

Energy/Power and DVFS/DFS

- Topics: Energy/Power and DVFS/DFS
- Slide courtesy: Dr. Rajeev Balasubramonian

Power Consumption Trends

- Dyn power \propto activity x capacitance x voltage² x frequency
- Capacitance per transistor and voltage are decreasing, but number of transistors is increasing at a faster rate; hence clock frequency must be kept steady
- Leakage power is also rising; is a function of transistor count, leakage current, and supply voltage
- Power consumption is already between 100-150W in high-performance processors today
- Energy = power x time = (dynpower + lkgpower) x time

Problem 1

- For a processor running at 100% utilization at 100 W, 20% of the power is attributed to leakage. What is the total power dissipation when the processor is running at 50% utilization?

Problem 1

- For a processor running at 100% utilization at 100 W, 20% of the power is attributed to leakage. What is the total power dissipation when the processor is running at 50% utilization?

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Total power} &= \text{dynamic power} + \text{leakage power} \\ &= 80\text{W} \times 50\% + 20\text{W} \\ &= 60\text{W}\end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

- If processor A consumes 1.4x the power of processor B, but finishes the task in 20% less time, which processor would you pick:
 - (a) if you were constrained by power delivery constraints?
 - (b) if you were trying to minimize energy per operation?
 - (c) if you were trying to minimize response times?

Problem 2

- If processor A consumes 1.4x the power of processor B, but finishes the task in 20% less time, which processor would you pick:
 - (a) if you were constrained by power delivery constraints?
Proc-B
 - (b) if you were trying to minimize energy per operation?
Proc-A is $1.4 \times 0.8 = 1.12$ times the energy of Proc-B
 - (c) if you were trying to minimize response times?
Proc-A is faster, but we could scale up the frequency (and power) of Proc-B and match Proc-A's response time (while still doing better in terms of power and energy)

Relation b/w frequency and time

A processor's frequency is

(a) increased by 30%

(b) decreased by 40%

Find the percentage change in execution time.

Answer: (a) New time = $1/130\% = 100/130 = 0.769 = 76.9\%$

Thus, execution time has reduced by 23.1%

(b) new time = $1/60\% = 100/60 = 1.66 = 166.66\%$

Thus, execution time has increased by 66.66%.

Energy/Power Saving Techniques

DFS AND DVFS

Reducing Power and Energy

- **DFS: Dynamic frequency scaling** --
 - Control knob: reduce frequency
 - Result: reduces dynamic power, but increases energy
- **DVFS: Dynamic voltage and frequency scaling**
 - Control knob: reduce frequency and voltage
 - Result: reduces both dynamic and static power and energy
 - voltage drop leads to slow transistors, so frequency of operation is also reduced

Problem 3

- Processor-A at 3 GHz consumes 80 W of dynamic power and 20 W of static power. It completes a program in 20 seconds.
 - A. Find energy of this processor
 - B. Find energy on scaling frequency down by 20%?
 - C. Find energy on scaling freq and voltage down by 20%

Problem 3

- Processor-A at 3 GHz consumes 80 W of dynamic power and 20 W of static power. It completes a program in 20 seconds.

A. Energy = $100 \times 20 = 2000$ Joules

B. Energy on scaling frequency down by 20%

New dynamic power = 64W; New static power = 20W

New execution time = 25 secs (assuming CPU-bound)

Energy = $84 \text{ W} \times 25 \text{ secs} = 2100$ Joules

C. Energy on scaling freq and voltage down by 20%

New dynamic power = 41W; New static power = 16W;

New exec time = 25 secs; Energy = 1425 Joules