

[answer question](#)

⌚ Thought for 123 seconds ▾

- First, the problem is about system identification using a convex combination of two systems. The overall predicted output is $\hat{y}(n) = \mu\hat{y}_1(n) + (1 - \mu)\hat{y}_2(n)$. I need to develop algorithms for updating the weights $w_1(n)$, $w_2(n)$, and the mixing parameter μ .

From the diagram, it seems like there are two adaptive filters or systems, System 1 and System 2, each with their own weights, w_1 and w_2 . The output of each system is $\hat{y}_1(n)$ and $\hat{y}_2(n)$. The true output is $y(n)$, and we have errors: local errors $e_1(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_1(n)$ and $e_2(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)$, and overall error $e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n)$.

The goal is to update w_1 , w_2 , and μ to minimize the overall error or something similar. The problem says "develop algorithm for the updating", so I need to derive update equations.

I recall that in adaptive filtering, we often use gradient-based methods like LMS (Least Mean Squares) for updating weights. Here, we have two sets of weights and a mixing parameter.

Let me denote the input vector as $x(n)$. Then, $\hat{y}_1(n) = w_1^T(n)x(n)$ and $\hat{y}_2(n) = w_2^T(n)x(n)$. But the diagram shows $x(n)$ going to both systems, so yes.

The overall output is $\hat{y}(n) = \mu\hat{y}_1(n) + (1 - \mu)\hat{y}_2(n)$.

Now, we need to update w_1 , w_2 , and μ . Probably, we want to minimize the mean square error, $E[e^2(n)]$, where $e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n)$.

Since it's an adaptive setting, we might use instantaneous gradient for LMS.

Let me start with updating w_1 and w_2 .

Consider the cost function for w_1 . If we think about it, w_1 affects $\hat{y}_1(n)$, which affects $\hat{y}(n)$, and thus $e(n)$. So, to update w_1 , we can use the gradient of $e^2(n)$ with respect to w_1 .

Similarly for w_2 .

And for μ , we need the gradient with respect to μ .

So, let's compute the gradients.

First, the overall error: $e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n) = y(n) - [\mu\hat{y}_1(n) + (1 - \mu)\hat{y}_2(n)]$

Now, the instantaneous cost is $J(n) = \frac{1}{2}e^2(n)$ (often we use 1/2 for convenience in gradient).

But for LMS, we usually don't include 1/2, but it doesn't matter since step size can adjust.

Let me define the update for w_1 .

The gradient of $J(n) = \frac{1}{2}e^2(n)$ with respect to w_1 is:

$$\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial w_1} = e(n) \frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_1}$$

Now, $\frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial[y(n)-\hat{y}(n)]}{\partial w_1} = -\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial w_1}$

And $\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial[\mu \hat{y}_1(n) + (1-\mu) \hat{y}_2(n)]}{\partial w_1} = \mu \frac{\partial \hat{y}_1(n)}{\partial w_1} + (1-\mu) \frac{\partial \hat{y}_2(n)}{\partial w_1}$

But $\hat{y}_2(n)$ does not depend on w_1 , so $\frac{\partial \hat{y}_2(n)}{\partial w_1} = 0$. Similarly, $\hat{y}_1(n) = w_1^T x(n)$, so $\frac{\partial \hat{y}_1(n)}{\partial w_1} = x(n)$.

Thus, $\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial w_1} = \mu x(n)$

Therefore, $\frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_1} = -\mu x(n)$

So, $\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial w_1} = e(n)(-\mu x(n)) = -\mu e(n)x(n)$

In LMS, we update weights in the negative gradient direction, so:

$$w_1(n+1) = w_1(n) - \eta_1 \frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial w_1} = w_1(n) + \eta_1 \mu e(n)x(n)$$

Where η_1 is the step size for w_1 .

Similarly, for w_2 :

$$\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial w_2} = e(n) \frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_2}$$

$\frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_2} = -\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial w_2}$

$\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial w_2} = \frac{\partial[\mu \hat{y}_1(n) + (1-\mu) \hat{y}_2(n)]}{\partial w_2} = \mu \frac{\partial \hat{y}_1(n)}{\partial w_2} + (1-\mu) \frac{\partial \hat{y}_2(n)}{\partial w_2}$

Since $\hat{y}_1(n)$ does not depend on w_2 , $\frac{\partial \hat{y}_1(n)}{\partial w_2} = 0$, and $\hat{y}_2(n) = w_2^T x(n)$, so $\frac{\partial \hat{y}_2(n)}{\partial w_2} = x(n)$

Thus, $\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial w_2} = (1-\mu)x(n)$

So, $\frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial w_2} = -(1-\mu)x(n)$

Therefore, $\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial w_2} = e(n)(-(1-\mu)x(n)) = -(1-\mu)e(n)x(n)$

Then, update for w_2 :

$$w_2(n+1) = w_2(n) - \eta_2 \frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial w_2} = w_2(n) + \eta_2(1-\mu)e(n)x(n)$$

Now, for μ , we need to update it. Similarly, we take gradient with respect to μ .

$$\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial \mu} = e(n) \frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial \mu}$$

$\frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial \mu}$

Now, $\frac{\partial \hat{y}(n)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial[\mu \hat{y}_1(n) + (1-\mu) \hat{y}_2(n)]}{\partial \mu} = \hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)$

So, $\frac{\partial e(n)}{\partial \mu} = -(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)) = -(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$

Thus, $\frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial \mu} = e(n)[-(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))] = -e(n)(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$

Now, we update μ in the negative gradient direction. But note that μ is a mixing parameter, and it should be between 0 and 1 because it's a convex combination. So, we need to ensure that after update, μ remains in [0,1]. One way to handle this is to use a sigmoid function or to project onto [0,1]. Alternatively, we can use a gradient update and then clip the value.

Let me denote the update for μ . Let $\mu(n)$ be the value at time n.

Then, $\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) - \eta_\mu \frac{\partial J(n)}{\partial \mu} = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$

Where η_μ is the step size for μ .

But after this update, μ might be outside [0,1]. So, we can clip it: if $\mu(n+1) > 1$, set to 1; if $\mu(n+1) < 0$, set to 0.

Alternatively, we can define μ as a function of another parameter, say α , such that $\mu = \sigma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha}}$, which always between 0 and 1. Then we update α instead.

In many papers, for convex combinations, they often use the sigmoid approach to keep μ in [0,1].

But let's see what the problem expects. The diagram shows μ and $1 - \mu$, so probably μ should be between 0 and 1.

In the update for w_1 and w_2 , we have μ in the update equations, so if μ is not constrained, it might cause issues.

I think for simplicity, we can assume that we update μ directly and then clip it.

But let me check the literature. I recall that in some combination schemes, they use the LMS on the mixing parameter.

Another thing: in the diagram, there is "Algorithm1" and "Algorithm2" for updating w_1 and w_2 , and probably another for μ .

Also, from the diagram, there is $v(n)$ which might be noise, but I think we can ignore that for now.

So, to summarize, the algorithms for updating:

For w_1 :

$$w_1(n+1) = w_1(n) + \eta_1 \mu e(n)x(n)$$

For w_2 :

$$w_2(n+1) = w_2(n) + \eta_2(1 - \mu)e(n)x(n)$$

For μ :

$$\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$$

Then, clip $\mu(n+1)$ to [0,1] if necessary.

But in the update for μ , we have $e(n)(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$, which seems correct.

We can also think about the local errors. Notice that $e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n)$, but from the local errors, $e_1(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_1(n)$ and $e_2(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)$, so $\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n) = -(e_1(n) - e_2(n))$, because $\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n) = [y(n) - e_1(n)] - [y(n) - e_2(n)] = -e_1(n) + e_2(n) = e_2(n) - e_1(n)$

So, $\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n) = e_2(n) - e_1(n)$

Thus, the update for μ can be written as:

$$\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(e_2(n) - e_1(n))$$

Which might be useful.

Now, in the diagram, there is "Algorithm" pointing to μ , and "Algorithm1" and "Algorithm2" for w_1 and w_2 , so probably we have three update rules.

Also, the diagram shows w^o which is the true weight, but we don't know that.

So, I think this is the algorithm.

But let me write it properly.

The algorithm should be iterative for each time n.

At each time n:

1. Get input $x(n)$ and desired output $y(n)$.

2. Compute outputs:

$$\hat{y}_1(n) = w_1^T(n)x(n)$$

$$\hat{y}_2(n) = w_2^T(n)x(n)$$

3. Compute overall output:

$$\hat{y}(n) = \mu(n)\hat{y}_1(n) + (1 - \mu(n))\hat{y}_2(n)$$

4. Compute errors:

$$e_1(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_1(n)$$

$$e_2(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)$$

$$e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n)$$

5. Update weights:

$$w_1(n+1) = w_1(n) + \eta_1 \mu(n) e(n) x(n)$$

$$w_2(n+1) = w_2(n) + \eta_2 (1 - \mu(n)) e(n) x(n)$$

6. Update mixing parameter:

$$\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$$

Or equivalently, $\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(e_2(n) - e_1(n))$

7. If necessary, clip $\mu(n+1)$ to [0,1].

This seems complete.

But in the update for w_1 and w_2 , we are using the overall error $e(n)$, not the local errors. That makes sense because we want to minimize the overall error.

Also, note that in the update for w_1 , the step size is scaled by μ , so if μ is small, the update for w_1 is small, which is intuitive because if μ is small, w_1 contributes less to the output.

Similarly for w_2 .

For μ , the update depends on the difference between the outputs or errors.

I think this is correct.

So, for the answer, I should write the update equations as above.

Now, to present it neatly.

The system identification problem involves estimating an unknown system using a convex combination of two adaptive systems. The overall predicted output is given by $\hat{y}(n) = \mu\hat{y}_1(n) + (1 - \mu)\hat{y}_2(n)$, where $\hat{y}_1(n)$ and $\hat{y}_2(n)$ are the outputs of System 1 and System 2, respectively. The local errors are $e_1(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_1(n)$ and $e_2(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)$, and the overall error is $e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n)$. The weights $w_1(n)$ and $w_2(n)$ for the two systems and the mixing parameter $\mu(n)$ are updated using gradient-based algorithms to minimize the mean square error.

Algorithm for Updating $w_1(n)$, $w_2(n)$, and $\mu(n)$

At each time step n :

1. Compute outputs:

- $\hat{y}_1(n) = w_1^T(n)x(n)$
- $\hat{y}_2(n) = w_2^T(n)x(n)$
- $\hat{y}(n) = \mu(n)\hat{y}_1(n) + (1 - \mu(n))\hat{y}_2(n)$

2. Compute errors:

- $e_1(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_1(n)$
- $e_2(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}_2(n)$
- $e(n) = y(n) - \hat{y}(n)$

3. Update weights:

- $w_1(n+1) = w_1(n) + \eta_1\mu(n)e(n)x(n)$
- $w_2(n+1) = w_2(n) + \eta_2(1 - \mu(n))e(n)x(n)$
where η_1 and η_2 are step sizes for w_1 and w_2 , respectively.

4. Update mixing parameter:

- $\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(\hat{y}_1(n) - \hat{y}_2(n))$
or equivalently,
$$\mu(n+1) = \mu(n) + \eta_\mu e(n)(e_2(n) - e_1(n))$$

where η_μ is the step size for μ . To ensure μ remains in $[0, 1]$, clip $\mu(n+1)$ after update:
 - If $\mu(n+1) > 1$, set $\mu(n+1) = 1$

- If $\mu(n+1) < 0$, set $\mu(n+1) = 0$

Explanation

- The updates for $w_1(n)$ and $w_2(n)$ are derived from the gradient of the instantaneous cost function $J(n) = \frac{1}{2}e^2(n)$ with respect to the weights. The step sizes η_1 and η_2 control the convergence rate.
- The update for $\mu(n)$ is derived from the gradient of $J(n)$ with respect to μ . Clipping ensures the convex combination remains valid.
- The algorithm uses the overall error $e(n)$ for updates, ensuring minimization of the overall prediction error.

This approach allows adaptive combination of two systems to model the unknown system effectively.



Message DeepSeek

DeepThink Search

AI-generated, for reference only