Wednesday, 6 April 2022 11.17

C12.1 Verify the claim in Section 12.2 of Lecture 12 that for all $n\geq 0$, $m_0\in\mathbb{Z}$ the following state-transformation relationship holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle i=n, m=m_0\rangle \\ & [\![\mathbf{w}\mathbf{hile}\ i>\theta\ \mathbf{do}\ m\leftarrow 2*m; i\leftarrow i-1\ \mathbf{od}]\!] \\ &\langle i=0, m=m_0*2^n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(Hint: Induction on n.)

C12.2 Verify the following loop invariant claims in Section 12.4 of Lecture 12 hold for any $N\in\mathbb{Z}$:

(i)
$$\underbrace{\{m*2^i=N\}}m\leftarrow 2*m;\; i\leftarrow i-1\{m*2^i=N\}$$
 (ii)
$$\{m*2^i=N\}$$

while i>0 do $m\leftarrow 2*m; i\leftarrow i-1$ od $\{m*2^i=N\}$ You don't need to go back to the detailed semantics of the programming

(1) Let N6 2 be arbitrary.

Then for precondition (N = m x 2i3

we get that the assignment

S = m = 2* m; i = i-1

+ransforms it into state w'. We have

V = m x 2i-1 x 2 = m x 2i-1+1 = m x 2i.

12.4 Proving weak correctness via loop invariants

- An essential challenge in establishing the (weak) correctness of a program P is proving the correctness of the while-do loops.
- This can be done by means of *loop invariants*, which are also a useful way of thinking about loop design in everyday practical programming.
- A predicate I is an invariant for a program S if {I}S{I}.
- For example, one can easily verify that the predicate

$$I(m,i): \{m*2^i = N\},$$

for any constant $N\in\mathbb{Z}$, is an invariant for the body of the loop in our previous exponentiation program:

 $\{m*2^i = N\}m \leftarrow 2*m; i \leftarrow i - 1\{m*2^i = N\}$

Aalto University School of Science

(12.2.

CS-C3166 Theory of Computation / Lecture 12 Auto Distorably / Engl. Computer Science • A program S is weakly correct with respect to a specification (P,Q), denoted $\{P\}S(Q)$, if given an initial state ω where $P(\omega)$ holds, program S will transform it into a state ω' where $Q(\omega')$ holds, assuming S halts.

Here the Copinvariant is the predicate $\{m + 2^i = N\}$

(ii) Induction on the "number of Herations"

Base case; hilds by the given spesitivetion
spesitivetion
spesitivetion
spesitivetion
spesitivetion it the while loop.

Then for k+1 st while loop:

By induction assumption and

part (i) we get

N= mx 2ⁱ⁻¹ = 2 = m + 2ⁱ