Variable selection using Backward Elimination Method

Srikar

2021-09-09

Introduction:

<u>Multiple regression</u> is a statistical technique that can be used to analyze the relationship b etween a single dependent variable and several independent variables. The objective of m ultiple regression analysis is to use the independent variables whose values are known to predict the value of the single dependent value. Each predictor value is weighed, the weights denoting their relative contribution to the overall prediction.

<u>R-squared (R2)</u> is a statistical measure that represents the proportion of the variance for a dependent variable that's explained by an independent variable.

<u>The P value</u> is defined as the probability under the assumption of no effect or no difference (null hypothesis), of obtaining a result equal to or more extreme than what was actually observed. The P stands for probability and measures how likely it is that any observed difference between groups is due to chance.

<u>Backward elimination</u> (or backward deletion) is the reverse process. All the independent variables are entered into the equation first and each one is deleted one at a time if they d o not contribute to the regression equation.

Objective:

- 1) To test the regressors using backward elimation method
- 2) To predict the weight of the fish using the given regressors

Data Description:

This dataset is a record of a certain type of fish known as Perch Fish. It contains 5 independent variables and 1 dependent variable i.e., the weight of the fish (in grams). The dependent variables are type of species, vertical length (in cms), diagonal length (in cms), cross length (cms), height and width (in cms), The dataset contains a sample of 50 fishes in a market.

```
library(readxl)
dat<- read_excel("C:/Users/Srikar/Desktop/SS/R/Sem 5/Linear Regression/Practi
cal 5/Data.xlsx")
head(dat,10)</pre>
```

	Length1	Length2	Length3	Height	Width	Weight
	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
1	23.2	25.4	30	11.5	4.02	242
2	24	26.3	31.2	12.5	4.31	290
3	23.9	26.5	31.1	12.4	4.7	340
4	26.3	29	33.5	12.7	4.46	363
5	26.5	29	34	12.4	5.13	430
6	26.8	29.7	34.7	13.6	4.93	450
7	26.8	29.7	34.5	14.2	5.28	500
8	27.6	30	35	12.7	4.69	390
9	27.6	30	35.1	14	4.84	450
10	28.5	30.7	36.2	14.2	4.96	500

names(dat)

[1] "Length1" "Length2" "Length3" "Height" "Width" "Weight"

Here the column names represent the following:

Length1 - Vertical Length

Length2 - Diagonal Length

Length3 - cross Length

height - Height

Width – Width

Procedure:

1) Constructing the regression model

```
mod=lm(dat$Weight~.,data=dat)
```

The model obtained is:

```
Y=-515.24+10.53X1+102.73X2+-98.25X3+49.49X4+79.76X5
```

where X1,X2,X3,X4 and X5 are Length1,Length2,Length3,height and Width respect ively.

```
summary(mod)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = dat$Weight ~ ., data = dat)
```

##

		Residuals ¹		
Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-170.655	-32.194	-8.474	33.177	176.274

##

		Regresssio	n Coefficie		
	Estimate	Std.Error	t-value	P-value	Significance Code
(Intercept)	-515.24	92.19	-5.589	1.35E-06	***
Length1	10.53	47.25	0.223	0.824699	
Length2	102.73	50.01	2.054	0.045928	*
Length3	-98.25	26.95	-3.645	0.000702	***
Height	49.49	15.44	3.205	0.002515	**
Width	79.76	39.23	2.033	0.048072	*

```
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 62.94 on 45 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9574, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9527
## F-statistic: 202.5 on 5 and 45 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

We see that that range of residuals is large which means that values (in grams) will differ from the observed value.

We observe that the intercept p-value is below the significance value (0.05) and hence we can say that the intercept is significant in the prediction. This means that if the values of t

he regressors were all zero, the intercept would tell us the mean estimate of the dependent variable (Weight). Since height, width and length of fish can't be 0, the intercept value has no real meaning.

The p-values of all the regressors except length1 show significance. That means these var iables describe the linear relationship with the independent variable. Since most of the var iables show significance, we can say that model is a good fit. Also the overall p-value is a lso lesser than significance level (0.05) and hence we can say that the model is a good fit.

The R-squared value 0.9574 which means that 95.74% of the variation is explained by the regressors. The adjusted R-Squared shows the variation explained by the regressors that truly contribute to the known variation.

To find out which variables really contribute to the model, we can test it out by backward elimination method

#2)Using backward elimination to find the best regressors

```
step(mod, direction="backward")
## Start: AIC=428.12
## dat$Weight ~ Length1 + Length2 + Length3 + Height + Width
##
##
                Df Sum of Sq
                                    RSS
                                             AIC
## - Length1 1 201 178452 426.17
## <none>
                                178251 428.12
## - Width 1 16750 195001 430.70

## - Length2 1 17094 195345 430.79

## - Height 1 41615 219865 436.82

## - Length3 1 53836 232086 439.58
##
## Step: AIC=426.17
## dat$Weight ~ Length2 + Length3 + Height + Width
##
                Df Sum of Sq
##
                                    RSS
                                             AIC
                                178452 426.17
## <none>
## - Width
                 1
                         17835 196286 429.03
## - Height 1
## - Length3 1
## - Length2 1
                         48361 226813 436.40
                         55040 233492 437.88
                         74915 253367 442.05
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = dat$Weight ~ Length2 + Length3 + Height + Width,
## data = dat)
##
```

	Regression Coefficient Table of New Model:				
(Intercept)	Length2 Length3 Height		Width		
-521.02	112.24	-96.94	47.98	76.55	

#We observe that only Width, lenght2 and height and length3 truly give the estimate values. Using only these variables and excluding length1, we will construct a new model.

```
nmod=lm(dat$Weight~dat$Length2+dat$Length3+dat$Height+dat$Width)
```

The new model obtained is:

```
Y=-521.02+112.24X1+-96.94X2+47.98X3+ 76.55X4
```

where X1,X2,X3 and X4 are Length2,Length3,height and Width respectively.

```
summary(nmod)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = dat$Weight ~ dat$Length2 + dat$Length3 + dat$Height +
## dat$Width)
##
```

Residuals:					
Min	1Q	Median 3Q		Max	
-170.655	-32.194	-8.474	33.177	176.274	

	Regresssio	n Coefficie	nts Table		
Estimate	Std. Error t-value F			P-Value	Significance Code
(Intercept)	-521.02	87.53	-5.953	3.67E-07	***
dat\$Length2	112.24	25.82	4.346	7.81E-05	***
dat\$Length3	-96.94	26.02	-3.726	0.000542	***
dat\$Height	47.98	13.74	3.492	0.001086	**
dat\$Width	76.55	36.1	2.121	0.039492	*

```
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 62.28 on 46 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9574, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9537
## F-statistic: 258.5 on 4 and 46 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

We see that all variables are now significant. The r-squared has also increased since we removed an insignificant factor.

#3) Constructing the test data for prediction

```
set.seed(123)
x1<-rnorm(50,29.41)
x2=rnorm(50,33.846)
x3=rnorm(50,12.47)
x4<-rnorm(50,4.80)
df<-data.frame(x1,x2,x3,x4)

A=predict(nmod,df)
## Warning: 'newdata' had 50 rows but variables found have 51 rows
df1=data.frame(dat$Weight,A,dat$Weight-A)
head(df1,10)</pre>
```

	Observed	Estimated	Difference
1	242	282.1336	-40.13365
2	290	334.7471	-44.74712
3	340	391.8784	-51.87838
4	363	438.2986	-75.29863
5	430	428.045	1.954956
6	450	478.5225	-28.52254
7	500	552.4795	-52.47949
8	390	420.1983	-30.19833
9	450	486.3316	-36.33162
10	500	477.7511	22.248854

From this table, we can compare the observed and expected values are close to each other . As the R-squared value explains only 95.36 of the variation. The rest of the variation is explained by chance or unknow causes.

Conclusion:

The newly obtained model which is the best fit for predicting the weight of the fish is

Y=-521.02+112.24X1+-96.94X2+47.98X3+ 76.55X4 with an R-squared value of 95.36 of the known variation.