

MS-C1350 Partial differential equations Chapter 2.11 Laplace equation in the unit disc

Riikka Korte

Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis
Aalto University
riikka.korte@aalto.fi

September 17, 2024

Lecture 3

Today we see how to solve Dirichlet problem in the unit disc (Chapter 2.11). Needed techniques include:

- Moving to polar coordinates
- Separation of variables
- Solving equations we obtain by separation of variables.
- Using Fourier series to find the correct Fourier series solution.

In Chapter 2.12, the heat equation in 1D is solved in a similar way. That example is not discussed in the lecture (but there is an old lecture video about it).

Laplace equation in the unit disc

Laplace equation:

$$\Delta u = 0$$

- ► Laplace equation models heat distribution when the system has reached thermal equilibrium.
- Appears in many other places as well.

Dirichlet problem

▶ Consider 2-dimensional unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$\Omega = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1\}$$

Dirichlet problem

▶ Consider 2-dimensional unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1\}$$

Let $g \in C(\partial\Omega)$ be a continuous function on the boundary

$$\partial\Omega = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1\}.$$

Dirichlet problem

▶ Consider 2-dimensional unit disc in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1\}$$

Let $g \in C(\partial\Omega)$ be a continuous function on the boundary

$$\partial\Omega = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1\}.$$

▶ The problem is to find $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x,y) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(x,y) = 0, & (x,y) \in \Omega, \\ u(x,y) = g(x,y), & (x,y) \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We will solve this problem using Fourier series together with technique called separation of variables.

- We will solve this problem using Fourier series together with technique called separation of variables.
- This means that we (first forget about the boundary values and) look for solutions of the form

$$u(x,y) = A(x)B(y),$$

- We will solve this problem using Fourier series together with technique called separation of variables.
- This means that we (first forget about the boundary values and) look for solutions of the form

$$u(x,y) = A(x)B(y),$$

This would work well in rectangular areas, but for the disc, we switch to polar coordinates:

$$(x,y) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta), \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad 0 \le r < \infty, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi,$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and $\tan \theta = \frac{y}{x}$. In polar coordinates, we have

$$\Omega = \{ (r, \theta) : 0 \le r < 1, \, -\pi \le \theta < \pi \}$$

and

$$\partial\Omega = \{(1,\theta) : -\pi \le \theta < \pi\}.$$



- We will solve this problem using Fourier series together with technique called separation of variables.
- This means that we (first forget about the boundary values and) look for solutions of the form

$$u(x,y) = A(x)B(y),$$

This would work well in rectangular areas, but for the disc, we switch to polar coordinates:

$$(x,y) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta), \quad (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad 0 \le r < \infty, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi,$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and $\tan \theta = \frac{y}{x}$. In polar coordinates, we have

$$\Omega = \{ (r, \theta) : 0 \le r < 1, \, -\pi \le \theta < \pi \}$$

and

$$\partial\Omega = \{(1,\theta) : -\pi \le \theta < \pi\}.$$

Note that unit disc is a rectangular set in polar coordinates and this is compatible with separation of variables.



Laplace equation in polar coordinates

Lemma

The two-dimensional Laplace operator in polar coordinates is

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad 0 < r < \infty, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

[You need to apply chain rule to prove this. Details are in lecture notes.]

Laplace equation in polar coordinates

Lemma

The two-dimensional Laplace operator in polar coordinates is

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad 0 < r < \infty, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

[You need to apply chain rule to prove this. Details are in lecture notes.] Thus the Dirichlet problem assumes the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0, & 0 < r < 1, & -\pi \le \theta < \pi, \\ u(1,\theta) = g(\theta), & -\pi \le \theta < \pi, \end{cases}$$

for $u = u(r, \theta)$.

Separation of variables: We look for a product solution (to $\Delta u = 0$) of the form

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r)$$

Separation of variables: We look for a product solution (to $\Delta u = 0$) of the form

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r)$$

We insert this into the PDE and write it in a form, where one side depends on r and the other on θ :

$$\frac{r^2B''(r) + rB'(r)}{B(r)} = -\frac{A''(\theta)}{A(\theta)}$$

Separation of variables: We look for a product solution (to $\Delta u = 0$) of the form

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r)$$

We insert this into the PDE and write it in a form, where one side depends on r and the other on θ :

$$\frac{r^2B''(r) + rB'(r)}{B(r)} = -\frac{A''(\theta)}{A(\theta)} = \lambda$$

▶ This can only happen, if both sides are equal to a constant, say equal to λ .

Separation of variables: We look for a product solution (to $\Delta u = 0$) of the form

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r)$$

We insert this into the PDE and write it in a form, where one side depends on r and the other on θ :

$$\frac{r^2B''(r) + rB'(r)}{B(r)} = -\frac{A''(\theta)}{A(\theta)} = \lambda$$

- This can only happen, if both sides are equal to a constant, say equal to λ.
- The PDE has been reduced to a system of two ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0, \\ r^2 B''(r) + r B'(r) - \lambda B(r) = 0. \end{cases}$$

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

Take into account the boundary data:

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

• g is defined on the circle and it can be identiefied with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)?$$



Take into account the boundary data:

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \iff -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$$
?

▶ We are interested in eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions A.

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \iff -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$$
?

- We are interested in eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions A.
- ► There are no complex eigenvalues (proof in lecture notes).

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \iff -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$$
?

- We are interested in eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions A.
- ► There are no complex eigenvalues (proof in lecture notes).
- ▶ Case: λ < 0:

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$$
?

- We are interested in eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions A.
- ► There are no complex eigenvalues (proof in lecture notes).
- **▶** Case: $\lambda = -\mu^2 < 0$:

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)$$
?

- ▶ We are interested in eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions A.
- There are no complex eigenvalues (proof in lecture notes).
- **▶** Case: $\lambda = -\mu^2 < 0$:

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{\mu \theta} + c_2 e^{-\mu \theta}.$$



Take into account the boundary data:

"
$$u(1,\theta) = A(\theta)B(1) = g(\theta)$$
."

- ▶ g is defined on the circle and it can be identified with a 2π -periodic function. Thus A must be 2π -periodic.
- For which λ do we have nontrivial solutions to the ODE:

$$A''(\theta) + \lambda A(\theta) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow -A''(\theta) = \lambda A(\theta)?$$

- ▶ We are interested in eigenvalues λ and eigenfunctions A.
- There are no complex eigenvalues (proof in lecture notes).
- **▶** Case: $\lambda = -\mu^2 < 0$:

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{\mu \theta} + c_2 e^{-\mu \theta}.$$

The only periodic solution is A=0. Then also u=AB=0.



• Case $\lambda = 0$:



- Case $\lambda = 0$:
- ▶ The equation reduces to A'' = 0 with the general solution

$$A(\theta) = c_1 \theta + c_2.$$

- Case $\lambda = 0$:
- ▶ The equation reduces to A'' = 0 with the general solution

$$A(\theta) = c_1 \theta + c_2.$$

▶ The only periodic solution is $A(\theta) = c_2$.

- Case $\lambda = 0$:
- ▶ The equation reduces to A'' = 0 with the general solution

$$A(\theta) = c_1 \theta + c_2.$$

- ▶ The only periodic solution is $A(\theta) = c_2$.
- ▶ In this case, the ODE for *B* becomes

$$rB'' + B' = 0.$$

- Case $\lambda = 0$:
- ▶ The equation reduces to A'' = 0 with the general solution

$$A(\theta) = c_1 \theta + c_2.$$

- ▶ The only periodic solution is $A(\theta) = c_2$.
- ▶ In this case, the ODE for *B* becomes

$$rB'' + B' = 0.$$

Its general solution is

$$B(r) = d_1 + d_2 \ln r.$$

- Case $\lambda = 0$:
- ▶ The equation reduces to A'' = 0 with the general solution

$$A(\theta) = c_1 \theta + c_2.$$

- ▶ The only periodic solution is $A(\theta) = c_2$.
- ▶ In this case, the ODE for *B* becomes

$$rB'' + B' = 0.$$

Its general solution is

$$B(r) = d_1 + d_2 \ln r.$$

Thus we get:

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r) = c_2(d_1 + d_2 \ln r).$$

- Case $\lambda = 0$:
- ▶ The equation reduces to A'' = 0 with the general solution

$$A(\theta) = c_1 \theta + c_2.$$

- ▶ The only periodic solution is $A(\theta) = c_2$.
- ▶ In this case, the ODE for *B* becomes

$$rB'' + B' = 0.$$

Its general solution is

$$B(r) = d_1 + d_2 \ln r.$$

Thus we get:

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r) = c_2(d_1 + d_2 \ln r).$$

Solution becomes unbounded as $r \to 0$. This is against physical intuition and these solutions are excluded. (Not a solution at 0.)



▶ The case λ > 0:



▶ The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$

- ► The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$
- The general complex solution of this equation is

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{i\mu\theta} + c_2 e^{-i\mu\theta}.$$

- ▶ The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$
- ▶ The general complex solution of this equation is

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{i\mu\theta} + c_2 e^{-i\mu\theta}.$$

▶ This is 2π -periodic if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\lambda = \mu^2 = j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

- ► The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$
- ▶ The general complex solution of this equation is

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{i\mu\theta} + c_2 e^{-i\mu\theta}.$$

- ▶ This is 2π -periodic if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\lambda = \mu^2 = j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ Now the ODE for *B* is Euler equation:

$$r^2B'' + rB' - j^2B = 0.$$

- ► The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$
- The general complex solution of this equation is

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{i\mu\theta} + c_2 e^{-i\mu\theta}.$$

- ▶ This is 2π -periodic if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\lambda = \mu^2 = j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ Now the ODE for *B* is Euler equation:

$$r^2B'' + rB' - j^2B = 0.$$

Its general solution is

$$B(r) = d_1 r^j + d_2 r^{-j}$$

- ► The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$
- ▶ The general complex solution of this equation is

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{i\mu\theta} + c_2 e^{-i\mu\theta}.$$

- ▶ This is 2π -periodic if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\lambda = \mu^2 = j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ Now the ODE for *B* is Euler equation:

$$r^2B'' + rB' - j^2B = 0.$$

Its general solution is

$$B(r) = d_1 r^j + d_2 r^{-j}$$

▶ The term with r^{-j} , j > 0 blows up at 0 and is therefore excluded.

- ► The case $\lambda = \mu^2 > 0$: $A'' + \mu^2 A = 0$
- ▶ The general complex solution of this equation is

$$A(\theta) = c_1 e^{i\mu\theta} + c_2 e^{-i\mu\theta}.$$

- ▶ This is 2π -periodic if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\lambda = \mu^2 = j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.
- ▶ Now the ODE for *B* is Euler equation:

$$r^2B'' + rB' - j^2B = 0.$$

Its general solution is

$$B(r) = d_1 r^j + d_2 r^{-j}$$

- ▶ The term with r^{-j} , j > 0 blows up at 0 and is therefore excluded.
- ▶ Thus $\lambda > 0$ gives relevant solutions of form

$$u(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r) = r^{|j|}e^{ij\theta}.$$



Now we have found solutions

$$u_j(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r) = r^{|j|}e^{ij\theta}.$$

which solve the problem with boundary values

$$u_j(1,\theta) = e^{ij\theta}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we have found solutions

$$u_j(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r) = r^{|j|}e^{ij\theta}.$$

which solve the problem with boundary values

$$u_j(1,\theta) = e^{ij\theta}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Laplace operator is linear. Thus all linear combinations are also solutions:

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi < \theta \le \pi.$$

Now we have found solutions

$$u_j(r,\theta) = A(\theta)B(r) = r^{|j|}e^{ij\theta}.$$

which solve the problem with boundary values

$$u_j(1,\theta) = e^{ij\theta}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Laplace operator is linear. Thus all linear combinations are also solutions:

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi < \theta \le \pi.$$

▶ This should be compatible with the boundary data when r = 1:

$$u(1,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j e^{ij\theta} = g(\theta).$$

▶ How to choose a_i 's so that:

$$u(1,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j e^{ij\theta} = g(\theta)$$
?

▶ How to choose a_i 's so that:

$$u(1,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j e^{ij\theta} = g(\theta)$$
?

▶ If $g \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ then we know that there is a solution if we interpret the equality in L^2 -sense.

▶ How to choose a_i 's so that:

$$u(1,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j e^{ij\theta} = g(\theta)$$
?

- ▶ If $g \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$ then we know that there is a solution if we interpret the equality in L^2 -sense.
- If $g \in C^1([-\pi,\pi])$, then the Fourier series converges uniformly and

$$a_j = \hat{g}(j).$$

1. Does the series solution we obtained converge?

- 1. Does the series solution we obtained converge?
- 2. Is the function really a solution to our PDE?



- 1. Does the series solution we obtained converge?
- 2. Is the function really a solution to our PDE?
- 3. Does the solution attain the correct boundary values?

- 1. Does the series solution we obtained converge?
- 2. Is the function really a solution to our PDE?
- 3. Does the solution attain the correct boundary values?
- 4. Are there other solutions than the solution we found?

- 1. Does the series solution we obtained converge?
- 2. Is the function really a solution to our PDE?
- 3. Does the solution attain the correct boundary values?
- 4. Are there other solutions than the solution we found?

On formal level (2) and (3) seem to be ok. On needs to be careful when switching the order of the limit and the infinite series. We will return later to this and to the question of uniqueness.

▶ We can plug in the formula for the Fourier coefficients $\hat{g}(j)$.

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t)e^{-ijt} dt \right) r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}$$

- ▶ We can plug in the formula for the Fourier coefficients $\hat{g}(j)$.
- One has to be careful when changing the order of summation and integration.

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t)e^{-ijt} dt \right) r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}$$

- ▶ We can plug in the formula for the Fourier coefficients $\hat{g}(j)$.
- One has to be careful when changing the order of summation and integration.

$$\begin{split} u(r,\theta) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) e^{-ijt} \, dt \right) r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|j|} e^{ij(\theta-t)} \right) \, dt \end{split}$$

- ▶ We can plug in the formula for the Fourier coefficients $\hat{g}(j)$.
- One has to be careful when changing the order of summation and integration.

$$u(r,\theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t)e^{-ijt} dt\right) r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|j|} e^{ij(\theta-t)}\right) dt$$
$$= (g * P_r)(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t) P_r(\theta - t) dt,$$

where we have the Poisson kernel:

$$P_r(\theta) = P(r, \theta) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|j|} e^{ij\theta}.$$

$$P_r(\theta) = P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos\theta + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

Some properties:



$$P_r(\theta) = P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos\theta + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

Some properties:

$$P_r(\theta) \ge 0$$

$$P_r(\theta) = P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos\theta + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

Some properties:

- $ightharpoonup P_r(\theta) \ge 0$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) \, d\theta = 1$$

$$P_r(\theta) = P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos\theta + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

Some properties:

 $P_r(\theta) \ge 0$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) \, d\theta = 1$$

Observe that

$$P(r,0) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

consequently $\lim_{r\to 1} P(r,0) = \infty$. However $\lim_{r\to 1} P(r,\theta) = 0$ when $\theta \neq 0$.

$$P_r(\theta) = P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r\cos\theta + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad -\pi \le \theta < \pi.$$

Some properties:

 $P_r(\theta) \ge 0$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta) \, d\theta = 1$$

Observe that

$$P(r,0) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r + r^2}, \quad 0 \le r < 1,$$

consequently $\lim_{r\to 1} P(r,0) = \infty$.

However $\lim_{r\to 1} P(r,\theta) = 0$ when $\theta \neq 0$.

▶ This formula does not work when r = 1!

