			total	R1 Euler integrator (1p)	R2 Spring system (2p)	R3 Trapezoid integrator (2p)		R5 Cloth system (3p)	mod	notes / wtf /	RK4 (2p)	Spray system (1- 3p)		Mouse drag/ poke (2-3p)	FrictionI. coll. (2p)	Particle spline editor (2-3p)	Cloth tearing (1+p)	Particle rendering (1-4p)	Implicit integr (8-10p)	GPU stuff (4+p)	Other extras (?p)	What oth extras
225157	0	0	0																			
270034 292009	0																					
292326	10			1	2	2	2 2	3														
292986	0	0	0							R3,RK4: The added step or 1/2*step should be												
293545		2.5		1		1.5	5			removed from all loops	1.5											
295323 297606	0																					
311210	0	0	0																			
347022 350006	7			1	2	2	2 2															
350475	0				-		-															
353692	5.5	5.5	0	1	1.5	2	2 1	0		Don't attempt to fix problems by adjusting the given constants; R2: Incorrect spring constant and rest length; R4: other spring force missing from evalF and constants incorrect. Why haven't you used the springs_vector?												
353757	2	2	0	1	1					R2: Use spring_in reset to store k and rlen, use those when calling fSpring. Use the forces to calculate f[3] (fSpring+Gravity+Drag)mass) i.e. the acceleration of the particle. Also set f[2] to the speed of the particle; you can get it from the old state vector.												
357083	0	0	0																			
362256 401311	0																					
	13.5			1	2	2	2 1.5	2.5		R4&R5: You should use n-1 when calculating rest length and starting positions, for n particles there are n-1 springs. Clear the springs vector in reset, otherwise the system becomes too stiff because there are extra springs. Eval [®] missing division by mass; RK4: XI calculated incorrectly in each loop; should be: x8x-y40-5*tl, x8x-y0-5*tl, x8x-y0-5*tl.	1.5			3								
425494	0	0	0							Wind: fWind may return really large values and the												
425614	12.5	10	2.5	1	2	2	2 2	3		cloth streches out of the image. Wind pretty directional.	2		0.5									
426419	0	0	0				2	,			i		0.0									
426736 427492	0																					
-£148Z	U	U	U							R5: evalf is correct, the indexing in reset() is off												
427793	8.5			1	2	2	2 2	1.5		(making a pair of functions that do (x,y)<=>(i) would maybe help dealing with these)												
427845 428381	0		0																			
428381 428789	3.5			1	0.5	2	2															
430324	0	0	0		2																	
430463	7	7	0	1	2	2	2 2			Wind randomized differently for each particle and												
431857	17		7	1	2					frame, doesn't really look like wind. +1 for rendering the plane in the spray system.	2	3	1					1				
432241 437631	10			1	2	2		3														
138397	0			'			:															
72379	0																					
73158 73420	0																					
73637	0																					
174380 175389	0																					
475758	0	0	0																			
175910 176498	0		0																			
477170	0																					
477400	12	10	2	1	2	2	2 2	3		Clear springs_ at reset R3: You should be adding to x0 in the final step	2											
477617	6	6	0	1	2	1.5	5 1.5			instead of x; R4: Clear the springs in reset and calculate rest length based on the starting positions.												
477659	10	10	0	1	2	2	2 2	3														
477701 478328	6		0	1	2	2	2 1															
478470	0																					
478687 479505	0																					
479576	0																					
479725 480248	0																					
480303	8.5	8	0.5	1	1.5					fDrag should take the velocity as input, not position; R485: Use n-1 when calculating the lengths since there are n-1 springs; You're using the state variable instead of the input parameter state in evalF. These are not the same; R5: The spring forces don't utilize i2 at all; RK4 doesn't work	0.5											
480730 481014	23 10			1	2					GPU RK4: +2	2				2	!	2	1		6		
81441	10	10	0	1	2																	
193578 506355	0			1	2	2	2 2	1														
508793	-2	0	0				-	· ·	-2													
514020	0	0	0							R4,5: Note that your evalFs occasionally use state_												
516109	10	10	0	1	2	2	2 2	3		and not state, making the better integrators not work as well as they should.												
519656	0	0	0	1	2		. 2	3		us are ground.												
525653	0	0	0							Don't attempt to fix problems by adjusting the given												
525666	8	8	0	1	1.5	2	2 1	2.5		constants; R2: Fixed particle should be at origin; R3: Particles for close to one another. Position should be particles for close to one another. Position should be be multiplied by d.length). Rest length should be between particles. R485. You should use n-1 when calculating rest length and starting positions, for natricles there are n-1 springs. And you don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g. the cloth to be more unstable (no points reduced).												
525792	0	0	0							, and the point reduced.												
525925 526490	14 12			1	2					Clear springs_in reset	2											
2.30			i	·				,		R4&R5: Missing division by mass. fDrag should be per particle, not per spring; RK4: Remove the division by 2 when multiplying k2 and k3 by step and	_											
26717 26746	10		1	1	2	2	2 1.5	2.5		fix the typo in the calculation of k4.	1											
	0																					
	3			1		2	2															
27143 27347	0																					
27143 27347 27389	0			1	2	2	2 2	3		Mouse drag position doesn't match mouse. +2 to the GPU cloth for RK4.	2	3	2	2.5						6		
27143 27347 27389 27444		10		1	2					R2,4,5: Forces not divided by mass	0.5			2.3						·		
27143 27347 27389 27444 27923 28634	25.5	10 9.5	0.5																			
27143 27347 27389 27444 27923 28634 28883	25.5 10 0	9.5 0	0																			
27143 27347 27389 27444 27923 28634 28883 29293	25.5 10	9.5 0 0	0																			
27143 27347 27389 27444 27923 28634 28883 29293 29303	25.5 10 0	9.5 0 0	0																			
27143 27347 27389 27444 27923 28634 28883 29293 29303 29617	25.5 10 0 0	9.5 0 0 0	0 0 0	1	2	2	2 2	3		Wind could be a bit smoother and more natural; GPU: +2 for RK4 and +1 for collision	2	3	2		2	2				7		
527143 527347 527389 527444 527923 528634 528883 529293 529617 529992 530185	25.5 10 0 0 0 0	9.5 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 16	1	2	2	2 2	3		Wind could be a bit smoother and more natural; GPU: +2 for RK4 and +1 for collision	2	3	2		2	2				7		
527143 527347 527389 527444 527923 528634 528883 529293 529917 529992 530185 530907	25.5 10 0 0 0 0	9.5 0 0 0 0 10 0	0 0 0 0 16 0	1	2			3		Wind could be a bit smoother and more natural; GPU: +2 for RK4 and +1 for collision R2, evalF has different spring coefficient (???)	2	3	2		2	2				7		
\$26746 \$27143 \$27347 \$27347 \$27389 \$27444 \$27923 \$28634 \$28883 \$29293 \$29293 \$29907 \$30185 \$30907 \$30907 \$40094	25.5 10 0 0 0 0 26 0	9.5 0 0 0 0 10 0 5	0 0 0 0 16 0 0			2	2			GPU: +2 for RK4 and +1 for collision	2		2		2	2				7		

Student p	oint	rea e	extra 5	R2	Spring ystem	R3 Trapezoid	R4 Pendulum	R5 Cloth system			RK4	Spray system (1-	Wind	Mouse drag/	Friction	Particle spline	Cloth	Particle	Implicit integr	GPU striff	Other extrac	What other
						integrator (2p)		(3p)	mod	notes / wtf / R5: Rest lengths and starting positions incorrect.	(2p)	3p)		poke (2-3p)	coll. (2p)			rendering (1-4p)	(8-10p)	(4+p)	(?p)	extras
										What's up with the seemingly arbitrary constants 1.15 and 2.4? len_diag calculation likely doesn't do what you intend, you are constructing Vec3f from 2 floats as input where the first float is implicity												
										noats as input where the first noat is implicity converted to Vec2f. The lengths should be len = width/(x1) for structural, len'sqrt(2) for shear, and len'2 for flexion; Wind pretty much constant, the per												
544375	12	9	3	1	2	2	2	2		particle strength variation doesn't make much sense.	2		1									
544566 549749	0	0	0																			
552969	0	0	0																			
556347 561578	0	0	0																			
563068	0	0	0																			
570116	0	0	0							Collision: Very unstable because velocity stays the												
										same (matrix multiplications do not change anything). Changing to spherical coordinate system												
586210	17.5	10	7.5	1	2	2	2	3		is uneccessary. Wind: model is pretty simple R4,5: Don't change constants unneccessarily; R5:	2	3	1.5		1							
										Reset position not exactly correct. Incorrect fixed points; Wind: could be smoother; GPU RK4 + wind:												
587170	20	9.5	10.5	1	2	2	2	2.5		+3p Clear springs_ in reset. Your evalF functions are	2		1.5							7		
										missing division by mass; Wind: The force is too low to have a noticeable effect. Dynamic wind is good												
587921	15.5	9.5	6	1	2	2	1.5	3		but could be smoother, e.g. you could use cubic or cosine interpolation.	2	3	1									
588137	0	0	0																			
588441 589291	7.5	7.5	0	1	2	2	1.5	1		R4: Missing division by mass												
589437	9.5	9.5	0	1	2	2	2	2.5		R5: force not divided by mass to get acceleration												
589848	0	0	0							R5: no division by mass and quadratic complexity												
										due to the nested loops; both of these contribute to the slow behavior. You should treat the springs in a												
										separate loop instead of going through all springs for all particles; then you wouldn't have to test if the												
590112	9	9	0	1	2	2	. 2	2		spring was correct but could simply compute the forces for each one.												
										R5: only spring force divided by mass. Fluid system												
										has weird forces and no regenerating particles, Wind has constant direction and per-particle random force,												
590332			4	1	2	2		2.5		Mouse dragging has one global force in the unit direction, doesn't lead to much interactivity.	2	0.5	1	0.5								
590426 593177	18.5	10	8.5 0	1	2	2	2	3			2	3			2	2	1.5					
593452	9.5		0	1	2	2	1.5	3		R4&5: Missing division by mass												
593876	8.5	8.5	0	1	2	2	2	1.5		R4: drag should be per particle instead of per spring,												
										lerp in reset() should use n1 instead of n_ to compute interpolation factor (so last particle is at												
594367 594590	6	6	0	1	2	2				end_point)												
594930	0	0	0																			
595201	15	9.5	5.5	1	2	2	2	2.5		R5: not dividing force by mass for acceleration, Wind: rerandomized every frame	2	2	1.5									
595612	12	10	2	1	2	2				R5: Clear the springs vector in reset	2											
596048	9.5	9.5	0	1	2	2	2	2.5		R5: The rest length of the shear springs was just a bit off. It should have been sqrt(xDiff^2 + yDiff^2)												
596242	20	10	10	1	2	2	2	3		GPU RK4: +2	2				2	2				6		
596747 596789	0	0	0																			
596792			2	1	2	1.5	2	3		R3: The euler step should be x0 + step*f0	2											
										R4: Each particle only takes into account one spring while there are two springs connected to each												
										particle. Missing division by mass from fGravity and fDrag. In reset use index (i+i)*2 when calculating the												
										variable "length" and n1 for the start positions; RK4: Correct kind of structure but doesn't quite												
										implement the formula correctly. Note that k1 should just be step*f0 (or just f0 if the multiplication by step												
596857	7	6	1	1	2	2	1			is included in the final calculation of xm) and work forward from there.	1											
597445	15.5	10	5.5	1	2	2	2	3		The wind changing completely every frame is a bit unrealistic	2		1.5	2								
598088	16	10	6	1	2	2	2	3			2	2			2	2						
598318 602851	0	0	0																			
										R2: Typo in distance calculation (pos1 v. pos2); R4, 5: You don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g.												
										the cloth to be more unstable (no points reduced). R5: Rest lengths of structural and flex springs are												
	8.5		0	1	1.5					incorrect												
603096 603326	10	10	0	1	2	2	2	3														
										Note that your evalFs use state_ and not state, making the better integrators not work as well as												
										they should. R4,5: Drag applied multiple times per particle. Should be added at the same time as												
										gravity. R5: You should use n-1 when calculating rest length and starting positions, for n particles there												
604105 606064	11	9	2	1	2	2	1.5	2.5		are n-1 springs.	2											
606268	0	0	0																			
										R4,5: Forces not divided by mass. And you don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g. the cloth to												
608952	9.5	9.5	0	1	2	2	2	2.5		be more unstable (no points reduced). Nice idea with the vector field wind but you should												
609142	21.5	10	11.5	1	2	2	2	3		also randomize it; Collisions: A bit unstable. Cool smoke rendering!	2	3	1		1.5	5		4				
609155	0	0	0									3	- 1		1.0			,				
609168	12	10	2	1	2	2	2	3		Clear the springs at reset R3: In the first loop, you should have calculated a	2											
610827	4.5	4.5	0	1	2	1	0.5			state xh like you did x1 in eulerStep and after calculate fh using evalF and xh.												
612155	0	0	0		-		0.0															
612540 612812	0	0	0																			
621308	0	0	0																			
647175	0	0	0							Estational collis Colli												
										Frictionl. coll: Collision with complete friction instead; Spray: Particles are not usually created all at once												
										but there is a predefined rate at which the sprinkler emits particles. The current implementation seems a bit unnatural as the particles are emitted at clear												
647502	22.5	40	12 5	1	2	2	2	3		discrete steps. GPU RK4: +1.5p. In evalF.glsl restLen should have w-1. not w.	2	2.5	2		1.5					5.5		
0-1002	23.0	10	13.3	1	2	2	2	3		R4: Use the starting positions to get rest length;	2	2.5	2		1.5					5.5		
										R4&R5: fDrag should be per particle, not per spring; R5: Rlen should be width / (x -1). Wind: Simple per												
648080	15	9	6	1	2	2		2.5		particle randomization along a single axis, quite unrealistic.	2	2	1				1					
648569	7	7	0	1	2	2	2			Clear the springs in reset												
648860 649458	0	0	0																			
650191	0	0	0																			
650560	0	0	0							R5: only structural springs attempted, multiplication												
										by two done both when storing spring indices and after reading them, drag added multiple times to												
	8	8	0	1	2	2	2	1		some of the particles												
650829										R485: You don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g. the cloth to be more unstable (no points												
650829						2	2	2.5		reduced). You should use n-1 when calculating rest length and starting positions, for n particles there are n.1 enringe.												
	0.5	0.5	0	4						n-1 springs.												
650829 651640 651802	9.5	9.5	0	1	2																	
651640				1	2	2	2			R4,5: You don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g. the cloth to be more unstable (no points												
651640				1	2	2				R4,5: You don't clear springs vector at reset causing												

udent	point	rea	extra	R1 Euler	R2 Spring system	R3 Trapezoid	R4 Pendulum	R5 Cloth system			RK4	Spray system (1-	Wind	Mouse drag/	FrictionI.	Particle spline	Cloth	Particle	Implicit integr	GPU stuff	Other extras	What oti
ımber	total	total	total	integrator (1p)	(2p)	integrator (2p)		(3p)	mod	notes / wtf / Frictionl. coll: Collision with complete friction instead;	(2p)	3p)	(2p)	poke (2-3p)	coll. (2p)	editor (2-3p)	tearing (1+p)	rendering (1-4p)	(8-10p)	(4+p)	(?p)	extra
										RKF: You don't actually change the step size of the future steps; GPU RK4: +2. The implicit integration												
										scheme is not strictly implicit euler since it doesn't use the normal newton search that updates the jacobian every frame. There is also some subtle												
652584					2	. 2	2	: 3	3	issue with (likely) some of the jacobian evaluations.	2	3	2		2.5			1	6	6		2 RKF (2p)
53156	10	10			2	. 2	2	: 3	3													
54142	12				2						2											
										Well spotted; the different stiffness is due to not clearing the spring list (reset() is called once for												
					_	_	_	_	_	every system at launch so you always have at least two copies of springs). No point reduction as this is												
54294	12	10			2				3	more of a mistake on our part. R3: This is the mid point integrator, not a trapezoidal	2											
54618 55109	9	9			2	1	2	3	3	one.												
55361	0																					
55390	0	0	0							Don't change the given constants. R2&R4: Rlen												
										should be based on the particle distances in the starting position; R4&R5: You're using the member												
										variable "state " instead of the input parameter												
656014	9.6	8.5	0		2	. 2	1.5	. 2	,	"state" in evalF, these are not the same states. The number of springs is n 1, use this when calculating starting positions and riens.												
657068	0.5						1.5		_	starting positions and riens.												
657181	28	10	18	1	2	. 2	2	3	3	GPU RK4: +2, reasonable non-uniform tearing: +2 R4, R5: drag should be added once per particle, not	2		2		2		3			6		
										once per spring. The correct rest length for the cloth would be width/(x1). The wind model is overly												
657437	10	9	- 1	1	2	. 2	2	2	2	simple, each particle having its own random force.			1									
										Wind direction static. Implicit Euler: the integrator itself is fine (the example does 20 iterations and												
										breaks when dy_vec.squaredNorm()<=.001, otherwise they're the same), but on evalJ you directly												
										write to coeffRef(acc_i1+i, pos_i1+j) and coeffRef (acc_i2+i, pos_i2+j) these will be touched by several springs! You should initialize them to 0												
										before the loop and then add the contribution of each												Laure Park
657767	24				2	. 2	2	. 3	3	spring; after these changes your solution is quite stable (can use max step size in cloth).			1		2				7			Implicit 4 optimiza
657893 663434	0																					
	U	U	U							R3: There should not be a +step in the first loop; R4,												
665173	9.5	9.5	0	1	2	1.5	2	: 3	3	5: You don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g. the cloth to be more unstable (no points reduced).												
										Strain heatmap graded for particle rendering. The bullet is closer to frictionless collision, so +1 for that												
665678 666208	28	10			2	. 2	2	: 3	3	and +1 for the trapezoid.	2		1		2		1	3		6		3 RKF (3p
	U	U	U							R4,5: You could have had a completely separate												
										loop for the spring forces and you could have indexed f with i1 and i2. This way you wouldn't have had to loop through partials at each partials. Wind:												
666211	13				2	. 2	2	3	3	had to loop through springs at each particle; Wind: Simple model	2		1									
666253	0	0	0							R4, R5: drag should be added once per particle, not												
710015	8	8	0	1	2	. 2	1.5	1.5	5	once per spring. Not dividing forces by mass to get acceleration.												
715298	17	10	7	1	2						2	3	2									
716734	0	0																				
717539	0																					
718020	0	0	0							7405 W												
718208	0.5							2.5	_	R485: You should use n-1 when calculating rest length and starting positions, for n particles there are												
718512					2					n-1 springs. R4: Spring force not divided by mass	2		2									
718826	22.5	10	12.5	1	2	. 2	2	. 3	3	Drag: To prevent the selected particle from twitching about, it shouldn't be moved in evalF	2	:	2	2.5	2		1					
7 10020			12.0				_			R2: As stated in the comments, the fixed particle is	Ĩ	·	_	2.0								
										intended to be located in the origin while the other particle starts at start_pos. Rest length of 0 also												
										doesn't really seem to make sense, using the length of the spring at the starting position is a good value												
719032	9	9			1.5	2	1.5	3	3	to use; R4&5: Clear the springs_vector at reset. EvalF missing division by mass.												
721619 721923	0																					
723154	0	0	0																			
										R5: you're skipping some springs that should be there: each comparison of i>x_and i>2*x_should be												
										>= instead of >. the change fixes the asymmetry at the top left corner. RK4: function4 should be												
										evaluated with xm3 instead of k3, k4 should be function4*step and not function3*step. Wind is												
723329	11.5	9.5	2	1	2	. 2	2	2.5	5	strongly directional, per-particle randomization doesn't really give you a "random wind direction".	1		1									
										R5: Missing shear and flexion springs from the result; this is the danger of treating each spring												
										manually. Flexions should have double the rest length., and rest length should be width/(x1), 0.075												
723468 723484	11	9 10			2					would correspond to width/x	2											
723565	9	9	0	1	2					R5: Missing flexion and shear springs												
23976	0																					
726915	10	10	0	1	2	. 2	2	. 3	3													
728696 729297	0																					
732323	0	0	0																			
737551 765714	12				2	. 2	2	3	3		2											
. 557 14	U	U	U							R4: Force not divided by mass; R5: Looping through												
										the springs in a separate loop to get the spring forces and using i1 and i2 to index f would have												
765756	11.5				2	. 2		3	2	been a lot easier. No need to make all those conditions. The springs_ vector is useless in your	2											
, 03/56	11.5	9.5	2	1	2	. 2	1.5	. 3	J	code. R4: Rest length shoud be 1.f / (n1) * end_point.	2											
										length(). R4&R5: Forces not divided by mass. R5: Particle count has been changed to 10x10 and they												
										are not exactly in the correct position at reset. The whole first row of particles are fixed. Wind: Simple												
765785 765882	12	10		1	2		1.5			wind	2		1									
766108	0	0	0		-	-																
67136 69396	0	0																				
72419	0	0	0																			
84465	0																					
84902	0	0	0																			
85053	0	0	0							Wind: rerandomized every frame which does a												
85134					2	. 2	2	: 3	3	Wind: rerandomized every frame which does not seem realistic	2		1.5									
785163	0	0	0																			Optimiz
										R2: In fSpring, the resulting force should be still												implicit implicit
										divided by (pos2-pos1).length(). The implicit integrators themselves look more or less correct, but												midpoir
85228	26.5	9.5	17	1	1.5	, 2	2	: 3	3	there has to be some subtle mistake (likely in the evalJs) since they don't work with large timesteps.	2								7			trapezo
	10	10	0	1	2					, and an anger uncorrept.									,			
85257	0	0	0							The wind changing completely every frame is a bit												
785257		10	3.5	1	2	. 2	2	: 3	3	unrealistic	2		1.5									
785257 785325 785354	13.5	10							_	The wind changing completely every frame is a bit												
785257 785325 785354 785367	13.5	10			2	. 2	2	3	3	unrealistic	2		1.5									
785257 785325 785354		10	0		2	. 2	2	3	3	unrealistic Code doesn't compile	2		1.5									

				R1 Euler integrator (1p)		R3 Trapezoid integrator (2p)		R5 Cloth system (3p)	mod	notes / wtf /	RK4 (2p)	Spray system (1- 3p)		Mouse drag/ poke (2-3p)	FrictionI. coll. (2p)	Particle spline	Cloth	Particle rendering (1-4p)	Implicit integr (8-10p)	GPU stuff (4+p)	Other extras (?p)	What other extras
					(- F/		- Jene (-p)	(-г/		R2: You should initialize springk and springrlen in			()	P (P)	(-p)		g (. p)		(4 4)	((
785493	4			1	1	2				reset() and then input them to fSpring in evalF.												
785503	0																					
785516	0																					
795551	10	10	0	1	2	2	2	3														
795577	10	10	0	1	2	2	2	3		R5: cloth stiffer and more unstable because you don't clear springs vector at reset (no points reduced)												
795593	6				2	2				R4: You should use n-1 when calculating starting positions. The way you're dividing by mass when calculating the spring forces causes you to do the												
795629	0									division more than once per particle.												
795658	0																					
										R5: The idea of flexions is a bit reversed; they should start from each particle and extend two particles away (over the neighbor that's connected by the structural spring), you have them starting from ever other particle but connecting to the immediate neighbor. Wind is strongly directional, per-particle randomization doesn't really give you a "random												
795674	13.5			1	2	2				wind direction".	2		1					1.5				
795713	10	10	0	1	2	2	2	3														
795865	13.5	10	3.5	1	2	2	2	3		R5: The initial particle positions at reset are not quite right; Wind: model is pretty simple	2		1.5									
796178	10	10	0	1	2	2	2	3		R4,5: You don't clear springs vector at reset causing e.g. the cloth to be more stiff and unstable (no points reduced).												
798257	0	0	0																			
801131	0	0	0																			
804646	10	10	0	1	2	2	2	3														
807711	0	0	0																			
809609	14.5	10	4.5	1	2	2	2	3		Tearing only affects the edge constraint, not springs, and the constraint doesn't stay broken. Wind randomization a bit odd. +0.5 for the big bang, interesting concept!	2	0.9	5 1.5				0.5					
811383	0	0	0																			
814872	0	0	0																			
818315	0	0	0																			
821289	0	0	0																			
822709	0	0	0																			
46596K	0	0	0																			
55055P	0	0	0																			
62727K	12	10	2	1	2	2	2	3			2											
64879R	11.5	10	1.5	1	2	2	2	3		RK4: One 1/2 too many in the first two loops	1.5											
65451T	0																					
67932J	0																					
69246M	12	10	2	1	2	2	2	3			2											
77241H	0																					
77388B	0	0	0																			
83107B	0		0																			
83854J	0																					
84171B	0																					
84805K	0	0	0																			
k28342	0																					
k90624	0																					
k93517	0	0	0																			