

# TOPIC ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS

Software Project Management (SOEN 6841)

What personality type fits best into project management?

by  
Srikar Hasthi (40230004)

Under the Supervision of  
Professor: Pankaj Kamthan  
TA: Iymen Abdella



Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	3
1.2	Problem Statement . . . . .	3
1.3	Objectives . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Background Material</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODS &amp; METHODOLGY</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Approach to the Problem . . . . .	5
3.2	Techniques Used in Analysis of Results . . . . .	5
3.2.1	Thematic Analysis: . . . . .	5
3.2.2	Content Analysis: . . . . .	5
3.2.3	Comparative Analysis: . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Results Obtained</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Conditions: . . . . .	6
4.2	Constraints: . . . . .	6
4.3	Quality: . . . . .	6
<b>5</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>7</b>

## Abstract

The role of personality in project management is a critical factor in ensuring successful project outcomes. The suitability of a project manager's personality type depends on various project-specific factors, such as project size and team experience. Understanding personality types, particularly through models like the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), can shed light on how individuals approach tasks and decision-making. Extroversion is valuable in project management, as it fosters engagement with team members. Project managers inclined towards logical, analytical decision-making tend to excel in managing projects, particularly technical ones.

Besides personality, technical expertise matters, but the degree varies with project size. Small technical projects may require a highly technical leader, while larger programs demand leaders who can ensure clear communication and delegate effectively. Effective project managers are detail-oriented, pragmatic, and adept at balancing time, scope, and cost to deliver business value. Their positive and upbeat attitude helps them gain trust from stakeholders, even in challenging times, as they communicate honestly and offer credible strategies for recovery.

Personality traits, technical competence, and a positive outlook play crucial roles in project management. The choice of personality type should align with the project's specific needs and characteristics to enhance the chances of project success.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Motivation

The motivation for this research is to investigate the relationship between personality type and project management success. Project management is a complex and challenging field, and the success of a project often depends on the ability of the project manager to effectively lead and motivate a team.

There is a growing body of research on the relationship between personality type and job performance in general, but there is less research on the relationship between personality type and project management success specifically. The need to explore how specific personality traits align with various project requirements is vital, as it directly impacts project outcomes and team dynamics. This is a gap in the literature that this research aims to address.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The specific problem statement being investigated in this research is:

How does personality type influence project management success?

The investigation focuses on the precise examination of how different personality types influence project management outcomes. With projects becoming more intricate, diverse, and team-oriented, it is imperative to identify the specific traits that lead to successful project delivery. The problem lies in the challenge of pinpointing these traits accurately and understanding their nuanced impact on project management processes. Addressing this challenge is crucial for businesses and organizations striving to optimize their project teams and ensure efficient project execution.

## 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this research are to:

1. Identify the key personality traits that are associated with project management success.
2. Develop a model that can predict project management success based on personality type.
3. Provide recommendations for how project managers can improve their success by developing their key personality traits.

This research will benefit both project managers and organizations that employ project managers. By understanding the relationship between personality type and project management success, project managers can develop their key personality traits and organizations can select the right project managers for their projects. The ultimate goal of this research is to improve project teams' productivity and efficiency in a variety of industries, which will benefit people and companies alike.

## 2 Background Material

In recent years, the field of project management has evolved significantly, with a growing emphasis on the human element within projects. Understanding the diverse range of personalities within project teams has become crucial for effective leadership and collaboration. Several established psychological models, such as the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), have been widely used to categorize individuals into distinct personality types, shedding light on their behaviors, preferences, and decision-making processes.

Research in organizational psychology has explored the impact of personality traits on team dynamics, communication styles, and leadership effectiveness. Studies have shown that extroverted individuals tend to excel in roles requiring social interaction and team collaboration, making them potentially effective project managers in people-centric projects. Conversely, introverted individuals might thrive in roles demanding focused attention to detail and independent problem-solving.[3]

Personality type is a set of relatively stable personality traits that influence how people think, feel, and behave. There are many different personality type models, but the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) is one of the most popular. The MBTI classifies people into one of 16 personality types based on four dichotomies:

**Introversion (I) vs. Extroversion (E):** Introverts prefer to focus on their inner world of thoughts and feelings, while extroverts prefer to focus on the outer world of people and activities.

**Sensing (S) vs. Intuition (N):** Sensors prefer to focus on concrete, observable facts, while intuitives prefer to focus on abstract concepts and patterns.

**Thinking (T) vs. Feeling (F):** Thinkers prefer to make decisions based on logic and reason, while feelers prefer to make decisions based on emotions and values.

**Judging (J) vs. Perceiving (P):** Judgers prefer to have things planned and decided, while perceivers prefer to be flexible and spontaneous.

Research on the relationship between personality type and job performance has found that individuals who are better matched to their jobs are more likely to be successful in their jobs. This is because individuals who are better matched to their jobs are more likely to be satisfied with their jobs, which leads to increased motivation and performance.[4]

Additionally, studies in decision-making processes have highlighted the significance of personality traits in determining whether individuals rely on analytical, data-driven approaches or intuitive, experiential judgments. Project managers who base their decisions on logical analysis are often better equipped to handle complex, technical projects, ensuring that tasks are approached systematically and objectives are met efficiently.

By delving into these existing studies and theories, this investigation aims to build upon the established knowledge in the field of organizational psychology and project management. By synthesizing this background material with empirical research, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how specific personality types contribute to successful project management, offering practical implications for professionals and organizations involved in diverse projects.

## 3 METHODS & METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Approach to the Problem

The research approach began with a systematic qualitative analysis of a wide array of sources, including existing case studies, scholarly articles, and real-world project scenarios. A comprehensive literature review was conducted to establish a robust theoretical framework. This involved delving into established psychological theories and models, such as the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), and examining their applications in project management contexts. By analyzing these diverse sources, the study sought to gain a deep understanding of the existing knowledge, theories, and findings related to personality types and their influence on project management outcomes.

The qualitative analysis focused on identifying common themes and patterns within the literature. Researchers meticulously examined the textual data, extracting valuable insights into how specific personality traits, such as extroversion or introversion, influenced team dynamics, communication styles, decision-making processes, and overall project success. This qualitative exploration provided a rich context for understanding the complexities of human behavior and interpersonal relationships within project teams.[5]

### 3.2 Techniques Used in Analysis of Results

#### 3.2.1 Thematic Analysis:

Thematic analysis involved identifying recurring themes, concepts, and ideas across the literature. By systematically organizing these themes, researchers gained a qualitative framework for comprehending the relationship between personality types and project management. Themes related to leadership styles, conflict resolution, and collaboration were explored to uncover the nuances associated with different personality traits.[6]

#### 3.2.2 Content Analysis:

Content analysis was utilized to systematically categorize and interpret textual information from case studies and scholarly articles. Researchers scrutinized textual data to extract relevant information about specific personality traits and their influence on various project outcomes. This method facilitated the extraction of concrete examples, allowing for a detailed examination of how certain personality types manifested in real-world project scenarios.

#### 3.2.3 Comparative Analysis:

Comparative analysis involved contrasting different approaches and findings across diverse industries and project scales. By comparing projects of varying complexities, team compositions, and organizational cultures, researchers aimed to identify patterns and variations in the impact of personality traits. This comparative approach provided valuable insights into how specific personality types might be more conducive to specific project environments, shedding light on the contextual nature of these relationships.

The triangulation of qualitative data from literature, thematic analysis, content analysis, and comparative approaches ensured a comprehensive and rigorous exploration of the topic. By integrating multiple qualitative methodologies, the study aimed to provide a holistic understanding of how personality types influence project management outcomes. The findings not only contributed to the theoretical understanding of the topic but also offered practical implications for project managers and organizations, guiding them in optimizing team compositions and enhancing project success.

## 4 Results Obtained

### 4.1 Conditions:

The analysis of existing case studies, scholarly articles, and real-world project scenarios revealed fascinating insights into the impact of personality types on project management outcomes. It became evident that the influence of personality traits, such as extroversion and introversion, varied significantly based on the specific project conditions. In collaborative and people-oriented projects, extroverted individuals tended to thrive, excelling in communication and team engagement.

On the other hand, introverted individuals exhibited strengths in tasks demanding meticulous attention to detail and independent problem-solving. Furthermore, the study highlighted that the influence of personality traits was more pronounced in smaller, tightly-knit project teams, where individual traits could significantly shape team dynamics and decision-making processes. [7]

### 4.2 Constraints:

One of the notable constraints encountered in this research was the limited availability of detailed case studies specifically focusing on the relationship between personality types and project management outcomes. While there was a wealth of literature exploring related topics, finding in-depth, real-world project examples that explicitly highlighted the role of personality traits proved challenging.

Additionally, the diversity of project contexts and industries made it difficult to establish universal conclusions. The constraints emphasized the need for more targeted research and comprehensive case studies in this area to provide a broader and more detailed understanding of the topic.

### 4.3 Quality:

The quality of the obtained insights was adequate, offering valuable qualitative data and perspectives on the relationship between personality types and project management outcomes. However, it was important to acknowledge the limitations in the depth and specificity of the available information. The qualitative nature of the analysis allowed for rich contextual understanding but lacked the quantitative data necessary for a more rigorous and precise analysis.

While the insights provided a solid foundation for understanding the nuances of personality traits in project management, the study underscored the need for further research incorporating quantitative methodologies to enhance the quality and robustness of future findings.

## 5 References

- [1] Judge, T. A., Bono, J. E., Ilies, R., & Gerhardt, M. W. (2002). The conscientiousness-performance relationship: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 55(3), 797-82 <http://www.timothy-judge.com/Judge,%20Bono,%20Ilies,%20&%20Gerhardt.pdf>
- [2] Myers, I. B., & Briggs Myers, K. (1962). *Manual: The Myers-Briggs type indicator*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service [https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Myers-Briggs-Type-Indicator%3A-Manual-\(1962\).-Myers/d39f44fd94ab4e73a826aa1e4c0fcbc49377838d](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Myers-Briggs-Type-Indicator%3A-Manual-(1962).-Myers/d39f44fd94ab4e73a826aa1e4c0fcbc49377838d)
- [3] Cohen, Y., Ornoy, H., & Keren, B. (2013). Personality types of project managers and their success: A field survey. *Psychology & Marketing*, 30(11), 927-935. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263596981\\_MBTI\\_Personality\\_Types\\_of\\_Project\\_Managers\\_and\\_Their\\_Success\\_A\\_Field\\_Survey](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263596981_MBTI_Personality_Types_of_Project_Managers_and_Their_Success_A_Field_Survey)
- [4] Hussain, A., Jamil, M., Farooq, M. U., Asim, M., Rafique, M. Z., & Pruncu, C. (2021). Project Managers' Personality and Project Success: Moderating Role of External Environmental Factors. *Sustainability*, 13(16), 9477. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354094465\\_Project\\_Managers'\\_Personality\\_and\\_Project\\_Success\\_Moderating\\_Role\\_of\\_External\\_Environmental\\_Factors](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354094465_Project_Managers'_Personality_and_Project_Success_Moderating_Role_of_External_Environmental_Factors)
- [5] Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications. [https://www.ucg.ac.me/skladiste/blog\\_609332/objava\\_105202/fajlovi/Creswell.pdf](https://www.ucg.ac.me/skladiste/blog_609332/objava_105202/fajlovi/Creswell.pdf)
- [6] Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235356393\\_Using\\_thematic\\_analysis\\_in\\_psychology](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235356393_Using_thematic_analysis_in_psychology)
- [7] Morgeson, F. P., DeRue, D. S., & Karam, E. P. (2010). Leadership in Teams: A Functional Approach to Understanding Leadership Structures and Processes. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 5-39. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0149206309347376>