

## 11 Martingales and information processes

In this exercise you become familiar with the concept of a martingale, and you learn to detect which random times are optional times with respect to a given information process.

### Classroom problems

**11.1** *Markov chains and martingales.* Invent (or google) an example of an integer-valued stochastic process  $(X_0, X_1, \dots)$  which is

- (a) a Markov chain and a martingale,

**Solution.** Recall that a Markov chain is a memoryless random sequence over time, whereas a martingale may remember its past. A random sequence  $(M_0, M_1, \dots)$  is a martingale with respect to  $(X_0, X_1, \dots)$  if

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}|M_t| < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $M_t \in \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_t)$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathbb{E}(M_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t) = M_t$ .

Let  $S_t = X_1 + \dots + X_t$  be a symmetric random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$  where the summands  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and uniformly distributed in  $\{-1, +1\}$ . This walk is, rather obviously, a time-homogeneous Markov chain with transition matrix  $P$  such that  $P(x, x+1) = P(x, x-1) = \frac{1}{2}$  and all other entries are zero.

Let us next verify  $(S_t)$  is a martingale. Property (i) is valid because  $|S_t| \leq t$  with probability one. (ii) is clear. (iii) follows by observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}\{S_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\{S_t + X_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\
 & \quad \text{(linearity)} = \mathbb{E}\{S_t | X_0, \dots, X_t\} + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\
 & \quad \text{(pulling out known factors)} = S_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\
 & \quad \text{(independence)} = S_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1}\} \\
 &= S_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(S_t)$  is a martingale with respect to  $(X_t)$ , and it follows (lecture notes) that  $(S_t)$  is also a martingale with respect to itself.

- (b) a Markov chain but not a martingale,

**Solution.** Let  $S_t = X_1 + \dots + X_t$  be an asymmetric random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$  where the summands  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and nonuniformly distributed in  $\{-1, +1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(S_t)$  is a time-homogeneous Markov chain also in this case. The conditional expectation of  $S_{t+1}$  given the information up to time  $t$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}\{S_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= S_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

From this we see that  $(S_t)$  is a martingale if and only if  $\mathbb{E}(X_{t+1}) = 0$  which is equivalent to the random walk being symmetric.

- (c) a martingale but not a Markov chain,

**Solution.** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent and uniformly distributed in  $\{-1, +1\}$ . Define  $M_t = 10 + \sum_{s=1}^t H_s X_s$  where  $H_1 = 1$  and

$$H_t = \begin{cases} 1, & X_1 = -1, \\ 5, & X_1 = +1, \end{cases}$$

for  $t \geq 2$ . Then  $M_t$  represents the wealth of a gambler with initial wealth 10 EUR, who bets 1 EUR for the first round, and either 1 EUR (if first round was a loss) or 5 EUR (if first round was a win) for later rounds. Because the game is fair, then by general theory of martingales (lecture notes), it follows that  $(M_t)$  is a martingale. However,  $(M_t)$  is not a Markov chain because what happened in the first round affects the transition probabilities for all future time instants.

- (d) not a martingale nor a Markov chain.

**Solution.** Let  $M_t$  be the label of the  $t$ -th card, lifted without replacement and uniformly at random from a deck of 52 cards labeled using  $k = 1, \dots, 52$ . This sequence is not a Markov chain because for example  $\mathbb{P}(M_3 = 1 \mid M_2 = 2) = \frac{1}{51}$  but  $\mathbb{P}(M_3 = 1 \mid M_2 = 2, M_1 = 1) = 0$ . This sequence is neither a martingale because for example

$$\mathbb{E}(M_2 \mid M_1 = k) = \sum_{\ell \neq k} \ell \frac{1}{51} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{52} \ell \frac{1}{51} - \frac{k}{51} = \frac{52}{51} \sum_{\ell=1}^{52} \ell \frac{1}{52} - \frac{k}{51} = \frac{52}{51} \mathbb{E}(M_1) - \frac{k}{51}$$

shows that  $\mathbb{E}(M_2 \mid M_1) = \frac{52}{51} \mathbb{E}(M_1) - \frac{M_1}{51} \neq M_1$ .

## Homework problems

**11.2 Centered random walk.** A random sequence  $(S_0, S_1, \dots)$  is defined recursively by  $S_0 = x_0$  and  $S_t = S_{t-1} + X_t$  for  $t \geq 1$ , where  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed with a finite mean  $m$ .

- (a) Prove that the centered random walk defined by  $\bar{S}_t = S_t - mt$  is a martingale with respect to information sequence  $(x_0, X_1, X_2, \dots)$ .

**Solution.** A random sequence  $(M_0, M_1, \dots)$  is a martingale with respect to  $(X_0, X_1, \dots)$  if

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}|M_t| < \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $M_t \in \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_t)$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathbb{E}(M_{t+1} \mid X_0, \dots, X_t) = M_t$ .

Let us verify these. (i) follows because

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}_t &= x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t X_i - mt \\ \Rightarrow |\bar{S}_t| &\leq |x_0| + \sum_{i=1}^t |X_i| + |m|t \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}|\bar{S}_t| &\leq |x_0| + |m|t + \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbb{E}|X_i| \\ &= |x_0| + t(|m| + \mathbb{E}|X_1|),\end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < \infty$ , because  $X_1$  has a finite mean  $m$ .

(ii) follows directly from

$$\bar{S}_t = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t X_i - mt.$$

For (iii) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{\bar{S}_{t+1} | X_0, \dots, X_t\} &= \mathbb{E}\{\bar{S}_t + X_{t+1} - m | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\ \text{(pulling out known factors)} &= \bar{S}_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1} - m | X_0, \dots, X_t\} \\ \text{(independence)} &= \bar{S}_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1} - m\} \\ &= \bar{S}_t.\end{aligned}$$

(b) Is the centered random walk  $(\bar{S}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  a martingale with respect to itself?

**Solution.** Yes. This follows by a general theorem on martingales (lecture notes). Alternatively, we may verify the three properties required from a martingale.

(i) is valid as in the previous computation. (ii) is trivial. For (iii) we observe that  $X_{t+1}$  is independent of  $\bar{S}_0, \dots, \bar{S}_t$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\{\bar{S}_{t+1} | \bar{S}_0, \dots, \bar{S}_t\} &= \mathbb{E}\{\bar{S}_t + X_{t+1} - m | \bar{S}_0, \dots, \bar{S}_t\} \\ \text{(pulling out known factors)} &= \bar{S}_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1} - m | \bar{S}_0, \dots, \bar{S}_t\} \\ \text{(independence)} &= \bar{S}_t + \mathbb{E}\{X_{t+1} - m\} \\ &= \bar{S}_t.\end{aligned}$$

**11.3 Optional times.** If  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are optional times of the information sequence  $(X_0, X_1, \dots)$ , which of the following must be optional times as well? Justify your answers carefully based on the definition of an optional time.

**Hint:** The formula  $1(\tau \leq t) = \sum_{s=0}^t 1(\tau = s)$  or some of its variants may turn out useful.

(a)  $T_1 = \tau_1 + 6$

**Solution.** This is an optional time, because

$$1(T_1 = t) = 1(\tau_1 = t - 6) \in \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{t-6}) \subset \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

(b)  $T_2 = \max(\tau_1 - 6, 0)$

**Solution.**  $T_2$  is not an optional time in general. Consider for example the case where  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  are independent and uniformly distributed random variables in  $\{0, 1\}$ , representing coin flips, and let  $\tau_1 = \min\{t \geq 1 : X_t = 1\}$  be first time instant among  $\{1, 2, \dots\}$  at which we obtain heads. Then  $\tau_1$  is an optional time with respect to  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  because the random indicator variable

$$1(\tau_1 = t) = \left( \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} 1(X_s = 0) \right) 1(X_t = 1),$$

is represented as deterministic function of  $(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ .

On the other hand, because  $T_2 = 0$  if and only if  $\tau_1 \leq 6$ , we see that the random indicator variable

$$1(T_2 = 0) = 1(\tau_1 \leq 6) = 1 - 1(\tau_1 \geq 7) = 1 - \prod_{s=1}^6 1(X_s = 0)$$

is the indicator that the flips  $X_1, \dots, X_6$  all produce tails. Obviously, there is no way to represent the indicator of the event that  $X_1, \dots, X_6$  all produce tails as a deterministic function of  $X_0$ .

(c)  $T_3 = \min(\tau_1, \tau_2)$

**Solution.** Yes,  $T_3$  is an optional time. Observe that  $T_3 = t$  if and only if either  $\tau_1 = t$  and  $\tau_2 \geq t$ , or  $\tau_2 = t$  and  $\tau_1 \geq t$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 1(T_3 = t) &= 1(\tau_1 = \tau_2 = t) + 1(\tau_1 = t, \tau_2 \geq t + 1) + 1(\tau_1 \geq t + 1, \tau_2 = t) \\ &= 1(\tau_1 = t)1(\tau_2 = t) + 1(\tau_1 = t)1(\tau_2 \geq t + 1) + 1(\tau_1 \geq t + 1)1(\tau_2 = t). \end{aligned}$$

On the right, the indicators  $1(\tau_1 = t)$  and  $1(\tau_2 = t)$  belong to  $\sigma(X_0, \dots, X_t)$ . Note also that

$$1(\tau_1 \geq t + 1) = 1 - \sum_{s=0}^t 1(\tau_1 = s),$$

which shows that also  $1(\tau_1 \geq t + 1) \in \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_t)$ , and by symmetry, the same is true for  $1(\tau_2 \geq t + 1)$ . Hence each of the random indicator variables  $1(\tau_1 = t)$ ,  $1(\tau_2 = t)$ ,  $1(\tau_1 \geq t + 1)$ ,  $1(\tau_2 \geq t + 1)$  can be represented as deterministic functions of  $X_0, \dots, X_t$ , and therefore, the first formula provides a way to represent  $1(T_3 = t)$  as a deterministic function of  $X_0, \dots, X_t$ .