

Category Theory in UniMath

Niels van der Weide

Radboud University, The Netherlands

April 4, 2019

This talk

- ▶ What are univalent categories?
- ▶ How to construct univalent categories?

Note on terminology: during this talk, I use terminology from UniMath (different from HoTT book).

Categories in Univalent Foundations

Definition (Precategory)

A *precategory* \mathcal{C} consists of

- ▶ A type \mathcal{C}_0 of *objects*;
- ▶ For $x, y : \mathcal{C}_0$ a type $\mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ of *morphisms*;
- ▶ For $x : \mathcal{C}_0$ an *identity* morphism $\text{id}_x : \mathcal{C}_1(x, x)$;
- ▶ For $x, y, z : \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ and $g : \mathcal{C}_1(y, z)$, a *composition* $f \cdot g : \mathcal{C}_1(x, z)$

such that

- ▶ $f \cdot \text{id}_x = f$;
- ▶ $\text{id}_y \cdot f = f$;
- ▶ $f \cdot (g \cdot h) = (f \cdot g) \cdot h$.

Categories in Univalent Foundations

- ▶ Equality is proof relevant in UF.
- ▶ Precategories can have 'higher' structure given by the paths.
- ▶ Eg, the 1-cells are morphisms, 2-cells are equalities between morphisms.
- ▶ For categories, we want this to collapse.

Categories in Univalent Foundations

Definition (Category)

A *category* \mathcal{C} consists of

- ▶ A type \mathcal{C}_0 of *objects*;
- ▶ For $x, y : \mathcal{C}_0$ a **set** $\mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ of *morphisms*;
- ▶ For $x : \mathcal{C}_0$ an *identity* morphism $\text{id}_x : \mathcal{C}_1(x, x)$;
- ▶ For $x, y, z : \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ and $g : \mathcal{C}_1(y, z)$, a *composition* $f \cdot g : \mathcal{C}_1(x, z)$

such that

- ▶ $f \cdot \text{id}_x = f$;
- ▶ $\text{id}_y \cdot f = f$;
- ▶ $f \cdot (g \cdot h) = (f \cdot g) \cdot h$.

(Recall: a set is a type for which equality is proof irrelevant)

Examples of Categories

- ▶ The category **SET** of sets and functions
- ▶ The category of pointed sets and point preserving maps
- ▶ The category of monoids and homomorphisms

Towards Univalent Categories: Isomorphisms

Definition

A morphism $f : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ is an *isomorphism* if the map $\lambda(g : \mathcal{C}_1(y, z)), f \cdot g$ is an equivalence for every $z : \mathcal{C}_0$. We denote the type of isomorphisms from X to Y by $X \cong Y$.

Note:

- ▶ We can find inverses.
- ▶ Being an isomorphism is a proposition
- ▶ id_x is an isomorphism

In UniMath: `is_iso`

Towards Univalent Categories: Isomorphisms

Alternatively, we can define

Definition

A morphism $f : C_1(x, y)$ is an *isomorphism* if we have $g : C_1(y, x)$ such that $f \cdot g = \text{id}_x$ and $g \cdot f = \text{id}_y$.

Note that these definitions are equivalent for categories.

In UniMath: `z_iso`.

Univalent Categories

Definition (Univalence Axiom)

- ▶ For all types X, Y we have a map $\text{idtoeq } X \ Y : X = Y \rightarrow X \simeq Y$.
- ▶ UA: the map $X = Y \rightarrow X \simeq Y$ is an equivalence.

Definition (Univalent Categories)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category.

- ▶ For all objects $x, y : \mathcal{C}_0$ we have a map $\mathbf{idtoiso}_{x,y} : x = y \rightarrow x \cong y$.
- ▶ A category \mathcal{C} is *univalent* if for all $x, y : \mathcal{C}_0$ the map $\mathbf{idtoiso}_{x,y}$ is an equivalence.

What's so good about univalent categories?

- ▶ Nice properties: initial objects are unique (exercise)
- ▶ It's the “right” notion of category **in univalent foundations**.
- ▶ In the simplicial set interpretation, univalent categories correspond to actual categories.

SET is Univalent

To prove **SET** is univalent, we factor **idtoiso** as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x = y & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{idtoiso}_{x,y}} & x \cong y \\ & \searrow \simeq & \nearrow \simeq \\ & x \simeq y & \end{array}$$

Hence, **idtoiso** is equal to an equivalence and thus an equivalence.

What about Monoids?

- ▶ Is monoids a univalent category?
- ▶ Monoids have a more complicated structure, which makes a direct proof harder.
- ▶ We need machinery to make such proofs more manageable.
- ▶ For this, we use *displayed categories*

Displayed Categories, The Idea

- ▶ Suppose, we have a category \mathcal{C} .
- ▶ A displayed category \mathcal{D} represents “structure” or “properties” to be added to \mathcal{C} .
- ▶ Displayed categories give rise to a *total category* $\int \mathcal{D}$
- ▶ The objects of $\int \mathcal{D}$ are pairs of $x : \mathcal{C}_0$ with the extra structure.
- ▶ Furthermore, we have a projection (forgetful functor) from the total category to \mathcal{C} .
- ▶ Goal of displayed categories: reason about the total category.

Displayed Categories, The Data

Definition

A *displayed category* \mathcal{D} over \mathcal{C} consists of

- ▶ For each $x : \mathcal{C}_0$ a type \mathcal{D}_0^x of *objects over* x .
- ▶ For each $f : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$, $\bar{x} : \mathcal{D}_0^x$ and $\bar{y} : \mathcal{D}_0^y$ a set $\mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ of *morphisms over* f .
- ▶ For each $x : \mathcal{C}_0$ and $\bar{x} : \mathcal{D}_0^x$ an identity $\overline{\text{id}_x} : \mathcal{D}_1^{\text{id}_x}(\bar{x}, \bar{x})$.
- ▶ For $f : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$, $g : \mathcal{C}_1(y, z)$, $\bar{f} : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. and $\bar{g} : \mathcal{D}_1^g(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$, a composition $\bar{f} \cdot \bar{g} : \mathcal{D}_1^{f \cdot g}(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$.

What about the laws?

Displayed Categories, Towards The Laws

Let's try to write the right unitality law.

Suppose $\bar{f} : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Then

$$\bar{f} \cdot \overline{\text{id}_y} : \mathcal{D}_1^{f \cdot \text{id}_y}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

Hence, the law $\bar{f} = \bar{f} \cdot \overline{\text{id}_y}$ does *not* type check.

Displayed Categories, Towards The Laws

Let's try to write the right unitality law.

Suppose $\bar{f} : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Then

$$\bar{f} \cdot \overline{\text{id}_y} : \mathcal{D}_1^{f \cdot \text{id}_y}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

Hence, the law $\bar{f} = \bar{f} \cdot \overline{\text{id}_y}$ does *not* type check.

Solution: use transport. Laws become *dependent equalities*.

Displayed Categories, The Laws

Suppose, $f, g : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ and $p : f = g$. Then

$$\text{transport}^{\lambda h, \mathcal{D}_1^h(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} p : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1^g(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

Recall that

$$\bar{f} : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

$$f \cdot \text{id}_y : \mathcal{D}_1^{f \cdot \text{id}_y}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

So, it suffices to find a path $f = f \cdot \text{id}_y$.

This is one of the axioms of categories.

The Total Category

Definition

Let \mathcal{D} be a displayed category over \mathcal{C} . Then we define the *total category* $\int \mathcal{D}$ to be the category for which

- ▶ objects are pairs $x : \mathcal{C}_0$ and $\bar{x} : \mathcal{D}_0^x$
- ▶ morphisms from (x, \bar{x}) to (y, \bar{y}) are pairs $f : \mathcal{C}_1(x, y)$ and $\bar{f} : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$

Definition

We have a *projection* functor $\pi_1 : \int \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. It sends (x, \bar{x}) to x and (f, \bar{f}) to f .

Examples of Displayed Categories: Pointed Sets

Define a displayed category P over **SET**:

- ▶ Objects over X are elements $x : X$
- ▶ Morphisms over $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from $x : X$ to $y : Y$ are paths $f x = y$
- ▶ Morphism over id_X is a path $\text{id}_X x = x$ (reflexivity)

The total category $\int P$ is the category of *pointed sets*.

Objects: pair of a set X and $x : X$. Morphisms: point preserving maps.

Examples of Displayed Categories: Monoids

Define a displayed category over **SET**

- ▶ Objects over X are monoid structures
- ▶ Morphisms over f are proofs that f is a homomorphism

The total category is the category of monoids.

Constructions with Displayed Categories

Some constructions which allow building displayed categories modularly.

- ▶ The full subcategory is a displayed category
- ▶ We can take the product of displayed categories

A Nicer Construction of the Category of Monoids

Note: displayed categories can be layered.

- ▶ Start with the category of sets.
- ▶ Define a displayed category P on sets. Objects over X are points.

A Nicer Construction of the Category of Monoids

Note: displayed categories can be layered.

- ▶ Start with the category of sets.
- ▶ Define a displayed category P on sets. Objects over X are points.
- ▶ Define a displayed category M' on sets. Objects over X are maps $X \times X \rightarrow X$.

A Nicer Construction of the Category of Monoids

Note: displayed categories can be layered.

- ▶ Start with the category of sets.
- ▶ Define a displayed category P on sets. Objects over X are points.
- ▶ Define a displayed category M' on sets. Objects over X are maps $X \times X \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ This gives a displayed category $P \times M'$ over sets (the product)
- ▶ Call its total category \mathcal{E} .
- ▶ Objects of \mathcal{E} are pairs $(X, (e, f))$ with $e : X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$

A Nicer Construction of the Category of Monoids

Note: displayed categories can be layered.

- ▶ Start with the category of sets.
- ▶ Define a displayed category P on sets. Objects over X are points.
- ▶ Define a displayed category M' on sets. Objects over X are maps $X \times X \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ This gives a displayed category $P \times M'$ over sets (the product)
- ▶ Call its total category \mathcal{E} .
- ▶ Objects of \mathcal{E} are pairs $(X, (e, f))$ with $e : X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$
- ▶ Define a displayed category M over \mathcal{E} . Objects over $(X, (e, f))$ are proofs that it's a monoid.
- ▶ Then the total category of M is the category of monoids.

A Nicer Construction of the Category of Monoids

Note: displayed categories can be layered.

- ▶ Start with the category of sets.
- ▶ Define a displayed category P on sets. Objects over X are points.
- ▶ Define a displayed category M' on sets. Objects over X are maps $X \times X \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ This gives a displayed category $P \times M'$ over sets (the product)
- ▶ Call its total category \mathcal{E} .
- ▶ Objects of \mathcal{E} are pairs $(X, (e, f))$ with $e : X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$
- ▶ Define a displayed category M over \mathcal{E} . Objects over $(X, (e, f))$ are proofs that it's a monoid.
- ▶ Then the total category of M is the category of monoids.

Untangling (break down in small parts) and *stratification* (layers)

Towards Displayed Univalence: Displayed Isomorphisms

Definition

Let \mathcal{D} be a displayed category over \mathcal{C} and suppose, f is an isomorphism with inverse g . We say $\bar{f} : \mathcal{D}_1^f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is a (*displayed*) *isomorphism* if there is $\bar{g} : \mathcal{D}_1^g(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ which are mutual inverses (again as dependent equalities).

We write $\bar{x} \cong_f \bar{y}$ for the type of displayed isomorphisms over f .

Displayed Univalence

- ▶ Again the identity $\overline{\text{id}_x}$ is an isomorphism
- ▶ By path induction, we get for each $p : x = y$ a map

$$\mathbf{dispidtoiso}_{\overline{x}, \overline{y}} : \overline{x} =_p \overline{y} \rightarrow \overline{x} \cong_{\mathbf{idtoiso}_{x,y} p} \overline{y}$$

- ▶ We say \mathcal{D} is *displayed univalent* if $\mathbf{dispidtoiso}$ is an equivalence.

Main Theorem

Theorem

If \mathcal{C} is univalent and \mathcal{D} is displayed univalent, then $\int \mathcal{D}$ is univalent.

Examples of Displayed Univalent Categories

- ▶ The displayed category P of pointed sets is displayed univalent and thus the category of pointed sets is univalent.
- ▶ The displayed category M of monoids is displayed univalent and thus the category of monoids is univalent.

Conclusion

Take away message:

- ▶ Displayed categories are a convenient way to modularly construct univalent categories.
- ▶ Work with small “edible” pieces.

In the exercises:

- ▶ Study univalent categories more closely
- ▶ Define monoids as a displayed category

Literature

- ▶ HoTT Book, Chapter 9
- ▶ Ahrens, Benedikt and Lumsdaine, Peter LeFanu. "Displayed Categories." Logical Methods in Computer Science 15 (2019).
- ▶ Ahrens, B., Kapulkin, K., & Shulman, M. (2015). Univalent Categories and the Rezk Completion. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 25(5), 1010-1039.