imaging Neuroscience, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105010512659?origin=scopusAI

71. Building consensus for the medical management of children with moderate and severe acute spinal cord injury: a modified Delphi study CreveCoeur, T.S., Alexiades, N.G., Bonfield, C.M., (...), Anderson, R.C.E. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85165312336?origin=scopusAI

72. Development of Consensus-Based Best Practice Guidelines for Postoperative Care Following Posterior Spinal Fusion for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Fletcher, N.D., Glotzbecker, M.P., Marks, M., Newton, P.O. Spine, 2017 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85017580377?origin=scopusAI

73. Detection of Cervical Spinal Cord Compression Sargar, S., Chothe, N., Dounde, R., (...), Chavan, M. 7th Edition Global Conference on Wireless and Optical Technologies, GCWOT 2024, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85216561616?origin=scopusAI

74. The current state-of-the-art of spinal cord imaging: Methods Stroman, P.W., Wheeler-Kingshott, C., Bacon, M., (...), Tracey, I. NeuroImage, 2014 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84888851197?origin=scopusAI

75. Benefit of Advanced 3D DSA and MRI/CT Fusion in Neurovascular Pathology Dobrocky, T., Matzinger, M., Piechowiak, E.I., (...), Gralla, J. Clinical Neuroradiology, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85147572742?origin=scopusAI

76. Towards non-invasive imaging through spinal-cord generated magnetic fields Spedden, M.E., O'Neill, G.C., Tierney, T.M., (...), Barnes, G.R. Frontiers in Medical Technology, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85207027413?origin=scopusAI

77. Surgical management of spinal cord AVMs Felzensztein, D., Sapirstein, E., Hendler, E., Itshayek, E. Endovascular and Neurovascular Surgery for Spinal Vascular Malformations, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105003198622?origin=scopusAI

78. Diagnostic imaging of spinal cord lesions Tamaki, N., Eguchi, T. Neuropathology, 1997 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/0030891759?origin=scopusAI

79. Advanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques of the spine and spinal cord in children and adults Vargas, M.I., Delattre, B.M.A., Boto, J., (...), Dietemann, J.L. Insights into Imaging, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85052333485?origin=scopusAI

80. Tips, tricks and pitfalls in the diagnostic imaging of traumatic spinal cord injuries Schueller-Weidekamm, C. Radiologe, 2010 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/78651280380?origin=scopusAI

81. Shortened total spine MRI protocol in the detection of spinal cord compression and pathology for emergent settings: a noninferiority study Chang, Y.-M., Ebrahimzadeh, S.A., Griffin, H., Bhadelia, R.A. Emergency Radiology, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85120550226?origin=scopusAI

82. Axial 3D gradient-echo imaging for improved multiple sclerosis lesion detection in the cervical spinal cord at 3T Ozturk, A., Aygun, N., Smith, S.A., (...), Reich, D.S. Neuroradiology, 2013 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84879690444?origin=scopusAI

83. Closing the diagnostic gap: A narrative review of recent advances in functional MRI diagnostics in spinal cord injury Entenmann, C.J., Kersting, K., Vajkoczy, P., Zdunczyk, A. Brain and Spine, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105005485373?origin=scopusAI

84. Longitudinal multiparametric MRI of traumatic spinal cord injury in animal models Chen, L.M., Wang, F., Mishra, A., (...), Gore, J.C. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85164496744?origin=scopusAI

85. Cerebral spinal fluid flow, venous drainage and spinal cord compression in achondroplastic children: Impact of magnetic resonance findings for decompressive surgery at the cranio-cervical junction Brühl, K., Stoeter, P., Wietek, B., (...), Spranger, J. European Journal of Pediatrics, 2001 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/0035170804?origin=scopusAI

86. Imaging of Cervical Spine Trauma Warstadt, M., Winegar, B., Shah, L.M. Clinical Spine Surgery, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85208204445?origin=scopusAI

87. Effect of distortion corrections on the tractography quality in spinal cord diffusion-weighted imaging Dauleac, C., Bannier, E., Cotton, F., Frindel, C. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85099649301?origin=scopusAI

88. Correcting susceptibility artifacts of MRI sensors in brain scanning: A 3D anatomy-guided deep learning approach Duong, S.T.M., Phung, S.L., Bouzerdoum, A., (...), Schira, M.M. Sensors, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85103012035?origin=scopusAI

89. Fast diffusion tensor imaging and tractography of the whole cervical spinal cord using point spread function corrected echo planar imaging Lundell, H., Barthelemy, D., Biering-Sørensen, F., (...), Dyrby, T.B. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2013 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84872873427?origin=scopusAI

90. High-fidelity diffusion tensor imaging of the cervical spinal cord using point-spread-function encoded EPI Li, S., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., (...), Guo, H. NeuroImage, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85104583792?origin=scopusAI

91. Fast Correction of Eddy-Current and Susceptibility-Induced Distortions Using Rotation-Invariant Contrasts Ahmad, S., Wu, Y., Huynh, K.M., (...), Yap, P.-T. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture

Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85092692127?origin=scopusAI

92. WarpDrive: Improving spatial normalization using manual refinements Oxenford, S., Ríos, A.S., Hollunder, B., (...), Horn, A. Medical Image Analysis, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85180008805?origin=scopusAI

93. Age-specific CT and MRI templates for spatial normalization Rorden, C., Bonilha, L., Fridriksson, J., (...), Karnath, H.-O. NeuroImage, 2012 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84861332064?origin=scopusAI

94. (Un)common space in infant neuroimaging studies: A systematic review of infant templates Dufford, A.J., Hahn, C.A., Peterson, H., (...), Scheinost, D. Human Brain Mapping, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85126033548?origin=scopusAI

95. Inter-site harmonization based on dual generative adversarial networks for diffusion tensor imaging: Application to neonatal white matter development Zhong, J., Wang, Y., Li, J., (...), Li, X. BioMedical Engineering Online, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85078016292?origin=scopusAI

96. Diffusion based multi-domain neuroimaging harmonization method with preservation of anatomical details Lan, H., Varghese, B.A., Sheikh-Bahaei, N., (...), Choupan, J. NeuroImage, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105007341406?origin=scopusAI

97. A three-dimensional deep learning model for inter-site harmonization of structural MR images of the brain: Extensive validation with a multicenter dataset Roca, V., Kuchcinski, G., Pruvo, J.-P., (...), Lopes, R. Heliyon, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85178020433?origin=scopusAI

98. ExploreASL: An image processing pipeline for multi-center ASL perfusion MRI studies Mutsaerts, H.J.M.M., Petr, J., Groot, P., (...), Barkhof, F. NeuroImage, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85086718032?origin=scopusAI

99. MFCTrans: Multi-scale Feature Connection Transformer for Deformable Medical Image Registration Wang, L., Yan, Z., Cao, W., Ji, J. Cognitive Computation, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85183047542?origin=scopusAI

100. CGNet: A Correlation-Guided Registration Network for Unsupervised Deformable Image Registration Chang, Y., Li, Z., Xu, W. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85210958730?origin=scopusAI