

# CS-E4340 Cryptography: Exercise Sheet 3

**Submission Deadline: September 26, 11:30 via MyCourses**

Each exercise can give up to two participation points, 2 for a mostly correct solution and 1 point for a good attempt. Overall, the exercise sheet gives at most 4 participation points.

Exercise Sheet 3 is intended to help...

- (a) ...understand the definition of pseudorandom functions (PRFs).
- (b) ...understand the difference between a PRF and a pseudorandom generator (PRG), which we considered before.
- (c) ...familiarize yourself with the notion of a reduction (continued).
- (d) ...familiarize yourself with the notion of a negligible function.

**Exercise 1** shows PRFs *do* hide their input unlike some other primitives we saw thus far.

**Ex. 2 & Ex. 3** concern properties of PRFs and PRGs.

**Exercise 2** shows that the existence of PRFs implies the existence of PRGs.

**Exercise 3** shows how a quadratic key PRF and PRG can be used to obtain a standard PRF.

**Exercise 4** is intended to help with the notions of negligible functions and why they are a convenient notion of a “small” function.

**Exercise 1 (PRFs hide their input).** Let  $f$  be a  $(\lambda, \lambda)$ -PRF, and consider the following transformations:

- (a)  $h_1(k, x) := f(k, 0 || x_{2..|x|})$ .
- (b)  $h_2(k, x) := x_1 || f(k, x)_{2..|x|}$ .

**Task:** Show that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are not PRFs (even though  $f$  is a PRF).

**Hint:** Find an efficient adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{Adv}_{h, \mathcal{A}}^{\text{PRF}}(1^\lambda)$  is non-negligible. (In fact, we can even give an adversary which has advantage almost 1, but this is not required to solve this exercise.)

**Exercise 2 (PRFs imply PRGs).** Let  $f$  be a PRF. Define

$$G(z) := f(z, 0^{|z|}) || f(z, 1^{|z|}).$$

**Task:** Prove via reduction, that  $G$  is a PRG with output length  $|G(z)| = 2|z|$ .

**Exercise 3. (Key Expansion)** A *quadratic-key*  $(\lambda, \lambda)$ -PRF  $f_q$  is a PRF which maps a key  $k$  of length  $\lambda^2$ , and an input  $x$  of length  $\lambda$  to an output  $y$  of length  $\lambda$ . Let  $f_q$  be a quadratic-key PRF. Let  $G$  be a PRG with  $|G(z)| = |z|^2$ . Define

$$f(k, x) := f_q(G(k), x)$$

**Task:** Show that  $f$  is a (standard) PRF.

**Hint:** Given a successful distinguisher for the PRF  $f$ , show that one of the following is true: (i) There exists a successful distinguisher for the PRG  $G$  or (ii) there exists a successful distinguisher for the quadratic-key PRF  $f_q$ .

**Exercise 4 (Negligible Functions).** Recall the definition of negligible functions.

**Definition 1** A function  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is *negligible* if for all constants  $c$  there exists a natural number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > N$  it holds that  $\nu(n) < \frac{1}{n^c}$ .

Closer to the verbal description of negligible function given in the lecture notes for lecture 3, we may like to define negligible functions as follows:

**Definition 1'** A function  $\nu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is *negligible* if for all positive polynomials  $p$  there exists a natural number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > N$  it holds that  $\nu(n) < \frac{1}{p(n)}$ .

Prove at least two out of (a), (b) and (c):

- (a) Definitions 1 and 1' of negligible functions are equivalent.
- (b) The following are true:
  - (i) The sum of two negligible functions is negligible.
  - (ii) Multiplying a negligible function by an (arbitrary) positive polynomial yields a negligible function.

**Hint:** You may use either of the two definitions in your proof.

- (c) (Challenging) There exists a sequence of negligible functions  $\nu_\lambda : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that the function  $\mu(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \nu_i(\lambda)$  is the constant 1 function, i.e., for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that  $\mu(\lambda) = 1$ .

**Hint:** Use diagonalization.