

## Political Engagement ~ Systematic Distraction

### Both Parties Serve Elite Interests While Radicalizing Citizens

#### The Core Reality: Elite Consensus Behind Political Theater

Research from Princeton University provides compelling evidence that American democracy has fundamentally transformed into an oligarchy where **economic elites and organized groups representing business interests have substantial independent impacts on U.S. government policy, while mass-based interest groups and average citizens have little or no independent influence**. This landmark study analyzed 1,779 policy outcomes from 1981 to 2002, revealing that regardless of which party holds power, policy decisions consistently align with elite preferences rather than public opinion.<sup>[1][2][3]</sup>

The bipartisan nature of this elite consensus becomes particularly evident in areas like defense spending, where despite deep polarization on most issues, **military budgets routinely receive strong bipartisan support**. This occurs because both parties benefit from the military-industrial complex, with numerous Congress members holding stock in defense companies like Raytheon, Lockheed Martin, and Boeing. The result is what one analysis describes as a **"mutual extortion racket"** where defense industry profits are strategically deployed to build support for militarized foreign policy.<sup>[4][5][6]</sup>

#### The Psychological Weaponization of Political Engagement:

##### Political Engagement as Addiction Mechanism:

Modern political engagement functions through neurochemical addiction pathways that mirror substance abuse. Research shows that **consuming political drama triggers the same mechanisms and circuitry in the brain as opiates or heroin**. When people internally experience political drama, endorphins are released that suppress pain and induce pleasurable numbing effects. When they externally express moral outrage, dopamine is released, creating what researchers call an **"outrage industrial complex"** that creates neurochemical feedback loops reinforcing angry behavior.<sup>[7][8]</sup>

Studies demonstrate that while this initial cathartic effect of expressing political outrage online provides temporary relief, it actually **makes people feel worse in the long run**. This creates a cycle where individuals become increasingly dependent on political engagement for neurochemical rewards, despite the deteriorating effects on their mental health and well-being.<sup>[8]</sup>

##### Algorithmic Amplification of Division:

Social media algorithms specifically exploit these psychological vulnerabilities by prioritizing content that generates the strongest emotional responses. Research reveals that **social media technology employs popularity-based algorithms that tailor content to maximize user engagement** and that **maximizing engagement increases polarization, especially within networks of like-minded users**. This occurs because divisive content elicits what researchers call "sectarian fear or indignation," which drives higher engagement rates.<sup>[9]</sup>

A comprehensive study analyzing Twitter, Facebook, and online experiments found a "confrontation effect" where **users are far more likely to comment on or react to posts that contradicted their beliefs, especially when they felt their core values were challenged**. This creates what researchers describe as "rage farming" - the systematic monetization of outrage through algorithmic manipulation.<sup>[10][11]</sup>

#### The Health Costs of Political Engagement:

##### Documented Physical and Mental Health Impacts:

Extensive research demonstrates that political engagement exacts significant health costs. A longitudinal study of over 4,000 Americans found that **election worry predicts higher odds of new physical health ailments three years later**. Another comprehensive analysis revealed that **large numbers of Americans reported politics takes a significant toll on a range of health markers—everything from stress, loss of sleep, [to] emotional reactivity**.<sup>[12][13]</sup>

Research conducted during the Trump administration showed that **between 2017 and 2020, Americans consistently reported that politics was degrading their physical, psychological and social health**. The American Psychological Association has identified politics as a major source of stress for American adults, with psychotherapists reporting significant increases in patients whose mental health was negatively affected by political engagement.<sup>[14][15]</sup>

##### The Paradox of Engagement and Well-being:

Perhaps most revealing is research showing that **strategies people use to protect themselves from political stress—such as distraction or cognitive reappraisal—consistently reduced negative emotions and predicted better well-being, but indirectly reduced the likelihood that they would want to take political action**. This creates what researchers describe as a **"trade-off between feeling good and doing good"** where protecting one's mental health comes at the cost of democratic participation.<sup>[16]</sup>

Conversely, studies indicate that **political disengagement can provide significant mental health benefits**. Research shows that people who avoid political news report better baseline mental health scores, and that **65% of adults have felt the need to limit their media consumption about government and politics due to information overload, fatigue, or similar reasons**.<sup>[17]</sup>

# *The Manufacturing of Consent Through Media Concentration:*

## **Corporate Control of Information Flow:**

The concentration of media ownership creates what Noam Chomsky and Edward Herman describe as a "propaganda model" where **"the mass communication media of the U.S. are effective and powerful ideological institutions that carry out a system-supportive propaganda function"**. Research shows that **"over 90% of media outlets are owned by a small group of large corporations"**, creating conditions where **"higher media ownership concentration is associated with media outlets leaning more towards parties with economically more right-wing positions"**.<sup>[18][19][20]</sup>

This concentration enables what Herman and Chomsky identify as the key function of media: **"to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum—even encourage the more critical and dissident views"**. This creates an illusion of democratic discourse while ensuring that **"all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate"**.<sup>[21]</sup>

## **The Illusion of Choice:**

The apparent opposition between Democrats and Republicans functions as what researchers describe as **"political theater"** that **"diverts the public's attention from game-changing decisions being made behind the scenes"**. Analysis reveals that this represents **"a magician's trick: bold political maneuvers, boosted by media attention—frequently influenced by the interests of the powerful—act as diversions"** that **"steer public focus away from the real political issues being resolved out of sight"**.<sup>[22]</sup>

This dynamic is particularly evident in how **"performative controversies act as bait"** while **"policy moves quietly"**. Headlines focus on partisan conflicts and cultural issues while substantive policy decisions that benefit elite interests proceed with minimal public scrutiny or debate.<sup>[23]</sup>

# *The Radicalization Process:*

## **Echo Chambers and Affective Polarization:**

Research demonstrates that **"partisan echo chambers increase both policy and affective polarization compared to mixed discussion groups"**. This occurs through mechanisms of social conformity and reduced intergroup contact, where **"homogeneous partisan networks lead to stronger partisan identities"** and increased **"emotional dislike and disgust between members of opposing parties based not on policies but on identity"**.<sup>[24][25]</sup>

Studies show that this **"affective polarization"** represents **"emotional dislike based on identity that affects regular people"** rather than genuine policy disagreements. The result is that **"partisans who were affectively polarized might actually overlap in their policy views without knowing it due to misperceptions"**.<sup>[25]</sup>

## **Systematic Radicalization Through Algorithm-Based Platforms:**

Research reveals that **"mainstream, algorithm-based social media is particularly efficient in recruiting like-minded individuals to participate in political engagement"** and that these platforms serve as **"a crucial first step in their online self-radicalization"**. The process involves **"consistently exposing users to like-minded political information/news that would breed and strengthen their negative attitude toward the status-quo"** while **"maximizing one's network's effect"** and **"producing a need for approval and belonging that may push a participant toward more extremity and even action"**.<sup>[26]</sup>

# *Evidence of Bipartisan Elite Service:*

## **Areas of Consistent Elite Consensus:**

Despite apparent partisan division, both parties demonstrate remarkable consistency in serving elite interests across multiple domains:

**"Military Spending"**: Defense budgets receive bipartisan support because **"the financial success of politicians and defense companies relies on some of the most immoral and unethical actions being perpetrated across the world"**. Research shows this creates **"bipartisan consensus on defense spending in an era of extreme political polarization"**.<sup>[5][4]</sup>

**"Wealth Concentration"**: Studies reveal that **"both parties have to a large degree embraced a set of policies that reflect the needs, preferences and interests of the well to do"**. The result is that **"ordinary citizens 'might often be observed to 'win' even if they had no independent effect whatsoever on policy making, if elites (with whom they often agree) actually prevail"**.<sup>[1]</sup>

**"Corporate Interests"**: Analysis shows that **"the U.S. faces a dangerous convergence: a political class that performs populism while practicing plutocracy"** where **"Trump's political machine is funded and sustained by America's richest families and corporate lobbies"**.<sup>[23]</sup>

# *The Path Forward: Disengagement as Democratic Action:*

## **The Benefits of Political Disengagement:**

Research indicates that reducing political media consumption can provide significant benefits. Studies show that **"stepping back from a relentlessly negative news agenda can provide immediate calm"** and that **"chronic or consistent news avoidance carries trade-offs"** but may be necessary for mental health.<sup>[27]</sup>

Analysis reveals that \*\*\*solutions journalism makes audiences feel less anxious and more empowered to act\*\*\* compared to traditional conflict-focused political coverage. This suggests that the current model of political engagement may actually reduce rather than enhance democratic participation. [^27]

### **Alternative Models of Civic Engagement:**

Research demonstrates that \*\*\*purposeful action—like voting, volunteering, or learning about the issues—feeds the brain in ways that truly last\*\*\* compared to outrage-driven political engagement. Studies show that \*\*\*getting involved in community efforts, voting, or volunteering releases oxytocin, the 'bonding hormone,' which builds lasting trust and connection\*\*\* rather than the temporary dopamine hits of political outrage. [^28]

The evidence suggests that \*\*\*volunteering has been linked to higher life satisfaction and even a decrease in depression, likely because it provides a sense of purpose and belonging\*\*\* - outcomes that traditional political engagement fails to provide. [^28]

## **CONCLUSION: BREAKING THE DISTRACTION SYSTEM**

The research overwhelmingly supports the conclusion that contemporary political engagement functions as a sophisticated distraction system that serves elite interests while systematically harming public health and democratic participation. Both major political parties operate within this system, creating the illusion of choice while consistently serving the same corporate and elite interests.

The evidence indicates that reducing engagement with this system - through news avoidance, social media detoxification, and refocusing on local community action - may represent the most effective form of democratic resistance available to ordinary citizens. Rather than falling into despair or cynicism, this analysis suggests that stepping away from the manufactured political theater may be the most rational and healthy response to a system designed to exploit rather than empower democratic participation.

The path forward involves recognizing that \*\*\*protecting oneself from the stress of politics might help promote well-being\*\*\* even if it \*\*\*comes at a cost to staying engaged and active\*\*\* in the current distorted version of democracy. True democratic engagement may require first breaking free from the psychological manipulation that characterizes contemporary political discourse. [^16]

### **Sources:**

- [^1]: <https://obrag.org/2015/01/princeton-study-u-s-no-longer-an-actual-democracy/>
- [^2]: <https://journalistsresource.org/politics-and-government/the-influence-of-elites-interest-groups-and-average-voters-on-american-politics/>
- [^3]: <https://www.vox.com/2014/4/18/5624310/martin-gilens-testing-theories-of-american-politics-explained>
- [^4]: <https://criticaldebateshsgj.scholasticahq.com/article/142792-the-special-exception-a-bipartisan-consensus-on-defense-spending-in-an-era-of-extreme-political-polarization>
- [^5]: <https://dailynorthwestern.com/2024/05/09/opinion/duda-bipartisan-support-feeds-the-military-industrial-complex/>
- [^6]: <https://merip.org/2020/06/the-defense-industrys-role-in-militarizing-us-foreign-policy/>
- [^7]: <https://www.thebrink.me/the-outrage-machine-how-social-media-weaponized-anger-and-shattered-trust/>
- [^8]: <https://www.ashleymelillo.com/blog/outrage-as-entertainment>
- [^9]: <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/how-tech-platforms-fuel-u-s-political-polarization-and-what-government-can-do-about-it/>
- [^10]: <https://freemannews.tulane.edu/2024/10/11/rage-clicks-study-shows-how-political-outrage-fuels-social-media-engagement>
- [^11]: <https://therapygroupdc.com/therapist-dc-blog/rage-farming-how-algorithms-monetize-outrage-what-you-can-do/>
- [^12]: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S266656032500088X>
- [^13]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3759681/>
- [^14]: <https://www.rwjf.org/en/insights/blog/2023/02/how-does-our-political-system-influence-mental-health.html>
- [^15]: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0262022>
- [^16]: <https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/2023/01/politics-affecting-mental-health>
- [^17]: <https://apnorc.org/projects/most-adults-feel-the-need-to-limit-political-news-consumption-due-to-fatigue-and-information-overload/>
- [^18]: <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/266733/1/1826813799.pdf>
- [^19]: <https://journalism.university/media-and-society/corporate-ownership-media-content-influence/>
- [^20]: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manufacturing\\_Consent](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manufacturing_Consent)
- [^21]: [https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/15zx4e/is\\_noam\\_chomskys\\_thesis\\_of\\_manufacturing\\_consent/](https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/15zx4e/is_noam_chomskys_thesis_of_manufacturing_consent/)
- [^22]: [https://www.reddit.com/r/DeepThoughts/comments/1jvzh76/political\\_theater\\_often\\_diverts\\_the\\_publics/](https://www.reddit.com/r/DeepThoughts/comments/1jvzh76/political_theater_often_diverts_the_publics/)
- [^23]: <https://www.commondreams.org/opinion/trump-distracts-from-power-grab>
- [^24]: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/american-political-science-review/article/polarizing-effect-of-partisan-echo-chambers/5044B63A13A458A97CA747E9DCA07228>
- [^25]: <https://carnegiendowment.org/research/2023/09/polarization-democracy-and-political-violence-in-the-united-states-what-the-research-says?lang=en>
- [^26]: <https://gould.usc.edu/why/students/orgs/ilj/assets/docs/33-1-hoye.pdf>
- [^27]: <https://therapygroupdc.com/therapist-dc-blog/the-psychology-of-news-avoidance-why-we-tune-out-and-how-to-re-engage-on-healthier-terms/>
- [^28]: <https://travs.blog/outrage-vs-engagement-how-purposeful-action-rewards-the-brain-beyond-the-click/>
- [^29]: <https://academic.oup.com/psq/article/138/3/335/7192890>
- [^30]: <https://www.wired.com/story/media-echo-chamber-extremism/>
- [^31]: <https://www.brown.edu/news/2020-01-21/polarization>
- [^32]: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/politics-and-the-life-sciences/article/poor-mental-health-does-not-always-reduce-political-participation-wrong-assumption-wrong-samples-or-wrong-measures/706B830012977D88428E8B77182AAE>
- [^33]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10213760/>
- [^34]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6587580/>
- [^35]: <https://www.asc.upenn.edu/news-events/news/new-study-shows-political-polarization-between-americans-stays-consistent-and-after-elections>
- [^36]: <https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/2024/06/political-echo-chamber>

[37]: <https://circle.tufts.edu/latest-research/community-connections-matter-mental-health-politically-active-youth>

[38]: <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/echo-chambers-filter-bubbles-and-polarisation-literature-review>

[39]: <https://www.apa.org/monitor/2024/10/managing-political-stress>

[40]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7201237/>

[41]: <https://cyberpsychology.eu/article/view/12254>

[42]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4764256/>

[43]: <https://polarizationresearchlab.org>

[44]: <https://www.psychiatrist.com/news/the-psychology-of-political-polarization/>

[45]: <https://www.thecollector.com/manufacturing-consent-noam-chomsky/>

[46]: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Concentration\\_of\\_media\\_ownership](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Concentration_of_media_ownership)

[47]: [https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1019&context=all\\_honors](https://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1019&context=all_honors)

[48]: <https://aceproject.org/ace-en/topics/me/web/web03a/>

[49]: <https://chomsky.info/19890315/>

[50]: <https://harris.uchicago.edu/news-events/news/politics-distraction>

[51]: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/14648849241312819?int.sj-full-text.similar-articles.1>

[52]: <https://www.philosophizethis.org/podcast/episode-148-on-media-pt-1-manufacturing-consent>

[53]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3603715/>

[54]: <https://www.usnewsdeserts.com/newspaper-ownership-debate/>

[55]: <https://chomsky.info/consent01/>

[56]: <https://www.nationalaffairs.com/publications/detail/polarization-and-the-political-elite>

[57]: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0047272705001210>

[58]: <https://billmoyers.com/content/noam-chomsky-part-2/>

[59]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12144496/>

[60]: <https://www.bbc.com/news/blogs-echochambers-27074746>

[61]: <https://www.publichealth.columbia.edu/news/political-polarization-poses-health-risks-new-analysis-concludes>

[62]: <https://economicsfromthetopdown.com/2025/01/31/partisan-politics-and-the-road-to-plutocracy/>

[63]: <https://niehaus.princeton.edu/document/466>

[64]: <https://act.represent.us/sign/usa-oligarchy-research-explained>

[65]: <https://sites.bu.edu/pardeeatlantis/research-and-policy/back2school/how-the-american-media-landscape-is-polarizing-the-country/>

[66]: <https://pubp.org/news/gilens-and-page-average-citizens-have-little-impact-on-public-policy/>

[67]: <https://www.americantheatre.org/2025/07/24/thats-political-entertainment/>

[68]: <https://hsph.harvard.edu/news/navigating-election-anxiety-balancing-mental-health-and-political-engagement/>

[69]: <https://www.princeton.edu/~mgi/ids/idr.pdf>

[70]: <https://www.npr.org/2023/07/27/1190383104/new-study-shows-just-how-facebooks-algorithm-shapes-conservative-and-liberal-bub>

[71]: [https://www.reddit.com/r/changemyview/comments/inf50p/cmv\\_while\\_i\\_hate\\_how\\_algorithms\\_have\\_radicalised/](https://www.reddit.com/r/changemyview/comments/inf50p/cmv_while_i_hate_how_algorithms_have_radicalised/)

[72]: <https://polisci.ucmerced.edu/news/2024/political-stress-can-you-stay-engaged-without-sacrificing-your-mental-health>

[73]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10468141/>

[74]: <https://online.wilder.vcu.edu/blog/political-extremism/>

[75]: [https://digitalcommons.lib.uconn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1446&context=law\\_review](https://digitalcommons.lib.uconn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1446&context=law_review)

[76]: <https://ppr.lse.ac.uk/articles/10.31389/lseppr.44>

[77]: <https://funginstitute.berkeley.edu/news/op-ed-social-media-algorithms-their-effects-on-american-politics/>

[78]: <https://christopherireland.substack.com/p/outrage-is-addictiveand-someones>

[79]: <https://www.jrf.org.uk/narrative-change/changing-the-narrative-on-wealth-inequality>

[80]: <https://www.milkenreview.org/articles/billionaires-and-democracy>

[81]: <https://ti-defence.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/US-Defense-Industry-Influence-Paper-v4-digital-singlePage.pdf>

[82]: <https://whorulesamerica.ucsc.edu/power/wealth.html>

[83]: <https://responsiblestatecraft.org/lobbying-weapons-military/>

[84]: <https://fhrehab.com/survey/political-media-mental-health>

[85]: <https://globalchallenges.ch/issue/9/understanding-the-implications-of-inequality-for-the-elites/>

[86]: <https://www.niskanencenter.org/why-foreign-policy-is-still-bipartisan/>

[87]: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0276562416301780>

[88]: <https://www.jillstein2024.com/foreign-policy-and-demilitarization>

[89]: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11404517/>

[90]: <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2014/11/08/with-41-of-global-wealth-in-the-hands-of-less-than-1-elites-and-citizens-agree-inequality-is-a-top-priority/>

[91]: <https://rethinkmedia.org/blog/what-do-people-really-think-about-pentagon-spending-and-the-military-industrial-complex/>

[92]: <https://www.menshealth.com/health/a42025943/political-news-detox/>