

## Exercise Sheet 2

Nguyen Xuan Binh 887799

Aalto University, Finland

**Exercise 1 (PRGs can leak half their input).** Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  be a PRG. We define

$$g_f(x) = f(x_\ell) || x_r$$

Here,  $x_\ell$  consists of the first  $\lceil |x|/2 \rceil$  bits of  $x$  and  $x_r$  consists of the last  $\lfloor |x|/2 \rfloor$  bits of  $x$ , i.e.,  $x = x_\ell || x_r$ .

**Task:** Prove via reduction that if  $f$  is a PRG, then  $g_f$  is a PRG, too.

— **Base case:**  $|x| = 1$

When  $|x| = 1$ , then  $x_\ell = x_1$  and  $x_r = x_{empty}$ . In other words,  $x_\ell$  is the one bit and  $x_r$  is empty. Applying the PRG  $g_f$  to  $x$ , we have:

$$g_f(x) = f(x_1),$$

which is a PRG because  $f$  is a PRG and the output of  $g_f$  is completely derived from  $f$ . Therefore, the base case of the proof is correct. Additionally, it follows that the first bit cannot be a hardcore bit, since, if the function leaks its first half, then it also leaks the first bit, so, given  $f(x)$ , the first bit of  $x$  would then be easy to distinguish. A same analysis applies to any input bit. Therefore, we assume that at the base case, the first bit is not hardcore bit, but it has already become pseudorandom thanks to  $f(x)$ . Any other bits thus can be hardcore bit

— **Induction steps:**

Assume that  $g_f(x)$  is a PRG at the stage  $|x| = \lambda$ , or  $x_r$  is PRG. We need to prove that  $g_f(x)$  is also a PRG at the stage  $|x| = \lambda + 1$ , or  $x_r$  is still PRG. There are two distinct cases, which are odd and even values of  $\lambda$ .

- When  $\lambda$  is even, then  $x_\ell = x_{1 \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{2}}$  and  $x_r = x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}+1 \rightarrow \lambda}$ . The size of  $x_\ell$  is then the first  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  bits and the size of  $x_r$  is the last  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  bits. In the next induction step,  $x_\ell = x_{1 \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{2}+1}$  and  $x_r = x_{\frac{\lambda}{2}+2 \rightarrow \lambda+1}$ . The size of  $x_\ell$  is then the first  $\frac{\lambda}{2} + 1$  bits and the size of  $x_r$  is the last  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  bits. Since the added bit in the next step belongs to  $x_\ell$ , it will be generated by  $f$  and is still PRG. Because  $x_r$  is unchanged in the next step and is assumed to be PRG, it means that  $g_f$  is PRG as well when  $|x| = \lambda + 1$ .
- When  $\lambda$  is odd, then  $x_\ell = x_{1 \rightarrow \frac{\lambda+1}{2}}$  and  $x_r = x_{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}+1 \rightarrow \lambda}$ . The size of  $x_\ell$  is then the first  $\frac{\lambda+1}{2}$  bits and the size of  $x_r$  is the last  $\frac{\lambda+1}{2} - 1$  bits. In the next induction step,  $x_\ell = x_{1 \rightarrow \frac{\lambda+1}{2}}$  and  $x_r = x_{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}+2 \rightarrow \lambda+1}$ . The size of  $x_\ell$  is then the first  $\frac{\lambda+1}{2}$  bits and the size of  $x_r$  is the last  $\frac{\lambda+1}{2}$  bits. In this case, the introduced bit is added to  $x_r$ . Since at the base case, the hardcore bit should not be the first bit but can be any other bit, we can regard this new leaked bit added to  $x_r$  as the hardcore bit. Since  $x_r$  is stretched by  $s(n) = 1$  by a hardcore bit,  $x_r$  at the next induction step is still PRG because  $x_r$  is assumed to be PRG at the current step. Since  $g_f$  is PRG at the next induction step for both odd and even  $\lambda$  and  $g_f$  is also PRG at the base case, it is true that  $g_f$  is actually a PRG (proven).

**Exercise 2** (Some OWFs are not PRGs). Assume the existence of length-preserving one-way functions.

**Task:** Show that there exists a length-expanding one-way function  $h$  which is not a PRG.

First of all, we call this length-preserving OWF as  $f(x)$  and the length-expanding OWF as  $h(x)$ , with the stretch  $s(n) = \lambda$ . Because  $f(x)$  is OWF, it must also be a PRG according to the Hill's Theorem. We can prove the existence of  $h(x)$  such that it is not a PRG as follows:

$$h(x) := f(x) || 0^\lambda$$

This basically means that  $h(x)$  is  $f(x)$  concatenated with 0s of size  $\lambda$ . Because  $f(x)$  is OWF, appending zeros or any constant array of bits to any image of  $x$  via  $f(x)$  also results in a unique output, making  $h(x)$  an OWF. However,  $h(x)$  is definitely not a PRG, because of the deterministic constant 0s appending at the end, making the output not random anymore. For example, consider an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that tries to determine whether  $h(x)$  is ideal or real PRG. Due to the consistent 0s left appending,  $\mathcal{A}$  can be sure that the OWF is not an ideal PRG, as they can input any preimage to  $h(x)$  and receive the same last number of 0 bits. Therefore, there exists a length-expanding OWF such that it is not a PRG (proven).