Generated by Scopus AI, Thu Oct 09 2025

Validation and benchmarking methods in imaging

Comprehensive Validation and Benchmarking of Neuroimaging Pipelines: Metrics, Methods, Datasets, and Collaborative Frameworks

Quick Reference

Key Findings Table

Validation Method	Metric(s) Assessed	Example Datasets/Tools	Benchmarking Outcome/Notes	Supporting Citations
Physical Phantoms	Geometric deformation, SNR, tissue segmentation	Traveling phantom studies, NEMA, 3D-printed phantoms	Multi-site reliability, scanner QA, protocol standardization	1 2 3
Digital/AI Phantoms	Anatomical realism, segmentation accuracy	MR-BIAS, AI-enhanced computational models	Improved anatomical realism, scalable validation	4 5 6
Simulations	Registration error, dosimetric changes	Virtual phantoms, Monte Carlo simulations	Quantification of algorithm accuracy, sensitivity/specificity	5 7 8
Test-Retest Reliability	ICC, activation overlap, connectivity reliability	HCP, Huntington's, ASL, FreeSurfer datasets	Assessment of reproducibility, version compatibility	9 10
Alignment Error	Vertebral-level assignment, cross-sectional area	Spine generic qMRI, UK Biobank spinal cohort	Reliability of spinal morphometry, segmentation	11 12
Distortion Residuals	Geometric distortion, artifact detection	Modular phantoms, MRI QA protocols	Scanner stability, protocol optimization	13 14
tSNR, Effective Smoothness	Signal consistency, spatial smoothness	fMRI datasets, pipeline optimization	Data quality, pipeline tuning	15
Activation Overlap	Spatial similarity, reproducibility	VBM, fMRI multi-pipeline datasets	Impact of pipeline choice on localization	16 17

Validation Method	Metric(s) Assessed	Example Datasets/Tools	Benchmarking Outcome/Notes	Supporting Citations
Connectivity Reliability	Edge-level, network-level ICC	HCP, multi-session fMRI datasets	Reliability of functional/structural connectivity	9 18

Direct Answer

A comprehensive table (above) summarizes validation methods, metrics, datasets, and benchmarking outcomes. Methods text should detail experimental design (e.g., simulated confounds, same analysis approach, cross-validation), hardware/software impact (e.g., floating-point arithmetic), and integration strategies (e.g., containerized pipelines like HALFpipe, NeuroCI). PDFs and .bib files should be collated from key studies identified in the literature, with references organized by citation identifiers for traceability [1] [17] [19] [20].

Study Scope

- **Time Period:** Primarily 2020–2024, with foundational references as needed.
- **Disciplines:** Neuroimaging (MRI, fMRI, PET, DTI), computational neuroscience, medical image analysis.
- **Methods:** Physical/digital phantoms, simulations, test-retest, cross-validation, federated benchmarking, containerized workflows.

Assumptions & Limitations

- Most benchmarking datasets are adult-focused; pediatric and spinal imaging protocols remain underdeveloped [21] [22].
- Analytical variability due to pipeline/software version differences is significant and must be controlled [10] [17].
- Numerical instability from hardware/software differences can affect reproducibility [23] [24].
- Explainability and uncertainty quantification are emerging but not yet standardized in benchmarking [25] [26].

Suggested Further Research

- Develop standardized validation protocols and datasets for pediatric and spinal neuroimaging.
- Integrate explainability and uncertainty metrics into benchmarking pipelines.
- Expand federated benchmarking platforms to support real-time, interactive metric dashboards.
- Advance AI-driven meta-analyses for automated synthesis of pipeline variability.

1. Introduction

Validation and benchmarking are foundational to neuroimaging research, ensuring that analytical pipelines yield reliable, reproducible, and interpretable results. The diversity of metrics—ranging from physical phantoms and digital simulations to test-retest reliability and advanced technical measures—reflects the complexity of modern neuroimaging workflows. Systematic validation is essential to address analytical variability, facilitate cross-site harmonization, and support robust scientific inference [1] [9] [17].

Scope and Significance

This report synthesizes recent advances in validation and benchmarking methods, cataloging key metrics, datasets, and collaborative frameworks. It highlights the need for systematic approaches to mitigate variability and enhance reproducibility, especially as neuroimaging studies scale in size and complexity [1] [9] [17].

2. Theoretical Frameworks

2.1 Validation Metrics in Neuroimaging Pipelines

Phantoms and Simulations

Physical phantoms—such as traveling phantoms, NEMA standards, and 3D-printed patient-specific models—enable cross-site validation by assessing geometric deformation, tissue segmentation variability, and scanner stability [1] [2] [27]. Advances include multimodal phantoms for PET/MRI and modular kits for platform-independent QA [28] [29]. Digital and AI-enhanced phantoms offer scalable, anatomically realistic validation, overcoming limitations of manual segmentation and fixed physical models [5] [6].

Test-Retest Reliability and Multivariate Approaches

Test-retest reliability is a cornerstone metric, with evidence showing poor reliability for univariate measures (e.g., voxel-based activation, edge-level connectivity) and improved outcomes with multivariate approaches (e.g., ICA, CVA) [9] [30] [31]. Multivariate models aggregate information across features, yielding higher reliability and generalizability [32] [33].

Alignment Error, Distortion Residuals, and Technical Metrics

Vertebral-level alignment error is quantified using proportionality methods and semantic segmentation, validated on multi-session spinal MRI datasets [11] [12]. Distortion residuals are assessed via modular phantoms and QA protocols, supporting scanner calibration and protocol optimization [13] [14]. Technical metrics such as tSNR and effective smoothness guide pipeline tuning for optimal data quality [15].

Activation Overlap and Connectivity Reliability

Spatial similarity and activation overlap metrics reveal the impact of pipeline choice on localization and reproducibility of neuroanatomical markers [16] [17]. Connectivity reliability is assessed via ICC and network-level measures, with multivariate models outperforming univariate approaches in stability and predictive power [9] [18].

Synthesis

Theoretical frameworks in neuroimaging validation integrate physical and digital phantoms, multivariate reliability metrics, and technical QA protocols. These approaches collectively address the multifaceted sources of analytical variability, supporting robust benchmarking across modalities and sites [1] [9] [15].

3. Methods & Data Transparency

3.1 Datasets for Pipeline Comparison

Multi-Pipeline and Harmonized Datasets

The Human Connectome Project (HCP) multi-pipeline dataset provides contrast maps for over 1,000 participants processed with 24 pipelines, enabling direct head-to-head comparisons and assessment of analytical variability [17]. Harmonized Huntington's disease datasets, processed in BIDS format, aggregate data from multiple studies for large-scale benchmarking [34].

Test-Retest and Multi-Session Data

Public datasets with comprehensive test-retest data (e.g., HCP, FreeSurfer test-retest cohorts, multiband diffusion MRI) support evaluation of pipeline reliability across software versions and scan parameters [10] [18] [35]. These datasets facilitate assessment of reproducibility and compatibility, with interactive viewers and reference metrics available [10].

Specialized Datasets for Spinal and Pediatric Imaging

Spine generic qMRI protocols and UK Biobank spinal cohorts provide multi-session data for vertebral-level alignment error benchmarking [11] [12]. Pediatric benchmarking remains limited due to adult-focused datasets and the need for specialized acquisition protocols [21] [22].

3.2 Methodological Approaches to Validation

Systematic Testing and Cross-Validation

Best practices include repeated random splits, nested cross-validation, and sensitivity analyses to optimize pipeline configurations and avoid bias [36] [37]. The "Same Analysis Approach" applies identical methods to experimental, simulated confound, and null data, detecting confounds and unexpected properties [17] [38].

Simulated Confounds, Null Data, and Lesion Data

Artificial lesion simulation and ground-truth synthetic data are integrated into validation workflows to estimate sensitivity, specificity, and computational validity [8] [39] [40]. These methods support robust pipeline comparison and regression testing.

Numerical Stability and Reproducibility

Floating-point arithmetic, hardware variability, and platform differences introduce numerical instability, affecting reproducibility [23] [41]. Strategies include Monte Carlo Arithmetic, containerization, and reproducible summation algorithms [24] [42].

Synthesis

Transparent methodological reporting, systematic testing, and robust dataset selection are critical for reliable pipeline validation. Addressing numerical instability and analytical variability ensures reproducibility and comparability across studies [36] [41].

4. Critical Analysis of Findings

4.1 Benchmarking Practices and Metric Integration

Benchmarking integrates performance, explainability, robustness, uncertainty, and code quality. Collaborative platforms (e.g., COINSTAC, PSOM, LONI Pipeline, HALFpipe) facilitate federated, scalable, and reproducible benchmarking across heterogeneous datasets [43] [44] [45]. Physical and digital phantoms are central to QA protocols, supporting multi-site standardization and iterative improvement [3] [46].

4.2 Collaborative Frameworks and Platforms

COINSTAC enables decentralized, federated analysis without data pooling, overcoming privacy and regulatory barriers [44] [45]. COINSTAC Vaults host standardized datasets for self-service collaborative analysis. PSOM and LONI Pipeline offer scalable, reproducible workflow management, with PSOM excelling in script-based flexibility and provenance tracking [47] [48].

4.3 Quality Assurance Protocols with Phantoms

Monthly QA scans with physical phantoms detect artifacts and monitor scanner stability, correlating phantom SNR with in vivo measurements [3]. Modular and customizable phantoms (e.g., LEGO-compatible, biomimetic) enhance adaptability and comprehensive image quality evaluation [13] [49].

4.4 Federated Benchmarking and Data Sharing

Federated platforms like COINSTAC Vaults facilitate benchmarking across heterogeneous datasets, supporting reproducibility and collaborative analysis without centralized data pooling [44] [45].

Synthesis

Critical analysis reveals that integrated benchmarking practices, collaborative platforms, and advanced QA protocols are essential for reliable neuroimaging pipeline validation. Federated frameworks and modular phantoms address scalability and standardization challenges, while explainability and uncertainty metrics remain areas for further development [43] [45] [46].

5. Real-world Implications

- **Multi-site Studies:** Standardized phantoms and QA protocols enable reliable cross-site data harmonization, supporting large-scale clinical trials and population studies [1] [3].
- **Software Development:** Automated, containerized pipelines (e.g., HALFpipe, NeuroCI) reduce manual intervention, improve reproducibility, and facilitate continuous integration of new methods [50] [51].
- **Clinical Translation:** Robust benchmarking and validation support the development of reliable imaging biomarkers, enhancing diagnostic and prognostic capabilities [27] [52].
- **Collaborative Research:** Federated platforms (COINSTAC, PSOM) enable secure, scalable analysis across institutions, overcoming data sharing barriers and increasing sample sizes [44] [45].

6. Future Research Directions

- **Pediatric and Spinal Imaging:** Develop specialized phantoms, datasets, and protocols to address age-specific and anatomical challenges 12 22.
- **Explainability and Uncertainty:** Integrate advanced metrics into benchmarking pipelines to interpret machine learning outputs and quantify analytical uncertainty 25 26.
- **Interactive Dashboards:** Implement real-time, web-based dashboards for dynamic benchmarking metric visualization using federated platforms 45.
- **AI-driven Meta-analyses:** Automate synthesis of methodological variations across pipelines to streamline validation and standardization 5 53.

Bibliographic Resources and Literature Collection

Reference Organization and Literature Management

Key references and PDFs should be organized by citation identifiers (e.g., 9, 10), ensuring traceability and verification. Best practices include maintaining a centralized repository, using automated tools for literature ingestion, and verifying citation accuracy 19 54 55.

Continuous Integration and Automated Evaluation

NeuroCI automates evaluation of result variability across pipelines and datasets, employing distributed computation and modular design for scalable, reproducible analysis 51.

Mitigating Numerical Variability in Literature

Strategies include Monte Carlo Arithmetic, bagging, containerization, and robust evaluation metrics to address numerical instability and improve reproducibility 24 42 53.

Methods Text (for Table and .bib Compilation)

Experimental Design:

- Use physical and digital phantoms for cross-site QA and technical benchmarking.
- Employ test-retest datasets and multivariate models to assess reliability and reproducibility.
- Quantify alignment error, distortion residuals, tSNR, and effective smoothness using standardized protocols.
- Evaluate activation overlap and connectivity reliability with ICC and network-level metrics.
- Integrate simulated confounds, null data, and artificial lesion data for sensitivity/specificity estimation.
- Address numerical instability via Monte Carlo Arithmetic, containerization, and reproducible summation algorithms.

- Implement federated benchmarking using platforms like COINSTAC, PSOM, and LONI Pipeline.

Data Transparency:

- Select publicly available, harmonized datasets (e.g., HCP, Huntington's, ASL inventories).
- Document pipeline versions, software tools, and hardware configurations.
- Share full analysis details and code for reproducibility.

.bib and PDF Collection:

- Organize references by citation identifiers.
- Collate PDFs from key studies, ensuring coverage of all validation methods and benchmarking practices.

Synthesis

Validation and benchmarking in neuroimaging pipelines require a multifaceted approach, integrating diverse metrics, advanced phantoms, robust datasets, and collaborative platforms. While significant progress has been made in standardizing adult neuroimaging protocols, research gaps persist in pediatric and spinal imaging, as well as in explainability and uncertainty quantification. Future efforts should focus on developing specialized resources, integrating advanced metrics, and leveraging federated, AI-driven frameworks to enhance reproducibility and scientific rigor across the field [1] [9] [17] [45] [51].

Note: For full bibliographic references and PDFs, organize sources by citation identifiers as listed throughout the report.

References

1. Assessment of reliability of multi-site neuroimaging via traveling phantom study Gouttard, S., Styner, M., Prastawa, M., (...), Gerig, G. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2008 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/58849109857?origin=scopusAI

2. Fabrication of a Stable, Low-Cost, Patient-Specific, Brain Phantom with Ventricles for Ultrasound Imaging Jain, K.C., Amado Rey, A.B., Gonçalves Seabra, A.C., Stieglitz, T. Advanced Engineering Materials, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105012382463?origin=scopusAI

3. Quantitative quality assurance in a multicenter HARDI clinical trial at 3 T Zhou, X., Sakaie, K.E., Debbins, J.P., (...), Lowe, M.J. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2017 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84991451165?origin=scopusAI

4. Open-source quality assurance for multi-parametric MRI: a diffusion analysis update for the magnetic resonance biomarker assessment software (MR-BIAS) Korte, J.C., Norris, S.A., Carr, M.E., (...), Franich, R. Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105003575659?origin=scopusAI

5. XCAT 3.0: A comprehensive library of personalized digital twins derived from CT scans Dahal, L., Ghojoghnejad, M., Vancoillie, L., (...), Segars, W.P. Medical Image Analysis, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105004589784?origin=scopusAI

6. Validation of the 4D NCAT simulation tools for use in high-resolution x-ray CT research Segars, W.P., Mahesh, M., Beck, T., (...), Tsui, B.M.W. Progress in Biomedical Optics and Imaging - Proceedings of SPIE, 2005 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/23844485584?origin=scopusAI

7. Benchmarking of five commercial deformable image registration algorithms for head and neck patients Pukala, J., Johnson, P.B., Shah, A.P., (...), Meeks, S.L. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2016 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84968820354?origin=scopusAI

8. A validation framework for neuroimaging software: The case of population receptive fields Lerma-Usabiaga, G., Benson, N., Winawer, J., Wandell, B.A. PLoS Computational Biology, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85087905752?origin=scopusAI

9. A guide to the measurement and interpretation of fMRI test-retest reliability Noble, S., Scheinost, D., Constable, R.T. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85100203416?origin=scopusAI

10. Multisite test–retest reliability and compatibility of brain metrics derived from FreeSurfer versions 7.1, 6.0, and 5.3 Haddad, E., Pizzagalli, F., Zhu, A.H., (...), Jahanshad, N. Human Brain Mapping, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85142910754?origin=scopusAI

11. Open-access quantitative MRI data of the spinal cord and reproducibility across participants, sites and manufacturers Cohen-Adad, J., Alonso-Ortiz, E., Abramovic, M., (...), Xu, J. Scientific Data, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85112695767?origin=scopusAI

12. Automatic measure and normalization of spinal cord cross-sectional area using the pontomedullary junction Bédard, S., Cohen-Adad, J. Frontiers in Neuroimaging, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105005419087?origin=scopusAI

13. LEGO-compatible modular mapping phantom for magnetic resonance imaging Cho, H.-M., Hong, C., Lee, C., (...), Ahn, B. Scientific Reports, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85090384979?origin=scopusAI

14. Design and construction of a customizable phantom for the characterization of the three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging geometric distortion Torfeh, T., Hammoud, R., Paloor, S., (...), Al-Hammadi, N. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85118304806?origin=scopusAI

15. Optimizing the fMRI data-processing pipeline using prediction and reproducibility performance metrics: I. A preliminary group analysis Strother, S., La Conte, S., Kai Hansen, L., (...), Rottenberg, D. NeuroImage, 2004 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/7044228130?origin=scopusAI

16. Choice of Voxel-based Morphometry processing pipeline drives variability in the location of neuroanatomical brain markers Zhou, X., Wu, R., Zeng, Y., (...), Becker, B. Communications Biology, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85137315235?origin=scopusAI

17. On the validity of fMRI mega-analyses using data processed with different pipelines Germani, E., Rolland, X., Maurel, P., Maumet, C. Imaging Neuroscience, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105010270243?origin=scopusAI

18. Influences on the Test-Retest Reliability of Functional Connectivity MRI and its Relationship with Behavioral Utility Noble, S., Spann, M.N., Tokoglu, F., (...), Scheinost, D. Cerebral Cortex, 2017 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85034597155?origin=scopusAI

19. Efficient, distributed and interactive neuroimaging data analysis using the LONI Pipeline Dinov, I.D., Van Horn, J.D., Lozev, K.M., (...), Toga, A.W. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 2009 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/77950520770?origin=scopusAI

20. Statistical and machine learning methods for neuroimaging: Examples, challenges, and extensions to diffusion imaging data O'Donnell, L.J., Schultz, T. Mathematics and Visualization, 2015 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84936996387?origin=scopusAI

21. The Lifespan Human Connectome Project in Aging: An overview Bookheimer, S.Y., Salat, D.H., Terpstra, M., (...), Yacoub, E. NeuroImage, 2019 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85055410408?origin=scopusAI

22. How much is "enough"? Considerations for functional connectivity reliability in pediatric naturalistic fMRI Rai, S., Godfrey, K.J., Graff, K., (...), Bray, S. Imaging Neuroscience, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105013765482?origin=scopusAI

23. Accurate Simulation of Operating System Updates in Neuroimaging Using Monte-Carlo Arithmetic Salari, A., Chatelain, Y., Kiar, G., Glatard, T. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85117114995?origin=scopusAI

24. File-based localization of numerical perturbations in data analysis pipelines Salari, A., Kiar, G., Lewis, L., (...), Glatard, T. GigaScience, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85097122184?origin=scopusAI

25. CADE: The Missing Benchmark in Evaluating Dataset Requirements of AI-enabled Software Barzamini, H., Rahimi, M. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Requirements Engineering, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85140964354?origin=scopusAI

26. Bagging improves reproducibility of functional parcellation of the human brain Nikolaidis, A., Solon Heinsfeld, A., Xu, T., (...), Milham, M. NeuroImage, 2020 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85083288826?origin=scopusAI

27. Technical Validation of Photoacoustic Imaging Systems Using Phantoms Hacker, L., Joseph, J. Biomedical Photoacoustics Technology and Applications, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105003345476?origin=scopusAI

28. Multimodal phantoms for clinical PET/MRI Lennie, E., Tsoumpas, C., Sourbron, S. EJNMMI Physics, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85113434412?origin=scopusAI

29. A multi-purpose phantom kit for magnetic particle imaging Löwa, N., Hoffmann, R., Gutkelch, D., (...), Wiekhorst, F. Current Directions in Biomedical Engineering, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85121762638?origin=scopusAI

30. Evaluation and optimization of fMRI single-subject processing pipelines with NPAIRS and second-level CVA Zhang, J., Anderson, J.R., Liang, L., (...), Strother, S.C. Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2009 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/59449103093?origin=scopusAI

31. Boost in Test-Retest Reliability in Resting State fMRI with Predictive Modeling Taxali, A., Angstadt, M., Rutherford, S., Sripada, C. Cerebral Cortex, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85099984760?origin=scopusAI

32. Characterization of the univariate and multivariate techniques on the analysis of simulated and fMRI datasets with visual task Chen, C.L., Wu, T.H., Wu, Y.T., (...), Lee, J.S. IEEE Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record, 2003 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/11844291339?origin=scopusAI

33. Basics of multivariate analysis in neuroimaging data Habeck, C.G. Journal of Visualized Experiments, 2010 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/80355140505?origin=scopusAI

34. Bridging Huntington's disease research with big data science: Harmonized neuroimaging datasets from multiple studies Pustina, D., Das, S., Rozelle, D., (...), Wood, A. Imaging Neuroscience, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105009922152?origin=scopusAI

35. Test-retest reliability of diffusion measures in cerebral white matter: A multiband diffusion MRI study Duan, F., Zhao, T., He, Y., Shu, N. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 2015 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84941993183?origin=scopusAI

36. The same analysis approach: Practical protection against the pitfalls of novel neuroimaging analysis methods Görgen, K., Hebart, M.N., Allefeld, C., Haynes, J.-D. NeuroImage, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85040764627?origin=scopusAI

37. Assessing and tuning brain decoders: Cross-validation, caveats, and guidelines Varoquaux, G., Raamana, P.R., Engemann, D.A., (...), Thirion, B. NeuroImage, 2017 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85006839843?origin=scopusAI

38. A sensitivity analysis of preprocessing pipelines: Toward a solution for multiverse analyses Ozenne, B., Nørgaard, M., Pernet, C., Ganz, M. Imaging Neuroscience, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105010242308?origin=scopusAI

39. Confound Removal and Normalization in Practice: A Neuroimaging Based Sex Prediction Case Study More, S., Eickhoff, S.B., Caspers, J., Patil, K.R. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85103267834?origin=scopusAI

40. A Simulation Toolkit for Testing the Sensitivity and Accuracy of Corticometry Pipelines OmidYeganeh, M., Khalili-Mahani, N., Bermudez, P., (...), Evans, A.C. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85112369060?origin=scopusAI

41. Reproducibility of neuroimaging analyses across operating systems Glatard, T., Lewis, L.B., da Silva, R.F., (...), Evans, A.C. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 2015 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84928663156?origin=scopusAI

42. Numerical uncertainty in analytical pipelines lead to impactful variability in brain networks Kiar, G., Chatelain, Y., de Oliveira Castro, P., (...), Glatard, T. PLoS ONE, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85118369453?origin=scopusAI

43. Recommendations for machine learning benchmarks in neuroimaging Leenings, R., Winter, N.R., Dannlowski, U., Hahn, T. NeuroImage, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85130937249?origin=scopusAI

44. Decentralized Multisite VBM Analysis During Adolescence Shows Structural Changes Linked to Age, Body Mass Index, and Smoking: a COINSTAC Analysis Gazula, H., Holla, B., Zhang, Z., (...), Calhoun, V.D. Neuroinformatics, 2021 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85100079558?origin=scopusAI

45. Enhancing collaborative neuroimaging research: introducing COINSTAC Vaults for federated analysis and reproducibility Martin, D., Basodi, S., Panta, S., (...), Calhoun, V.D. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85164274176?origin=scopusAI

46. Super phantoms: advanced models for testing medical imaging technologies Manohar, S., Sechopoulos, I., Anastasio, M.A., (...), Gupta, R. Communications Engineering, 2024 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85199489571?origin=scopusAI

47. The pipeline system for Octave and Matlab (PSOM): A lightweight scripting framework and execution engine for scientific workflows Bellec, P., Lavoie-Courchesne, S., Dickinson, P., (...), Evans, A.C. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 2012 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84858060836?origin=scopusAI

48. Integration of a neuroimaging processing pipeline into a pan-canadian computing grid Lavoie-Courchesne, S., Rioux, P., Chouinard-Decorte, F., (...), Bellec, P. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2012 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84863393812?origin=scopusAI

49. Biomimetic phantom for the validation of diffusion magnetic resonance imaging Hubbard, P.L., Zhou, F.-L., Eichhorn, S.J., Parker, G.J.M. Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 2015 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84919846290?origin=scopusAI

50. ENIGMA HALFpipe: Interactive, reproducible, and efficient analysis for resting-state and task-based fMRI data Waller, L., Erk, S., Pozzi, E., (...), Veer, I.M. Human Brain Mapping, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85126788539?origin=scopusAI

51. NeuroCI: Continuous Integration of Neuroimaging Results Across Software Pipelines and Datasets Sanz-Robinson, J., Jahanpour, A., Phillips, N., (...), Poline, J.-B. Proceedings - 2022 IEEE 18th International Conference on e-Science, eScience 2022, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85145433114?origin=scopusAI

52. Non-standard pipeline without MRI has replicability in computation of Centiloid scale values for PiB and ¹⁸F-labeled amyloid PET tracers Fujishima, M., Matsuda, H. Neuroimage: Reports, 2022 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85149612966?origin=scopusAI

53. Improving Deep Random Vector Functional Link Networks through computational optimization of regularization parameters Subramani, C., Jagannath, R.P.K., Kuppili, V. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2025 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/86000284328?origin=scopusAI

54. Ten simple rules for neuroimaging meta-analysis Müller, V.I., Cieslik, E.C., Laird, A.R., (...), Eickhoff, S.B. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews, 2018 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85036521642?origin=scopusAI

55. Do “Ten simple rules for neuroimaging meta-analysis” receive equal attention and accurate quotation? An examination on the quotations to an influential neuroimaging meta-analysis guideline Yeung, A.W.K. NeuroImage: Clinical, 2023 https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85168421728?origin=scopusAI