## Diagonalisation

Theorem Let  $S = (x_1 x_2 ... x_n)$ , where  $x_i$  are the nxn linearly independent eigenvectors of A. Then  $S^{-1}AS = A = diag(\lambda, \lambda_2 ... \lambda_n)$ .

Naturally, AS = SA and  $A = SAS^{-1}$ . This has a remarkable consequence:

 $A^{k} = A \cdot A \cdot ... \cdot A = S \Delta S^{-1} S \Delta S^{-1} ... S \Delta S^{-1}$   $= S \Delta^{k} S^{-1}$ 

But, when exactly are the eigenvectors linearly independent? Theorem If  $(\lambda_i, v_i)$  are the eigenpairs of A and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ .

i+j, then {vi} are linearly independent.

If A has n such eigenvalues, it is diagonalisable.

Proof i) C, V, + C2 V2 = 0

$$\begin{cases} c_{1} A v_{1} + c_{2} A v_{2} = 0 \\ c_{1} \lambda_{2} v_{1} + c_{2} \lambda_{2} v_{2} = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} c_{1} \lambda_{1} v_{1} + c_{2} \lambda_{2} v_{2} = 0 \\ c_{1} \lambda_{2} v_{1} + c_{2} \lambda_{2} v_{2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

=>  $c_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) v_1 = 0$  =>  $c_1 = 0$ Similarly  $c_2 = 0$ . Hence  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  are linearly independent.

(ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} C_i V_i = 0$ ; Using the same trick as above  $C_1 \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_2} \right) \dots \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_j}{\lambda_j} \right) V_1 = 0$ That is,  $S = \left( V_1 \ V_2 \dots V_n \right)$  can be constructed.  $\square$ 

Example 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 & 0.3 \\ 0.2 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$$
;  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ 

$$A = 5 \Lambda S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 1 \\ 0.4 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & -0.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remember to maintain the order of 2;5 and x;5!

$$A^{k} = S \Lambda^{k} S^{-1} \implies \lim_{k \to \infty} A^{k} = S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.6 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Side note: Ak 1000, if 12:1<1 for all i=1,...,n.

Example 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
;  $\lambda_{1,2} = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

dim N(A - 1.I) = 1 (geometric order)

 $\lambda = 1$  is a double eigenvalue (algebraic order)

- Orders do not natch, A is defective.

Example 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 0 \\ -3 & 6 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Same eigenvalues : i.e. the same spectra.

However, dim  $N(A-2I) = 1 \implies A$  defective dim  $N(B-2I) = 2 \implies B$  diagonalisable

## Symmetric Matrices

Theorem Spectral Theorem

Every symmetric matrix is diagonalisable:  $A = Q \Lambda Q^T$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , Q orthogonal.

Theorems :

- (A) The eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix ove real.
- (B) If  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then corresponding eigenvectors are orthogonal.
- Not (c) The algeraic and geometric orders are equal for all  $\lambda_i$ .
- Proof (A)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .  $Ax = \lambda x$  or  $A\overline{x} = \overline{\lambda} \overline{x}$  or transposed  $\overline{x}^T A = \overline{x}^T \overline{\lambda}$ .

Inner products:  $\begin{cases} \overline{X}^T A \times = \overline{X}^T A \times \\ \overline{X}^T A \times = \overline{X}^T \overline{A} \times \end{cases} \Rightarrow \lambda \overline{X}^T \times = \overline{\lambda} \overline{X}^T \times \frac{1}{\|X\|^2}$ 

=> Jm \( \lambda = 0 \)

(B) Let Ax = \(\lambda\_1 \times \and Ay = \lambda\_2 \gamma\); A = A^T, \(\lambda\_i \neq \lambda\_2\).

 $(\lambda_1 \times)^T y = (A \times)^T y = X^T A^T y = X^T A y = X^T (\lambda_2 y)$ 

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

A = UEVT; I diagonal, U, V orthogonal

Left singular vectors:  $AA^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}V \Sigma U^{T} = U\Sigma^{2}U^{T}$  $\Rightarrow (AA^{T})U = U\Sigma^{2}$ 

Right singular vectors: ATA = V SUTU EVT = V EVT

=> (ATA)V = V E2

Multiply by A: AATAV = JAM;

Seigenvector of AAT

ViTATAV = diviTV => ||Avill2 = di

Therefore we get a unit eigenvector Av; /o; = u;

We conclude with a remarkable identity:

AV=UE

Compression: A = U \( \subseteq \subseteq \tau \) = U \( \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq \tau \) where \( \tau \) is the man man man man man man man man rank.

= \sum\_{i=1}^C \sigma\_i \mu\_i \v\_i^T

If  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \ldots$  decreases rapidly, then the sum can be a reasonable approximation even with a small number of terms.