## CS614: Advanced Compilers

Alias Analysis

#### **Manas Thakur**

CSE, IIT Bombay



## Remember this example from parallelization?

- ➤ Dependence analysis (while performing loop transformations, instruction scheduling, parallelization, and many others) requires determining whether two variables (e.g. x and y) could **alias**.
- Two variables (or in general, *access paths*) may alias with each other if they *may point to* the same memory location.

# foo() { x = 10; } bar() { print \*y; }

- ➤ Three key phrases here:
  - access paths
  - > may information
  - > points-to relationship



## Memory allocation in Java

- $\rightarrow$  The statement A a = new A();:
  - ➤ allocates an object of class A on the heap;
  - > calls its constructor; and
  - > stores the reference (address) of the object into the reference variable a.
- ➤ We have studied the size and the layout of objects already.

There is no way to allocate objects on stack (in Java source/byte code).

- ➤ Variable a is stored on the stack frame of the containing method.
- ➤ What if a is a class field?
  - ➤ It is part of an object of the enclosing class, and is stored on the heap.



## 1. Access paths for memory locations

- > a points to the new A object
- ➤ a.f points to the new B object
- ➤ a.f.g points to the new C object
- > c points to the new C object too
- ➤ d points to the new A object too
- ➤ e points to the new B object too
- ➤ f points to the new A object
  - ➤ Aiyo, which one?!
- ➤ We need some naming scheme for objects.

```
a = new A();
a.f = new B();
a.f.g = new C();
c = a.f.g;
d = a;
e = a.f;
f = new A();
```



#### Allocation-site abstraction

- ➤ An object-allocation site may instantiate many objects at runtime. How?
  - ➤ Loops.
  - ➤ Method invocations.
- $\triangleright$  Say all the objects allocated at line 1 are called  $O_1$ .
  - ➤ Called the allocation-site abstraction.
  - ➤ O<sub>1</sub> is an abstract object.
- Now we have a finite number of objects in each program,
- ➤ and a name for each object too!
- ➤ If line numbers are not unique, qualify the object with method/class/package/file, etc.

```
1. a = new A(); //O<sub>1</sub>
2. a.f = new B(); //O<sub>2</sub>
3. a.f.g = new C(); //O<sub>3</sub>
4. c = a.f.g;
5. d = a;
6. e = a.f;
7. f = new A(); //O<sub>7</sub>
```



## 2. May versus must information

➤ Which variables may get assigned (some value) in this program?

```
> a, b, c
```

➤ Which variables *must* get assigned in this program?

```
> a
```

- ➤ May analysis: the computed information should hold in at least one execution of the program.
- ➤ Must analysis: the computed information should hold in all the executions of the program.

```
We usually compute may-point-to relationships.
```

if (d) {
 a = ...
b = ...
} else {
 c = ...
}



## 3. Points-to relationships

- ➤ A reference variable on the stack may point to one or more object(s) in its lifetime.
  - Let's store such points-to relationships in a map *Stack*.
- > Similarly, each reference field of a heap object may point to one or more object(s).
  - ➤ Let's store such points-to relationships in a two-level map *Heap*.
- ➤ Note that the points-to values are sets.
- ➤ Thus:

```
Stack[a] = \{0_1\}
Stack[c] = \{0_3\}
Stack[d] = \{0_1\}
Stack[e] = \{0_2\}
Stack[f] = \{0_7\}
```

```
Heap[O_1, f] = \{O_2\}
Heap[O_2, g] = \{O_3\}
```

```
PCQ: Heap[0<sub>7</sub>,f]?
```

```
1. a = new A(); //O<sub>1</sub>
2. a.f = new B(); //O<sub>2</sub>
3. a.f.g = new C(); //O<sub>3</sub>
4. c = a.f.g;
5. d = a;
6. e = a.f;
7. f = new A(); //O<sub>7</sub>
```

➤ In fact, points-to updates after processing each statement can be stored in a database and retrieved using SQL/Datalog queries!



### Intraprocedural updates

```
L: v = new T(); // Alloc
Stack[v] = {0_L}
```

```
v = w; // Copy
Stack[v] = Stack[w]
```

These can also be done using a "points-to graph" — a topic learnt in detail in the COOOL course, but we would see today as well.

```
v = w.f; // Field load
Stack[v] = {}
forall Ow in Stack[w]:
    Stack[v] U= Heap[Ow,f]
```

```
v.f = w; // Field store
forall O<sub>v</sub> in Stack[v]:
Heap[O<sub>v</sub>,f] = Stack[w]
```



#### Practice

➤ What should be Stack[s]?

 $\rightarrow \{0_1, 0_3\}$ 

- ➤ For which all objects X does  $O_{12} \in Heap[X,g]$ ?
  - $\rightarrow$  0<sub>5</sub> and 0<sub>8</sub>

The merge operation is union.

```
1. a = new A();  //O<sub>1</sub>
2. a.f = new B();  //O<sub>2</sub>
3. b = new A();  //O<sub>3</sub>
4. if (*) {
5.   b.f = new B();//O<sub>5</sub>
6.   r = a;
7. } else {
8.   b.f = new B();//O<sub>8</sub>
9.   r = b;
10.}
11. s = r;
12. b.f.g = new A();//O<sub>12</sub>
```



## Flow (in)sensitivity

- ➤ Flow-sensitive results:
  - > Stack[a] = {01} from lines 1-3, {02} afterwards
  - $\rightarrow$  Stack[b] = {02} from lines 2-4; {03} afterwards
  - $\rightarrow$  Stack[c] = {03} from lines 3-5; {02} afterwards
- ➤ Single "summary" at the end:

```
Stack[a] = \{0_1, 0_2\}
Stack[b] = \{0_2, 0_3\}
Stack[c] = \{0_3, 0_2\}
```

➤ Flow-insensitive results:

```
Stack[a] = \{0_1, 0_2, 0_3\}
Stack[b] = \{0_1, 0_2, 0_3\}
Stack[c] = \{0_1, 0_2, 0_3\}
```

```
1. a = new A(); //O_1

2. b = new A(); //O_2

3. c = new A(); //O_3

4. a = b;

5. b = c;

6. c = a;
```

Flow-insensitivity is *very* fast, but loses precision.

