

# Homework Problems

**H9.1** Prove the following properties of infinite sets:

- (a) If  $A$  is a countably infinite set, then it has only countable subsets, i.e. if  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $B$  is either finite or countably infinite.
- (b) If  $A$  is an uncountable set, then it has both uncountable and countably infinite subsets.

a)

"Prove every subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is either finite or countable":

**Proof :** Consider a nonempty set of nonnegative integers  $S$  such that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists an injective function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by definition. More specifically, define  $f$  as:  
 $f(s_i) = i, i \in \mathbb{N}$

This allows us to use  $f$  to enumerate the elements of  $S$  by their size: letting  $s_1$  be the smallest element of  $S$ ,  $s_2$  be the smallest element of  $S \setminus \{s_1\}$  and so forth inductively until we exhaust all the elements of  $S$ . Using  $f$ , we would have paired up  $s_1$  with 1  $\in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_2$  with 2  $\in \mathbb{N}$  and so forth.

If there exists an element  $i_n$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $f^{-1}$  is undefined, as in, no element of  $S$  maps to  $i$ , then  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $\text{card}(S) < \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$ , implying that  $S$  is finite. More specifically,  $S$  would have a finite cardinality of  $i_n - 1$ .

Otherwise, if such an element  $i_n$  does not exist,  $f$  will not only be an injection but also a surjection, since for all elements  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an  $s_i \in S$  such that  $f(s_i) = i$ . Since  $f$  is both injective and surjective, it is a bijection, implying that  $\text{card}(S) = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$ . So by definition, if there exists a bijection between a set and the natural numbers, that set is countably infinite in cardinality.

b)

Let  $T$  be an uncountable set. Choose  $S_n$  to be a finite subset of  $T$  with  $n$  elements. It's always possible to pick such a set because  $T$  is uncountable. Now let:

$$S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$$

This set is a countable union of finite sets. Thus, it's at most countable.  $S$  must be infinite because for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $S_n \subset S$ . In other words, there is no  $n$  for which  $|S| < n$ .

So let  $T$  be uncountable.

If  $T$  is bounded above then it has a supremum  $\eta$ . If  $\eta \in T$  then let  $S = \{\eta\}$ . Otherwise, let  $S = \{\eta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $(\eta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is some strictly increasing sequence in  $T$  converging to  $\eta$ .

If  $T$  is unbounded then let  $S = \{\eta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $(\eta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is some strictly increasing sequence in  $T$  which tends to  $\infty$ .

In any case, by construction, for each  $t \in T$  there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s \geq t$ , as you should verify; and  $S$  is clearly countable.

If you require  $S$  to be countably *infinite* then, in the case  $T$  bounded and  $\sup T = \eta \in T$  given above, let  $S'$  be any countably infinite subset of  $T$  (e.g. constructed as in Ayman Hourieh's answer) and then let  $S = S' \cup \{\eta\}$ .

**H9.2** The set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  is (by definition) countable, or more specifically countably infinite. Prove, by using Cantor's diagonalisation

method, that there are uncountably many functions from natural numbers to natural numbers. In other words, prove that the set

$$F = \{f \mid f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\}$$

is uncountable.

Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  an arbitrary function of  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is enumerated from 0 to infinity in order and  $\mathbb{N}$  is randomly selected

For example:  $f(i): 0 \rightarrow 3, 1 \rightarrow 5, 2 \rightarrow 9, 3 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 8, 5 \rightarrow 11$  and so on....

There exists a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  that does not belong to this set and we can prove by Cantors' diagonalization method

	f1	f2	f2	f3	
N1 -> N2	0->0	0-> 9	0-> 3	0->10	...
N1 -> N3	1->3	1->6	1->2	1->5	...
N1 -> N4	2->5	2->2	2-> 3	2->6	...
N1 -> N5	3->2	3->10	3-> 8	3->5	...
	...	...	...	...	...
	f1	f2	f2	f3	
N1 -> N2	<b>0-&gt;1</b>	0-> 9	0-> 3	0->10	...
N1 -> N3	1->3	<b>1-&gt;7</b>	1->2	1->5	...
N1 -> N4	2->5	2->2	<b>2-&gt; 4</b>	2->6	...
N1 -> N5	3->2	3->10	3-> 8	<b>3-&gt;6</b>	...
	...	...	...	...	...
f not in set:	<b>0-&gt;1</b>	<b>1-&gt;7</b>	<b>2-&gt;4</b>	<b>3-&gt; 6</b>	...

That is, the function not in the set is constructed by using the mapping of each  $f$  in the set in the diagonal line and increment  $N_2$  by 1. This new  $f$  is guaranteed to not be in the set as it always differ at least in  $N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  from any other functions in the set of  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  functions

**H9.3** Are the following statements true or false? Justify your answers.

1. All regular languages are decidable.
2. The complement of any context-free language is decidable.
3. The complement of any language recognised by a deterministic Turing machine is decidable.
4. All languages recognised by nondeterministic Turing machines are semi-decidable.
5. If a language can be recognised by a deterministic pushdown automaton, then it is also decidable.
6. If a language is decidable, then it can be recognised by some non-deterministic pushdown automaton.

1. All regular languages are decidable.

**True**, since every regular language is context-free, every context-free language is decidable, and every decidable language is Turing-decidable.

2. The complement of any context-free language is decidable.

**True**. All context-free language is decidable. Also, the complement of decibel language is also a decidable language => Complement of any context-free language is decidable

3. The complement of any language recognised by a deterministic Turing machine is decidable.

**True**. The deterministic Turing machine always halts, and the language is recognized by a Turing Machine and halts on all inputs => the language is decidable => Its complement is also decidable

4. All languages recognised by nondeterministic Turing machines are semidecidable.

**True**. semi-decidable languages are all recognized by a Turing machine (Turing-recognizable).

5. If a language can be recognised by a deterministic pushdown automaton, then it is also decidable.

**True**. The class of deterministic pushdown automata accepts the deterministic context-free languages => the language is decidable

6. If a language is decidable, then it can be recognised by some nondeterministic pushdown automaton

**True**. Since it is decidable => it is still a context free language => a CFG can be recognized by a nondeterministic PDA