2018 Winter Camp Mock Olympiad Solutions

- 1. Suppose that initially there is a path of friends between some two people A and B. We claim at any point, there is a a path of friends between A and B not using any people that have already hosted a party other than possibly A and B. Call this property P. Note that P is initially true since no one has hosted a party yet. Consider the first point at which P is not true. Since P was true before the most recent party, there must be a path from A to B consisting of exactly one person C who has hosted a party. This person C must have two friends D and E on this path. However, D and E must now be friends and thus we can remove C from the path, yielding one using no one who has hosted a party. Thus P is always true. After everyone has hosted a party, if A and B were initially connected by a path of friends then A and B must be friends since P is true. Thus if A and B are not friends, they could not have been connected by such a path to begin with. However, the process of hosting parties can never connect two people by a path who were not connected to begin with. Thus A and B will never be introduced at a party.
- 2. Let M be the midpoint of side AC. Since G divides AM in a ratio 2:1, it follows that the distance of A to XY is twice the distance of M to XY. Thus [XBY] = 2[XMY]. Now note that since M is the midpoint of AC, the distance of M to XY is the average of the distances of A and C to XY. Thus 2[XMY] = [XAY] + [XCY]. Now note that since BXACY is cyclic, we have that $\angle XBY = 180^{\circ} \angle XAY = 180^{\circ} \angle XCY$. Thus $s = \sin \angle XBY = \sin \angle XAY = \sin \angle XCY$. It now follows that

$$\frac{s}{2} \cdot BX \cdot BY = [XBY] = [XAY] + [XCY] = \frac{s}{2} \cdot AX \cdot AY + \frac{s}{2} \cdot CX \cdot CY$$

which proves the result since $s \neq 0$.

3. Let P be the product of the a_i with $a_i \geq 2$ and S be their sum. Let k be the number of a_i with $a_i = 1$. If k = n, then the left side is n, which is not possible. Also note that since $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \geq n$, we have that

$$P = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \le 100$$

Furthermore, if P = 100 then it must follow that $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = n$, which implies that k = n and is impossible. Thus $P \leq 99$. Let $b_1 + 1, b_2 + 1, \ldots, b_k + 1$ be the a_i with $a_i \geq 2$ where each $b_j \geq 1$. Expanding the product P and noting that there are 2^k terms, each of which is at least 1, yields that

$$P = (b_1 + 1)(b_2 + 1) \cdots (b_k + 1) \ge b_1 + \cdots + b_k + 2^k - k \ge (b_1 + 1) + \cdots + (b_k + 1) = S$$

since $2^k - k \ge k$ for all $k \ge 1$. Therefore $P \ge S$ and it follows that

$$99(99+k) \ge P(P+k) \ge P(S+k) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n) = 100n \ge 100(k+1)$$

Rearranging gives that $k \leq 99^2 - 100$ and therefore

$$n \le \frac{99(99+k)}{100} \le 9702$$

This n can be achieved by taking $a_1 = 99$ and $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{9702} = 1$. Note that

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n) = 99 \cdot (9701 + 99) = 100 \cdot 9702 = 100n$$

- 4. The answer is n(n-1), which is attained when $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = \cdots = x_n = n$. We now prove that this is optimal. Without loss of generality let $x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$. If $x_2 \le n/2$ we are done, because then we have $x_1 + x_2 \le n$ and $x_3 + \cdots + x_n \le n(n-2)$. If $x_2 > n/2$, we have that $x_i > n x_i$ for $i = 3, 4, \ldots, n$. This implies that $x_1 x_2 \le (n x_1)(n x_2)$, and thus $x_1 + x_2 \le n$. Again, since $x_3 + \cdots + x_n \le n(n-2)$, we have that the sum is at most n(n-1).
- 5. We can assume $n \ge 2$. Let G(x, y) denote the grid of squares (x', y') such that $x' \equiv x \pmod{n}$ and $y' \equiv y \pmod{n}$. We now prove the following key lemma.

Lemma 1. Any grid G(x,y) either has monochromatic rows or monochromatic columns.

Proof. Suppose that (a,b) and (a+n,b) have different colours. Let these colours be c_1 and c_2 , respectively. Let S be the n-1 colours in the subrow $(a+1,b), \ldots (a+n-1,b)$ and T be the n-1 colours in $(a+1,b+n), \ldots (a+n-1,b+n)$. Let c_3 and c_4 be the colours in (a,b+n) and (a+n,b+n), respectively. Since the $n \times n$ subgrids with lower left corners (a,b) and (a,b+1) each contain all n^2 colours, the set of colours among the subrow $(a,b), \ldots, (a+n-1,b)$ and among the subrow $(a,b+n), \ldots, (a+n-1,b+n)$ must be equal. Similarly, the set of colours among the subrow $(a+1,b), \ldots, (a+n,b)$ and the subrow $(a+1,b+n), \ldots, (a+n,b+n)$ must be equal. Therefore $S \cup \{c_1\} = T \cup \{c_3\}$ and $S \cup \{c_2\} = T \cup \{c_4\}$. If $c_1 \neq c_3$, then $c_1 \in T$. Since $c_1 \notin S$ and $c_2 \neq c_1$, it follows that $S \cup \{c_2\} = T \cup \{c_4\}$ does not contain c_1 , which is a contradiction. Thus $c_1 = c_3$. Similarly, we have that $c_2 = c_4$. Repeating this argument up and down the columns of G(x,y) with x=a and x=a+n yields that these two columns are each monochromatic and contain the colours $c_1 \neq c_2$, respectively.

The argument above shows that if there is a row of G(x, y) that is not monochromatic, it must change colours between two squares whose x coordinates differ by exactly n. Thus there must be two columns that are monochromatic and of different colours. Similarly, if there is a column of G(x, y) that is not monochromatic, there must be two monochromatic rows of different colours. However, these cannot both happen, proving the lemma.

Note that there are exactly n^2 distinct grids G(x,y). Call a grid G(x,y) horizontal if it has all monochromatic rows and vertical if it has all monochromatic columns. By the lemma, every grid G(x,y) is either horizontal or vertical. Consider a row y=r containing at least n^2-n+1 colours. Let S_i be the set of colours in the subcolumn $(i,r), (i,r+1), \ldots, (i,r+n-1)$. By considering $n \times n$ subgrids with lower left corners on r, it follows that the disjoint union of the $S_i, S_{i+1}, \ldots, S_{i+n-1}$ is the set of all colours and consequently that $S_i = S_{i+n}$. Now fix some i and suppose that there is some i such that the grid i substituting is horizontal. If i such that the intersection of the row i with i is only one colour and thus the column omits at least i such that i such that cannot be in the row i substituting at i such that i such that cannot be in the row i such that i such that cannot be in the row i such that i such that i such that cannot be in the row i such that i such