

## DIAGONALISATION

Eigenvalue problem:       $\underset{n \times n}{A}$

- (1) Find  $\rho(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$
- (2) Find the roots of  $\rho(\lambda)$ .
- (3) Solve  $Ax_i = \lambda x_i$  for all  $x_i$ .

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue, then  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .  
 But also the rank of  $A - \lambda I < n$ , i.e.,  
 the columns of  $A - \lambda I$  are linearly dependent.

Theorem   Let  $S = (\underset{n \times n}{x_1}, \underset{n \times n}{x_2} \dots \underset{n \times n}{x_n})$ , where  $x_i$  are  
 the linearly independent eigenvectors of  $\underset{n \times n}{A}$ . Then

$$S^{-1} A S = \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

Naturally,  $A S = S \Lambda$  ← and

$$A = S \Lambda S^{-1} \quad \text{←}$$

→ Notice :  $Ax_1 = \lambda_1 x_1$

$$A(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

→  $Ax = S \Lambda S^{-1} x$

(i)  $S^{-1}$  changes the basis

(ii)  $\Lambda$  is "pure" scaling

(iii)  $S$  changes the basis back to the original

Notice :

$$A^k = \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdot \dots \cdot A}_{k \text{ times}} = S \Lambda S^{-1} S \Lambda S^{-1} \dots S \Lambda S^{-1}$$
$$= S \Lambda^k S^{-1}$$

Theorem If  $(\lambda_i, v_i)$  are the eigenpairs of  $A$  and  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then  $\{v_i\}$  are linearly independent.

If  $A$  has  $n$  such eigenvalues, it is  $n \times n$  diagonalisable.

Proof (i)  $c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_1 A v_1 + c_2 A v_2 = 0 \\ c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 = 0 \end{array} \right. \\ \lambda_2 \cdot & \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_1 v_2 = 0 \\ c_1 \lambda_2 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 \underbrace{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}_{\neq 0} v_1 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$$

Similarly  $c_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \{v_1, v_2\}$  are lin. independent.

$$(ii) \sum_{i=1}^j c_i v_i = 0$$

for instance :  $c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \dots (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) v_1 = 0$

...

II

Example  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 & 0.3 \\ 0.2 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0.5$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k = ?$$

$$A = S \Lambda S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 1 \\ 0.4 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0.4 & -0.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

↑   ↑   ↑   ↑   ↑   ↑

Remember the order of eigenvalues and eigenvectors!

$$A^k = S \Lambda^k S^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A^k = S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6 & 0.6 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Side note:  $A^k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , if  $|\lambda_i| < 1$   
for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Example  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $\lambda_{1,2} = 1$ ,  $x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\dim N(A - 1 \cdot I) = 1 \quad (\text{geometric order})$$

$\lambda = 1$  is a double eigenvalue (algebraic order)

$\Rightarrow$  Orders do not match,  $A$  is defective

### Example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 0 \\ -3 & 6 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Same eigenvalues, i.e., the same spectra.

However,  $\dim N(A - 2 \cdot I) = 1 \Rightarrow A$  defective

$$\dim N(B - 2 \cdot I) = 2 \Rightarrow$$

B is diagonalisable

DIGRESSION:

$$e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} ; \quad e^A = S \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \right) S^{-1}$$

L

## SYMMETRIC MATRICES

Theorem Spectral Theorem

Every symmetric matrix is diagonalisable :

$$A = Q \Lambda Q^T, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad Q \text{ orthogonal}.$$

Theorems :

- (A) The eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix are real.
- (B) If  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then corresponding eigenvectors are orthogonal.

Note (c) The algebraic and geometric orders are equal for all  $\lambda_i$ 's.

Proof (A)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .  $Ax = \lambda x$  •

then  $A\bar{x} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{x}$  or transpose  $\bar{x}^T A = \bar{x}^T \bar{\lambda}$  •

Inner products  $\begin{cases} \bar{x}^T A x = \bar{x}^T \lambda x & \bullet \\ \bar{x}^T A x = \bar{x}^T \bar{\lambda} x & \bullet \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \bar{x}^T x = \underbrace{\bar{\lambda} \bar{x}^T x}_{\|x\|^2} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \lambda = 0 \quad \square$$

(B) Let  $Ax = \lambda_1 x$  and  $Ay = \lambda_2 y$ ,

$$A = A^T, \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\lambda_1 x})^T y &= (Ax)^T y = x^T A^T y \\ &= x^T Ay = \underline{x^T (\lambda_2 y)} \\ \Rightarrow x^T y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_1 (x^T y) = \lambda_2 (x^T y)$$

$$\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq 0 \rightarrow x^T y = 0$$

□