

# Math6373 spring2017 Deep learning and data mining

## Robert Azencott

### Project 3 Due Sunday April 2 at midnight

#### Generic Boltzmann machines

Consider a generic Boltzmann machine having a total of  $N=30$  binary units denoted  $j=1, \dots, 30$ . The state of each unit only the values  $\{+1, -1\}$ . For each pair of distinct units  $(j, k)$ , select at random the weight  $W_{jk} = W_{kj}$  in the interval  $[-1, +1]$ . Fix these weights.

Select a random initial binary configuration  $X_0 = [X_0(1), X_0(2), \dots, X_0(30)]$ . Give the precise mathematical definition of the Boltzmann machine stochastic dynamics by a Gibbs sampler. Implement the Boltzmann dynamics to generate a random sequence of binary configurations  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  with  $n$  at least as large as 3000 (see below)

After each full sweep of the 30 units, and hence at each one of the steps  $30s$ , with  $s=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and for each unit “ $j$ ” compute the empirical mean  $M_s(j) = (X_{30}(j) + X_{60}(j) + \dots + X_{30s}(j)) / s$

Fix a small threshold  $THR=1\%$  and define the stabilization times

$stab(j) = 1^{st} \text{ value of } s > 100 \text{ such that } |M_{s+t+1}(j) - M_{s+t}(j)| < THR \text{ for } t=1, 2, \dots, 10$

Define the stabilization time  $S$  of the machine as the smallest value of  $s$  such that more than 90% of the 30 units  $j$  verify  $stab(j) \leq s$

Define precisely the Boltzmann energy  $E(X)$  of any binary configuration  $X$ . At each time  $n=30s$  compute the energy  $E_n = E(X_n)$ . Compute and display the histogram of the 200 energy values  $E_n$  where  $n=30S, 30(S+1), \dots, 30(S+200)$

Repeat the preceding operations after replacing all your original weights  $W_{jk}$  by  $W_{jk}/10$ . Compare the results for  $S$  and for the energy histogram

***DATA BASE CAN BE THE SAME AS IN PROJECT 2*** define an automatic classification task for this database : outline the characteristics of each feature  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_p$  ; present the distinct classes  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_r$  ; select a training set and a test set

***stochastic AUTO-ENCODERS based on the Restricted Boltzmann Machine (RBM)***  
select an RBM architecture with three layers  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  of dimensions  $n_1, n_2 = h, n_3 = n_1$  ; the dimension  $h$  of the hidden layer will have to take many tentative values

- to determine  $n_1 = n_3$  indicate how you encode the value of each input feature  $F_k$  on specific “units” of layer 1
- the output layer will IDEALLY (after training) be able to generate an estimated output  $EstOut_j$  reproducing as well as possible the current input  $INP_j$
- select 2 tentative values for  $h$ , namely 2 values  $h < n_1 = n_3$

***Implement FAST RBM Learning algorithm to train the 4 auto encoders***

explain clearly what is the algorithm for FAST RBM learning, and your choices for initialization of the weights, for batch sizes, for stopping the learning,

explain how you implement reading the outputs of the RBM

compute the Root Mean Squared Error  $RMSE_n = \sqrt{MSE_n}$  at the end of each Batch  $BAT_n$ , plot the curve  $n \rightarrow RMSE_n$  and comment

after learning is stopped, call  $W^*$  the terminal set of weights; the trained autoencoder is now parametrized by  $W^*$ ; compute the  $RMSE^*$  of the trained autoencoder on the whole training set and on the whole test set; compare these performances;

***Detailed analysis of hidden layer structure and efficiency*** (The training experiments generate 2 RBM Autoencoders)

**PCA analysis:** for each trained RBM autoencoder AUT, the set of all  $N$  training data generates a cloud of  $N$  configurations of the hidden layer  $H$  of AUT. Perform PCA for this cloud of  $N$  vectors in dimension  $h = \dim(H)$ . Compute the number of PCA eigenvalues needed to achieve 90% of the energy, and compute + display the projection of the  $p$  classes onto the first three eigenvectors. Compare these results for your 4 autoencoders

**Autoencoding efficiency :** Define a new fixed output layer called OUTLAYER using exactly  $p$  nodes to encode classification outputs into  $p$  classes for the original classification problem. Let AUT be any one of the 2 RBM autoencoders just trained. Call  $L_1$  and  $H$  the first two layers of AUT, and FIX its already computed vector of weights  $W_1$  from  $L_1$  to  $H$ . Define a new Boltzmann machine NewBM with 3 layers  $L_1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow OUTLAYER$ , fixed weights vector  $W_1$  from  $L_1$  to  $H$ , and a new weight vector  $W_2$  from  $H$  to OUTLAYER

For this NewBM, implement Boltzmann Machine fast learning rule for classification in order to learn the adequate value of  $W_2$  but keep the  $W_1$  weights fixed during learning; compute the percentage of correct answers on the training set and on the test set for this trained NewBM, and consider these numbers as evaluating the performance of the autoencoder AUT. Compute these two performances for your 2 RBM autoencoders and compare them