Centralized Queues Approach -Table1. Times (ms) vs Number of Nodes/Edges

| | | | Processors | | | |
|-----------|--------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Nodes | 1 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | edges |
| 100000 | 1043 | 250 | 252 | 178 | 260 | 5000000 |
| 1Million | 9280 | 4070 | 1414 | 2255 | 1882 | 50000000 |
| 2Million | 22410 | 5702 | 3428 | 3409 | 3894 | 100000000 |
| 4 Million | 65855 | 17068 | 8641 | 8140 | 11931 | 200000000 |
| 5 Million | 105639 | 27162 | 13423.7 | 10468 | 14040 | 250000000 |
| | | | | | | |

Work Stealing Approach - Table2 . Times(ms) vs Number of Nodes/Edges

| Nodes | 1 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | edges |
|-----------|--------|-------|---------|------|------|-----------|
| 100000 | 1043 | 250 | 147 | 93 | 104 | 5000000 |
| 1Million | 9280 | 3007 | 1468 | 782 | 1165 | 50000000 |
| 2Million | 22410 | 5799 | 3541 | 1771 | 3524 | 100000000 |
| 4 Million | 65855 | 17685 | 9729 | 4731 | 6716 | 200000000 |
| 5 Million | 105639 | 23783 | 11948.7 | 7713 | 9337 | 250000000 |

Scalability Graph - For Number of Nodes - 5M ETPS (Edges Traversed per second) vs P

| Р | Work Steal Approach | Centralized Approach | | |
|----|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| 1 | 2366.5502418614 | 1893.2401953824 | | |
| 4 | 10511.710087037 | 7363.2280759885 | | |
| 8 | 20922.778293873 | 14899.0219537087 | | |
| 16 | 32412.8096719824 | 19105.8464845243 | | |
| 32 | 26775.1955660276 | 14245.0143162393 | | |

