

1. **Spanners.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph and let  $d_G(u, v)$  be the distance between the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ . A subgraph  $G' = (V, E')$ , such that  $E' \subseteq E$ , is a  $t$ -spanner of  $G$  if  $d_{G'}(u, v) \leq t \cdot d_G(u, v)$ ,  $\forall u, v \in V$ .

(a) (2p.) In this exercise, the goal is to find an  $n$ -node graph where any spanning tree is a bad spanner. Let  $t \leq n/2$ . For any given  $n$ , construct an  $n$ -node graph such that there is no spanning tree  $T$  of  $G$  that is also a  $t$ -spanner.

The graph  $G$  of  $n$ -node, whose all spanning trees are bad, is the undirected cycle of  $n$ -nodes. Now we need to prove that any spanning tree of a cycle is a bad spanner, or  $t > n/2$ . We see that the spanning tree of a cycle can be constructed by removing one edge from the cycle, which happens to be also a minimum spanning tree (MST). We know that in a cycle,  $d_G(u, v) = 1$  in the case when two nodes  $u$  and  $v$  are neighbors of the cycle. However, when one edge is removed to obtain the MST  $G'$ , then  $d_{G'}(u, v) = n - 1$ , in the case when these two nodes  $u$  and  $v$  belong to the endpoints of the removed edge. In other words, they have to go around the other direction along the cycle, where the number of edges is  $n - 1$ . Plugging into the equation:

$d_{G'}(u, v) \leq t \cdot d_G(u, v) \Rightarrow n - 1 \leq t \cdot 1 \Rightarrow t \geq n - 1$ . The smallest cycle that can be formed is  $n = 3$ .

Plugging in both equations, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} t \geq n - 1 &\Rightarrow t \geq 3 - 1 \Rightarrow t \geq 2 \\ t \leq n/2 &\Rightarrow t \leq 3/2 \Rightarrow t \leq 1.5 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{No } t \text{ can satisfy these equations.}$$

We can see that by using induction step, with  $t$  larger than 3, these equations are also not satisfied. Therefore, the  $n$ -node graph where any spanning tree is a bad spanner is a cycle of  $n$ -nodes.

- (b) (3p.) Let  $G = (V, E, w)$  be a weighted graph. Recall, that for a weighted graph the distance is defined as the total weight of the shortest weighted path, ie.

$$d(u, v) = \min_{uv\text{-path } P} \sum_{e \in P} w(e).$$

Prove that the following algorithm yields a  $t$ -spanner for  $G$ .

---

**Algorithm 1:** *GreedySpanner*( $G, t$ )

---

```

 $E' = \emptyset$ 
 $G' = (V, E')$ 
for  $(u, v) \in E$  do
    if  $d_{G'}(u, v) > t \cdot w(u, v)$  then
         $E' = E' \cup \{(u, v)\}$ 
    end
end
return  $G'$ 

```

---

First of all, the subgraph  $G'$  is initialized with all the vertices and no edges. If there are no paths connecting  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G'$ , then  $d_{G'}(u, v) = \infty$ . We can notice that for all edges in the graph,

$d_G(u, v) = w(u, v)$  if there are not any other paths connecting  $u$  and  $v$  except  $E(u, v)$ .

We can analyze the three cases:

(1)  $d_{G'}(u, v) > t \cdot w(u, v)$  when  $u$  and  $v$  has not been connected

Edges are gradually added to the subgraph. Because of that, when  $u$  has been connected to the subgraph by some edges and  $v$  is not connected to the subgraph, then  $d_{G'}(u, v) = \infty > t \cdot w(u, v)$  is always correct. When  $E(u, v)$  is added into  $E'$ ,  $v$  is connected to the subgraph, making  $G'$  a spanning graph of  $G$

(2)  $d_{G'}(u, v) > t \cdot w(u, v)$  is false when  $u$  and  $v$  has been connected before. In other words, we have  $d_{G'}(u, v) \leq t \cdot w(u, v)$ , which already satisfies the  $t$ -spanner condition, so this edge doesn't need to be added to the graph at all.

(3)  $d_{G'}(u, v) > t \cdot w(u, v)$  is true when  $u$  and  $v$  has been connected before. This breaks the  $t$ -spanner condition so the edge is added to the subgraph. After the edge is added to the subgraph, we have updated a new shorter path between  $u$  and  $v$ , which is  $E(u, v) \Rightarrow d_{G'}(u, v) = w(u, v)$ . It happens that  $w(u, v) \leq t \cdot w(u, v)$  for all integers  $t$  and  $w(u, v)$ . This satisfies the  $t$ -spanner condition  
 $\Rightarrow$  The algorithm yields a  $t$ -spanner for  $G$ .

2. **Individual exercise: Girth.** The *girth* of a graph  $G$  is the length of the shortest cycle in  $G$ , and it is infinity if  $G$  is acyclic<sup>1</sup>. Notice that the length of a cycle refers to the number of edges in it.

(a) (2p.) Prove that an undirected unweighted graph  $G = (V, E)$  of girth strictly larger than  $t + 1$  has no proper subgraph that is a  $t$ -spanner.

---

<sup>1</sup>Since we are considering undirected graphs, acyclic means that  $G$  is a tree.

First, we assume that graph  $G$  has many cycles and the length of the shortest cycle is called the girth. A proper subgraph means that the subgraph is a spanning tree and its number of edges is strictly smaller than number of edges of graph  $G$ . In other words, at least one edge must be removed from graph  $G$  to obtain the proper subgraph. If this edge makes the graph disconnected,  $t$  would be infinity and it will never have a proper subgraph that is a  $t$ -spanner.

=> We can only remove an edge from a cycle.

Observation: consider an edge  $E(u, v)$  belongs to any cycles in  $G$ . Then  $d_G(u, v) = 1$  and let denote the length of this cycle as  $k$ . Of course,  $\text{girth} \leq k$  by definition. When the edge is removed, the shortest distance between  $u$  and  $v$  is to go around the cycle in the opposite direction

$$\Rightarrow d_{G/E(u,v)}(u, v) = k - 1 \geq \text{girth} - 1$$

Another condition we are given is  $\text{girth} > t + 1 \Rightarrow d_{G/E(u,v)}(u, v) = k - 1 > t$

=> The graph  $G$  has  $\text{girth} > t + 1 \Rightarrow$  the spanner property is not satisfied for the vertices  $(u, v)$  when the edge  $E(u, v)$  is removed => There does not exist any proper subgraph that is a  $t$ -spanner (proven)

- (b) (3p.) Suppose that the edges are sorted in a non-decreasing order according to their weights, i.e., the greedy algorithm iterates over the edges in the sorted order. Prove that the output of Algorithm 1 has girth at least  $t + 1$ .

We can use proof by contradiction here.

First, we assume  $\text{girth} < t + 1 \Rightarrow$  The smallest cycle in this graph has at most  $t$  edges.

We denote the vertices that are connected by the edge with the maximum weight is  $u_{\max}$  and  $v_{\max}$ . Due to the non-decreasing ordering of the edge weights, we see that  $w(u_{\max}, v_{\max})$  is processed at the last stage, where the smallest cycle has at most  $t - 1$  edges. According to the algorithm, this last edge is added only if  $d_{G'}(u_{\max}, v_{\max}) > t \cdot w(u_{\max}, v_{\max})$ . However, because the edge has not been added yet, we have to consider the opposite direction  $\Rightarrow d_{G'}(u_{\max}, v_{\max}) \leq (t - 1) \cdot w(u_{\max}, v_{\max})$  because we know that  $w(u_{\max}, v_{\max})$  is the largest weight  $\Rightarrow d_{G'}(u_{\max}, v_{\max}) \leq t \cdot w(u_{\max}, v_{\max})$  (contradiction)  $\Rightarrow$  The largest weight edge is not added to the cycle so the smallest cycle in this graph can have more than  $t$  edges  $\Rightarrow \text{girth} \geq t + 1$  (proven)