# **Abstractive Summarization**

#### Mandate-3

## Aakanksha Rani (MT2022001)

## **▼ Problem Statement :**

Given a set of tweets pertaining to a trending topic, create an abstractive prose summary of the tweets. Do not just string the tweets together to form the summary. The summary will need to paraphrase and/or say more than what is directly said in the tweets. Propose a rubric to evaluate the accuracy of your summarization.

## ▼ What we did till now :

- · Building Corpus
- · Preprocessing of Dataset
- Tokenization
- Lemmatization
- · POS Tagging
- Vectorization

## **▼** Fine Tunning the pre-trained Language Models :

Fine-tuning can be done on various pre-trained models such as T5, Pegasus and BART to achieve better abstractive summarization results. Here we have used Pegasus.

We are using hugging face platform to import pre trained model .

## **▼** Pegasus:

It is developed by Google AI Language. It is a sequence-to-sequence transformer model. It is trained on a large corpus of news articles and was fine-tuned on the CNN/Daily Mail dataset, which is a popular benchmark for text summarization.

As a seq-to-seq model, Pegasus consists of an encoder that processes the input text and a decoder that generates the output summary. So here for our problem statement we are fine tunning it on our corpus to get better summaries .

Some of the hyperparameters that we are tunning to get different results and compare them are

- min\_length This determines the minimum length of the summary generated by the model.
- · max length This determines the maximum length of the summary generated by the model.
- length penalty This controls the trade-off between generating a shorter or a longer summary.
- num\_beams This controls the number of beams to use for beam search decoding. Increasing this parameter sometimes lead to better summaries, but it is increasing the runtime of the model.
- Number of epochs Number of epochs should be good enough to get better result.

## **▼** Steps involved :

• We imported the necessary libraries required which includes the Pegasus model and tokenizer from the Transformers library, as well as the Trainer and Training Arguments classes for fine-tuning the model.

Abstractive Summarization 1

- Then the pre-trained model is loaded from hugging face.
- We created a custom dataset class for the Pegasus model. It takes in two parameters encodings and labels. The
  encodings are the input texts that are tokenized and encoded by the tokenizer, and the labels are the target
  summary texts that the model generates.
- Then we defined a function to prepare a input data for fine tunning the model.
- After training the model on our dataset and fine tuning it, we test it for a new unseen data.

## **▼** Overall Approach :

- · Building Corpus
- · Pre-processing
- Training
- · Fine Tunning
- Evaluation

### **▼** Metrics Used :

ROUGE - This metrics compare the model generated summary or translation against a manually generated summaries or translations. There are many variations of ROUGE such as one-grams, bi-grams, (ROUGE-n, ROUGE-L, ROUGE-SU). Rouge measures recall.

Below is a snippet of ROUGE score calculated on comparing Pegasus generated summary with human generated summary .

```
[{'rouge-1': {'r': 0.38095238095238093, 'p': 0.0774818401937046, 'f': 0.12877263300592293}, 'rouge-2': {'r': 0.06611570247933884, 'p': 0.015779092702169626, 'f': 0.025477703895340965}, 'rouge-1': {'r': 0.35714285714285715, 'p': 0.07263922518159806, 'f': 0.12072434326749235}}]
```

#### ▼ Observations :

- Pegasus model is providing better summary compare to T5. A possible reason for this is Pegasus is trained specifically on text summarization datasets, in contrast T5 is a more general-purpose language model that is finetuned on a variety of tasks, including text summarization.
- Increasing the value of number of beams in Pegasus generator the run time of model increases.
- On increasing the number of tweets in dataset, we are getting slightly better ROUGE scores.

### **▼** Future Work :

- Tuning the hyperparameters more to get better results.
- (Evaluation) ROUGE score calculation and observing the change in accuracy on changing the hyperparameters.
- Will increase the dataset size according to the model performance .

#### ▼ References :

- Mandate Slides
- https://huggingface.co/

Abstractive Summarization 2

- https://github.com/AbhiBilla/Text-Summarization/blob/main/t5.py
- $\bullet \ \underline{\text{https://github.com/sarahaman/CIS6930\_TweetSum\_Summarization/blob/main/model\_finetuning/pegasus\_model.ipynb}\\$

Hugging Face Hub link where model is uploaded - <a href="https://huggingface.co/Aakanksha1999">https://huggingface.co/Aakanksha1999</a>

I am attaching the link of my colab notebooks below.

- 1. **PreprocessingCorpus.ipynb(** <a href="https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1706-cb2XNM-why7mlFooGqJ9eWcCrje7?">https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1706-cb2XNM-why7mlFooGqJ9eWcCrje7?</a> <a href="https://usp=sharing">usp=sharing</a>) **N**otebook with all preprocessing steps that have been applied on the data to create corpus. (Basically building of datasets)
- 2. **Pegasus(1).ipynb(**<u>https://colab.research.google.com/drive/19Aq9Vqit\_1ghsqAuOjQjoWNpf9WXF4zv?usp=sharing</u>) Notebook with model training and fine tunning.

Abstractive Summarization 3