

CS6301 MACHINE LEARNING LAB WEEK – 4 MLP

SRIHARI. S – 2018103601

Date: 15-03-2021 Monday

Aim: To experiment on Multilayer Perceptron by varying different hyperparameters and observing which results in better accuracy using two labelled datasets from UCI repository.

Dataset 1: Acute Inflammations Dataset

Url: <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Acute+Inflammations>

Description:

The main idea of this data set is to prepare the algorithm of the expert system, which will perform the presumptive diagnosis of two diseases of urinary system. It will be the example of diagnosing of the acute inflammations of urinary bladder and acute nephritises. Acute inflammation of urinary bladder is characterised by sudden occurrence of pains in the abdomen region and the urination in form of constant urine pushing, micturition pains and sometimes lack of urine keeping. At proper treatment, symptoms decay usually within several days. However, there is inclination to returns. At persons with acute inflammation of urinary bladder, we should expect that the illness will turn into protracted form. It begins fever and is accompanied by shivers and one- or both-side lumbar pains, which are sometimes very strong. Symptoms of acute inflammation of urinary bladder appear very often. Quite not infrequently there are nausea and vomiting and spread pains of whole abdomen. The data was created by a medical expert as a data set to test the expert system, which will perform the presumptive diagnosis of two diseases of urinary system. The basis for rules detection was Rough Sets Theory. Each instance represents a potential patient. The data is in an ASCII file. Attributes are separated by TAB.

Input: The following 6 attributes

- Occurrence of nausea { 0, 1 },
- Lumbar pain { 0, 1},
- Urine pushing { 0, 1},
- Micturition pains { 0, 1},
- Burning of urethra { 0, 1},
- Inflammation of urinary bladder { 0, 1},

File Edit View Language

```

1 temperature»nausea»lumbarpain»urinepushing»pains»burning»inflammation»decision
2 "35,5"»0»1»0»0»0»0»0
3 "35,9"»0»0»1»1»1»1»0
4 "35,9"»0»1»0»0»0»0»0
5 "36,0"»0»0»1»1»1»1»0
6 "36,0"»0»1»0»0»0»0»0
7 "36,0"»0»1»0»0»0»0»0
8 "36,2"»0»0»1»1»1»1»0
9 "36,2"»0»1»0»0»0»0»0
10 "36,3"»0»0»1»1»1»1»0
11 "36,6"»0»0»1»1»1»1»0

```

Output: decision: Nephritis of renal origin { 0, 1}

TABULATION

Dataset	No of neurons in the hidden layers	Activation Function	Learning Rate (eta)	Epochs	Accuracy (%)	Inference
Acute Inflammations	6	Sigmoid	0.1	100	52.09	Initially accuracy is 52.09%
	4	Sigmoid	0.1	100	43.05	When the number of hidden layer nodes is decreased the accuracy drops
	8	Sigmoid	0.0025	50	53.8	When no of hidden layer neurons is increased and learning rate and epochs are reduced Accuracy is increased
	8	Softmax	0.1	50	57.08	When Softmax activation is used accuracy is maximised.

Dataset 2: Iris Dataset

Url: <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/iris>

Description: The **Iris Dataset** contains four features (length and width of sepals and petals) of 50 samples of three species of **Iris** (**Iris setosa**, **Iris virginica** and **Iris versicolor**).

Input: The following 4 attributes

- sepal length in cm,
- sepal width in cm,
- petal length in cm,
- petal width in cm,

jupyter iris_proc.data ✓ 21 hours ago

	File	Edit	View	Language
1	5.1,3.5,1.4,0.2,0			
2	4.9,3.0,1.4,0.2,0			
3	4.7,3.2,1.3,0.2,0			
4	4.6,3.1,1.5,0.2,0			
5	5.0,3.6,1.4,0.2,0			
6	5.4,3.9,1.7,0.4,0			
7	4.6,3.4,1.4,0.3,0			
8	5.0,3.4,1.5,0.2,0			
9	4.4,2.9,1.4,0.2,0			
10	4.9,3.1,1.5,0.1,0			
11	5.4,3.7,1.5,0.2,0			

Output: decision: Multiclass classification among 3 classes of flowers: Iris Setosa, Iris Versicolour, Iris Virginica.

Dataset	No of neurons in the hidden layers	Activation Function	Learning Rate (eta)	Epochs	Accuracy (%)	Inference
Iris	6	Sigmoid	0.1	100	97.29	Initially accuracy is 97.29%
	4	Sigmoid	0.4	100	40.95	When the number of hidden layer nodes is decreased and the learning rate is increased the accuracy drops
	8	Softmax	0.025	5000	91.8	When no of hidden layer neurons and epochs are increased along with softmax activation Accuracy is improves
	8	Softmax	0.1	5000	94.54	When learning rate is increased along with softmax activation accuracy is maximised.

VIVA QUESTIONS:

1. What is the effect of the hyperparameter eta (learning rate) on the model?

While experimenting the effect of learning rate, it was observed that neither a learning rate which is high nor a lower one produced an impressive result. The model was trained using three different learning rates 0.1, 0.4 and 0.025. It is observed that the accuracy was at the maximum when eta = 0.1. While it was considerably lower at 0.4 and 0.025. Hence setting a learning rate between 0.1 and 0.4 is appreciated.

2. What is the effect of increasing the number of hidden layer nodes?

With respect to the two datasets taken, it was duly observed that as we increase the number of hidden layer nodes the accuracy increases. The accuracy reached the maximum of 57% when no. of hidden layer nodes is 12. On further increase the accuracy is observed to be stagnant at 58%. Hence concluding that more the number of hidden layer neurons the better the accuracy (in this case). But after some point it tends to saturate at the maximum value.

3. Which activation function worked better on the model?

The model was trained on 3 different activation functions – Sigmoid, SoftMax and Linear. For the given datasets, it is observed that the Sigmoid activation function produces more accurate results than SoftMax or linear activations.

4. Which approach is better – Increasing the number of hidden layers or the number of nodes in a hidden layer?

In a Multilayer perceptron, increasing the number of hidden layers seems to be the wiser choice. With backpropagation the error is minimized if the no. of hidden layers is more. The minimization of error isn't found to be of a similar extent if we just increase the number of nodes in the hidden layer.

EXPERIMENTATION:

A. Effect of no. of hidden layer nodes

Illustrated from Dataset - 1

When no. of hidden layer nodes = 6

```
Initial:
HiddenW: [0.46737053 0.32610539 0.64210147 0.5228506 0.2780411 0.64322595] [0.08146968 0.98614474 0.15313826 0.80348666 0.272
87831 0.06384024] [0.33377983 0.8161339 0.37509577 0.10450444 0.585775 0.12962218] [0.84653276 0.04444411 0.88551256 0.12684
624 0.69759123 0.94007891] [0.15038777 0.05313697 0.41074944 0.26362925 0.86717925 0.38886812] [0.44087887 0.02456626 0.4136133
7 0.99212135 0.20557317 0.37361811]
OutputW: [0.67366477] [0.11379732] [0.68214481] [0.44020528] [0.64735236] [0.44840657]
HiddenB: [0.22189343 0.79200523 0.1870442 0.43378445 0.16520778 0.07448311]
OutputB: [0.58080474]

Final:
HiddenW: [0.46737052720918937 0.3261053928690044 0.6421014733167366
0.5228506014349665 0.27804109640665153 0.6432259500452587] [0.08146967510382008 0.9861447390304077 0.15313825597293673
0.8034866566923147 0.2728783079747623 0.06384023858813692] [0.3337798308122041 0.8161338961527351 0.375095768531604
0.1045044448724383 0.5857750033418904 0.12962217804693832] [0.8465327575883126 0.04444410597751147 0.8855125590480672
0.12684624143408674 0.6975912349968553 0.940078906892575] [0.15038777283954008 0.053136971906522 0.41074944375709466
0.2636292504457275 0.8671792527212371 0.3888681225486855] [0.44087887253601465 0.024566261696141845 0.4136133746068472
0.992121345759018 0.2055731745919367 0.3736181098258472]
OutputW: [0.67366477] [0.11379732] [0.68214481] [0.44020528] [0.64735236] [0.44840657]
HiddenB: [0.22189343 0.79200523 0.1870442 0.43378445 0.16520778 0.07448311]
OutputB: [0.58080474]
```

```
Predicted Value of Y: [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
Expected Value of Y: [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
Accuracy = 52.916666666666664 %
Recall = 0.325
Precision = 0.4166666666666667
```

When no of hidden layer nodes = 4

```
Initial:
HiddenW: [0.10486589 0.00475398 0.49845734 0.97078858] [0.96353931 0.26720761 0.14463688 0.72478569] [0.03302638 0.51421961 0.5
9553732 0.94689796] [0.47740976 0.25652889 0.1447705 0.12224321] [0.33699347 0.00244102 0.74301772 0.44327337] [0.29512366 0.7
8434709 0.01456419 0.42343647]
OutputW: [0.23603216] [0.3686501] [0.98155441] [0.11455933]
HiddenB: [0.05336223 0.52752456 0.26043619 0.70096266]
OutputB: [0.30746993]

Final:
HiddenW: [0.10486588878747438 0.004753982831310455 0.49845734231203964
0.9707885762468413] [0.9635393132426979 0.26720760591725523 0.14463688024489263
0.7247856871461777] [0.033026383847891916 0.5142196081700298 0.5955373199953556
0.9468979599210711] [0.4774097523415463 0.25652888713403477 0.14477050130925895
0.12224320762022156] [0.3369934670438518 0.0024410215498328336 0.7430177186228925
0.4432733711252238] [0.29512366216552455 0.7843470917137284 0.014564192591496772
0.423436473289017]
OutputW: [0.23603216] [0.3686501] [0.98155441] [0.11455933]
HiddenB: [0.05336223 0.52752456 0.26043619 0.70096266]
OutputB: [0.30746993]
```

```
Predicted Value of Y: [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
Expected Value of Y: [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
Accuracy = 43.055555555555556 %
Recall = 0.9166666666666666
Precision = 0.4166666666666667
```

When no. of hidden layer nodes = 8

```

Initial:
HiddenW: [0.52113332 0.69672239 0.0481251 0.36627131 0.04919404 0.95640331
0.24374593 0.61485313] [0.74847152 0.97846479 0.66685576 0.74361684 0.65795176 0.77969315
0.99724443 0.09359119] [0.32297078 0.7219364 0.28765601 0.31494942 0.97149863 0.13768391
0.05146214 0.95213362] [0.79434009 0.89079386 0.17006882 0.08243361 0.5379732 0.90570966
0.90705052 0.10653489] [0.95881731 0.32438906 0.57974572 0.56224188 0.97707219 0.75961122
0.40804178 0.65215237] [0.56941702 0.19856479 0.80770734 0.99720451 0.7861559 0.35336204
0.04599711 0.62373123]
OutputW: [0.59002125] [0.15536256] [0.71605378] [0.17068063] [0.83662483] [0.29081319] [0.96852818] [0.79560823]
HiddenB: [0.39220734 0.67326825 0.2227368 0.18915635 0.53809742 0.58543378
0.42597209 0.45989145]
OutputB: [0.83586633]

Final:
HiddenW: [0.5211333218304788 0.6967223908750331 0.04812510480638821
0.36627130591260326 0.04919403842770653 0.9564033130075997
0.2437459276108106 0.6148531321582815] [0.7484715185383662 0.9784647906665175 0.6668557572236017
0.7436168412537234 0.6579517609472271 0.7796931532647176
0.9972444281029884 0.09359119214036893] [0.32297078119823197 0.7219364044704759 0.28765600849943895
0.3149494239731654 0.9714986317422118 0.13768391000354185
0.05146214373228619 0.952133616526254] [0.7943400854778193 0.8907938567305937 0.1700688221447968
0.08243360556066337 0.5379731951613618 0.9057096572844452
0.907050522809205 0.10653489450637987] [0.9588173083967793 0.3243890630522033 0.5797457165416643
0.5622418849602633 0.9770721868543508 0.7596112239796414
0.40804178147464554 0.6521523668320899] [0.5694170246992304 0.19856479458821885 0.8077073371304012
0.9972045123679127 0.7861559035677493 0.3533620433768193
0.04599711187640709 0.6237312300370585]
OutputW: [0.59002125] [0.15536256] [0.71605378] [0.17068063] [0.83662483] [0.29081319] [0.96852818] [0.79560823]
HiddenB: [0.39220734 0.67326825 0.2227368 0.18915635 0.53809742 0.58543378
0.42597209 0.45989145]
OutputB: [0.83586633]

Predicted Value of Y: [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
Expected Value of Y: [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1]
Accuracy = 57.08333333333333 %
Recall = 0.075
Precision = 0.4166666666666667

```

We see that as the number of hidden layer nodes increases the accuracy improves.

B. Effect of Activation Function

Illustrated using Dataset – 2

When **Sigmoid** Activation Function is applied

```

3
Iteration: 0 Error: 0.014361966164698895
Confusion matrix is:
[[15.  0.  0.]
 [ 0. 11.  0.]
 [ 0.  0. 11.]]
Percentage Correct: 100.0

```

When **Softmax** Activation Function is applied

97

Iteration: 0 Error: 0.07875221023048719

Confusion matrix is:

```
[[14.  0.  0.]
 [ 0.  8.  1.]
 [ 0.  2. 12.]]
```

Percentage Correct: 91.8918918918919

When **linear** Activation Function is applied

74

Iteration: 0 Error: 0.500438720386741

Confusion matrix is:

```
[[13.  0.  0.]
 [ 0. 14.  0.]
 [ 0.  2.  8.]]
```

Percentage Correct: 94.5945945945946

We can see that the sigmoid activation function converges quickly producing better accuracy, while the softmax and linear activations aren't as accurate and take more time to converge.

C. Effect of the number of epochs

Illustrated using Dataset – 1

When no of epochs = 100

```
Predicted Value of Y: [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
Expected Value of Y:  [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
```

Accuracy = 52.916666666666664 %
Recall = 0.325
Precision = 0.4166666666666667

When no of epochs = 50


```

Predicted Value of Y: [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.]
Expected Value of Y: [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
Accuracy = 48.61111111111111 %
Recall = 0.5833333333333334
Precision = 0.4166666666666667

```

When no of epochs = 5000

```

Predicted Value of Y: [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
Expected Value of Y: [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
Accuracy = 47.22222222222222 %
Recall = 0.6666666666666666
Precision = 0.4166666666666667

```

Though we can see that the accuracy improves as the number of epochs are increased from 50 to 100. But it is also necessary to see that increasing the no. of epochs doesn't necessarily mean better accuracy as we see a dip in accuracy when we trained for 5000 epochs.

D. Effect of Learning rate

Illustrated using dataset-2

When eta = 0.1

Confusion matrix is:

```

[[12.  0.  0.]
 [ 0. 13.  1.]
 [ 0.  0. 11.]]

```

Percentage Correct: 97.2972972972973

When eta = 0.4

Confusion matrix is:

```

[[15. 14.  8.]
 [ 0.  0.  0.]
 [ 0.  0.  0.]]

```

Percentage Correct: 40.54054054054054

When eta = 0.025

```
Confusion matrix is:
[[10.  0.  0.]
 [ 0. 15.  0.]
 [ 0.  2. 10.]]
Percentage Correct: 94.5945945945946
```

Neither a learning rate which is high nor a lower one produced an impressive result. The model was trained using three different learning rates 0.1, 0.4 and 0.025. It is observed that the accuracy was at the maximum when $\eta = 0.1$. While it was considerably lower at 0.4 and 0.025. Hence setting a learning rate between 0.1 and 0.4 is appreciated.

CODE FOR DATASET – 1

```
import numpy as np    # for math functions
import pandas as pd   # for importing and using datasets
import random         # for generating random weights

def load_data(filename, target):
    dataset = pd.read_csv("dataset/"+filename, sep="\t") # read .csv file
    print(dataset, "\n")
    x = np.array(dataset.drop([target],1))
    y = np.array(dataset[target]) # y contains the target class
    print("\nX = \n",x)
    print("\nY = \n",y)
    print("\n\n")
    return (dataset,x,y,target)

inp = load_data("diagnosis-data.csv","decision")
# x_data = add_initial_column(inp[1],-1)
x_data = np.array(inp[1])
y_data = np.array([inp[2]])
y_data = np.transpose(y_data)
x_data = x_data[:,1:]
print(x_data, "\n")
print(y_data)

def activation_func(x):
```

```
return sigmoid(x)
```

```
def sigmoid(x):  
    # print("Exp: ", np.exp(-x))  
    x = np.array(x, dtype=int)  
    return 1/(1 + np.exp(-x))
```

```
def sign(x):  
    res = 0 if x <= 0 else 1  
    # print(res)  
    return res
```

```
def sigmoid_deriv(x):  
    x = np.array(x, dtype=int)  
    return x * ( 1 - x)
```

```
class MLP_single_hidden():
```

```
    def __init__(self, numI, numH, numO, x_data, y_data):  
        self.numI = numI  
        self.numH = numH  
        self.numO = numO  
        self.x = x_data  
        self.y = y_data  
        self.epochs = 5000  
        self.lr = 0.1  
        self.tp = 0  
        self.tn = 0  
        self.fp = 0  
        self.fn = 0  
        self.cost_array = []  
        self.initialize_weights()  
        self.initialize_bias()
```

```
    def initialize_weights(self):  
        self.hiddenW = np.random.uniform(size=(self.numI, self.numH))  
        self.outputW = np.random.uniform(size=(self.numH, self.numO))
```

```
    def initialize_bias(self):  
        self.hiddenB = np.random.uniform(size=(1,self.numH))  
        self.outputB = np.random.uniform(size=(1,self.numO))
```

```

def forward_propagation(self):
    self.hidden_layer_activation = np.dot(self.x, self.hiddenW)
    self.hidden_layer_activation += self.hiddenB
    self.hidden_layer_output = sigmoid(self.hidden_layer_activation)

    self.output_layer_activation = np.dot(self.hidden_layer_output,
self.outputW)
    self.output_layer_activation += self.outputB
    self.y_predict = sigmoid(self.output_layer_activation)

def backward_propagation(self):
    self.error = ((self.y - self.y_predict)) #**2).mean()
    self.d_y_predict = self.error * sigmoid_deriv(self.y_predict)

    self.error_hidden_layer = self.d_y_predict.dot(self.outputW.T)
    self.d_hidden_layer =
self.error_hidden_layer*sigmoid_deriv(self.hidden_layer_output)

def update_weights(self):
    self.outputW =
(self.outputW)+((self.hidden_layer_output.T.dot(self.d_y_predict))* self.lr)
    self.hiddenW = (self.hiddenW + (self.x.T.dot(self.d_hidden_layer)) * self.lr
)

def update_bias(self):
    self.outputB = self.outputB + (np.sum(self.d_y_predict, axis=0,
keepdims=True) * self.lr)
    self.hiddenB = self.hiddenB + (np.sum(self.d_hidden_layer, axis=0,
keepdims=True) * self.lr)

def train(self):
    for i in range(self.epochs):
        self.forward_propagation()
        self.backward_propagation()
        self.update_weights()
        self.update_bias()
        self.cost_array.append(self.error)

```

```

def print_weights(self):
    print("HiddenW: ",end="")
    print(*self.hiddenW)
    print("OutputW: ",end="")
    print(*self.outputW)

def print_bias(self):
    print("HiddenB: ",end="")
    print(*self.hiddenB)
    print("OutputB: ",end="")
    print(*self.outputB)

def print_y_predict(self):
    print("\n\nPredicted Value of Y: ", end="")
    print(*self.y_predict)
    print("Expected Value of Y: ", end="")
    print(*self.y)

    for i in self.y_predict:
        for j in self.y:
            if i==1 and j==1:
                self.tp += 1
            elif i == 0 and j == 0:
                self.tn += 1
            elif i == 1 and j == 0:
                self.fp += 1
            else:
                self.fn += 1

    print("Accuracy = ",((self.tp+self.tn)/(self.tp+self.tn+self.fp+self.fn))*100,"%")
    print("Recall = ",(self.tp)/(self.tp+self.fn))
    print("Precision = ",(self.tp)/(self.tp+self.fp))

def apply_threshold(self):
    for i in range(self.y_predict.size):
        if self.y_predict[i][0] < 0.5:
            self.y_predict[i][0] = 0
        else:

```

```

        self.y_predict[i][0] = 1

XOR = MLP_single_hidden(6,8,1,x_data,y_data)

print("Initial: ")
XOR.print_weights()
XOR.print_bias()

XOR.train()

print("\nFinal: ")
XOR.print_weights()
XOR.print_bias()
XOR.apply_threshold()
XOR.print_y_predict()

```

OUTPUT

```

Predicted Value of Y: [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.]
[0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.]
[1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.]
[1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.] [0.] [0.] [1.] [1.]
Expected Value of Y: [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0] [0]
[0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1]
[0] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1] [0] [1] [1] [1] [1]
Accuracy = 50.0 %
Recall = 0.5
Precision = 0.4166666666666667

```

CODE FOR DATASET – 2

```

import numpy as np
class mlp:
def __init__(self,inputs,target,nhidden,beta=1,momentum=0.9,outtype='logistic'):
    """ Constructor """
    # Set up network size
    self.nin = np.shape(inputs)[1]
    self.nout = np.shape(target)[1]
    self.ndata = np.shape(inputs)[0]

```

```

self.nhidden = nhidden

self.beta = beta
self.momentum = momentum
self.outtype = outtype

# Initialise network
self.weights1 = (np.random.rand(self.nin+1,self.nhidden)-
0.5)*2/np.sqrt(self.nin)
self.weights2 = (np.random.rand(self.nhidden+1,self.nout)-
0.5)*2/np.sqrt(self.nhidden)

def earlystopping(self,inputs,targets,valid,validtargets,eta,niterations=100):

    valid = np.concatenate((valid,-np.ones((np.shape(valid)[0],1))),axis=1)

    old_val_error1 = 100002
    old_val_error2 = 100001
    new_val_error = 100000

    count = 0
    while (((old_val_error1 - new_val_error) > 0.001) or ((old_val_error2 -
old_val_error1)>0.001)):
        count+=1
        print(count)
        self.mlptrain(inputs,targets,eta,niterations)
        old_val_error2 = old_val_error1
        old_val_error1 = new_val_error
        validout = self.mlpfwd(valid)
        new_val_error = 0.5*np.sum((validtargets-validout)**2)

    #print("Stopped", new_val_error,old_val_error1, old_val_error2)
    return new_val_error

def mlptrain(self,inputs,targets,eta,niterations):
    """ Train the thing """
    # Add the inputs that match the bias node
    inputs = np.concatenate((inputs,-np.ones((self.ndata,1))),axis=1)
    change = range(self.ndata)

```

```

updatew1 = np.zeros((np.shape(self.weights1)))
updatew2 = np.zeros((np.shape(self.weights2)))

for n in range(niterations):

    self.outputs = self.mlpfwd(inputs)

    error = 0.5*np.sum((self.outputs-targets)**2)
    if (np.mod(n,100)==0):
        print("Iteration: ",n, " Error: ",error)

    # Different types of output neurons
    if self.outtype == 'linear':
        deltao = (self.outputs-targets)/self.ndata
    elif self.outtype == 'logistic':
        deltao = self.beta*(self.outputs-targets)*self.outputs*(1.0-
self.outputs)
    elif self.outtype == 'softmax':
        deltao = (self.outputs-targets)*(self.outputs*(-
self.outputs)+self.outputs)/self.ndata
    else:
        print("error")

    deltah = self.hidden*self.beta*(1.0-
self.hidden)*(np.dot(deltao,np.transpose(self.weights2)))

    updatew1 = eta*(np.dot(np.transpose(inputs),deltah[:, :-1])) +
self.momentum*updatew1
    updatew2 = eta*(np.dot(np.transpose(self.hidden),deltao)) +
self.momentum*updatew2
    self.weights1 -= updatew1
    self.weights2 -= updatew2

def mlpfwd(self,inputs):
    """ Run the network forward """

    self.hidden = np.dot(inputs,self.weights1);
    self.hidden = 1.0/(1.0+np.exp(-self.beta*self.hidden))
    self.hidden = np.concatenate((self.hidden,-
np.ones((np.shape(inputs)[0],1))),axis=1)

```



```

outputs = np.dot(self.hidden,self.weights2);

# Different types of output neurons
if self.outtype == 'linear':
    return outputs
elif self.outtype == 'logistic':
    return 1.0/(1.0+np.exp(-self.beta*outputs))
elif self.outtype == 'softmax':
    normalisers =
np.sum(np.exp(outputs),axis=1)*np.ones((1,np.shape(outputs)[0]))
    return np.transpose(np.transpose(np.exp(outputs))/normalisers)
else:
    print("error")

def confmat(self,inputs,targets):
    """Confusion matrix"""

    # Add the inputs that match the bias node
    inputs = np.concatenate((inputs,-np.ones((np.shape(inputs)[0],1))),axis=1)
    outputs = self.mlpfwd(inputs)

    nclasses = np.shape(targets)[1]

    if nclasses==1:
        nclasses = 2
        outputs = np.where(outputs>0.5,1,0)
    else:
        # 1-of-N encoding
        outputs = np.argmax(outputs,1)
        targets = np.argmax(targets,1)

    cm = np.zeros((nclasses,nclasses))
    for i in range(nclasses):
        for j in range(nclasses):
            cm[i,j] = np.sum(np.where(outputs==i,1,0)*np.where(targets==j,1,0))

    print("Confusion matrix is:")
    print(cm)
    print("Percentage Correct: ",np.trace(cm)/np.sum(cm)*100)

```

```
def preprocessIris(infile,outfile):
```

```
    stext1 = 'Iris-setosa'  
    stext2 = 'Iris-versicolor'  
    stext3 = 'Iris-virginica'  
    rtext1 = '0'  
    rtext2 = '1'  
    rtext3 = '2'
```

```
    fid = open(infile,"r")  
    oid = open(outfile,"w")
```

```
    for s in fid:  
        if s.find(stext1)>-1:  
            oid.write(s.replace(stext1, rtext1))  
        elif s.find(stext2)>-1:  
            oid.write(s.replace(stext2, rtext2))  
        elif s.find(stext3)>-1:  
            oid.write(s.replace(stext3, rtext3))  
    fid.close()  
    oid.close()
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
iris = np.loadtxt('dataset/iris_proc.data',delimiter=',')  
iris[:,4] = iris[:,4]-iris[:,4].mean(axis=0)  
imax =  
np.concatenate((iris.max(axis=0)*np.ones((1,5)),np.abs(iris.min(axis=0)*np.ones((1,5)))),axis=0).max(axis=0)  
iris[:,4] = iris[:,4]/imax[:4]  
print(iris[0:5,:])
```

```
# Split into training, validation, and test sets
```

```
target = np.zeros((np.shape(iris)[0],3));  
indices = np.where(iris[:,4]==0)  
target[indices,0] = 1  
indices = np.where(iris[:,4]==1)  
target[indices,1] = 1  
indices = np.where(iris[:,4]==2)
```

```
target[indices,2] = 1
```

```
order = list(range(np.shape(iris)[0]))
```

```
np.random.shuffle(order)
```

```
iris = iris[order,:]
```

```
target = target[order,:]
```

```
train = iris[:,2,0:4]
```

```
traint = target[:,2]
```

```
valid = iris[1::4,0:4]
```

```
validt = target[1::4]
```

```
test = iris[3::4,0:4]
```

```
testt = target[3::4]
```

```
net = mlp(train,traint,4,outtype='logistic')
```

```
net.earlystopping(train,traint,valid,validt,0.025)
```

```
net.confmat(test,testt)
```

OUTPUT

```
[[-0.36142626  0.33135215 -0.7508489  -0.76741803  0.         ]
 [-0.45867099 -0.04011887 -0.7508489  -0.76741803  0.         ]
 [-0.55591572  0.10846954 -0.78268251 -0.76741803  0.         ]
 [-0.60453809  0.03417533 -0.71901528 -0.76741803  0.         ]
 [-0.41004862  0.40564636 -0.7508489  -0.76741803  0.         ]]
```

```
1
```

```
Iteration: 0 Error: 26.18596967951238
```

```
2
```

```
Iteration: 0 Error: 0.29392039840562867
```

```
3
```

```
Iteration: 0 Error: 0.19687826637173314
```

```
4
```

```
Iteration: 0 Error: 0.13901872119146352
```

```
5
```

```
Iteration: 0 Error: 0.1023685860773854
```

```
Confusion matrix is:
```

```
[[11.  0.  0.]
```

```
 [ 0. 11.  0.]
```

```
 [ 0.  1. 14.]]
```

```
Percentage Correct: 97.2972972972973
```